TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
February 25, 2020
10:55 a.m.

HEARING - LOCAL LAW

To consider a Local Law entitled: "A Local Law to Amend Section 96-21 of the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay." $(M.D.\ 1/28/20\ \#19)$.

JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA TOWN CLERK

SUPERVISOR

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA
COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

	2
1	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would our Town
2	Clerk Richard LaMarca please poll the Board?
3	MR. LaMARCA: Supervisor Saldino?
4	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Present.
5	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson?
6	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Present.
7	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto?
8	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Present.
9	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand has left
10	the dais for a moment, but he will be back.
11	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: He's here in the
12	building.
13	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Labriola?
14	COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Here.
15	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Maier?
16	COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Here.
17	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh?
18	COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Here.
19	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would the Town
20	Clerk please call the first hearing?
21	MR. LaMARCA: Supervisor, the first
22	hearing is to consider a local law entitled a local
23	law to amend Section 96-21 of the code of Town of
24	Oyster Bay.
25	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good morning.

2.1

2.4

MR. HEALEY: Good morning,

Mr. Supervisor, Members of the Town Board.

My name is Ralph Healey, Special Counsel Office of the Town attorney.

Before you today, we have a proposed law which would amend Section 96-21 of the Town Code entitled, "Registration of Real Property with mortgages in default."

As you know, last year the Town Board enacted Section 96-21 which required the owners or mortgages of properties with mortgages in default to register the property with the Town and to pay an annual registration fee of \$500.

The purpose of this law was to alleviate zombie homes by identifying the parties who would be in control of these structures.

At the request of the Department of Planning and Development and Director of Finance, our office prepared this Local Law to amend that Section to require semiannual registration as opposed to the original annual registration.

If enacted, it is hoped that this

Amendment will produce a registry list more

reflective of recent sales and the changes in the

status of these properties closer to the time in

4 1 which they occur. 2 The semiannual nonrefundable 3 registration fee of \$500 will be submitted with 4 registration forms. That's the sum and substance 5 of the proposed Amendment. If there are any questions or comments, I am here as well as the 6 7 Commissioner. We'll answer anything you have. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Does anyone on 9 the Board have questions? 10 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: No, but 11 Mr. Healey, I want to thank you for your work in 12 drafting this law and the other one that we have a 13 hearing on today as well. 14 I know you worked really -- very hard 15 on that. 16 MR. HEALEY: I appreciate that, Councilman. It was fun. It's an interesting 17 18 field. 19 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: The pages and 20 pages of research that I saw with respect to the 2.1 drop box. 22 MR. HEALEY: Thank you very. 23 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Good job. 24 MR. HEALEY: Thank you. 25 I do appreciate that.

2.1

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm just going to just very quickly summarize this in a sentence or two for those who are here or those watching on their computer. The Town has put on a full war on zombie homes.

To date we've taken down some ten -- at least ten homes and it's a long process. We had to change a lot of the Town code to open the door to clearing out these homes because -- well, everybody knows zombie homes, what they look like, the problems in the neighborhood, all the problems associated with them.

So, part of it was to create a registry and another piece was to ensure that the owners of these properties, usually banks and mortgagees at this point, because the residents have left and the house is in disrepair. They now have to put \$25,000 into a escrow account, so as maintenance and even the knockdown of the home comes about, the taxpayers of the Town of Oyster Bay are no longer footing the bill. And that was one of those initiatives we got right on.

I want to thank Lou and our entire team here for being so vigilant with this. This is a terrible eyesore in the communities. Now, with

6 that \$25,000 in escrow, the moneys taken there, 1 2 whether it's a cleanup on the property or as I 3 said, knocking them down, I want to thank our Town 4 employees again because I've been at every single 5 one of these knockdowns, I think you've been at every one with me. We start in the morning and by 6 7 the afternoon, the entire site is cleaned up in one 8 day. And some of these houses and properties are 9 really, really a tremendous mess. 10 So, by doing this every six months, it 11 allows us to keep up with the status of these homes 12 when they fall into the zombie criteria more 13 quickly and quite frankly instead of waiting a year 14 to find out, we can get to these and find out in as 15 quickly as six months. 16 MR. HEALEY: That is correct, 17 Mr. Supervisor. 18 It provides us a more accurate list in 19 realtime, if you will. 20 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you for 21 that presentation. 22

No questions from the Board.

I don't have any slips, so it appears as no one in the public wants to be heard on this issue.

23

24

25

	7
1	Please let the record reflect that no
2	one has identified themselves as wanting to be
3	heard on this.
4	May we have a motion, please?
5	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I
6	make a motion that this public hearing be closed
7	and decision be reserved.
8	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
9	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
10	please signify by saying, "Aye."
11	ALL: "Aye."
12	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
13	"Nay."
14	(No response.)
15	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
16	it.
17	Thank you very much.
18	MR. HEALEY: Thank you, Mr. Supervisor.
19	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you please
20	call the next hearing I'm sorry, did I ask
21	for
22	MR. LaMARCA: We have affidavits of
23	postings and publications.
24	There are no other correspondence.
25	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, it was

```
8
      related that there is no other correspondence on
1
2
       this.
 3
                    Thank you.
                     (TIME NOTED: 11:02 A.M.)
 4
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
February 25, 2020
11:03 a.m.

HEARING - LOCAL LAW

To consider a Local Law to Add Chapter 95 Collection Bins, to the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay. (M.D. 1/28/20 #20).

JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA

SUPERVISOR

TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA
COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK
JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

2 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you please 2 call the next hearing? 3 MR. LaMARCA: The second hearing is to 4 consider local law to add Chapter 19 collection 5 bins to the code of the Town of Oyster Bay. Once again, good morning, 6 MR. HEALEY: 7 Mr. Supervisor, Members of the Town Board. 8 My name is Ralph Healey, Special 9 Counsel, Office of the Town Attorney. 10 What you have before you today is a 11 proposed local law that was drafted, of course, at 12 the request of the Department of Planning and 13 Development to add Chapter 91 entitled, Collection 14 Bins. 15 As you may be aware, in recent years 16 there has been proliferation of a number of 17 collection bins, not only throughout the Town, but 18 in various municipalities throughout the Tristate 19 area. 20 In some instances, commercial 2.1 enterprises have been soliciting donations and 22 other personal items under the guise that these are 23 being solicited for charitable purposes. 24 That has led not only New York State

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

and the County of Nassau, as well as a host of

2.1

2.4

towns and other villages to enact laws regulating the placement, the construction and the use of such bins throughout their jurisdiction.

The law before you is being offered for those purposes. In summary, as far as restrictions go, the law will reserve the use of bins only to those entities that have 501C3 status under the Internal Revenue code or as another way of saying it, not-for-profit organizations.

Furthermore, the law would restrict the placement of the bins to areas that are zoned light industrial, zoned for General Business or owned by the nonprofit entities themselves.

Additionally, the law would limit the number of such bins to be placed on any one property to a total of two. Some of these restrictions are found in other local laws. Our law is unique because they contain all of these protections to the extent above any other law that has been promulgated in this area.

The bins themselves must clearly show the name and contact information of the not-for-profit organization using it. Of course, it must be maintained in accordance with the law and registrations the Department may pass in the

2.1

future with that respect.

Additionally, all bins must display a sticker showing that the bin is permitted to be in its location and has been approved by the Department of Planning and Development. There is no fee for these stickers.

Violations of this code carry with them a fine of \$250 per day, per offense, and would be prosecutable in Nassau County District Court.

If there are any questions of the sum and substance of the law, it is in accordance with other provisions in State law and County law and the government placement of these bins.

If you have any questions or comments, I'd be happy to...

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Just for the benefit of the public, this is another initiative that came out of the Quality of Life Task Force to address the proliferation of clothing drop bins in parking lots throughout the Town. Many of them are fraudulent. They're not run by not-for-profit organizations and we're just trying to address that issue to clean this up and make sure that only legitimate not-for-profit agencies have these bins in our Town and that they are located in a place

2.1

that's not going to interfere with our quality of life.

MR. HEALEY: I can tell you,

Councilman, the Quality of Life Task Force which

you have been an integral part has been working on

this for almost the better part of a year to come

up with this law, and has been very attentive to

addressing this problem which is -- has been

significant.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We've gotten that message out to the public, whether it be at a press conferences and other means of communications that there are scams going on, and by allowing organizations that are not 501Cs, private people, to put out a bin, many of them are not constructed safely. There are a bunch of problems, but as they get the clothing, it keeps that clothing from reaching the organizations that are not-for-profit where they really do great work.

So, this helps the not-for-profits who are the legitimate ones. We have a storehouse of these illegal bins we've been picking up. They have bogus phone numbers on them or they don't have addresses. We don't know where it's going.

In addition to that, there is another

important factor most people don't consider. When you come to Town Board meetings, you hear the hearings. The parking is a significant portion of application to the Town. There is a ratio of square footage to parking spots that are needed, or if it's a restaurant, there is a ratio to seats to parking spots and the entity applying has to have a certain number of spots.

Then if someone comes in and puts these bins where parking spots are and starts taking up spots, well then that is problematic to the way they've received their permission and the parking spots that are mandated.

So, there are a number of issues, but, obviously, this is designed first and foremost to get control on it, to make sure we know who has these bins and to ensure that it alleviates the problem of the scams.

Are there any questions on this issue?

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Supervisor, I

want to ask you a question.

The bins we've been collecting and putting them into our storage facilities, is there a plan to distribute them?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The problem with

a lot of the bins and we can talk to -- excellent 1 2 question -- we can talk to our department, our 3 Deputy Commissioner about that. The problem is 4 that some of these bins are I would say most of 5 them are constructed in a way that certainly doesn't meet any construction standards. They are 6 7 dangerous and I see where you're coming from. We'll take a look at them and if there are bins an 8 9 organization would want, and we'll run that through the Town Attorney's office and see how we can 10 11 accomplish. 12 I really thank you. 13 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Veterans might 14 want to participate on their own site. Maybe we 15 can make them available to them if they meet the 16 specifications. I think we should ask. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Councilman, 18 that's an excellent idea. 19 I don't have any slips on this hearing, 20 so I'm going to assume nobody wants to be heard. 2.1 Let the record reflect no one is 22 raising their hands to be heard on this issue. 23 Would someone like to speak? 2.4 No. I don't see anyone. 25 Thank you.

```
8
1
                  MR. HEALEY: Thank you.
2
                  SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is there any
3
      correspondence?
                  MR. LaMARCA: We have affidavits of
4
5
      postings and publications.
6
                  There is no other correspondence.
7
                  SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May we have a
      motion, please?
8
9
                  COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I'll
      make a motion that this public hearing be closed
10
11
      and decision be reserved.
12
                  COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
13
                  SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
14
      please signify by saying, "Aye."
15
                  ALL: "Aye."
16
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
      "Nay."
17
18
                   (No response.)
19
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
20
      it.
21
                  Thank you very much.
22
                   (TIME NOTED: 11:09 A.M.)
23
2.4
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
February 25, 2020
11:10 a.m.

HEARING - Z-2-20

To consider the application of 31 Pearl Street, LLC and Island Properties, LLC for a Change of Zone and Site Plan Approval on premises located at 41 Summit Street, Oyster Bay, New York. (M.D. 1/21/20 #27).

JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA

SUPERVISOR

TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA
COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would Town Clerk 1 2 LaMarca please call the next hearing? 3 MR. LaMARCA: The third hearing is to consider the application of 31 Pearl Street LLC and 4 5 Island Property LLC for a change of zone and site plan approval on premises located at 41 Summit 6 7 Street, Oyster Bay, New York. 8 THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that microphone 9 on? 10 MS. SIMONCIC: No. 11 THE STENOGRAPHER: We need to get that 12 back on. 13 MS. SIMONCIC: Maybe turn it on. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're loud 15 enough. 16 MS. SIMONCIC: You think so. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Why don't you get 18 started? 19 In the meantime, if someone would 20 contact someone from General Services, we'd 2.1 appreciate that assistance. 22 MS. SIMONCIC: Good morning, 23 Mr. Supervisor, Members of the Town Board. 24 Judy Simoncic with the firm of 25 Forchelli Deegan Terrana with offices at 333 Earle

3 Ovington Boulevard, Uniondale. 1 2 Appearing before you on behalf of the 3 applicant's this morning, Island Property LLC, 4 owner of the premises at 31 Pearl Street. They are 5 the contract vendee and will purchase the premises provided this application that's before the Board 6 7 is approved today. 8 The premises is known as 41 Summit 9 Street, Oyster Bay. It's located on the north side of Summit Street, approximately 273.70 feet east of 10 11 South Street. 12 (Audience speaks out.) 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Sorry. 14 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: We're having 15 trouble with the microphone. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Someone from 16 17 general services will be with us shortly. 18 apologize. 19 MS. SIMONCIC: Should I wait. 20 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I would ask you 2.1 to just turn as you speak. They'll be here soon. 22 Brian will take care of it. 23 Thank you. Thank you very much. 24 MS. SIMONCIC: Can you hear me. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes.

