TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
JUNE 29, 2021
10:23 A.M.

HEARING - FINANCE

To consider the application of the Locust Valley Water District for bond financing to pay the cost of improvements to said Water District. (M.D.6/1/21 #17).

JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA

SUPERVISOR

TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

ABSENT:

COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK
JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Ladies and 1 2 gentlemen, may I please have your attention? 3 Welcome to the Town of Oyster Bay Town 4 Board meeting for the morning of Tuesday, June 29, 5 2021. Good morning, everyone, in the Board 6 7 Thanks for joining with us today. We really 8 appreciate it. 9 As always, it is great to be joining 10 here with all of my colleagues and our residents. 11 Everyone, it's wonderful to see your entire 12 beautiful smiling faces, not just half of them. 13 To lead us in prayer for this Board 14 meeting, as we begin every single Board meeting 15 with prayer and a blessing from God, it is a 16 privilege to introduce a friend to the Town of 17 Oyster Bay, a dear friend to the Board members, a 18 spiritual leader in someone who we put great faith 19 in as he assists us in setting our sails properly 20 for this Board meeting and for every day of Town 2.1 government. 22 So, please welcome Rabbi Shalom 23 Lipschitz. 24 (Whereupon, Rabbi Shalom Lipschitz 25 recites a prayer.)

2.1

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Rabbi.

Thank you for your continued guidance and all you bring to the table. Of many of the items that we are praying for, perhaps one of the most important to keep in mind, is to respect one another.

God bless you, sir. Thank you so much.

And now leading us in the Pledge of Allegiance is a long time dear friend who has meant so much to us across Nassau County. He is a veteran who represents the best of the best and our freedoms due to the sacrifices of all of our veterans and that, of course, is Commander Jack Stein of the VFW in Plainview.

Jack, please proceed.

(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance is recited by Commander Jeff.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Jack.

Thank you so much for being with us and thanks for your efforts each and every day and all that you do for our veterans and all of the people that we hold in the highest esteem. We enjoy the freedoms of gathering, the freedoms of our democracy and all of our proceedings because those freedoms are protected by you and each and every

veteran.

2.1

God bless you. Thank you for joining us and for leading us.

And now I'd like to ask each and every member here, residents and friends, to join us in a moment of silence as we focus on the men and women in the United States Armed Forces serving here in the Homeland and Abroad, the men and women in law enforcement, our firefighters, all of our first responders who put themselves in the way of problems to protect our safety, and, of course, all of those in healthcare who continue to battle to protect our communities and our nation.

(Whereupon, a moment of silence was observed.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you for your prayers for the brave men and women, our doctors, our nurses, our P.A.s, all of those who administer to the sick.

God bless them all and, again, thank you for the sacrifices of our veterans, first responders and our heros.

Please be seated.

(Whereupon, a recap of the Town news was given by Supervisor Saladino.)

	5
1	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Now, let's move
2	forward with the business of the day.
3	Will our Clerk Rich LaMarca please pole
4	the Board?
5	MR. LaMARCA: Supervisor Saldino?
6	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Present.
7	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson?
8	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Present.
9	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto?
10	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Present.
11	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand?
12	COUNCILMAN HAND: Present.
13	MR. LaMARCA: I believe Councilman
14	Labriola and Councilwoman Maier are not here today.
15	Councilwoman Walsh?
16	COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Present.
17	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you please
18	now call the first hearing?
19	MR. LaMARCA: The first hearing is to
20	consider the application of the Locust Valley Water
21	District for bond financing to pay the cost of
22	improvements to said Water District.
23	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good morning,
24	Counselor.
25	MR. INGHAM: Good morning.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

Good morning, Supervisor Saldino,

2 Members of the Town Board.

2.1

2.4

My name is Mike Ingham. I'm from Carman, Callahan & Ingham, 266 Main Street, Farmingdale, New York.

I have the honor today to be helping and assisting the Locust Valley Water District in their application/petition for a capital improvement bond to assist them in moving forward, especially with emerging contaminants.

With me today in support of the petition are representatives from the Locust Valley Water District. We have Board Member Scott Peterson and a Special Member of the Board of Commissioners, who has been a mentor to me for over thirty-five years. Mr. Savinetti has been a Board Member of Locust Valley Water District for over forty years. He's also an honor veteran from World War II. His contributions to the Locust Valley Water District but specifically the entire water industry have been unfathomable, if that's the right word. He is here in persistence with the application as well. His experience has been invaluable.

Also with us today is Supervisor

beating Jericho by two years.

2.1

2.4

Dominic Scaperotta here for any questions from the Board on Operations. Our new business manager is Jennifer Vengelder for financial questions and our retained engineer Joe Todaro from H2M if there are engineering questions. That's the group.

So, turning of the petition itself, simple background for Locust Valley. Locust Valley Water District was incorporated in 1922.

Consequently, it's one of the oldest in the state

The District provides water and fire protection for approximately 2500 commercial, residential and municipal customers which includes a residential population in excess of 7500 people. The District also supplies the Locust Valley School system, Portledge School, Mill Neck Manor, School for the Deaf and Friends Academy.

These schools have a combined population of 10,000 students and faculty. In addition to the District's established consumer base, it is called upon to supply water to its neighboring communities of Bayville and Glen Cove during an emergency and high peak demands.

Most recently the District provided a substantial amount of water to the City of Glen

2.1

Cove during their upgrades to their facilities.

The need to monitor and address water quality issues is one of the district's principal responsibilities. The presence of nitrate, perchlorate and VOCs are closely monitored and managed by the District.

Our new concern as the Board well knows are the emerging contaminants 1,4 Dioxane and perfluorinated compounds of PFOA and PFAS. 1,4 Dioxane is synthetic chemical commonly used in the past by manufacturers such as Grumman and the Navy as a stabilizer for degreasers. Its industrial use was prohibited by 1994 Montreal Convention, but its lasting effects in our water will last for 100 years.

While as classified as a likely carcinogen, there is no federal maximum contaminant level. Instead on August 26, 2020, the New York State Department of Health established a specific MCL with 1,4 Dioxane of 1 part per billion. Plant 5 is impacted by 1,4 Dioxane and is now in need of treatment.

Like 1,4 Dioxane, the drinking water contaminants classified as PFOA and PFAS are manmade chemicals. Again, as with 1,4 Dioxane,

2.1

last August the Department of Health established a specific MCL this Spring for both PFAS, PFOA at 10 parts per trillion. Plant 8 has been impacted by PFAS and is now in need of treatment.

In addition, Plant 8 has also been impacted by perchlorate. Perchlorate is found in the environment when solid salts of perchlorate dissolve in water. Perchlorate is used as an oxidizer in the primary ingredient of solid rocket fuel, fireworks, emissions, airbag inflators and matches. The District's Well No. 8 has exceeded 90 percent of the State Guideline levels for that contaminant. This has resulted in the recommendation by the Nassau County Department of Health that this well be last on and first off.

Most importantly, the District in preparing for this bond petition retained the services of H2M to prepare an engineering report fully detailed and thorough. That report includes the costs of paying for capital improvements designed to maintain and improve the District's water quality, system capacity, storage capacity and the integrity of the District's existing infrastructure.

To be discussed briefly, there are nine

2.1

projects under this bond petition. The estimated cost of these nine improvements inclusive of soft costs for engineering, legal and contingencies is \$18 million. The treatment upgrades to Plant A and Plant 5 are estimated at \$10 million. This is over half of the bond petition that is mandated by the new MCLs by the Department of Health.