4 1 Can everyone hear her? 2 MS. SIMONCIC: Can everyone hear me. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 4 MS. SIMONCIC: Okav. 5 So I'll backtrack since no one heard me previously. 6 7 I'm here today on behalf of the applicant Island Property LLC. They're the owner 8 9 of the property that's the subject of this 10 application. The co-applicant is 31 Pearl LLC. 11 They are the contract vendee of the property. 12 will purchase the property provided the application 13 is looked upon favorably by this Board. 14 As I indicated, the property is known 15 as 41 Summit Street in Oyster Bay. It has a total 16 lot area of .18 acres 7,841 square feet. It's 17 currently improved with a two-story existing 18 masonry steel building with a footprint of approximately 5,600 square feet. 19 20 The building essentially occupies most 2.1 of the property and, therefore, there is no parking 22 onsite, like most of the other properties in the 23 Downtown Oyster Bay area. 2.4 And with me today is Wayne Muller. 25 He's our traffic engineer. I'm going to have him

2.1

address the parking situation later on in the presentation.

Also with me today is Joe Heaney. He is a member of Pearl -- 31 Pearl LLC and Mark Stumer, our architect with Mojo Stumer.

According to the Nassau County

Department of Assessment records, this building was
built in 1966. The building had been previously
occupied by the Knights of Columbus since it was
built, until about 2000.

The premises has been vacant now for almost twenty years. Now, with respect to the zoning of the property which is why we're before the Town Board today, the property is split zoned, and as you can see on the display before you, the property is split with part of the property in the GB District and part in the R16 District. On the board before you, I've highlighted the area of the residential portion which is in yellow and the area of the property which is General Business which is in pink.

So, essentially, the zoning line goes diagonally across the property and bisects it into two to create two separate triangular pieces. To the left of the map, it shows the site plan --

2.1

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Excuse me for just a minute. I'm sorry to stop you, but would you mind turning that in a way that the residents can also see this?

MS. SIMONCIC: Sure.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

MS. SIMONCIC: What I was saying is this is the site plan. The property is square shaped. The zoning line comes right through the property on a diagonal line and splits it into two. The area in yellow is GB District -- I'm sorry, the Residential R16 District. The area in pink is GB.

So, we're here today and the intent is to seek a rezone of the General Business portion of the property -- I'm sorry, Residential R1 District portion of the property to GB so the whole property is General Business.

If you look at the zoning map that I put a portion up on the easel here, the R16

District is all this yellow and then the pink is all the GB and, essentially, the line, as I said, comes right through the property on a diagonal.

So, from the center of the diagonal, west, you have all neighborhood business in this area of the Town, and then to the east you have all

2.1

residential in the R16 District.

So, as I indicated, the intent is to use the property for General Business uses, primarily general office use.

Mr. Heaney who is a member of 31 Pearl Street LLC, the contract vendee of the property, also owns and operates his business Walden Environmental Engineering. They currently operate at 16 Spring Street right across from Town Hall.

They have been at that location for about twenty years. Mr. Heaney actually lives in the Town. He wants to maintain his business in the Town, but he's kind of outgrown his facility. He actually leases another building where he has four employees. So, some of them are at 16 Spring Street at another location in the Town. So, the intent is for his operations to be moved to this location and to maintain his business and continue to live and work in the Town of Oyster Bay.

The application before this Board today does not really propose any site improvements other than some landscaping to beautify the property.

There's really not much room for any other work on site because the building primarily takes up a largely the entire property.

2.1

Most of the improvements to the building will be interior renovations and, of course, architecturally, we'll be enhancing the building. And I'm going to have Mr. Stumer address the Board shortly and go through the renderings of what we're proposing to do to the building.

Right now, the building is an all brick building. There are no windows. So, we're going to be adding windows and making it more architecturally appealing. It's been vacant for twenty years. It's in disrepair. It needs a major facelift which is what we're planning to do to this building which will be an enhancement to the community.

Now, with respect to the character of the neighborhood, I wanted to submit to the Board aerial photos and I have copies for everyone to look at (handing).

So, on the aerial, essentially, in the center is the subject building and it's indicated as 41 Summit Street with a red bulls eye on it.

The area surrounding this property is really predominantly developed with commercial uses. As you can see on the aerial, directly north you have the Town of Oyster Bay Parking Lot No. 4.

2.1

South across Summit Street is the Italian Citizens
Club of Oyster Bay, that's the gray roofed
building. And the Oyster Bay Historical Society is
right next to it to the west. Those properties are
also zoned General Business.

Then if you go west extending to -further through to South Street, all those
properties are zoned General Business and they're
approved with a number of commercial uses. There's
a church there, there's a deli and on the corner
you have Bank of America at the corner of South
Street and Summit Street.

The single-family homes in the area are those that are located east of the premises extending up Summit Street. So, they start with the red house just to the right on the photo that I submitted to you.

At that point, that is really where the residential properties and the residential zoning is predominantly located. Everything else to the west, as I showed before on the zoning map, is zoned General Business.

So, essentially, based upon surrounding zonings and uses in the immediate area, this property should have been zoned General Business.

2.1

We don't know why the line went diagonally through the property in the manner that it did, but if you look at the aerial clearly, that one triangular portion should have been zoned General Business.

And so, you asked the applicant and us, are we seeking an open-ended change of zone to the GB District. We can do a lot of things in the GB. If you change the zone, are we going to intend to do other things, the answer is absolutely no. We are looking for a limited change of zone and the applicant's willing to covenant that the property would only be used for general office business use. No other permitted uses in the GB District. That is the intent of this application and we're willing to do that and it makes sense.

And in terms of the prior use of this property as the Knights of Columbus, Knights of Columbus operated in the evenings, they operated on weekends, they had meetings with lots of people coming to the property.

What we're proposing is a much better use because we only operate during normal business hours from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. at night, Monday through Friday, and the office is closed Saturday and Sunday, so there's no activity on weekends.

2.1

So, it's a great complimentary use for this property. The nearby residents that live up Summit Street, they won't see any activities on weekends; whereas, previously the Knights of Columbus had people coming in, they had meetings, big gatherings, functions, et cetera. So, we really feel this is a very appropriate use for this property and a good compliment to the area.

I would also point out that the Board should keep in mind that this property was developed with a commercial building and intended to be used for commercial purposes. We are not here today seeking a change of zone for a property that was developed with a dwelling and we're asking you to approve use of a dwelling for business use. This is the opposite.

We are looking to conform this lot to the Business District that's intended to be and what it was actually developed to be used for. So, I think that's a very important point.

Also, I would just add that under the current zoning, this property really has no other use. The only use that would be permitted

As-of-Right as it now stands is a house of worship.

Otherwise, there's no other use without the benefit

2.1

of a change of zone from this Board. We couldn't even use it or develop it with a house without coming to the Board for a change of zone to change the General Business District to a Residential District because you can't have a house in a General Business District.

So, we're really -- there's nothing -no use of this property as it currently stands as
zoned. And, again, we believe that this property
should have been zoned GB. We don't know why it
wasn't. But it clearly should be zoned that and,
again, for the limited purpose of general office
use for Walden Engineering's office use or any
other office use.

They're intending to stay there, obviously. They've been in the Town for twenty years. They want to relocate here and make this their new home for another twenty years. But, essentially, it's a straightforward office use that we believe is in character with the neighborhood and will have no negative impact to surrounding properties.

So, at this point, if the Board has no questions of me, I'd like to have Mr. Stumer address the Board and go through the renderings and

1.3 show what we're going to be doing to this building 1 2 that's clearly in need of some upgrading. 3 MR. STUMER: Good morning, good 4 afternoon. Pleasure to be here, Councilmen and 5 Supervisor. I'm Mark Stumer founding principal of 6 7 Mojo Stumer Architects. 8 I have an easy job this morning because 9 I'm taking what you referred to as a zombie house, 10 a zombie building and trying to make it look nice. 11 To make it easy for the presentation, I 12 made five copies of everything I'm going to show 13 you so you can look at it at the same time I'm 14 speaking. One of you will have to share. 15 THE STENOGRAPHER: Can you just give 16 your address? 17 MR. STUMER: 148 Plaza Road, Greenvale, 18 New York. As Judy said, this is an existing 19 20 building. It has been vacant I think approximately 2.1 twenty years. It was a Knights of Columbus 22 building. Don't look at the existing condition. 23 It's pretty run down but is has good bones. 24 Mr. Heaney came to me and said what do you think, I 25 said let's save it. I said let's save it. It's a

2.1

pretty good building. It has nice lines, nice
things, you know, it's got twenty years of
abandonment --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Sir, if you don't mind standing at the podium so everyone can hear you.

We want to make sure whether they're watching --

MR. STUMER: No problem.

So, it has twenty years of neglect and in need of a little love, but it's a good building. So, what we did is analyze the building, the neighborhood, what the environment it will be in and to not make it something that's going to stick out differently than what's there. We're keeping the brick. The brick needs to be power washed and cleaned up. We're adding windows. We're adding screening to create sunshade into those windows to be environmentally correct, also to add a little texture to the building.

The parking lot side will be very welcoming, an all-glass front on bottom. I'll just point it out. We have a new canopy going up along the top. The address of the building and name of the owners on it. It's a single-use building.

2.1

It's an engineering firm, as Judy said, an environmental engineering firm. The all-glass front facing to the existing parking lot.

I'll leave these boards here later so if anybody in the public wants to look at to see the existing picture of where it is now and what we propose.

It will have a lot more texture, a lot more detail to it, yet will not change, basically, the existing look of the building. We're not coming in with a glass box. We're not coming in with a white building. We're not coming in with some of the work we're known for in many instances. We're trying to maintain the texture and the quality of what's there now. Like I said, it's not a bad building.

If you go to the next page, the Summit Street side has a tremendous slope to it, as you know, going down. Again, we're working with keeping the facade very quiet, adding windows, which will give you a much more open feeling than the solid block building that's there now which is kind of like a fortress, maintaining those windows, putting in the sunscreens on top, a Summit Street smaller entry, and along the top of the building at

2.1

the existing soffit area or fascia area, we're adding like a black metal patina pallet. It's a textured blackened steel that will give it a very factory industrial look which, I think, is very befitting for this type of building and the way it is now.

In your package there, you do have one of the side elevations, I believe -- for some reason, I don't have the Board. We're opening up windows on the side elevation. It does face right next to a residential property. We've heard from the neighbor that he's not in favor of the windows, but we'd be more than willing to use opaque glass or glass block at that area so that there's no interface between the residential and the existing building that's there.

My firm is very concerned about detail and doing a good job. Our reputation is that we have done some beautiful work in Oyster Bay and Nassau County and throughout the country.

I was very honored when Joe -- who is a very dedicated engineer -- asked us to do this.

We're part of his team. We're going to do everything possible to make it a beautiful building and something that the Town of Oyster Bay will be

very proud of.

2.1

Thank you very much for your time.

If anybody has any questions on the architecture, I'd be more than happy to answer.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Councilman?

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: I'm just trying to figure out how many employees are actually going to fit in the building. I see on the second floor you have 11 offices, on the second and on the first floor, I see work space for -- it's called co-work area? Is that --

MR. STUMER: I think Mr. Heaney would probably give me a summary of how many people or Judy.

MS. SIMONCIC: Yes.

Overall, they could have probably up to 30 employees. That's currently what they have. That would be maximum at any given time on the property, but they shared -- they have shared work areas. They kind of collaborate together. They do internal training programs. They just need to spread out more space. They're going to be occupying those areas at different times, but spread out throughout the building.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

Thank you.

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA:

18 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Any other 2 questions? 3 MR. STUMER: One of the major points I 4 do want to bring up is that the conceptual idea of 5 what's there will not change drastically from our 6 proposal. 7 In other words, we're embellishing, 8 adding some glass, making a nice entry, but if you 9 drove by quickly, the bulk of the existing facade 10 of the building will seem like it's the same 11 building. I think what we're doing is enhancing 12 it, but we're not making it like wow, where did 13 that come from. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No other 14 15 questions? 16 Counselor. 17 MR. STUMER: Thank you very much. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 19 MS. SIMONCIC: At this time, I'd like 20 to call Wayne Muller to address the Board regarding 2.1 parking and traffic. His company has been retained 22 to do an analysis of this property and the 23 surrounding area with respect to parking. He has

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

appeared before this Board many times and I would

ask the Board to recognize him as a traffic

24

25

25

19 1 engineering expert. 2 Mr. Supervisor? 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes, of course. 4 MS. SIMONCIC: Okay. Thank you. 5 MR. MULLER: Good morning, good afternoon. 6 7 My name is Wayne Muller. I'm with the firm of Robinson and Muller. Our office is at 8 9 50 Elm Street, Huntington, New York. 10 As Ms. Simoncic has indicated, we 11 prepared a parking analysis as it relates to the 12 subject development. I'd like to submit that for 13 the record and briefly we go through our analysis 14 (handing). 15 As was previously indicated, it is 16 proposed to reoccupy the existing building that is located on the north side of Summit Street between 17 18 South Street and School Street with a proposed 19 office use. The building was formally used for the 20 Knights of Columbus, as was indicated. That use 2.1 has ceased in operation for many years. 22 In accordance with the code and as 23 indicated on the plans, prepared by Mojo Stumer, a

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

total of 57 parking spaces are required for the

reoccupation of the building at a ratio of one

2.1

parking space for every 200 gross square feet and two loading spaces are required for the property in accordance with the Town requirements.

As Ms. Simoncic and Mr. Stumer has indicated, the site will provide no onsite parking, so it will rely solely on the municipal and on-street parking in order to satisfy its demand.

Downtown Oyster Bay area within the areas that are enumerated on Figure E-X1 of my report. You can see we encompassed the municipal parking areas of No. 4 which is located directly north of the subject property and there's Municipal Lot No. 7 which is located due south of the subject property as well as the on-street areas on Summit Street and on South Street.

We collected the data on Wednesday,

February 12th, between the hours of 7 o'clock in

the morning and 6 o'clock in the evening. We gave

our data collectors a few breaks in there, but not

during the peak times.

What we found was the -- I think the Board is fully aware of the parking conditions here within the Downtown Oyster Bay area, in that the early morning hours, there's a significant amount

2.1

2.1

of available parking within both the municipal lots and on the street.

And as the day progresses on, around 11 o'clock it starts to accumulate and maintains a steady level between say 11 o'clock and 2 o'clock. Then at the end of the day, it starts to go down a little bit. We didn't go into the evening because, as Ms. Simoncic indicated, the office use will not be in operation during the evening, but I would imagine that there's a small accumulation again in the evening due to people, within the area, going to the local restaurants.

What we found was that the peak accumulation of parking within the areas that we observed occurred at 11 o'clock in the morning at which time there were 226 total vehicles parked out of a capacity of 276 spaces. That includes both the on-street and the municipal areas.

We performed an analysis based on industry standard statistics in order to estimate the quantity of vehicles parked that would be generated by the occupation of the building.

With an office use, I believe the Board is very familiar with the Institute of Transportation Engineers statistics for both

2.1

traffic generation and parking generation. Those statistics indicated that 28 vehicles would be associated with the building on average; meaning that while there could be 30, 35 employees within the building, traditionally not everyone's at the office. Some people may be out in the field. They may be at meetings which is common with an engineering office.

And I will attest to that firsthand as my office is located in Huntington and not all of my employees are on site at any given time.

If we were just to grossly add those vehicles onto the occupied vehicles, the vehicle occupancy would reach up to 92 percent within the areas that we studied.

with representatives of the community, and they expressed concern regarding the parking within the this area; specifically, on Summit Street and in the municipal lot. The municipal lot to the north of the site is indicated by the data that we collected approaches somewhere around 90 percent, which is, you know, it's fairly occupied as well used by all the other businesses and residential properties within the area.

1 So, the applicant has indicated he 2 would be willing to have his employees park within 3 the train station lot and then walk to the 4 building. And the reason why that's of 5 significance is that, as Ms. Simoncic has 6 indicated, the applicant's business is already here 7 within Oyster Bay, and they currently park within the train station lot and walk to work. 8 9 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: That's a much further walk. 10 MR. MULLER: It's a much further walk. 11 12 You're absolutely correct. 13 So, what I've done is we've taken a 14 look at that and I've prepared a couple of document 15 that actually show how far of a walk it is, just so 16 we can put it into perspective. 17 I'd like to submit these for the record 18 also (handing). The name of this figure is Figure 19 E-X2 --20 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Muller, in 2.1 your parking calculations, are you including 22 Municipal Lot 7? 23 MR. MULLER: Yes. 24 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Okay. 25 MR. MULLER: Yes.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And you're 1 2 including the parking on South Street? 3 MR. MULLER: Correct, and also on 4 Summit between South and School. 5 What this document shows is the approximate walking distance using Google Earth and 6 7 Google Earth measuring tool just to show how far it 8 would be. It's approximately 2,000 feet from the 9 railroad lot to the building. The document I'd like to submit and 10 11 this is just for reference, it's the MTA's map that 12 shows the available parking in and around the 13 Hicksville train station, just to give a 14 perspective. 15 I'd like to submit that (handing). COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: How does the 16 17 applicant plan to enforce the employees parking so 18 far away? 19 MR. MULLER: I mean, I quess it's going 20 to be, you know, if you would like to have this 2.1 business at this location, in order to work with 22 the residents within the area, it's to their best 23 interest to have his patrons park there. 24 I mean, essentially, what my data shows 25 is that patrons to the office building for all

2.1

2.5

intents and purposes will never really have a hard time finding parking in both Municipal Lot 4 and Municipal Lot 7 because as you can see from the data, during the early morning hours when people go to work, there's available parking.

What happens though is that as the other people come to the area, such as yourselves or other patrons to some of the local businesses, such as the hardware store or whatever, those spots would already be filled that they would not ordinarily be filled. So then those people have to find other spots to park.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: I don't mean to interrupt --

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Right, so this --

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Sorry.

This is Hicksville?

MR. MULLER: No. I'm just showing for reference.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So, in other words, the employees would have a place to park, but anyone that's visiting the Downtown wouldn't?

MR. MULLER: Again, there would be displacement and what I've shown is that if we were to assume that all the employees would park within

2.1

the areas we studied, there would be available parking.

But the residents are concerned that they do not necessarily want the applicant's employees to park on Summit Street due to the residential nature of Summit Street east of the subject parcel.

So, what the applicant has said is, well, we can have our employees park at the railroad station because there's really -- there's available parking there all the time. And I think the Board can recognize that in that area, there's tons of parking.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: How do you enforce that? That's my question. I mean, we've asked employees to park at the railroad station and we're much closer and that doesn't always happen.

MS. SIMONCIC: In this situation, it actually wouldn't be difficult to enforce because they're already doing it. His employees are already parking at the train station. So it would be a covenant on the property.

We all know that neighbors are vigilant. If they see people parking in front of the property on Summit and walking into the

2.1

building, they're going to call the Town and there will be a covenant and the Town can issue violations for that and cure it in that manner.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Are you going to provide a list of all the employees license plates so that we know who's who?

MS. SIMONCIC: Well, if people see someone parking their car and walking into the front of the building, obviously, they're not supposed to be doing that and they'll call the Town. I don't think we should be required to give a list to the Town, but, again, they're doing it now. They are parking at the train station now. These are employees that have been with this company, most of them long term. They are used to doing that.

Again, as Mr. Muller submitted to the Board, it's not uncommon for people to walk up to 2,000 feet from where they park.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: If I worked there,
I wouldn't park at the train station parking lot.
That's very far away.

MR. HEANEY: It can be made a condition of employment.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Would that be a

2.1

condition of the employment?

2 MR. HEANEY: We're environmental 3 engineers. We like to exercise.

MR. MULLER: Swear him in. Swear him in.

The last document which I submitted what I did was I took an aerial photograph, Google Earth, and superimposed the lots in the MTA and then we drew a 2,000-foot radius from the center of the Hicksville railroad platform around that area.

What I wanted to show and, listen, walking a far distance in certain aspects is disputable, but what this shows and you can see from the aerial, is that the parking lots that are in the eastern portion of the railroad station area, which are municipal lots which commuters to and from the rail do park in. So, what I wanted to show was that 2,000 feet is not necessarily a long distance to walk when you're going to work.

In fact, the people that are parking in those municipal lots which are located on the eastern fringes of the Hicksville railroad station, they're driving to the parking lot, walking to the train station, getting on a train, going points west argue -- you know, most likely, getting off

2.1

the train, either getting on another mode of transportation and then walking to work.

So, it all depends on the job you have and your tolerance for, you know, walking a distance to your work. Some people find it not that difficult and others may have a problem with it.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So, the vision for the site is the Hicksville parking lot --

MR. MULLER: No, no, no.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: -- train station.

MR. MULLER: The vision from this is that -- this is just an example to show that walking 2,000 feet to a place to get on a train to go to your job is not out of the realm of possibility, nor is it something that's -- that doesn't happen. It happens here all the time, every day. All these hundreds of --

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Did you analysis evaluate how far people typically walk when there is parking in the immediate area of their workplace?

MR. MULLER: Again, this something -- I do believe that there is enough parking on the street and in the municipal lots to satisfy the

2.1

demand that would be generated by the applicant; however, the residents expressed concern that they wouldn't want cars to park on Summit Street.

So, what we're trying to come up with some type of, I guess, compromise insomuch some of his employees parked at the railroad station and walked, then the impact to Summit would be lessened, even though there is available parking on street in those areas to satisfy the demand.

One thing as Ms. Simoncic had pointed out is that the nature and character of office use is traditionally complimentary to the activity as a residential use because traditionally the residents during the week, Monday through Friday, traditionally are not at their home, they're traditionally at work.

So, therefore, they're not necessarily impacted personally by the level of activity at an office building as compared to an -- the occupation of the building as a retail use or some other use that the activity would occur during the timeframes when the people would be home.

Similarly, the Knights of Columbus which had its events at night and on weekends when the residents are home. The impact of that

2.1

facility, from my perspective, would be greater than the impact of the use of the building as an office use because that's a Monday through Friday use traditionally during regular business hours. And then in the evening, there's no activity and on weekends there'll be no activity. So the residents that are in close proximity to this site, in my opinion, would be less impacted by an office use when compared to other uses that could be occupying the building.

So, therefore, based on the analysis that we prepared, it is my professional opinion that there is sufficient parking within the areas to accommodate the use. The applicant is looking for measures in order to minimize the impact of on-street parking on Summit. He's offered to have his people park at the train station. There is availability at the train station. I don't believe that 2,000 feet is a far distance to walk. It's roughly an eight-minute walk.

To put it into further perspective,
we've all heard the use of terminology called
transit-oriented development and traditionally for
a transit-oriented development, a typical radius
that one would anticipate a person to walk from a

2.1

residence to a rail facility or some other transittype use, a bus, whatever, would be about a half a mile which is what essentially 2,000 feet is.

So, we're not really talking about a distance that's totally out of the realm of possibility. Granted, this building is on the fringe of the commercial area, on the southeastern portion and then the railroad lot is at the northwestern portion. So, they're essentially diagonally across from one other; however, 2,000 feet is not that far for somebody to walk.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Just to be clear, your parking calculations include Municipal Lot 4, Municipal LOT 7, Summit Street and South Street; is that --

MR. MULLER: That's correct.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And not the

railroad parking lot?

MR. MULLER: We didn't include it, no, but, however, based on all the times I've been there over the last 33 years, I've never had a problem ever parking at the railroad lot except for the fact when they have the big festival.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I don't know that the issue is parking at the railroad lot. I think

2.1

walk.

the issue is the parking in the Summit Street area and Municipal Lot 4.

MR. MULLER: I think we were looking to come up with a way to make this work as best as we could. I think the Board's well aware of the challenges that occur within Oyster Bay as it relates to parking in the municipal parking fields. The railroad lot is the lot that really has the most availability during all times of the day and on weekends, so that's really what we were looking at.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Mr. Muller, did you do any -- do any of your studies include the train lot, how many -- what the occupancy is, I guess, during peak times.

MR. MULLER: We did not look at that, but I just know from my own experience. I drove over there this morning. There were a couple of 100 spots available. I've never seen that many cars parked there ever. It's not a very convenient train line, unfortunately, but that's the way it is.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Not like this

MR. MULLER: Again, it's a walk, yes.

34 1 There's no doubt about that. We're not disputing 2 that fact, but we're looking for ways in order to 3 have the applicant operate his business which 4 currently does operate within the Downtown Oyster 5 Bay area. He's looking to maintain it. This seems like a good fit for him. You guys will decide. 6 7 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Mr. Muller, I 8 noted in your report that there are parking 9 restrictions on Summit Street in the areas of R 10 and S. 11 MR. MULLER: That's correct. 12 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: There are no restrictions in K and L, correct? 13 14 MR. MULLER: That's correct. 15 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: That would be --16 some of that is for -- would be for residential 17 parking there for residents? 18 MR. MULLER: That is absolutely 19 correct. Residents do park in those areas. 20 Visitors to the proposed office building could park 2.1 in one or two-hour parking, come in, get what 22 they're going to get. Delivery people, whatever, 23 would park in the short term areas. 24 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: On a perfectly 25 sunny day in the Spring or in the Summer, I can see

2.1

people taking the exercise and doing 2,000 steps, but it's hard a thing to imagine that employees would do that on a day where it's, you know, snowing or it's cold, it's raining. So it's a -- as far as it being a condition of employment, that may be something that I could reasonably understand may work, but to think that employees are going to do this on a day where it's just not accommodating to walking a half a mile, it's hard.

MR. MULLER: Again --

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Would the applicant be willing to shuttle them from this parking lot to --

MR. MULLER: But, again, I think what I was trying to demonstrate by the use of the Hicksville train station as an example, was that people do that every day, five days a week. They walk from those peripheral lots. You can see from the aerial photographs, they're full. So people — those people not only drive to the lot, walk to the train station, get on the train, go wherever they're going, get off the train, get onto another form of transportation, whether that be a subway, a bus or they walk, and then they do the same thing coming home.