The Town Board should be advised of

New York State -- recently advised protocol grant

money for treating emerging contaminants.

Under this new grant protocol, the

District received a nine-way grant of \$1,980,000,

nearly 2 million for treatment upgrades for Plant

8. With the new grant protocol in place, the

District reasonably believes that it will be added and awarded additional substantial grants over the next few years.

Further, the District is actively involved in litigation against Dow Chemical Corporation to recover damages from 1,4 Dioxane as well as Dupont for PFOA and PFAS contamination.

All the grants in recovery in the Dow or Dupont litigations will be ultimately used to offset the 2021 bonds anticipation notice prior to the financial conversion.

2.1

Turning to the specific projects
themselves, the first is well treatment for
perchlorate and PFAS at Plant No. 8. The elevated
levels of perchlorate at Plant 8 are now
approaching the State Guidelines of 18 parts per
billion. Additionally, Well No. 8 has
concentrations of PFOAs exceeding 90 percent of the
MCL.

Under our current Nassau County

Department of Health Guidelines which require

proactive engagement by the District of any well

which exceeds 50 percent of the MCL, the District

must now begin the process of implementing PFAS

treatment at Well 8. GACs effective and removal of

PFAS from all water; however, because Plant 8 also

requires an exchange for part removable, the use of

perchlorate and PFAS resin must be implemented now.

The new combined treatment system will be enclosed

in a new building to ensure that the well can be

treated year-round and so that's the treatment for

Well 8.

Number 2: Well head treatment for 1,4 Dioxane in PFAS at Well 5.

Well No. 5 is impacted with 1,4 Dioxane concentrations approaching 50 percent of the new

2.1

MCLs established by the Department of Health.

Again, pursuant to the Nassau County

Department of Health 50 percent rule, the District

must now begin treatment for 1,4 Dioxane.

Unfortunately, current POC treatment systems are not effective in removing 1,4 Dioxane. Instead the appropriate treatment technology for 1,4 Dioxane is the advanced oxidation process or AOP process.

Number 3: Well No. 7 upgrades.

Typically, all wells must be inspected and rehabilitated every ten years. In 2007, this green and inner casing together with the well pumping column assembly for Well No. 7 were all be replaced. Accordingly, No. 7 is now four years overdue for rehabilitation.

Unfortunately, the District has ascertained that Well No. 7 also causes hydraulic interference with Well No. 9 which is close by. To correct this imbalance and improve the efficiency of both wells simultaneously, the District must replace the solid-state starter at Well No. 7 with a Variable Frequency Driver or VFD. This will allow the well to operate at different flow rates and different speeds, making both wells more

efficient.

2.1

2.4

Number 4: Facility Security Systems.

The existing security at each well site is outdated and requires replacement in order to comply with the New York State Sanitary Code, as well as the Ten States Recommended Standards for Water contamination.

Consequently, the District intends to upgrade their security system at each of their plant sites to achieve code compliance. This project will include the addition of intrusion alarms, door code access systems and new security cameras at every facility. All these security upgrades will be integrated in the District's SCADA system for central access District-wide.

Number 5: Office Modifications and Generator and SCADA upgrades.

The fifth item on the bond issue requires the District to convert their existing garage, connect it to the existing office building into office space. There will be a new communication center for their SCADA equipment and storage space. As part of this project, the SCADA system will also be upgraded to include additional inputs from the District's plants for increased

monitoring.

2.1

2.4

Number 6: Water Distribution System Improvements.

The Water Distribution System

Improvements program has been longstanding at the District. It is essential to continue production of required volume of water for the District's residents and also improve reliability for the system itself.

The District has to facilitate continued success of this program through improvements of its aging infrastructure by targeting replacement water mains with a history of most breaks, locate crossing intersections for those located at critical areas of high demand within the District. A hydraulic model of the distribution system will be established by H2M and calibrated to assess this system and determine which mains are top priority considering age, reliability and effectiveness.

Number 7: Caustic tank replacements.

Caustics is an integral component of copper balancing PH in the District's water distribution system. Existing caustic tanks installed between 1989 and 1982 are well past the

2.1

end of a useful life and they must be replaced.

Number 8: Water meter replacement.

Each customer in the Locust Valley
Water District has a metered service connection.
The District maintains approximately 2500 customer
meters throughout the District and each read
quarterly.

Additionally, the District currently uses two different types of meters which makes meter reading methods inconsistent. A water meter replacement program will allow the District to replace outdated meters with new automatic smart meters that can provide meter readings electronically. This will save the District time and effort every quarter; most importantly, it would automatically save both the consumer and the District when leaks occur, saving the homeowner money and increased water conservation.

Last but not least, Number 9: We are proposing emergency connection with the Oyster Bay Water District.

The District shares water of Oyster Bay Water District to the east. Approximately, 30 residential properties will receive increased fire protection by this interconnection. Not only would

2.1

these properties benefit from fire protection, but they may also like to connect to the public water supply system in the future should they have a problem with private wells.

Like Jericho, a good number of individual consumers with the District still operate on private wells. The water mains haven't reached them yet. So this is a good way to facilitate that problem, and when those wells go off, we can give them public water.

Because Oyster Bay will draw most of the benefit from project, the Locust Valley will pay only one-third of the project.

Consequently, residents and taxpayers of the District at this time improvements and repairs proposed in 2021 H2M report an estimated maximum amount to be financed of \$18 million.

The Board is determine only nine projects constitute enlisted action under SEQUA with no adverse environmental impacts after reviewing a short form EAF which is part of the petition.

For all the above reasons, the District respectfully requests that the Town issue bonds in the principal amount not to exceed \$18 million and

2.1

to finance said appropriation pursuant to the provisions of the local finance law.

Respectfully submitted.

Any questions?

Ms. Johnson? Mr. Imbroto? Ms. Walsh?

Supervisor? Any questions? I know I've been here

-- this is my sixth bond petition in the past -
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Counselor, one
quick question.

Some residents may not understand why the District has to come before the Town of Oyster Bay and I've asked all of the representatives that come here to explain this. You have your own elected officials in the Water District, why then would you need to come here and can you speak to the State Mandate, please.

MR. INGHAM: The State Mandate I can speak to, to start with.

The State Mandate came down August 26, 2020. It seems like five years ago. It's been less than a year. It's turned this industry completely upsidedown. The MCLs have been set by the Department of Health. We follow the MCLs. There is going to be considerable capital improvements that have to be made. Those can be

financed.

2.1

Unfortunately, the operation

maintenance for these 1,4 Dioxane systems are going

to cost a lot of money over the next forty years,

ten times what the capital costs are going to be.

So that's going to be a major impact to us. So we

can't bond those, but we're probably going to have

to do something to make sure we can afford those

going forward.

With respect to the question of who is responsible for paying the bond, this goes back to 1934 when the Water Districts were created. All of them were wiped out -- not just the water districts, but all the special districts were terminated in 1934 in what was called the Kirkland Act. Some actually have their own tax authority and bonding authority. All were wiped out, but each district could file by petition from their consumers, a petition to keep them independent from the Town Board.