2.1

situation in the Hicksville Train Station is not -MR. MULLER: No, no, no. I'm not using
that -- I'm not saying -- I'm just saying the
concept of someone five days a week parking in a
spot that's 2,000 feet away is not foreign within
the realm of where we are. That's all I'm saying.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It's a parking

I'm not comparing Hicksville to Oyster
Bay, by any way, shape or form. Totally different
animal. What I'm saying is that people do do that
commute to work on a daily basis. That's all I'm
saying. I'm not comparing the two. I'm just using
that as an example to show that people do do it all
the time in those municipal lots and walk to the
train station. And then they have to get to
wherever they're going. Some people have long
commutes and some people have shorter. That's what
I was trying to show as an example, not using that
as a direct reference.

But I would like -- from a personal experience, our office is in Huntington Village.

So we rely -- we have I think one or two parking spots for our building. It's like 7,000 square feet. We rely solely on municipal parking. All of our employees park within the municipal lots,

2.1

whether it's a sunny day, a rainy day or snowy day, whatever and they walk to the office. Granted the distance is shorter, but it's not an uncommon situation in a downtown area for that to occur.

Not the most convenient, and some people don't like it and they leave.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: But the applicant is willing to covenant that their employees would park at the railroad train station and it would be a condition of their employment to do so?

MR. MULLER: I would say a percentage of them, yes.

MS. SIMONCIC: I think what we're losing site of is this is not -- the parking situation is not unique to this property. It exists up and down Summit for all those other businesses, up and down South Street. It's a town-wide development that's occurred in the Town where you have buildings that are fully built out, max lots with no parking and they rely on municipal parking and on-street parking.

So, this is really no different. But what the difference here is, we already have a building on this property. We're not looking to construct this building. It's there. And it was

2.1

previously used for a much more intense use that brought a lot more people than Mr. Heaney's office would ever bring to this property.

In fact, even though I indicated that there could be up to 30 employees at any given time, generally that is not the case and we know that because we've looked at his office. We've talked to him. He's explained how the office functions.

They are an environmental service firm. Most of their employees are out in the field doing environmental studies, going to sites. It's very site driven. They're out at properties all the time, you know, meeting with clients. They meet with the clients at the properties. Clients generally do not come to their building.

So, you know, overall, this is really a good use for this property and Mr. Heaney is trying -- even though we can demonstrate by the statistics that we have enough parking, he's trying to accommodate those residents that live to the east of this building in the R1 zone, R1-6 properties so that they won't have any spillover and people parking up Summit Street. He's offered to do that, but I don't think we can say we would do that for

39 1 all employees. Right now --2 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Ms. Simoncic, what 3 percentage of the employees are on site at any 4 given time during peak hours? 5 MR. HEANEY: Do you want me to speak? COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: If you know. 6 7 MS. SIMONCIC: I think we know, right. 8 MR. HEANEY: Come to the mic. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please. 10 MS. SIMONCIC: This is Joseph Heaney. 11 MR. HEANEY: Hi, I'm Joseph Heaney. 12 New York State professional engineer, owner and 13 founder of Walden, started the business 25 years 14 ago this May 19th, been a 30-year resident of 15 Oyster Bay, the Hamlet. 16 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Heaney, thank 17 you for choosing to live and work in Oyster Bay. 18 We appreciate that. 19 MR. HEANEY: I hope -- I hope I can 20 continue. 2.1 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: How many employees 22 do you have? 23 MR. HEANEY: Walden currently has five 24 -- four locations Fort Wayne, Indiana; Latham, New 25 York and East Fishkill and Oyster Bay; Oyster Bay

2.1

22

23

24

25

40 1 being the primary location. We have two employees 2 up in East Fishkill. One part-time employee in 3 Latham and two people in Fort Wayne, Indiana. 4 Total number of employees the company has is about 5 30 right now. We have some part-timers, so it's a little hard to say. 6 7 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So in Oyster Bay 8 you have, what, 25 employees? 9 MR. HEANEY: 26. 10 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: 26 employees? 11 MR. HEANEY: Yes. 12 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And at any given 13 time, how many of them are in the building, out of 14 the building? Does it change? Is it the same --15 MR. HEANEY: This is normal -- one of 16 my passions is mentoring young engineers. 17 So, this is a common question that I 18 get asked every time I interview a young engineer. 19 What amount of time am I going to spend in the 20 field versus in the office and so on. Many of them

get asked every time I interview a young engineer.

What amount of time am I going to spend in the field versus in the office and so on. Many of them -- in the environmental field like to be out in the field. So, I say about 40 percent of your time will be in the field. And, obviously, the managers are in the office more but, you know, the managers are going to be meeting clients and observing work

2.1

in the field; whereas, staff engineers and staff scientists will be out in the field.

So, about 40 percent of the time people are not in the office. Therefore, apply that to our 26, maybe -- maybe 15 to 18 people are in the office any given day.

As I said, I've been in 16 Spring

Street across the street from here since I bought
the building in 2000, renovated it and we exist
there.

Right around here parking is tight.

Everybody parks at the train station. I lead the way. I park at the train station every day and walk over. I wear a Fitbit and try to get my 10,000 steps in a day so there I am.

You know, I would suggest that many of the employees that work in the environmental field are concerned of their health and so on. Maybe not everyone is, but we have regular discussions of that sort of thing.

So, I'm not sure I answered your question.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: To that end, I think I see an exercise room in your office building.

42 1 MR. HEANEY: Yeah. 2 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: We're just trying 3 to get a realistic idea of how many people are 4 onsite at a given time --5 MR. HEANEY: Sure. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: -- and how many 6 7 you would expect to be parking at the train station 8 and based on Mr. Muller's analysis, what the 9 realistic impact is going to be on the surrounding 10 community. 11 That's what we're going to base our 12 decision on. 13 MR. HEANEY: As I said, my -- the 14 reason the LLC is called 31 Pearl --15 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And what you're 16 doing to mitigate any potential --17 MR. HEANEY: Understood. 18 As I said, the reason the LLC is called 19 31 Pearl Street is that was my first home on Pearl 20 Street which is immediately adjacent to the parking 2.1 lot we're talking about. 22 You know, over the years as I pushed a 23 stroller around with my children so on and so on, 24 saw the Knights of Columbus building empty for a

really long time and I wondered if it would ever go

25

2.1

4.3

back into use and then Island Properties purchased it and it sat there for a long time.

One of my goals has always been to work local and one of my goals is to -- Erica's here with my today, she's one of my employees, is to make our office a place that people can feel comfortable in. It's not a sterile cube driven environment.

I mean, I certainly invite any of you guys you want to walk over and see what we've done at 16 Spring Street. So, yeah, we've included some gym space. We have a shower in our office now because people come back from the field and they get dirty. We have a kitchen area that will be much bigger.

And one of the things that we lack now is a gathering room for training. We have two conference rooms but, at this point, I can't fit more than 15 people in those rooms. So I can't address my whole company at a single time. So as far as floor, we have space for that sort of gathering as well.

I dedicate 20 percent of the company's profits to continuing education in training and that's essential in our field. We, you know, it's

2.1

a dynamic field where we have to learn and grow as engineers and scientists every year and I say to my employees, we our sell our brains, so we have to keep them cultivated. So we need a training space as well. It's not adequate what we have now.

And, you know, I began a search for a building to stay in Oyster Bay, I don't know, maybe four years ago, and the options are very limited and when I finally met the guys from Renaissance and spoke about the building and walked through the building, I saw it. You know, it's basically not been used well in a long time. They stripped out the heating HVAC equipment has been removed. Plumbing is basically shut off. It's a cold storage building. They have some old records from I think the Dragons old indoor football team.

So, I think it's a win for the community but, you know, obviously, it has a zoning issue or the zoning line issue that's sort of a nonstarter for us.

So, we need you guys to consider that change that we've been talking about, and from that, you know, I hope to be -- I hope to continue to be a viable member of the community. So will I get my employees to park at the train station, I

45 don't think that's a problem. 1 2 Last night, and in the past couple of 3 weeks, we've been meeting with local folks, and, 4 you know, I recognize that -- look, if this doesn't 5 work or me, I'm very disappointed but the business will continue somewhere else. And I don't want to 6 7 leave Oyster Bay. I hope I can stay and I hope I 8 can invest in this community. 9 MS. SIMONCIC: Any other --10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: My two questions 11 were answered. 12 MS. SIMONCIC: They were, okay. 13 believe there are speakers that are signed up. So we'll reserve our... 14 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Does anyone have 16 any questions for the applicant? 17 (No verbal response given.) 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. Thank you. 19 MS. SIMONCIC: Thank you. 20 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I see slips for 2.1 five residents who would like to be heard. 22 The first will be Robert Bartin [sic]. 23 MR. BARTLOTTI: Good morning, 24 Mr. Supervisor and Town Board members. 25 My name is Robert Bartlotti. I'm known

2.1

to many here as Neighbor Bob.

I'm a long time property owner ad resident of Summit Street, 27 years. I'm a member of the Main Street Association, Oyster Bay Civic Association, Italian-American Club and Trinity Lutheran Church.

I participate in numerous meetings on development of the hamlet area, was part of various steering committees for Downtown parking, I was a member of the team that worked to implement the current Townsend Square parking plan.

I presently help to maintain the Townsend Square parking lot corner, community center landscape and various street scapes on Summit Street. Working with the neighbors, we're trying to maintain a sense of neighborhood and community nearby the hamlet downtown area.

Today, I'm here speaking on behalf of myself, my family and many of my Summit neighbors. Some are present, but others were unable having to work today.

I would first like to begin by referencing a few paragraphs from the Town Zoning Code, Chapter 246, Zoning 246.1 which is called Purposes, which refers to the obligations of the

Planning Board.

2.1

I'll just read quickly, "To guide the future growth and development of the Town in accordance with a comprehensive plan which plan is embodied in this chapter having taken a consideration applicable plans of town, county, regional, state and federal purposes.

"To protect the character and social and economic stability and to encourage the orderly and beneficial development of the Town and its neighbors.

"To protect the Town against unsightly, obtrusive and obnoxious land uses and operations.

To enhance the aesthetic aspects of natural and manmade elements of the Town and to promote the most beneficial relationship between the use of structures and land and circulation of traffic throughout the Town.

"Having particular regard for the avoidance of congestion in the streets and the provision of safe and convenient vehicular traffic and pedestrian movements including off-street parking and loading."

Reading from 246-5 which is considered district regulations, it's the definitions. I just

2.1

want to read two definitions.

The first one is to the residents districts which says, "To promote encourage a suitable environment for family life where safe streets, wide yards and quiet neighborhoods are of paramount importance. To avoid insofar as possible commercial and through traffic in residential neighborhoods."

And then reading from the nonresident districts, specifically the GB, General Business District, "To provide the opportunity for the attractive development of retail, office and service businesses with adequate automobile access, off-street parking and loading facilities in appropriate locations along major commercial arteries."

As Joe's team has indicated, we've had some preliminary meetings with Walden Associates, local civic groups and Summit Street neighbors and concerns were expressed and possible resolutions to some of the site plan issues were discussed.

I would like to read through those quickly, use of site plan and building issues with possible resolution.

"Any entrance on Summit Street should

2.1

be emergency entrance only, not for deliveries or regular employee entry. This is to preclude excess traffic on neighborhood residential street, damage to sidewalk, curbs and roadway as seen from previous usage on Summit Street as a loading zone by the K of C.

The windows on the east side of the building were requested to be modified to what they call a clerestory design which is the windows are up higher and they will still allow the natural light to come in.

I believe one of the architects did mention -- and Joe is agreeable to the use of glass block or frosted translucent glass so that will allow natural light in without direct visibility into the adjacent yards.

A request for the office lights to be dim when rooms are not occupied. In particular, lighting on the east side be dimmed in the evening and off at night to prevent light trespass on the neighboring residents. Any South Street -- any south side Summit Street signage to be limited to small, appropriate name and address plaque.

A desire for the cleanup of the rear of the building for aesthetic appeal with appropriate

2.1

sidewalk and curb repair either by the Town or the owner. The sidewalk and the curbs there are totally devastated from past loading zone activity.

I think they also already mentioned about replacing of the fascia at the top of the building which would be fantastic. Garbage Dumpsters and waste removal to be properly managed on the parking lot side to the north.

Then, obviously, we talked about the current traffic burden. Summit Street on-street parking by local town workers, bus and vehicle traffic from St. Dominic's, Oyster Bay High School, trucks and vehicles looking to avoid the bottleneck. Congestion and damage to the current roadway due to overuse of this -- of residential street. Very, very primary and serious concern of our residents.

An alternate site plan was suggested, you know, at the Legacy Dodge property which is a much large footprint and space for off-street parking while still keeping his business in town.

You know, an office building on a residential street between two residential properties doesn't really coincide with the neighborhood and appropriate planning.

2.1

Suggested use -- alternate use for this site was for a residential duplex townhouse similar to Mariner's Walk and then parking specifically to define legally covenant plans is required that employees should not use utilize residential on-street parking.