So all the Water Districts that come before you were created by their constituents back in 1934. Part of that overall process back in 1934 indicated that because the Town was larger than the small districts, they would pledge their good faith

19 1 and credit to these bonds and they do and we 2 appreciate that deeply. But it is the taxpayers in 3 each individual Water District which must pay the 4 bond. 5 None of the consumers and none of the residents outside the Locust Valley Water District 6 7 throughout the Town, Massapequa, Jericho, whatever, 8 they are not responsible for repayment of the 9 Locust Valley bond; just the taxpayers in Locust 10 Valley. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just to reiterate 12 this is done across the entire State, New York State since 1932. 13 14 MR. INGHAM: '34. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: As mandated that 16 the larger municipality, in this case the Town of 17 Oyster Bay, must pass a Resolution so that the 18 smaller districts, and this is the case with many 19 Water Districts, fire districts, fire companies and 20 the list goes on and on. 2.1 MR. INGHAM: Correct. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So this is a 23 mandate from New York State? 24 MR. INGHAM: Correct. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That we must do

20 this as opposed to the duly elected officials of 1 2 the Water District or the fire company or whatever the case may be, and, secondly, that the Town of 3 4 Oyster Bay residents and taxpayers at large would 5 not be responsible should we pass this Resolution 6 and just the water users of the District would be 7 the only ones responsible as it's been since the 1930s? 8 9 MR. INGHAM: Correct. What you do do 10 is help us get a low interest rate. 11 Thank you very much. It's important to 12 us. 13 Any further questions? 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 15 much. 16 MR. INGHAM: Thank you, Members of the Board, Supervisor Saladino. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any 18 19 mailings or postings? 20 MR. LaMARCA: We have affidavits of 2.1 posting and publication. 22 There is no other correspondence. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 24 May I have a motion? 25 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I

```
21
1
      make a motion that this hearing be closed and
2
      decision be voted on today.
3
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May I have a
      second?
 4
5
                   COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I second the
 6
      motion.
7
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
8
      please signify by saying "Aye."
 9
                   ALL: "Aye."
10
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
11
      "Nay."
12
                   (No verbal response.)
13
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
14
      it.
15
                   Thank you.
16
                   (TIME NOTED: 10:42 A.M.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
JUNE 29, 2021
10:43 A.M.

HEARING - FINANCE

To consider the application of the South Farmingdale Water District for bond financing to pay the cost of improvements to said Water District. (M.D. 6/1/21 #18).

JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA

SUPERVISOR

TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

ABSENT:

COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK
JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would the Town 1 2 Clerk kindly call the next hearing? 3 MR. LaMARCA: The next hearing is to 4 consider the application of South Farmingdale Water 5 District for bond financing to pay the costs of improvement to said Water District. 6 7 MR. CARMAN: Good morning, 8 Mr. Supervisor. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good morning, Counselor. 10 11 MR. CARMAN: Good morning, Members of the Town Board. 12 13 My name is Willis Carman also from 14 Carman, Callahan & Ingham. I'm general counsel to 15 the South Farmingdale Water District. 16 With me today are Commissioners Ralph 17 Vittoria and Gary Brosnan, Superintendent Frank 18 Koch, Business Manager Joe --19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Counselor, may I 20 just interrupt just for a second before our 2.1 commissioners leave? 22 We'd just like to identify, recognize 23 and thank Commissioner Pat Peterson and 2.4 Commissioner Savinetti whose been there for over 25 forty years. Your dedication to our residents has

3 been exceptional and very much appreciated. 1 2 God bless you for all the great work 3 you've done. Let's here it for our commissioners. 4 (Whereupon, there was a round of 5 applause.) COMMISSIONER SAVINETTI: I'd like to 6 7 thank the Board for the relationship over forty 8 years. It's been a great run and we got along very 9 well and I'm hoping this Board is the same vein as it's been through the past forty years. 10 11 Thank you. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Commissioner --13 (Whereupon, there was a round of 14 applause.) 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And Commissioner, 16 we would also like to thank you as Americans all 17 unified, thanking you for your service in the 18 military during World War II. Thank you for coming home safely and God bless you for all you've done. 19 20 COMMISSIONER SAVINETTI: And the Korean 2.1 conflict. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And the and the 23 Korean conflict. No small achievements. 24 (Whereupon, there was a round of 25 applause.)

2.1

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Counselor, I'm sorry for that interruption, but I'm sure you'd agree with us it was very important that they be recognized.

MR. CARMAN: Absolutely.

I was introducing Members of our Board and also with us today is Alison Auriemmo. She is an engineer with the firm of H2M, the District's retained engineers.

My list of projects compared to Locust Valley much, much smaller. South Farmingdale Water District has about 45,000 consumers in Massapequa, Farmingdale, South Farmingdale, North Massapequa, parts of Plainedge, in that area. The District is seeking bond approval for critical capital improvement project for the treatment of 1,4 Dioxane at the District's Plant No. 6.

Plant 6 is located on the west side of Hicksville Road, just north of Southern State

Parkway and has two wells, 6-1 and 6-2. This past year routine sampling showed that Well 2, 6-2 had been impacted by 1,4 Dioxane. As my cousin Michael had indicated, 1,4 Dioxane is a synthetic industrial chemical used as a stabilizer for tetrachloromethane or TCA used as solvent and

degreaser.

2.1

The 2020, the MCL for 1,4 Dioxane was set at one part per billion by New York State

Department of Health. The level of 1,4 Dioxane at Plant 6-2 did not exceed the MCL, but the samples showed levels above 50 percent of the MCL. So under the Health Department's 50 Percent Rule, the District must take steps to address that problem.

So they requested H2M to prepare a detailed report and proposal for treatment at Plant 2. You've got a copy of that report which is attached to the petition and they recommended at the AOP process. That's AOP uses peroxide and ultraviolet light to break down 1,4 Dioxane that's dissolved in the water. The treated water is then pumped through granulated activated carbon for final treatment.

In addition to AOP, H2M recommends the installation of additional iron removal system at Plant 6. Plant 6 has iron removal, but the existing system can only be used for one of the wells either 6-1 or 6-2, but not both simultaneously.

H2M recommends that a new iron well system be installed that's dedicated specifically

2.1

2.4

to Well 6-2 so it can filter out the iron before it goes through the AOP process.

Having the additional iron removal system then will allow the existing facility to be used exclusively for Well 6-1. The benefit to that is to will enable the District use both wells simultaneously. That gives the District more flexibility in the event that there is any emergency and need both wells at the same time.

The estimated cost for these improvements is \$13.2 million and the District requests the bond -- the District issue the bonds in that amount. Repayment will also be specifically by the taxpayers of the South Farmingdale Water District and not from the town at large.

South Farmingdale Water District has also in litigation with the manufactures of 1,4 Dioxane, Dow Chemical, Vulcan, Ferro Industries and Northrop Grumman for their roles in contaminating ground water with 1,4 Dioxane.

The District is also exploring grant money to offset some of the costs. And any of the funds that are recovered from any of these sources, whether it's grant money through litigation, will

2.1

be used to offset the cost of bonds. Just to be assured the District is not pumping from 6-2 at this point, it's not officially offline, but the District is not using it because of the contamination in it. But they need the funding for this project so that they can actually put the well back in service.

In summary, the District's needs for this project is important to be able to provide safe drinking water and adequate pressure for fire protection and we request that the bond be issued in the amount of 13.2 million.

Do you have any questions?

what we heard from counsel who presented at the last hearing, you're here because in 1934 New York State mandated that smaller districts must do their bonding through the Town -- of Oyster Bay Town Board in this case. Obviously, this applies throughout the State, correct?

MR. CARMAN: That's correct.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And as you previously stated, due to that scenario, the Town of Oyster Bay taxpayers at large are not -- if passed, would not be responsible to pay back this