These previous items were discussed in an open forum with comments and ideas with cooperation resolution as possible. However -I'll just sum this up quickly. I appreciate the time. I'm speaking for a few people here.

However, the current application for the zoning change and site plan indicates office space with the seating -- if you look at the seating plan, it's seating for more than -- for 60 persons with a total capacity not indicated. It's about 11,000 square feet of office space. The building has no setback to both the east side residential property or the south side residential Summit Street.

Per the Town building code, the square footage of this building requires 57 onsite park spaces and two loading zone spaces. It has none of either. The applicant, a national engineering company, will also require visitor parking for

2.1

2.4

conferences, design reviews and customer presentations.

I'm also in the engineering field. It happens every day. This is a growing company that has about 35 employees and it currently has six open positions on their website. They've acquired three companies in the past five years and continued expansion as planned.

Also consideration for we-work style sublet of office space was indicated in our discussions. So, the lack of onsite parking and traffic impact on this neighborhood makes this application, in the current form, an unacceptable burden to the residents.

The municipal lot at the Townsend

Square is currently filled to capacity weekdays and
the auxiliary lot behind the Italian-American Club
only have five spaces open on a regular weekday.

At this time, I request permission to share with the Board some photos that were taken on a regular weekday. These are all the same (handing). There are photos there of the Townsend lot, of the auxiliary lot and Summit Street as well as there's some photos of the current sidewalk and curb damage at Summit Street from that being used

2.1

as a prior loading zone.

So, while you look at those, I'll continue on.

So, any deviation from the zoning code by the seller, buyer or Town should require a formal parking plan and presentation and review before any zoning change. A legal covenant of conditions must be attached to this property use following along with any new ownership.

This Quality of Life issue for residential neighbors with further infringement on our neighborhood that is already being subjected to bus and vehicle traffic from St. Dom's, Oyster Bay High School, deli, banks, historic society, churches and trucks and vehicles looking to avoid the downtown congestion.

Well, in due respect, you know, to

Mr. Muller's traffic study, you know, and his data,
when you really want to know the weather, the best
thing to do is look out the window. And that's
really -- that's really what I try to do there.

I walked out into the parking lot and those pictures there truly represent, as well as many of the residents that are here today, can tell you the condition of the parking lot is filled --

54 overfilled -- filled or overfilled depending on the 1 2 day and time. 3 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: When did you take 4 these photos? 5 MR. BARTLOTTI: On Friday. Friday afternoon. There's a date and time --6 7 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Date on the photos 8 is the date you took them? 9 MR. BARTLOTTI: Yup. So, an independent, unbiased, full and 10 11 complete parking and traffic study of the local 12 area and parking should be performed before 13 consideration of any application to development for 14 this site. 15 Now, there have been some discussion 16 about some possible parking options, right? And as 17 previously stated, all would require a formal plan 18 before zoning change and legal covenant of 19 conditions attached to the property use following 20 to any new ownership. 2.1 So, the first one is in addition to the 22 building purchase, acquisition of an additional 23 parcel to create private off-street parking spaces, 24 similar to what the Atlantic Steamers Fire

Department did in that same parking lot. A plan

25

2.1

like this could probably satisfy 30 vehicles onsite and would be a considerable help to the situation.

Possible lease of parking spaces from the Presbyterian Church. The Presbyterian Church has about 45 spaces in their lot which is adjacent to the Town lot. It's uncertain if they would agree to that or not.

I did reach out to the pastor. You know, he has concerns about insurance and stuff. Obviously, there would be a committed lease and there would be a cost to Joe, but there are -- there are some -- there are spaces there.

Then such -- as they mentioned offsite parking at Fireman's Field, but that would need to be in conjunction with some sort of trolley or shuttle, you know, by the owner or maybe cosponsored by the Town.

Then, lastly, is new and expanded Town lots. Like I said, I was on parking studies twenty years ago, and I think everybody knows as a resident here and sitting on that Board that we have serious issues with parking. And this -- unfortunately, this building being empty for twenty years and now to revive it without making dedicated parking to it is the straw that breaks the camel's

56 1 back. 2 So, in closing, myself and Summit 3 Street neighbors would like to thank the Board for 4 the opportunity to voice our concerns. 5 Most of us are reasonable persons and understand the willingness to support local 6 7 business; however, this should not be at the 8 detriment of our neighborhood. 9 We ask that the Planning Board not put 10 the cart in front of the horse and be responsible 11 to the community, the positions you've been elected 12 to and the zoning codes that are currently in 13 place. 14 For these reasons, we request at this 15 time that no change be made to the current 16 residential zoning without a prior solution to the 17 already overflowing parking. 18 Thank you very much for your time. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 20 much, Bob. 2.1 Thank you. 22 Our next speaker will be Mike 23 Cannizzaro. 24 Good afternoon. 25 I've lived on the MR. CANNIZZARO: Hi.

58 Summit Street.

People park in front.

2.1

street for about thirteen years.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Can you kindly begin by giving us your full name and address?

MR. CANNIZZARO: Michael Cannizzaro,

I've lived on the street for about 13 years, so that makes me a junior neighbor. I don't have a lot to add to what Bob said other than I know up and down the street there are maybe 20, 22 houses. Maybe an average of one or two spaces in front of each house. People have driveways.

In my experience, generally, there are at least one or two cars in front of most of the houses throughout the day. I have two cars. I don't use both of them. I usually commute with one and have a second as a backup or for other trips.

I'm a realtor. So I'm in and out of the neighborhood all day long so I kind of see what's going on as well. I'm not a 9:00 to 5:00. I just not only would the streets inevitably be filled up,

I know there's talk of 30 employees, average number employee in the building. I kind of

whether there were covenants or not, the municipal

lot would surely be filled up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

58

think in terms of the capacity of the building.

Bob will be there forever. I probably won't. But, you know, you have to consider what could potentially happen in the future to me anyway. I think that's the fair thing to do. It looks like they could have 50 or 60 people working in the building at some point.

Maybe they could sublease. I don't know what the rules are. I don't know what the limits could be put on the property, but it just seems like there is a really bald problem is not parking for 30 or 50 cars in that area unless there is, you know, the kind of solutions that -- and Bob is right, accommodating -- you spend a ton of time trying to think of it, but because he likes to try to figure out solutions -- obviously, I don't want to stand here and talk about not wanting a twenty-year resident and a local business be in my neighborhood, I just don't see a parking solution. Certainly not, you know, we're going to get exercise coming from the railroad. That's not enforceable in the future for future businesses when I'm gone.

That's about it. I think Bob did cover it pretty well, though.

2.1

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you for being with us here today.

Rob come on up, please.

MR. BRUSCA: Good morning. Good afternoon, everyone.

Rob Brusca, 105 Devonshire Drive, East Norwich, New York.

I also have an office here in Oyster
Bay at 123 South Street, 104, Oyster Bay, New York
11771, directly adjacent to the subject parking
lot.

I'm also a member of a number -- Board member, advisory Board member of a number of local organizations, several of which are submitting a letter in general support of Joe's application, but equally urging the Town to strongly take into account nearly every one of the concerns that Bob has so articulately set forth to all of you and that the groups have advised the residents, we will continue to push on their behalf; particularly, the Summit Street concerns. And then there are greater concerns as well.

I think there's a resolution to be had here. We have two fantastic parties. I think I'm

objective.

2.1

Joe is a long time business member -- a business owner here. He's literally put his money where his mouth is. He's employing two dozen people. Maybe there's going to be more. That's a good problem and a bad problem perhaps, but this is the type of business person and local resident that the Oyster Bay-East Norwich community wants to keep here and to reinvest in the Oyster Bay-East Norwich community.

Equally, as a resident myself, and I grew up in East Norwich and moved to Oyster Bay and then moved back to East Norwich, and I work here and I spend too much time here probably. I don't get -- for me to go south of Northern Boulevard is a big trip for me.

So, with regards to the Summit Street residents several of whom -- several that I know very well and many whom I see just passing on South Street every day going back and forth to the deli, I'm with you. I get it. I'm -- you're fantastic fighters. I'm a fighter. I'm all for fighting, but I think there's a deal to be made here with regards to those two very thoughtful and practical parties.

2.1

With all that, I will say to you that, again, this is not a black or white -- black or white issue. On the one hand, you have a long derelict building. Twenty years ago I had my Confirmation there -- more than twenty years ago and it sat derelict. It's literally an eyesore and falling down, frankly, over the last twenty years. It needs to be renovated. If it's not renovated by this individual, this applicant, the option -- and I'm not for -- I'm not one of -- one of these people who say well, if not him, then we could have a worse applicant down the line. I don't like that. Either his application is good and warranted or it's not.

But, frankly, you have a good and thoughtful applicant albeit it's an applicant that needs to work further with the concerns, very real practical concerns, of the local residents. But that building is either going to come down and become an addition to the municipal parking lot or it's going to sit derelict probably further.

The only other alternative, I think reasonably, that wouldn't add to the parking stress and there is parking stress, and I'll comment on that, and I beg for your patience a little bit

2.1

more, is if that building were made into residential uses as was indicated previously, then you have nighttime use for parking demand while my office and other office space and the residents are utilizing the parking and Summit Street parking during the day.

I know Island Properties has sought to undertake that with regards to a residential use.

It's failed for whatever reason and we're twenty years in the making.

So, I think we are at a point where -a very unique point of a productive opportunity, a
very positive opportunity albeit to be adjusted
perhaps with this applicant and with the local
residents. I completely agree with that and the
groups that are submitting this letter in
connection with that, agree with that position.

With that, I'm sorry I'm just going to read some comments, so that they are in the record and I'm sorry, I will provide it to the clerk, copies of that letter from a number of the local community groups (handing).

And with that, some of it says, "The building at the site is the former --

THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry.

2.1

Can you slow down?

MR. BRUSCA: I'm sorry. I'm trying to get out to lunch.

"The building at the site is the former Knights of Columbus catering and meeting hall, situated directly adjacent to the Town municipal parking lot between Summit Street to the south and East Main Street to the north."

I should probably put my glasses on because I'm going to not read what I'm supposed to read.

"The building has sat vacant for many, many years and become a relative eyesore. To our understanding, the applicant will be undertaking substantial interior and appropriate exterior improvements to the building," bless you, "to which its long established Oyster Bay business will be relocate thereafter.

"Further, the applicant and its principal, Joseph Heaney, has and have been very good community partners and very active in and generous to the Oyster Bay East Norwich community for years.

"While we very much would like to see the site and building appropriately improved,"

2.1

bless you, "and sensitive to the surround neighbors, it is Joe's personal contributions and willingness to literally invest in Oyster Bay that is no small part of the support offered today.

"Together with positive impact of the above-intended use renovation subject building in total, we do strongly urge the Town and the applicant to take into account the various reasoned concerns expressed by the below groups and as important by the surrounding residential neighbors to the direct south and east site on Summit Street.

"With appreciation to the applicant in its willingness to previously meet and confer on two prior occasions about the concerns, we set them out her once again for your consideration.

"Specifically, site plan related which is also the subject of this meeting. Proposed east side window installations to be limited in size and location, higher up on the building so as to avoid direct site line to adjacent neighbors.

"Ingress and egress on Summit Street to be limited to an emergency exit as necessary.

"Garbage containers and pickup to be appropriate situated at the north side of the building.

2.1

2.4

"Signage on the south side of the building on Summit Street to be limited to small name and address above the applicable emergency exit door.

"Office lights, particularly those at the east side of the building to be auto-dimmed when rooms are not occupied in order to minimize light trespass to adjacent neighbors.

"Existing metal work on the building to be replaced and repainted," which I think is the intent as indicated by Mr. Stumer.

"Roof HVAC, et cetera, to be buffered by appropriate facade parapet or similar.

"Appropriate landscaping and sidewalk curbing improvement to south side of the building.

"In addition to that, there are specific Summit Street related concerns that, again, the community groups have previously spoken with Bob about and Joe and with one or two other neighbors as well.

"While the community groups are not making support of the applicant contingent on issues in connection with Summit Street, we strongly urge the Town's assistance and partnership in seeking to address the ongoing concerns of the

2.1

neighbors as a benefit to the entire community.

"Particularly, we urge the Town's assistance with regard to the following:

"Appropriate parking restrictions,
particularly at the lower end of Summit Street,
such that overflow parking demand from the
municipal lot does not result in all-day parking on
that street.

"Complete repair and replacement of Summit Street roadway which is currently in desperate need of that repair.

"Appropriate signage or other traffic calming devices to slow traffic and to discourage commercial and/or bus traffic including appropriately situated stop signs at the entrance to the municipal lot and the municipal lot between the Italian-American Club and the Oyster Bay Historical Society."

Further, as I indicated and, again, I appreciate your patience, the groups and I think the residents recognize that this is an opportunity perhaps to strong arm and urge the Town to do things that maybe have not otherwise been previously pushed as much as they're bubbling to the surface at this point.

2.1

With that, I will read some additional language of the letter.

"Recognizing that the applicant is not currently seeking off-street parking."

THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. You have to slow down.

MR. BRUSCA: I'm sorry. Lunch.