```
8
      bond; this would be specifically for the rate
1
2
      payers of the Water District?
                  MR. CARMAN:
3
                                That's correct.
4
                  SUPERVISOR SALADINO:
                                          Thank you.
5
                  Any other questions?
6
                   (No verbal response.)
7
                                Thank you very much.
                  MR. CARMAN:
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would Town Clerk
8
9
      now tell us of any postings and any correspondence?
                  MR. LaMARCA: We have affidavits of
10
11
      posting and publications.
12
                  No other correspondence.
13
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very
14
      much.
15
                  May I have a motion?
16
                  COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON:
                                          Supervisor, I
17
      make a motion that this hearing be closed and
18
      decision be voted on.
19
                   COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second the motion.
20
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
21
      please signify by saying "Aye."
22
                  ALL:
                        "Aye."
23
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
24
      "Nay."
25
                   (No verbal response.)
```

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

```
9
                    SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
1
2
       it.
                    Thank you.
 3
                     (TIME NOTED: 10:50 A.M.)
 4
5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
JUNE 29, 2021
10:51 A.M.

HEARING - P-5-21

To consider the application of 92 FHB LLC, for a Modification of existing Declaration of Restrictive Covenants to allow construction of a one-story community center with in-ground pool and relocation of an existing one-story building on premises 7627 Jericho Turnpike, Woodbury. (M.D. 5/11/21 #12).

JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA

SUPERVISOR

TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

A B S E N T:

COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would the Town 1 2 Clerk now call the third hearing? 3 MR. LaMARCA: The last hearing for today is to consider the application of 92 FHB, LLC 4 5 for modification of the existing --SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just a moment. 6 7 Before you leave, again, I would like to recognize the wonderful staff, the team of the 8 9 South Farmingdale Water District, their 10 commissioners, their superintendent and the entire 11 staff. We greatly appreciate all you do to protect 12 our residents and the water of the residents in 13 this town. 14 Thank you very much. 15 Please proceed. 16 MR. LaMARCA: This hearing is to 17 consider application 92 FHB, LLC for a modification 18 of existing declaration of restrictive covenants to 19 allow construction of a one-story community center 20 with in-ground pool and relocation of existing 2.1 one-story building on premises 7627 Jericho 22 Turnpike, Woodbury. 23 MS. SIMONCIC: Good morning, 24 Supervisor. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good morning.

2.1

2.4

MS. SIMONCIC: Members of the Board,

Judy Simoncic for the firm Forchelli, Deegan,

Terrana with offices at 333 Earle Ovington

Boulevard in Uniondale, appearing on behalf of the applicant 92 FHB, LLC this morning.

I just want to submit a bunch of green cards that we received since the mailing. There were a lot of notices that had to go out, so I'd like submit those into the record (handing).

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

MS. SIMONCIC: The applicant is 92 FHB, LLC. They own the premises that is the subject of the application this morning.

With me this morning is Chris Robinson, the applicant's engineer from R&M Engineering, Alex Badalamenti, with BLD Architect, the architect that prepared the plans before the Board and also Chris Anelante, representative of Eagle Rock Apartments.

Today's application seeks to modify and revoke certain recorded restrictive covenants and site plan approval for the premises in order to construct a clubhouse with an in-ground pool, a car charging station and a dog run, all amenities for the exclusive use of the residents of Eagle Rock Apartments. Eagle Rock Apartments was formally

2.1

known as Fairhaven Apartments.

It's designated as Section 15, Block F, Lots 1357A and 1357B on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map. It is located at the northern most end of the Seaford-Oyster Bay Expressway in Woodbury. The property zone is RMF-16 and contains a lot area of just under 30 acres with 429.46 feet of frontage on Jericho Turnpike.

The subject apartment complex has been owned and operated by the applicant since 2010 and it's presently improved with 30 buildings containing a total of 482 one and two-bedroom rental apartments. There is also an onsite property management office that includes leasing and maintenance staff.

As you can see on the plans before you, the surrounding area is fully developed. The premises is abutted by the east by the Woodbury Garden Senior Housing Complex which is situated in the RSD25 zoning district.

Further east is the Fox Hollow Country
Club which has a catering facility at Fox Hollow
Inn.

The entire western border of the property is abutted by a property owned by the

2.1

State of New York which is the northbound entrance ramp of the Seaford-Oyster Bay Expressway.

Further west is Hidden Ridge Condominiums of Syosset.

To the north is Mercy First Children and Adolescent Therapy facility which also utilizes the lot to the north for parking for their property and south across Jericho Turnpike are properties zoned LI and R1A and improved with Plaza 700 office building.

Before I have Mr. Badalamenti and Chris Robinson address the Board and go through the site plan and architectural plans, I just want to talk a little bit about the history of this property.

In 1968, this Board approved the change of zone to the E-1 residential district to permit multifamily residential apartment complex which is now RMF-16. That approval was subject to certain covenants and restrictions and the relevant covenants and restrictions for today's application are as follows:

Condition 4 requires colonial-type roofs and gables. When the application was submitted, we had applied to eliminate that entire condition as it relates to the property, but I

6 1 wanted to clarify that we are only seeking to 2 eliminate that condition as it relates to the new 3 clubhouse building. That building is a more modern 4 architect style. We are not seeking to eliminate 5 or change any of the facades of the existing buildings that are in the development, so I just 6 7 want to point that out for the Board. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Would the 8 9 elimination of the covenant apply to the entire 10 development? 11 MS. SIMONCIC: That was our request, 12 but I'm essentially modifying our request. We only 13 want to eliminate that as it relates to the 14 proposed buildings. 15 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: The mechanism --16 so only eliminated with respect to this building? 17 MS. SIMONCIC: Yes. We can create 18 covenants that would simply say colonial-type roofs 19 and gables are prohibited except for the proposed 20 clubhouse building that we're trying to build. 2.1 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Thank you. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is it possible to 23 have a design you mentioned -- that the applicant 24 would like a modern design --25 MS. SIMONCIC: Yes.

	7
1	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is it possible
2	for a shed roof design which is a modern design?
3	MS. SIMONCIC: We're going to I will
4	have Mr. Badalamenti, the architect, address that,
5	but I believe it's a flat roof.
6	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I understand it
7	looks like a flat roof now, but what I'm asking is
8	it possible for it to have an angle in terms and
9	still keep a modern design which was what you told
10	us is the intention of the applicant?
11	MS. SIMONCIC: That would increase
12	height of the building which may create problems
13	for height restrictions.
14	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're already at
15	the maximum
16	MS. SIMONCIC: I will have the
17	architect address that.
18	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very
19	much.
20	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Can you do us a
21	favor? Can you orient these drawings so they can
22	be seen by the public?
23	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And those here
24	today.
25	Thank vou

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Sometimes we'll 1 2 put them in the corner so everyone can see it. 3 MR. BADALAMENTI: Good morning, 4 Mr. Supervisor and Board. 5 Alex Badalamenti, BLD Architects, 31 West Main Street, Patchoque. 6 7 We chose a modern aesthetic to keep the 8 height down, but we also think this is a garden 9 amenity, sort of garden structure. So it's 10 independent of the surrounding community. 11 To your question could it be a shed, 12 yes, it could be a shed aesthetically. I don't see 13 the benefit in it being a shed. So we prefer it to 14 be flat. 15 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Is the building visible from the road? 16 MR. BADALAMENTI: It is not. 17 18 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It can only be 19 seen by members of the community? 20 MR. BADALAMENTI: Correct. 2.1 It's actually -- we are building it 22 into the berm that exists surrounding -- you'll see 23 a terrace berm where approximately 37 feet below 24 the surrounding community to the east. 25 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It's a gated

community?