"Recognizing that the applicant is not currently seeking an off-street parking or other variance, we nonetheless equally recognize the existing stress of the adjacent municipal lot. The lot is routinely at near or total capacity on a daily basis; particularly, due to revitalization of the Downtown business community in the immediate area, together with the ongoing successful service to our many senior community members by the nearby Life Enrichment Center on East Main Street.

"While the increased vitality to this particular area of the Downtown is a good and positive thing, it has nonetheless resulted in the existing parking stress to the adjacent lot and the surrounding streets.

"To the degree possible, we suggest the following in an effort to relieve this circumstance for all, the applicant included:

2.1

"A complete review of the existing municipal lot for the purposes of determining any additional opportunity to maximize space, including the existing municipal lot off of White Street directly across from the Life Enrichment Center.

"As permitted conditions/covenants, as Bob indicated, to the applicant's current application for securing private arrangement of off-street parking; for example, the Presbyterian Church, potential rental circumstances that he commented on and/or securing other property for applicable off-street parking."

And, again, as Bob recognized and I think is a reasonable concern of the surrounding residents and anyone who's parking at that lot and not wanting to handcuff Joe, but with him at least -- with him housing at least 25 to 30 employees currently, it does, to my understanding, it does provide for at least 60 permitted occupants in total at that location.

"Strong Town consideration of capital improvement of this municipal lot by way of the addition one deck, in turn, nearly doubling the current parking capacity and my personal preference and groups preference is that it not be anything

2.1

2.4

covered over such that it would constitute some form of parking garage."

I'm as incrementalist of Oyster Bay

East Norwich as there could be, but there is a time

and I think the time has come for the Town to -
for us to spend your, our money and we recognize it

as a substantial expense, to add one deck to that

rear parking lot.

"Finally, and frankly, while the Town is considering the above-parking issues, we would urge strong Town consideration of their own form of morning and evening shuttle from and to Town Hall, to and from Fireman's Field by way of the Department of Public Safety or other town department in providing greater incentive for those willing to park in that large and for many, many years underutilized parking space."

I'm exhausted reading all that. I apologize for that. I hope it was helpful. I hope that you will seek to negotiate a reasonable -- a reasonable resolution to this application. I think it's there for the taking.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Rob, I want to thank you, and I've known you for some time and I

2.1

appreciate the fact you always bring intelligence, love for the community, objectivity and fairness in everything you do.

MR. BRUSCA: Thank you, Supervisor.

I appreciate that and one -- love for the community, I'll say yes to. The others, I can't check those boxes, but I do thank you, Supervisor, and I thank you the Town Board for being very sensitive to Oyster Bay-East Norwich. We appreciate your patience.

We're all -- we're no different than anyone else in the Town of Oyster Bay, but we appreciate that you started to shine a light on this community, and we'll take it every time and our two local -- three local residents will take them as well.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

Our next speaker will be Rick Ranno.

MR. RANNO: I'll try to make it a little quicker than the last few.

My name is Rick Ranno. I live at 15 Summit which is two doors down from the project.

I own a couple of businesses in town.

I am all for change in making Oyster Bay better,

2.1

but the parking down there is out of control.

If I have -- if I leave my -- the front of my house to go get milk, get something to eat, come back any time after 8:00, the parking spot's taken, so there's definitely a major parking lot problem.

The reason that the Knights of Columbus existed and went so many years like twenty, thirty years ago they did things -- catering, Friday night, Saturday during the day, Saturday night, Sunday at the football games, Monday Night Football, it worked out perfect. The parking lot was totally empty, but anytime between 8:00 and 4:00, which is the hours they're going to be working there, you can't find one spot even in a parking lot, so it's kind of short and sweet, but I hope I helped you out with it.

Thank you.

MR. RANNO: 15 and 19 Summit, which is two doors down from -- two doors down from the building you're talking about, two doors west of the building.

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Bear with me one

72 1 moment. 2 MR. RANNO: Sure. 3 The delicatessen is 19 and I'm next door to the delicatessen. 4 5 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Is that a brick building also? 6 7 MR. RANNO: Yup. 8 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Do you currently 9 have parking restrictions in front of your home? 10 MR. RANNO: I have one spot in front of 11 my house and if my girlfriend or my son comes over, 12 I have one parking spot and the driveway. If 13 either of them are over and I leave that park spot 14 any time after 8:00 in the morning, I won't have a 15 spot when I come back. COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: I found you now. 16 17 So there's no hourly restrictions? 18 MR. RANNO: Yes. It's an hourly 19 restriction, but it's filled. 20 People from the bank as soon as 2.1 everybody boom, boom, boom. It's full. 22 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Have you 23 attempted to get enforcement of that restrictions 2.4 at time --25 MR. RANNO: If someone goes to the bank

7.3 for fifteen minutes and leaves and someone else 1 2 comes back, it's -- you know, it's acceptable, but 3 I mean if I have my son over the house and he wants 4 to park in front of the house, he can't after 8:00. 5 Now, once 5:00 in the afternoon rolls around, the entire block is open and the entire street is open, 6 7 so there's no problem. So, a catering hall or 8 something that works off hours not regular 8:00 to 9 4:00, I think would be a lot more suitable for that 10 area. 11 All right? 12 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Thank you. 13 MR. RANNO: Thank you. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mark Halpern. 15 MR. HALPERN: Good afternoon. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good afternoon, 16 17 Mark. 18 MR. HALPERN: Thanks for having me down 19 on Mr. Coyne Day. That guy really moved me. 20 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Me, too. 2.1 MR. HALPERN: It's that kind of sense 22 of community that has gotten so many people down 23 here to discuss this issue. 24 My degree is in mechanical engineering, 25 not environmental, not traffic. I'm retired.

2.1

I see your need. I appreciate the fact that you're bringing technical people and engineers and keeping them in the Town. That's a great thing.

The traffic study, I take great exception to. I don't think the traffic study holds water. Twenty-seven years of living on the street and doing my own empirical testing as in trying to walk or drive from my house to that lot proves otherwise.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: For the record, please give us name and address.

MR. HALPERN: I'm sorry.

Mark Halpern and I live at 106 Summit Street.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.

MR. HALPERN: Sorry.

8:30 that lot is done. There is no empty spaces. The lot across the street is not a viable option. Walking your employees from the train lot, not an option. It's not enforceable, is it? Can we write them, as you said, a ticket for parking somewhere else. It's public access.

The elephant in the room is the parking and access issue. I would love to see a great use

2.1

7.5

of the building. I don't consider it one of the most attractive things in Town. But they're going to park up and down the street. 2:00 in the afternoon, it's going to be gridlocked because of the school buses coming up and down the hill.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mark, if you'd just address your comments to us. It's very much appreciated.

MR. HALPERN: Sorry.

At 2:00 in the afternoon the school buses are gridlocked by their own travels. Adding cars to that street and that's what's going to happen is going make Summit Street a gridlocked street.

I'm hoping we can consider a better option. Right now, I don't see any better plan for 40 extra cars. With 40 employees, there will be 40 cars that we have to deal with. Where will they go.

I'd love to have my kid get a job as an environment -- environmental engineer here in Town and he could walk, but I don't see it happening.

Like I say, the parking issue, your own people have the same problem. This has just been a problem on and on in this Town. Adding to it isn't

76 going to make it any better. That's all I got to 1 2 say. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you for 3 4 being here today. 5 MR. HALPERN: Thanks. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I don't have any 6 7 other slips from residents or those who would like to be heard. 8 9 And if there is someone else who would 10 like to be heard, please raise your hand. 11 (No response.) 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let the record 13 reflect no one has -- yes, we do. 14 Please come forward. 15 MS. JOHNSON: My name is Paula Johnson. 16 I at live 66 Summit Street right in the middle of 17 the block, right on the hill. 18 The house that I live in was built in 19 1848 by my husband's great grandfather and the 20 family has owned it very since. The great 2.1 grandfather owned a shop in Oyster Bay. 22 I love my house. I love my 23 neighborhood. And I love Oyster Bay. And I see 24 lot of empty buildings here. I would love see more 25 business come into Oyster Bay, more people come

2.1

into it and spend a little money.

Comparing daily traffic 9:00 to 5:00 and saying that none of us are home -- I'm retired. Mark's retired. I know at least eight other houses on the block that have people who are home all day, either because they are at-home mothers or they're retired or they work maybe office work from home, so we're there all day.

I know what it is like when cars park on both sides of the block and the buses come through and trucks come through. We have no stop sign on Summit Street. It's the only street going in that direction that has no stop sign. So all the trucks comes through. So they don't have to shift. The buses all come for St. Dominic's and it's a mess for couple of hours every day.

If you park on both sides of the street and I want to park -- back out of my driveway which is like all the driveways on Summit Street, it's a hill, I can't see if there are cars, and I have no room to back out onto the street, if there's a car parked across the street and a car parked on this side.

Now, I really don't want this gentleman not to have -- move his business or whatever.

2.1

That's not what I'm here for.

What I'm here for is to say that to improve this property is going to make my property less valuable and I can't see that. It's going to make my quality of life less you know. It going to bring it down.

It's bad enough pulling out of the driveway now to watch for the cars coming up from Bank of America and the buses coming and the mothers in the morning dropping their children off at St. Dominic's Elementary. All the SUVs coming up the block. It's constant traffic.

You know, so that's my concern. It's the parking. We're not concerned that the building is an eyesore and it should be improved or we should have something else there. We're just concerned that we live in Oyster Bay for a reason. And that is all the homes on the block are probably over a 100 years old and we love that.

We like to park in front of our house, so if we have company or whatever. Not all of us can use our driveway. They are old and narrow or whatever. So that's my concern. It's all of our concern. It's the parking, it's not the building shouldn't be improved, but you can't compare

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

25

79

weekend and nighttime, you know, visitors the to KFC to something that's going to happen 9:00 to 5:00 everyday when we do need our block.

We already have concerns pulling out on Summit Street onto 106 onto South Street is a nightmare during the day. It's a nightmare. You can't see around the cars that are parked for Bank of America. You can't see around the cars that are parked over here. You can't see the traffic coming. Everybody has to inch out a little bit and then pull around.

So, anyway, thank you for listening.

And whatever happens, you know, I wish everybody

luck including myself and all of my neighbors.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

Is there anyone who would like to be heard? Yes. Please step forward.

Please begin with your full name and your address.

MS. MOUSE: Hi. I'm Meredith Mouse.

I'm the Executive Director of Oyster Bay Main

23 Street Association, 19 Spring Street, Oyster Bay,

24 New York 11771.

I just want to say thank you for

2.1

letting me have a moment to speak. I know a lot of people have been discussing a lot of things.

Overall, we are in support of the building being the change of zone. We're in support of that. We believe that -- we're very much in support of this long standing good neighbor in our Downtown.

Joe Heaney and Walden & Associates is an amazing asset for this Downtown and we very much appreciate everything he's done with respect to reaching out to the local community.

That being said, I would like to echo a lot of the concerns that were brought up by our residents who are also our members. Parking is the subject that's brought up at nearly every meeting, discussed in every format. Every business that comes in essentially needs a variance. There is no onsite parking for anybody. Everybody is to lot lines.

So, it's very important to understand that this will be an additional strain and the solution isn't easy, but it's a solution we need for the entire Downtown. And we hope that this will be an opportunity for the community, for the community groups, the Chamber, the Oyster Bay Main

2.1

Street Association and the Town of Oyster Bay to come together to address this on multiple levels, whether it be, like Rob mentioned, a one-story deck in the Townsend parking lot or if it becomes angled parking on the streets. We want to have the opportunity to add parking in a lot of different areas in the Downtown which will ease some of that strain.

We also hear a lot of the issues that are facing Summit Street and I know I'm not allowed to talk to the crowd, so I'm just going to talk to you, but we would love the opportunity to work with those residents to come up with creative solutions, traffic calming measures, ways that perhaps the bus lines can be redirected so they're not going down that roadway, perhaps a stoplight at the end of Summit Street that comes to South Street.

These would be discussions that we would have with the Town of Oyster Bay and New York State DOT and just find ways to make this feel like the residential neighborhood that they need.

I think we can accomplish both. It will just take a lot of work and it will take a lot of cooperation. I hope everybody here would be willing to do that.

82 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: One question for 2 you, for clarity, are you and the Main Street 3 organization in favor of this application or 4 opposed to it the way it stands? 5 We are in favor of it as it MS. MOUSE: I believe that we could continue to fight 6 7 to alleviate -- I would request that we have 8 cooperation with the Town of Oyster Bay, as we 9 always do, to tackle a lot of issues we face, but 10 as it stands, we are in support of this 11 application. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 13 MS. MOUSE: Thank you. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is there anyone 15 else who would like to be heard on this hearing --16 this application? 17 (No response.) 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please let the 19 record reflect no one has indicated they'd like to 20 be heard on this issue. 2.1 Counselor. 22 MS. SIMONCIC: As you can tell from the 23 comments made by the residents and local civics, 24 Mr. Heaney has worked very hard with the local

residents. He is committed to this project.