2.1

2 MR. BADALAMENTI: It is.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. Thank you.

MS. SIMONCIC: So the first condition is the condition that relates to the roof.

The second condition provides the building shall not exceed 20 percent of the total area of the premises.

And the third condition provides that no building can be constructed within 100 feet of the northern property line.

In 1969 the Town Board approved a planting plan under Resolution 1144-1969. More recently in December 2019, the Town Board approved and amended site plan and amended the covenants to permit the construction of a gazebo, wood burning fire pit, paver walkway, picnic-style seating and to modify the existing landscape.

In June of 2020, the Town Board approved an amendment to the site plans to permit two modular natural gas barbecue units. The application this morning seeks permission to construct additional amenities for the Eagle Rock residences.

As the Board knows, these amenities

2.1

such as a clubhouse and pool are desirable to residents of multifamily communities. In fact, if this was a new application to construct a new development, the Board would be asking us what amenities are you providing for the residents.

And in today's market, the success of these types of developments really depends on the amenities provided for their residents. As I mentioned before Hidden Ridge Development to the west which is comparable in size provides a pool. They have tennis courts, basketball courts and a clubhouse. And a majority of the multifamily developments in Woodbury area do provide these types of amenities, such as the The Greens, Woodland Ponds and Eagle Chase.

Despite the fact Eagle Rock has been operating since 1969, the only amenities available to these residents are the wood burning fire pit, picnic-style seating and the barbecue unit that were built in 2019 with this Board's approval.

So, as I said, we're proposing to construct a clubhouse, an in-ground pool, as accessory car station which I'm going to have the engineer go through the overall site plan in a moment. We're looking to relocate the existing

2.1

maintenance building to the rear of the premises and construct a dog run.

The clubhouse and in-ground pool will be located on the east side of the premises just past the first two residential buildings off

Jericho Turnpike in an unimproved area. They're not visible to any surrounding properties. We're going to discuss and show the Board all the buffers that we have and the distances between these building and the adjacent senior housing project.

The maintenance building is currently located in the general vicinity of the proposed pool and clubhouse, but it is proposed to be moved to the northern lot line and will maintain rear yard setback of 29.7 feet.

Again, all these amenities are strictly for the use of residents of Eagle Rock.

Now, with respect to the modifications that we're seeking, we discussed Condition 4 which we're willing to limit that strictly to the proposed clubhouse. Condition 6 that relates to the maximum 20 percent total area premises in the RMF-16 district, you are permitted up 20 percent lot coverage. We believe this is the only RMF development that has this particular 20 percent

2.1

restriction on it and we're asking that to be lifted.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Do you know what the justification for the restriction was in the first place?

MS. SIMONCIC: I do not know, but I would guess because it was so long ago, that the surrounding developments were not in existence, so maybe there was concern about development on this property, but now --

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Did it come up in the original hearing?

MS. SIMONCIC: I did not pull the original transcript from that hearing, but I would suspect again nothing -- the senior housing project, Woodbury Gardens was not developed at that in point time. The other apartment, Hidden Ridge Condominium, was not developed, so maybe there was just an overall conservative approach to this property because there were no other developments in the area, but now it's fully developed and they're all in accordance with their general zoning districts. So that's generally what we feel probably was the concern with the Board at that time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

13

But, clearly, there is more than ample property to construct this clubhouse without having any adverse impact on the surround property. won't be visible which will be shown in a moment, and again, these amenities are very important to continue the viability of this particular development and keep it competitive with other multifamily developments in the Woodbury area. Finally, we're also seeking to revoke the 1955 covenants that were adopted when this property was originally zoned business. Clearly, they no longer have any application to the property or to the existing use of this property. Unless the Board has any questions for me, I'd like to have Mr. Robinson go through the site plan and talk about the proposal in more detail. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any questions at this time? (No response.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please proceed. MR. ROBINSON: Good morning. Christopher Robinson, President of Robinson and Muller Engineers, 50 Elm Street,

Huntington, New York.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I'll just add a little bit more to what Ms. Simoncic said.

The complex is a little -- about 30 acres, 482 units and 30 buildings. We're proposing to construct a new clubhouse facility located in the wooded area between building C and M. There is a blowup on the right-hand board. The area there is quite hilly. We're going to be flattening it out using a tiered retaining wall system to hide the clubhouse from any of the surrounding communities. The buffer to the east ranges from 64 feet to over 92 feet. That's shown in the cross-section on the bottom right there. It shows the existing woods to remain on top, with the tier retainer wall coming down. The bottom of that wall will be a swimming pool, patio area. We're creating a new parking lot for 23 vehicles which will include two charging stations. If anybody has an electric vehicle, it should be becoming more poplar, we're going to provide a charging station in the new parking lot.

As also Ms. Simoncic said, the existing maintenance facility right now is located immediately north of the proposed clubhouse. We're

2.1

proposing to relocate it to the far northerly end of the property. We have approximately 29 feet off the north property line.

There, we're going to create a maintenance building, have a Dumpster area which is roofed over. New Dumpster enclosures that are fenced in and a fenced-in dog run area to help contain some of the -- not the dog run area, but the refuse and Dumpster area to contain what are right now open Dumpsters which are on the pavement. We're going to create an enclosure for it and put it with the maintenance building with a roof over it.

The building itself is -
Mr. Badalamenti will talk about it -- but it's split into two buildings with a large open breezeway in between and the parking areas throughout the property will be restriping the parking lot. Some changes have occurred at the site since its inception and some of the roads were converted to one-ways with angle parking.

We have worked with the Town staff and are going to get the parking back to its 90-degree state throughout most of it and keep the one-way patterns to circulate around the property. We

16 worked with the Town staff and have resolved those 1 2 conditions. 3 I'm available for any questions you 4 might have. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. MR. BADALAMENTI: Good morning. 6 7 Again, Alex. 8 Just to add the program --9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you kindly 10 begin by stating your presence again for the 11 stenographer? 12 MR. BADALAMENTI: Absolutely, Alex 13 Badalamenti, BLD Architects, 31 West Main Street, 14 Patchoque. 15 Mr. Supervisor, Board Members, the 16 southern portion of the building is for a new 17 fitness center. 18 The northern portion of the building is 19 for a new clubhouse with offices and the center 20 section, as Chris mentioned, is a breezeway which 2.1 is landscaped with evergreens coming down the 22 center. 23 The insertion of a modern aesthetic 24 goes with the insertion of the modern amenities 25 that are going into the building and also the pool.

2.1

We think it's a very appropriate as sculptural element separating itself from the rest of the residents that are there, so it has its own identity. The building is clad in cedar, horizontal cedar and natural stone.

The flat roof is intended to keep the profile as low as possible so that the residents to the east don't see the structure, itself.

As Chris mentioned, fully vegetated, fully landscaped surrounding sitting down in a bowl which we are creating out of the hillside that is there now.