25

2.1

And I would echo the sentiments of Mr. Brusca that there is a solution to a situation that's existed for many years on this property. We can do that balancing benefits to the applicant versus the Bernson Community. Clearly, we're hearing, obviously, the parking is the problem.

Mr. Heaney is willing to look into potential alternatives. I heard mention of leasing property from the church. We would be willing to look into that. I would suggest that probably further meetings with the local residents on Summit would be appropriate. Some of the items --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Not only appropriate, but that's something we, as the Board, would appreciate --

MS. SIMONCIC: Would ask for. Yes.

I can tell you that a lot of the items that have been asked, for example, limiting egress of the building to the front along Summit and having the main entrance at the rear on the north side that goes into the municipal lot, we're already committed to doing that.

And the plan has been designed so that that would be the case, so that the front entrance of this building will been on the north side of the

2.1

property. We're going to modify the windows and address the concerns about people looking in to the adjacent residential property.

So, I think we can and will make every effort to go through all the items that were outlined that the residents are looking for to address them if possible. I would suggest, at this point, that we would, at the Board's pleasure, if you want to adjourn the hearing or close public hearing and keep the record open for further meetings with the residents, we would be happy to do whatever the Board's desire is. We want to continue to work with the residents.

The applicant wants to move forward with this project, and we believe we can work with them and come to a mutual resolution and, hopefully, the Board ultimately approving the request before you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Counselor.

Does anyone have any last questions?

(No verbal response given.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We have -- well,

everyone has spoken.

Are these questions you have of the

85 1 Town Board or the applicant? 2 MR. BARTLOTTI: I want to know if it's 3 all right if I make a closing statement. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's something 5 we discourage. Only the applicant opens and closes. This is the same rules for everyone. 6 7 it's very important that you and everyone here, 8 everyone watching, people who aren't here today, 9 know that we will be keeping the record open for 30 10 days. People can -- we encourage you to present 11 that statement in writing to us. You can e-mail 12 it. You can mail it. 13 MR. BARTLOTTI: Can I make a question 14 to the applicant? 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you like to 16 ask a question? 17 MR. BARTLOTTI: Yes. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please, go ahead. 19 MR. BARTLOTTI: Yes. 20 I'd like to ask with question. 2.1 Could you please or would you please 22 consider the property adjacent to the building --23 the subject building -- as said to be converted 24 into private parking spaces? 25 MS. SIMONCIC: That was a suggestion

2.1

and we looked at that and, unfortunately, that lot is extremely narrow and even if we had the ability to purchase it or lease it, it's fully approved with a two-family dwelling at this point. It would not accommodate parking. It's not wide enough.

It's only 25 feet wide. So, it would not -- it's a long and narrow parcel, which is not currently for sale and would not accommodate additional parking for the property, but we will, and we're committed to looking into other viable opportunities such as possibly leasing space from the church and any other available properties in the area that we could do that.

But, again, we did commit and the applicant is still committed to requiring his employees to park in the train station in Oyster Bay. And that's something that we are willing to covenant to and we can work with the Town Attorney's office on language that will ensure that that will be enforceable and that we would not -- the result of approving this application would not have a negative impact on those residents along Summit Street.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, what my suggestion is what you offered and that's to

2.1

continue to meet with the residents, this is an excellent opportunity to get contact information, to pass your cards out so that you and the applicant are meeting with them. We plan on leaving the record open for 30 days where you --whether you have spoken or not -- can contact the Town by e-mail, by letter, however you would like, residents, neighbors, friends who have not been here today or haven't spoken here today can still contact us, but it would be a great service to the residents to meet with them to continue to share ideas and to attempt to move forward in a way that addresses everyone's concerns.

MS. SIMONCIC: Okay.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

MR. BARTLOTTI: Can I ask the

applicant --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What we're trying to do is wrap up the application. The applicant comes and gives all of their information. We listen to the public and then the applicant closes. That's the way everybody's process goes, but it is very important to us to continue to hear from you.

So, we will be leaving the record open, but we also encourage you to contact the applicant

2.1

or the applicant's attorney and continue this conversation.

I will say that I only heard of one problem and that's parking. Nobody said the applicant has a problem with this, a problem with that. It's just the one issue and that's what I'm hearing and perhaps my colleagues are hearing the same thing.

MR. BARTLOTTI: It's a big problem.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, it sounds like -- we are not minimizing that, but other applicants come in and we hear a variety of complaints that cover the whole gamut.

MR. BARTLOTTI: Ask the question, will they withdraw the application until they come up with a mutual --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I don't know if they're going to answer that today, but I would encourage you to ask that question of them.

Will you stay around --

MS. SIMONCIC: Yes, I will.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- and speak to

the residents?

MS. SIMONCIC: I'd be happy to. We can meet them right after this hearing is closed.

89 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 2 MS. SIMONCIC: Absolutely. 3 MS. LEONE: I just came in because I 4 couldn't make it earlier, so I --5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you like to be heard; is that what you're asking? 6 7 MS. LEONE: Well, you know, parking's a 8 big one but also, you know, what about --9 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Stand up, 10 please. 11 MS. LEONE: You went over. 12 How will I --SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What we're going 13 14 to do --15 MS. LEONE: -- find out all the 16 information now that I came in later? SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You have a 17 18 combination of different options, and we encourage 19 you to speak to the applicant and the applicant's 20 counsel today. We encourage you to exchange 2.1 contact information so that you can call them 22 should you have more thoughts a day, two days from 23 now. 24 We are keeping our record open. We are 25 not making a decision today. We are going to keep

90 the record open for at least 30 days which brings 1 2 us to the 26th of March for you to contact the 3 Town. Send us a letter, send us an e-mail, 4 whatever communications you would like. 5 MS. LEONE: Will this also be in the 6 newspapers? 7 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: The video of the 8 hearing is record on the website if you'd like to 9 watch it. 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's a very 11 good point. 12 You can go to --13 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: On the Town of 14 Oyster Bay website. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: OysterBaytown.com. 16 MS. LEONE: I just found out about it 17 because I went to park in the parking lot, I 18 couldn't find parking and parked on Summit Street 19 and saw the sign and now I just came in here. 20 Now, I -- I think I know, but, you 2.1 know, hopefully, the Civic will have something on 22 it. 23 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Did you walk here 24 from Summit Street? 25 MS. LEONE: Yes, because you think I

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

91 1 want to drive back here? I see garbage issues. 2 Sanitation issues. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So you have --4 MS. LEONE: Driving issues on Summit. 5 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Ma'am, I'm sorry to interrupt you, but would you kindly just stand 6 7 up and state your name for the record and walk forward? You can't address us --8 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We generally have 10 people address us from -- only from the podium to 11 keep --12 MS. LEONE: I'm Italian. 13 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: That's why I'm 14 asking. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And your name? 16 MS. LEONE: Francis Leone, L-E-O-N-E. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you share 18 your address with us? 19 MS. LEONE: 257 Oyster Bay Road, 20 Middleneck. Oyster Bay is right across the street 2.1 from me, but I lived in this Town for like --22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. So we're 23 asking you --24 MS. LEONE: Civic association. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, we're asking

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.1

2.4

you to speak with the applicant and the applicant's counsel today and to exchange contact information so you can keep that line of communication open and our record will stay open so you can continue to send whatever information you would like and that will be a permanent part of the record.

Okay?

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Supervisor, if I could just also add just as a point of clarity for the residents who may not be familiar with our process, although the record will remain open only for 30 days where you have additional time to add to the permanent record, our decision is reserved and it will be reserved until such a time where we've been satisfied with various departments working with the applicant to try to come up with reasonable compromises and acceptable solutions so that this is a project that will or will not work based upon the outcome of those discussions.

So, the decision will be reserved until that time.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Which means that you will have ample opportunity to be heard on this.

Okay?

93 I'll ask one more time, is there anyone 1 2 else here in the building, here in the room, who 3 would like to be heard on this application that has not been heard before. 4 5 Thank you, Councilwoman. And no one has indicated that they'd 6 7 like to be heard so I'll ask for a motion, please. 8 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I'll 9 make a motion that this public hearing be 10 adjourned. 11 Public comment period be held open for 12 30 days until March 26th and any decision reserved. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: 13 Second. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor, 15 "Aye." 16 ALL: "Aye." 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed, 18 "Nay." 19 (No response.) 20 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 2.1 much. 22 I want to thank all of the residents 23 coming out and handling this in a very intellectual 24 and professional way. We really appreciate that. 25 Thank you.

94 1 And please continue to contact us with 2 any thoughts you might have. 3 MS. SIMONCIC: Thank you. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We're going to 5 take a break and give the stenographer a break. Take a break. 6 7 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Supervisor, do you 8 want to ask for affidavits and postings? 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I do. 10 Can you tell us if there are any 11 affidavits or postings? 12 MR. LaMARCA: The attorney for the 13 applicant has filed his affidavit of service and 14 disclosure. The communications are as follows: 15 16 We have memos from the Department of 17 Planning and Development including a review of the 18 required off-street parking. Nassau County land 19 and tax map indicates the property is Section 27, 20 Block 33, Lot 18 and 39. 2.1 According to the Town of Oyster Bay 22 zoning maps, the property is located with a GB 23 General Business Zone and within a R1-6/OB Residential One. There are no open/prior code 2.4 25 compliance cases. However, there is a variance on

95 file. 1 2 We have affidavits of postings and 3 publications. 4 No further correspondence. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Town 6 Clerk LaMarca, for pointing out the correspondence 7 has been filed. 8 We're going to take -- ladies and 9 gentlemen, if I can have your attention, please. Ι know there's a lot going on. This is the perfect 10 11 time to do this. 12 We're going to give the stenographer a 13 break and we would like to break also for Executive 14 Session, so if the elected officials would please 15 join us here, so we can make that motion. 16 We are going to take a break now and 17 we'll return after a proper break so we can go 18 through the regular Action Calendar. 19 Thank you. 20 We'll be back shortly. 2.1 (Whereupon, the Executive Session began 22 at 12:53 p.m. and ended at 1:18 p.m.) 23 2.4 25

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
February 25, 2020
1:19 p.m.

HEARING P-3-20

To consider the application of 56 Washington Pkwy, Inc. For a Special Use Permit to allow for the operation of an automobile repair shop on premises located at 56 Washington Parkway, Hicksville, New York. $(M.D.\ 1/21/20\ \#28)$.

JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA

SUPERVISOR

Town Clerk

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA
COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK
JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Ladies and 1 2 gentlemen, thank you for your patience waiting for 3 us. 4 I'm going to ask our Town Clerk Rich 5 LaMarca to please present the regular Action 6 Calendar. 7 MR. LaMARCA: I think we have one more 8 hearing, Supervisor. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I apologize. 10 Yes. 11 Please call the next hearing. 12 MR. LaMARCA: The final hearing is to 13 consider the application of 56 Washington Parkway, 14 Inc. for a Special Use Permit to allow for the 15 operation of an automobile repair shop on premises 16 located at 56 Washington Parkway, Hicksville, 17 New York. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good afternoon, 19 Counselor. 20 Please proceed. 2.1 MR. AVRUTINE: Good afternoon, 22 Supervisor Saladino, Members of the Board. 23 Appearing for the applicant, Howard 24 Avrutine, 575 Underhill Boulevard, Syosset. 25 This is the application of

2.1

56 Washington Parkway, Incorporated for a Special
Use Permit to authorize premises located at
56 Washington Parkway, Hicksville, as an automobile repair shop.

The premises under application is located on the westerly side of Washington Parkway, 63.43 feet south of Hazel Street in Hicksville. It has a street address of 56 Washington Parkway and is also known as Section 46, Block 184, Lots 46 through 49 on the Nassau County land and tax map. The premises has dimensions of 80 feet width by 100 feet in depth and a total lot area of 8,000 square feet. It is developed with a 3,180 square foot one-story masonry commercial building along with an accessory parking area.

The last Certificate of Occupancy of record dated August 14, 1990 authorizes use of the premises for woodworking and cabinetmaking.

In connection with that approval, there was also a permitted varnish spray booth in the building. The previous history of this building which was originally constructed approximately 60 years ago was as a fuel oil sales and distribution facility. It did have underground home oil fuel tanks which were removed.

2.1

Michael Serpico, the principal of the applicant who is here today acquired the premises in 2005 and utilized a portion of the premises at that time for automotive repairs with the remainder being utilized by the previous owner of the premises who was in the cabinetmaking business.

The cabinetmaking company left the space in 2015 and since that time Mr. Serpico has utilized the entire premises for automotive repair and also began the legalization process.

Mr. Serpico's corporation, which is the applicant, acquired title to the premises in 2007. Previous to that time, it was owned by Mr. Serpico and his spouse, individually.

So, the premises has been used for automotive repairs for approximately fifteen years. The area surrounding the premises is strictly commercial with numerous automotive repair and auto body repair facilities, as well as light manufacturing and warehouse and distribution facilities.

I do have several panels of photos.

They depict the subjective premises as well as the surrounding properties. I'd like to submit those panels for the Board's consideration (handing).

2.1

This is in an exclusively commercial area, largely industrial area in Southern

Hicksville and there are no impacted residences in the surrounding area.