Again, if are any other questions, I'm happy to answer them as well.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

MS. SIMONCIC: I'll just also point out for the record that we are not increasing the number of units, proposing any changes with respect to that or the number if residents in the community. Therefore, this project will obviously not have any traffic impacts. It's all existing and these structures are only for the use, again, for the residents of the community.

The applicant, as you can see from the

2.1

plans, is expending a substantial sum of money.

This is part of their overall revamping of this community to bring it up to a more prestigious community. They put in a lot of work in 2019 and 2020. This project is about a million and half dollar project that they're really putting in a lot of money into this project so that they can increase the property values there and give the residents more of what they're looking for, which are these amenities that they want.

That concludes our presentation. If the Board has any other questions, I'm happy to answer them.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: I'm assuming that all the members of the Board voted on this to approve the project?

MS. SIMONCIC: It's not a homeowner's association. It's all rentals. So, it's management driven, on their own accord, they believe in this move toward these improvements.

COUNCILMAN HAND: Counsel, last night I was at the Bethpage Pool, Catholic Health Services, and St. Joseph's Hospital was there and they did a wonderful job with regard to pool safety.

My question is around the pool itself.

19 Will there be an AED available? 1 2 MS. SIMONCIC: The answer is yes. 3 COUNCILMAN HAND: As far as the hours 4 of operation of the pool and will there be 5 lifequards available? MS. SIMONCIC: We have not determined 6 7 that yet. We're going to look at that. We want to 8 see what other communities are doing, what would 9 work for this particular community. So that's 10 something to be determined down the road. 11 COUNCILMAN HAND: Thank you. 12 COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: I do have a 13 question. 14 In some communities after a few years 15 they open up -- some of our clubs, Crest Hollow, 16 they open up the pools to private pool membership. 17 That would never happen here with this private --18 it would just stay with the home -- with the 19 renters, correct? 20 MS. SIMONCIC: Solely for residents. 2.1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: If approved, 22 Counselor, would this project affect the number of 23 parking spots that are now there? 2.4 MS. SIMONCIC: Absolutely not. 25 number of parking spaces will remain the same.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

20

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Through your calculations and the use of this community room by guests of the residents, could there be a need for more parking spaces as guests come and visit, events open, so forth in the community center? MS. SIMONCIC: We do not anticipate the need for more parking. We are adding more parking around the clubhouse. 23 spaces will be at the clubhouse alone, and as we all know, a lot of times in these communities people walk to the clubhouse from their home. We don't anticipate having parking issues there and again, we do fully comply with the Town's required parking requirements for the entire community including the clubhouse. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're adding 23 additional spots, and guests of residents, could use any one of these 23 spots? MS. SIMONCIC: That's correct. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What is the maximum capacity number for this facility at that square footage? MS. SIMONCIC: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? SUPERVISOR SALADINO: How many people are allowed to occupy this building or should I say

2.1

would be allowed to occupy the building?

MS. SIMONCIC: That would be determined during review of Public Assembly.

State code would allow 75, but I highly doubt that it would ever be occupied by that many people. If you've ever been to one of these communities, you go to the gym and no one is in there. In the Summertime, obviously, there are more people at the pool, but generally people are walking from their homes to the pool and enjoying the space, but I would doubt it would get to that point but certainly State Code would only allow 75 persons in the building.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It would be available for outside family members, whether it's a 75 birthday or 50 anniversary and so forth?

MS. SIMONCIC: We're not planning on allowing the building to be rented like in other condominium community. It's strictly first come, first serve. You can come, but we're not going to be renting the building to the residents.

So if somebody wanted to come with their family and go to the pool, they can do that, but there's a specified barbecue area that is completely separate from the pool area and another

	22
1	location on the development, so you won't have the
2	two coming together, so to speak.
3	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.
4	Any other questions?
5	(No response.)
6	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Anything else for
7	your presentation?
8	MS. SIMONCIC: That concludes our
9	presentation.
10	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you,
11	Counselor.
12	I do not show a slip of anyone in the
13	public who would like to be heard on this
14	application, but is there anyone here.
15	(No response.)
16	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please let the
17	record reflect that no one has indicated that they
18	would like to be heard on this application. No one
19	here in the room.
20	No other questions?
21	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Nope.
22	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.
23	MS. SIMONCIC: Thank you so much.
24	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there
25	postings and correspondence?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

23 MR. LaMARCA: The Attorney for the applicant has filed affidavit of service and disclosure. Communications are as follows: We have memos from the Department of Planning and Development including a review of required off-street parking. The Nassau County Land and Tax Map indicates the property is Section 15, Lot F, Lots 1357A and 1357B. According to the Town of Oyster Bay Zoning Maps, the property is located within multifamily residents RMF16x zone. There are no variance or open prior code enforcement bureau cases; however, there are Town Board Resolutions on file. There's correspondence on file from a resident which has been distributed to the Town Board.

There is no further correspondence.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

May I have a motion.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I'll make a motion that this hearing be adjourned and the record be kept open for ten days.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

```
2.4
                  COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second the motion.
1
2
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
3
      please signify by saying "Aye."
                  ALL: "Aye."
4
5
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
6
      "Nay."
7
                   (No verbal response.)
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
8
9
      it.
                  Ladies and gentlemen, at this time, we
10
11
      must enter into Executive Session.
12
                  COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I'd
      like to make a motion that the Town Board enter
13
14
      into Executive Session for the purpose of
15
      discussing proposed/pending litigation.
16
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May I have a
17
      second?
18
                  COUNCILMAN IMBROTO:
                                        Second.
19
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
20
      signify by saying "Aye."
21
                  ALL: "Aye."
22
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed
23
      "Nay."
24
                   (No verbal response.)
25
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
```

25 1 it. 2 So as I was stating, we're heading into 3 Executive Session. It could be twenty minutes. could be half an hour and so forth. 4 5 Frank Scalera, please raise your hand. If there is an issue you would like to 6 7 speak about, that we can assist you with to keep 8 you from having to wait any longer, please feel 9 free to discuss it with Mr. Scalera or any of our 10 attorneys. 11 Just want to save people time who may 12 be waiting in the room while we're in Executive 13 Session. 14 (Whereupon, the Executive Session began 15 at 11:15 a.m. and ended at 12:16 p.m. and the 16 proceedings resumed as follows:) 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, folks, 18 for your patience. 19 May I have a motion. 20 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I 2.1 make a motion that Executive Session be closed. 22 action was taken. 23 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second the motion. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor, 25 please signify by saying "Aye."

	26
1	ALL: "Aye."
2	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed
3	"Nay."
4	(No verbal response.)
5	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
6	it.
7	(TIME NOTED: 12:16 P.M.)
8	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Scalera.
9	MR. SCALERA: Good afternoon.
10	Frank Scalera Town Attorney.
11	Can you hear me? Is this on.
12	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: We can hear you.
13	MR. SCALERA: There was a hearing on
14	last month's Red Barn Dog Ops LLC in Bethpage
15	Bethpage Group LLC. It was a hearing for a special
16	use permit last month on June 15, 2021.
17	Since for housekeeping, we made a
18	motion to reopen that hearing and amend the record
19	being open from 30 days to 10 days.
20	So, we need two motions, one to open
21	and one to amend.
22	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I
23	make a motion to reopen Red Dog LLC hearing from
24	June 15th.
25	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I second the

```
27
      motion.
1
2
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
3
      please signify by saying "Aye."
                  ALL: "Aye."
4
5
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
6
      "Nay."
7
                   (No verbal response.)
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
8
9
      it.
10
                  COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I
11
      make a motion to amend the record being open from
12
      30 days to 10 days on Red Dog LLC hearing and close
13
      the hearing.
14
                   COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
15
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
      please signify by saying "Aye."
16
17
                  ALL: "Aye."
18
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed
19
      "Nay."
20
                   (No verbal response.)
21
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
22
      it.
23
                   Thank you so much.
24
                   (TIME NOTED: 12:18 P.M.)
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
ACTION CALENDAR
JUNE 29, 2021
12:19 P.M.

JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA

SUPERVISOR

TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

A B S E N T:

COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

	2
1	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May we have a
2	motion on calendar?
3	MR. LaMARCA: May I have a motion to
4	suspend the rules and add Resolution 378-2021?
5	RESOLUTION NO. 378-2021; Resolution
6	authorizing the Comptroller to make payment to
7	Atlantic Steamer Fire Company No. 1, Inc. and
8	Oyster Bay Fire Department, Inc., for fire
9	protection services. Account No. TWN SF08 3410
10	44910 000 0000. (M.D. 6/22/21 #18).
11	On the motion?
12	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: So moved.
13	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
14	MR. LaMARCA: Motion made by
15	Councilwoman Johnson; seconded by Councilman
16	Imbroto.
17	On the vote:
18	Supervisor Saladino?
19	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."
20	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson?
21	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
22	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto?
23	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."
24	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand?
25	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."

	3
1	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh?
2	COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: "Aye."
3	MR. LaMARCA: Motion to suspend the
4	rules and add Resolution Nos. 378-2021 passes with
5	five "Ayes" and Zero "Nays."
6	May I have a motion to resuspend the
7	rules and add the following walked on Resolution
8	No. 379-2021, which is a Resolution granting a
9	request from the Oyster Bay Railroad Museum for
10	Town assistance in conducting the grand opening of
11	the historic presidential train station and
12	landmark turntable event?
13	On the motion, please?
14	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: So moved.
15	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
16	MR. LaMARCA: Motion made by
17	Councilwoman Johnson and seconded by Councilman
18	Imbroto.
19	On the vote, Supervisor Saladino?
20	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."
21	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson?
22	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
23	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto?
24	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Yes.
25	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand?

4 1 COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye." 2 MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh? 3 COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: "Aye." 4 MR. LaMARCA: Motion to resuspend the 5 rules of procedure and add walked on Resolution No. 379-2021 passes with five "Ayes" and Zero "Nays." 6 7 May I have a motion to adopt Resolution 8 No. P-11-21 through 379-2021? 9 PERSONNEL RESOLUTION NO. P-11-21; 10 Resolution pertaining to personnel of various 11 departments within the Town of Oyster Bay. 12 TRANSFER OF FUNDS RESOLUTION NO. 13 TF-9-21; Resolution pertaining to transfer of funds 14 within various departments' accounts for the Year 15 2021. 16 RESOLUTION NO. 355-2021; Resolution 17 authorizing an agreement for the Lords of 52nd 18 Street Concert, to be held on September 18, 2021, 19 at a location to be determined based on NYS 20 distancing regulations and for the Commissioner of 2.1 the Department to execute said agreement; Account 22 No. CYS A 7020 47660 000 0000. (M.D. 6/8/21 #4). 23 RESOLUTION NO. 356-2021; Resolution 24 authorizing an agreement to provide entertainment 25 for the Group Activities Program (GAP) for an event

scheduled for July 20, 2021 at the Syosset-Woodbury Community Center and for the Supervisor and/or his designee or the Commissioner of Community and Youth Services to execute said agreement. (M.D. 6/8/21 #5).

RESOLUTION NO. 357-2021; Resolution authorizing acceptance of a donation of a lighted scoreboard from the Syosset Youth Athletic Council Girls Softball League, to be installed on the softball field at Syosset-Woodbury Park. (M.D. 6/8/21 #6).

RESOLUTION NO. 358-2021; Resolution authorizing a final one-year renewal for the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program for the period January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021, and for the Supervisor to execute the Revenue Agreement between the County of Nassau and the Town of Oyster Bay. Account No. CD 6293 47850 000 CW 21. (M.D. 6/8/21 #11).

RESOLUTION NO. 359-2021; Resolution authorizing issuance of a refund to C. Rosenberg for a Building Permit fee issued in error. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 6/8/21 #12).

RESOLUTION NO. 360-2021; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. H19-196-PH2, Engineering

2.1

Services relative to the design phase to the Hicks Avenue area in Syosset. Account No. HWY H 5197 20000 000 2003 008. (M.D. 6/8/21 #16).

authorizing the property cleanup assessment of
153 South Ocean Avenue, Massapequa, which was
demolished on April 19, 2021, be referred to the
County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County
Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 6/15/21 #10).

RESOLUTION NO. 362-2021; Resolution authorizing award of Engineering Services Contract No. H21-214, Improvements to East Lincoln Avenue, Riverdale Avenue and Pirates Cove, Massapequa Surveying and Preliminary Design, and for use of a sub-consultant relative to site surveying and mapping. Account No. HWY H5197 20000 000 2103 008. (M.D. 6/15/21 #12).

RESOLUTION NO. 363-2021; Resolution authorizing a reduction in retainage in connection with Contract No. H17-159R, Repairs to the Hicksville Parking Facility. (M.D. 6/15/21 #13).

RESOLUTION NO. 364-2021; Resolution authorizing the continuation of the third-year extension of Contract No. HTR17-163, Tree Removal Requirements, from June 11, 2021 through March 12,

2.1

2022. Account No. HWY 5197 20000 000 2103 008. (M.D. 6/15/21 #14).

RESOLUTION NO. 365-2021; Resolution

pertaining to Contract No. PWC07-20, On-Call

Consultant Services relative to Civil Engineering

in connection with inspection and assessment of six

Town of Oyster Bay Salt Storage Buildings; Account

No. HWY H 5197 20000 000 2103 008. (M.D. 6/15/21

#15).

RESOLUTION NO. 366-2021; Resolution granting request for Town assistance from the Oyster Bay Main Street Association in conducting the 10th season of the "Dancing in the Street" events on Audrey Avenue in Oyster Bay on various dates in July and August 2021, and for the use of various Town equipment. (M.D. 6/15/21 #17).

RESOLUTION NO. 367-2021; Resolution granting request for Town assistance from the North Shore Land Alliance in conducting their North Shore Vendor Day on July 14, 2021, for use of Parking Lot 0-6 (Fireman's Field) and for use of various Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 6/15/21 #18).

RESOLUTION NO. 368-2021; Resolution authorizing a Modification to Consultant Agreement under Contract No. H18-171-P1, Flood Diversion and

2.1

Control Projects for Massapequa and Massapequa

Park, Project 1: Outfall 126 System (Harbor Pl)

and Outfall 28 System (Cabot Rd West) as part of

the N.Y. Rising Community Reconstruction Program,

and for the Supervisor or his designee, to execute

said Agreement. (M.D. 6/15/21 #20 & 6/22/21 #12).

RESOLUTION NO. 369-2021; Resolution authorizing a Modification to Consultant Agreement under Contract No. H18-171-P3, Flood Diversion and Control Projects for Massapequa and Massapequa Park, Project 3: Outfall 31 System (Brockmeyer Dr), Outfall 114 System (Clearwater Ave) and Outfall 128 System (Iroquois Pl) as part of the N.Y. Rising Community Reconstruction Program, and for the Supervisor or his designee, to execute said Agreement. (M.D. 6/15/21 #21 & 6/22/21 #13).

RESOLUTION NO. 370-2021; Resolution authorizing a Modification to Consultant Agreement under Contract No. H18-171-P4, Flood Diversion and Control Projects for Massapequa and Massapequa Park, Project 4: Outfall 38 System Division Avenue as part of the N.Y. Rising Community Reconstruction Program, and for the Supervisor or his designee, to execute said Agreement. (M.D. 6/15/21 #22 & 6/22/21 #14).

2.1

RESOLUTION NO. 371-2021; Resolution authorizing an Agreement to provide Pro Shop Services at the Town of Oyster Bay Ice Skating Center for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2026, with a provision for an additional three (3), one (1) year extensions, and for the Supervisor, or his designee, to execute said Agreement. (M.D. 6/15/21 #5 & 6/22/21 #5).

RESOLUTION NO. 372-2021; Resolution

pertaining to Contract No. PWC09-20, On-Call

Consultant Service Request relative to Site

Development in connection with turf athletic fields

at Francis M. Gaynor Park, and for use of a

sub-consultant to this project. Account No.

PKS-H-7197-20000-000-2002-01. (M.D. 6/15/21 #25).

RESOLUTION NO. 373A-2021; Resolution/
Order pertaining to the decision on the application
of the South Farmingdale Water District for bond
financing to pay the cost of improvements to said
water district. Hearing held: June 29, 2021.

(M.D. 6/1/21 #18).

RESOLUTION NO. 373B-2021; Resolution authorizing the issuance of bonds to pay the cost of improvements to the South Farmingdale Water District. Hearing held: June 29, 2021. (M.D.

6/1/21 #18).

2.1

RESOLUTION NO. 374A-2021; Resolution pertaining to the decision on the application of the Locust Valley Water District for bond financing to pay the cost of improvements to said water district. (M.D. 6/1/21 #17).

RESOLUTION NO. 374B-2021; Resolution authorizing the issuance of bonds to pay the cost of improvements to pertaining to the Locust Valley Water District. Hearing held: June 29, 2021.

(M.D. 6/1/21 #17).

RESOLUTION NO. 375-2021; Resolution

pertaining to the decision on a Local Law entitled,

"A Local Law to amend the Code of the Town of

Oyster Bay, New York, Chapter 192 Secondhand

Dealers, Section 192-8 Licenses, so as to conform

with the requirements of the New York State

Division of Criminal Justice Services and to modify

the grounds for denial of a license." Hearing held:

April 17, 2012. (M.D. 4/17/12 #4).

RESOLUTION NO. 376-2021; Resolution pertaining to the decision on a Local Law entitled:
"A Local Law to amend the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, New York, Chapter 94 Collateral Loan Brokers, Section 94-4 License Required;

11 application; Issuance; Restriction, so as to Modify 1 2 the Grounds for Denial of a License and to Conform 3 with the Requirements of the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services." Hearing 4 5 held: February 28, 2012. (M.D. 2/28/12 #6). 6 RESOLUTION NO. 377-2021; Resolution 7 authorizing the Supervisor, or his designee, to 8 execute Agreements for Professional Services for 9 employability workshops, computer training, social 10 media workshops and stress management workshops 11 under the Workforce Investment Act/Workforce 12 Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), effective 13 July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2024. Account No. 14 IGA-CD-6293-48080-000-CW20. (M.D. 6/15/21 #7 & 6/22/21 #17). 15 On the motion? 16 17 MR. LaMARCA: So moved. 18 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second. 19 MR. LaMARCA: Motion made by 20 Councilwoman Johnson and seconded by Councilman 2.1 Imbroto. 22 We do have some speakers. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So far it looks 2.4 like we have one on our Resolutions, Lisa 25 Reinhardt.

MS. REINHARDT: Good morning, everyone.

Lisa Reinhardt, 65 Elm Street,

Hicksville.

2.1

2.4

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Good morning.

MS. REINHARDT: Good morning.

I have a question on Resolution 364 on the extension for looks great tree -- the tree company. I know this company has a little bit of questionable activity after Sandy. I also know some residents have been waiting for a response from the Town on requests for activity with their trees. Vis-à-vis the contract extension, I see was extended 30 days some time ago and now we're doing 90 days, rather. Now, we doing nine more months.

If the Town is assigning them jobs and I'm not sure if that's the way it works, I believe it is, is there an oversight board or is that somebody a foreman who does oversight of their activities; and if so, has there been an eval to date warranting the extension, or was there any thought of giving an RFP out in taking on an additional different company or something like that. That is my first one.

The other one is Resolution 372. It's regarding proving 17K subcontractor of the

2.1

1.3

contractor to determine soil -- soil conditions at Gainer Park with the eye to putting turf fields in, and I'm just wondering, after the 17 cases, it says that there's about 500k open for this project or allowable for project, I guess, how much does the Board anticipate this costing the Town and granted, of course, I have a child who plays on the field turf and grass in the Town. We do want to create the best Town possible, but how much will this cost and is there a plan to slowly do a few fields a year into turf or is there any kind of a plan in place with that?

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're very welcome.

In terms of the second question, we'll know more after an analysis is done and that's part of the reason for that analysis.

In terms of the first question, we have seen more and more storms, and last year is a perfect example where the Town was hit very hard.

So the need for on-call services for tree removal, for emergencies, for all these different reasons is because as these storms hit us and there is an enormous amount of tree work to

2.1

clear reads, to deal with trees down on our properties and so forth, so this is taking a precautionary step to ensure we will have those services at the ready and I am so sorry to say, we are in that season. I don't even want to use that word that begins with "H," but we are in that season and the likelihood is there to be hit with numerous storms. Some of these storms are unnamed storms that do an enormous amount of tree damage.

Our next speaker -- it doesn't show anyone else would like to speak on the Resolutions. We know you're here on something that is addressed on public comment to the Zalewski family, but that public comment comes after we're done with the Resolutions, just so you understand the process.

This is for speakers who would like to be heard on the Resolutions prior to our voting and Mr. Kugler would also like to be heard, but that's right after we're done with our Resolutions. So we appreciate your patience to both groups.

So we don't have any other slips of anyone else who would like to be heard on these Resolutions.

Do any Board member have any questions?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: No.

15 I think we should vote. 1 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's please 3 proceed with the vote. 4 MR. LaMARCA: Supervisor Saladino? 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye." 6 MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson? 7 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: I'm recusing on 368, 369, 370. 8 9 "Aye" on the rest. MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto? 10 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye" on all. 11 12 MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand? 13 COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye." 14 MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh? 15 COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: "Aye" on all. 16 MR. LaMARCA: Resolution No. P-11-21 17 through 367-2021 passes with five "Ayes." 18 Resolution No. 368 through 370 passes 19 with four "Ayes" and one "recusal." 20 Resolution No. 371 through 379 passes 21 with five "Ayes." 22 The calendar is complete. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 24 much. 25 May I have a motion, please?

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

```
16
1
                   COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I
2
      make a motion to close the hearing -- close the
3
      meeting.
                   COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
4
5
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
      please signify by saying "Aye."
 6
7
                   ALL: "Aye."
8
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
9
      "Nay."
10
                   (No verbal response.)
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
11
12
      it.
13
                   (TIME NOTED: 12:25 P.M.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```