If approved by this Board, we will require a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for off-street parking. The code based upon the number of bays dictates the required parking for an automobile repair shop. It's four per bay for a total of 12 in this instance because there are four lifts inside the building and a small office. So 13 spaces total required, 9 provided on site.

Again, in the event the Board is inclined to approve this application, we will be proceed to the Zoning Board of Appeals for the necessary parking variance.

I would like note in that regard that the premises has operated in this location, as I've indicated, for fifteen years with no incidents or issues and without any parking issues whatsoever.

The hours of operation for the facility 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday through Friday; 8:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m., Saturday, and closed on Sunday.

There are five mechanics, including

2.1

Mr. Serpico and two administrative staff.

The type of operation is general automotive repairs only. There is no engine work, no transmission work, no body work. It's primarily package vehicles and all waste oil is removed by a company licensed to dispose of waste oil in accordance with all applicable legal requirements.

At this time, unless the Board has questions of me, I have the project architect here, Mr. Scott Grupp, who will just give the Board a thumbnail explanation as to the site. It's not a particularly complex site inasmuch as it's a small parcel with the existing building and the accessory parking, but I would like him to take you through it unless you have any questions of me at this point.

Mr. Grupp, name and address, please.

MR. GRUPP: Good afternoon.

Scott Grupp, of the architectural firm Notaro, Grupp & Associates, 1005 Glen Cove Avenue, Glen Head, New York.

As Mr. Avrutine pointed out, we are actually not doing anything to the existing building. The operations have existed, as he pointed out, for fifteen years and, essentially, we

2.1

are just maintaining status quo of the existing building, but we worked very closely with your Commercial Planning Division to make sure that the engineering aspects of the site are up to the minimum code standards of the Town.

So, in that regard, we are increasing the storm water retention onsite by adding an additional dry well. There is one dry well currently, but it did not meet the minimum rainfall requirements of the Town, so we are adding an additional one.

Also, behind the building, we are adding a Dumpster enclosure. The property existed for fifteen years without any type of Dumpster enclosure, but we are adding one to the rear of the building. They don't have a great demand for it. There are no food uses. There's no perishables. It's just some paper goods, but it is a standard of the engineering requirements for site plan approval.

We also were doing site lighting in conformance with the Town's regulations. The site lighting has been reviewed by your Engineering Department for compliance with the minimum required light at the grade level and also for a dark sky

compliance.

2.1

We are also restriping the lot because -- there are not a lot of visitors to the property so the parking was not really regimented in the way that the Town likes it to be regimented. So, we are going to be restriping the entire parking lot, those nine spots with one additional handicap spot included in that nine count be will be provided on site.

If there are any questions, I'd be glad to answer them.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: There doesn't appear to be anything.

Thank you very much.

MR. AVRUTINE: Just also to follow up on what Mr. Grupp indicated, we have complied with all comments raised by the Department of Planning and Development in connection with the site plan requirements and we have also -- I believe the matter has been declared Type 2 in the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act with completing the Environmental Review and indicating that no further Environmental Review is required in connection with the application.

Based upon the filings and the

9 testimony, we would respectfully request that the 1 2 Board approve the application as submitted. 3 Thank you. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, 5 Counselor. Does anyone have any questions. 6 7 (No response.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Does that 8 9 complete your presentation? 10 MR. AVRUTINE: It does indeed, sir. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is there anyone 12 who would like to be heard on this hearing? 13 (No response.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please let the 14 15 record reflect that no one has indicated that they would like to be heard. 16 17 Is there any correspondence. 18 MR. LaMARCA: The attorney for the 19 applicant has filed his affidavit of service and 20 disclosure. 2.1 The communications are as follows: 22 We have memos from the Department of 23 Planning and Development including the review of 2.4 the require off-street parking. 25 The Nassau County land and tax map

10 indicates the property is Section 46, Block 184, 1 Lot 46-49. 2 3 According to the Town of Oyster Bay 4 zoning maps, the property is located within the LI 5 Light Industry Zone. There are no open code 6 compliance cases; however, there are variances and 7 Town Board Resolutions on file. We have affidavits of postings and 8 9 publication. 10 There is no further correspondence. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 12 I'd like a motion, please. 13 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I'll 14 make a motion that the public hearing be closed and decision reserved. 15 16 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor, 18 please signify by saying, "Aye." 19 ALL: "Aye." 20 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed, 2.1 "Nay." 22 (No response.) 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have 24 it. 25 Thank you. MR. AVRUTINE:

```
11
                    SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very
1
      much for your patience and your participation
2
      today.
 3
                    (TIME NOTED: 1:27 P.M.)
 4
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR ACTION CALENDAR
February 25, 2020
1:28 p.m.

JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA

SUPERVISOR

TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA
COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK
JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

	2
1	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Will Town Clerk
2	LaMarca please call the regular Action Calendar?
3	MR. LaMARCA: Supervisor, we have some
4	Resolutions to add to the calendar.
5	May I have a motion to remove
6	Resolution 337-2019 from the table which was tabled
7	on May 21, 2019?
8	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: So moved.
9	MR. LaMARCA: Motion made by
10	Councilwoman Johnson.
11	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
12	MR. LaMARCA: Seconded by Councilman
13	Imbroto.
14	On the vote:
15	Supervisor Saladino?
16	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."
17	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson?
18	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
19	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto?
20	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."
21	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand?
22	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."
23	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Labriola?
24	COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: "Aye."
25	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Maier?

	3
1	COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: "Aye."
2	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh?
3	COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: "Aye."
4	MR. LaMARCA: Motion to remove
5	Resolution 337-2019 from the table passes with
6	seven "Ayes" and no "Nays."
7	May I have a motion to suspend the
8	rules and add Resolutions Numbers 168-2020 through
9	174-2020?
10	On the motion?
11	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: So moved.
12	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
13	MR. LaMARCA: A motion was made by
14	Councilwoman Johnson; seconded by Councilman
15	Imbroto.
16	On the vote:
17	Supervisor Saladino?
18	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."
19	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson?
20	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
21	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto?
22	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."
23	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand?
24	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."
25	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Labriola?

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: "Aye." 1 2 MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Maier? 3 COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: "Aye." 4 MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh? 5 COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: "Aye." 6 MR. LaMARCA: Motion to suspend the 7 rules and add Resolution No. 168-2020 through 8 174-2020 passes with seven "Ayes." 9 May I have a motion to resuspend the 10 rules and add the following Walk-on Resolution No. 11 175-2020, which is a Resolution authorizing the 12 Supervisor or his designee to execute any and all 13 documents to effectuate the grant of an easement 14 over certain tracts of land so that the government 15 may fulfill its statutory obligations to fully 16 remediate the Grumman Navy Plume. 17 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: So moved. 18 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second. 19 MR. LaMARCA: A motion was made by 20 Councilwoman Johnson; seconded by Councilman 2.1 Imbroto. 22 On the vote: 23 Supervisor Saldino? 2.4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just a brief 25 statement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

5 It is so important that we continue to work with all of the participants and all levels of government to fully remediate hydraulically contained to ensure that there is total hot spot remediation of everything as -- of all the contaminants as they relate to the Grumman Navy Plume. We held another meeting yesterday with there was tremendous success. We're still moving forward. There's still a lot to do. I'm very happy to work with the Town Board officials in this Town who are also equally 100 percent committed to the full cleanup of this national disaster. And I vote "Aye" wholeheartedly. MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson? COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye." MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto? COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye." MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand? COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye." MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Labriola? COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: "Aye."

MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Maier?

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: "Aye."

MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh?

6 COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: 1 "Aye." 2 MR. LaMARCA: Motion to resuspend the 3 rules and procedure and add Walk-on Resolution No. 4 175-2020 passes with seven "Ayes." 5 May I have a Motion to adopt Resolution Nos. P-5-20 through 175-2020 noting that Resolution 6 7 337-2019 was removed from table and added to the calendar? 8 9 PERSONNEL RESOLUTION NO. P-5-20; 10 Resolution pertaining to personnel of various 11 departments within the Town of Oyster Bay. 12 TRANSFER OF FUNDS RESOLUTION NO. 13 TF-4-20; Resolution pertaining to Transfer of Funds 14 within various departments' accounts for the Year 15 2020. 16 RESOLUTION NO. 138-2020; Resolution 17 authorizing the use of a subconsultant for reviews 18 in August 2019 of the leased areas utilized by 19 former tenants of the Old Bethpage Solid Waste 20 Disposal Complex under Contract No. PWC31-18. (M.D. 2.1 2/4/20 #17). 22 RESOLUTION NO. 139-2020; Resolution 23 pertaining to Quantity Decrease No. 1, Acceptance & 2.4 Final Payment for Construction of the Syosset Fire

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

Department Memorial Park under Contract No.

25

2.1

DP15-128RR. (M.D. 2/4/20 #20).

RESOLUTION NO. 140-2020; Resolution authorizing a Senior Trip to the Crest Hollow Country Club for a Salute to Seniors Luncheon to be held on May 21, 2020, employ entertainment services and for the Department Commissioner to make any necessary adjustments or refunds. (M.D. 2/11/20 #7).

RESOLUTION NO. 141-2020; Resolution authorizing sponsorship of the 2020 Annual Spring Festival on April 25, 2020 at Syosset-Woodbury Community Park, to be supplemented from Friends of the Community Service Department, Inc. Account Nos. CYS A 7020 41800 000 0000 and CYS A 7020 45100 000 0000. (M.D. 2/11/20 #8).

authorizing sponsorship of the Spring 2020 Cultural Arts Enrichment Program, retain the professional services of instructors and for the Supervisor or his designee or the Commissioner of Community and Youth Services to execute the agreements. Account Nos. CYS A 7020 47660 000 0000 and CYS A 0001 02001 511 0000. (M.D. 2/11/20 #9).

RESOLUTION NO. 143-2020; Resolution authorizing an agreement to employ the services of

a judge to adjudicate for the "Suburban Art League Annual Open Show" on June 5, 2020 for the Town Of Oyster Bay Awards at the William P. Bennett Community Center in Hicksville, and authorizing the Supervisor or his designee or the Commissioner of Community & Youth Services to execute said agreement. Account No. CYS A 7020 47660 000 0000.

(M.D. 2/11/20 #10).

RESOLUTION NO. 144-2020; Resolution authorizing the 2020 Town of Oyster Bay and Greater Long Island Running Club sponsored races on various dates. Account No. PKS A 7110 44900 000 0000. (M.D. 2/11/20 #11).

RESOLUTION NO. 145-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 6 Stauber Drive, Plainview, New York, performed on November 15, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/11/20 #21).

authorizing the property cleanup assessment of

153 Ocean Avenue, Massapequa, New York, performed

on October 28, 2019, be referred to the County of

Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax

Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/11/20 #22).

2.1

RESOLUTION NO. 147-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 14 Sunset Road, Massapequa, New York, performed on September 18, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/11/20 #23).

RESOLUTION NO. 148-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 9 Joludow Drive, Massapequa, New York, performed on September 18, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/11/20 #24).

RESOLUTION NO. 149-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 62 Westbury Avenue, Plainview, New York, performed on August 29, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/11/20 #25).

RESOLUTION NO. 150-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 37 Garfield Avenue, Glen Head, New York, performed on October 22, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/11/20 #26).

RESOLUTION NO. 151-2020; Resolution

2.1

authorizing the property cleanup assessment of
43 Maxwell Drive, Westbury, New York, performed on
October 22, 2019, be referred to the County of
Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax
Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/11/20 #27).

RESOLUTION NO. 152-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 19 Nevada Street, Hicksville, New York, performed on October 30, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/11/20 #28).

RESOLUTION NO. 153-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 37 West Cherry Street, Hicksville, New York, performed on November 7, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/11/20 #29).

RESOLUTION NO. 154-2020; Resolution pertaining to settlement of a negligence claim; Claimant: Majestic Ayomipo Adesanya v. Michael D. McGeever and Town of Oyster Bay, Nassau County Index No. 602292/2016. (M.D. 2/11/20 #30).

RESOLUTION NO. 155-2020; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC31-20, On-Call Engineering Services relative to Operation and

2.1

2.4

Development of the Old Bethpage Solid Waste

Disposal Complex in connection with preparation of
the RFP for management of solid waste recyclables.

Account No. DER SR05 8160 44800 000 0000. (LKB)

(M.D. 2/11/20 #31).

RESOLUTION NO. 156-2020; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC31-20, On-Call Engineering Services Relative to Operation and Development of the Old Bethpage Solid Waste Disposal Complex and for the use of a subconsultant for legal and legislative expertise relative to this assignment. Account No. DER SR05 8160 44800 000 0000. (M.D. 2/11/20 #32).

RESOLUTION NO. 157-2020; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC12-20, On-Call Engineering Services Relative to Environmental Science in connection with technical assistance to the Department of Environmental Resources with various harbor management programs. Account No. DER A 8090 44500 000 0000. (M.D. 2/11/20 #33).

RESOLUTION NO. 158-2020; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC07-18, On-Call Engineering Services Relative to Civil Engineering in connection with site investigations and conceptual layouts for a new Salt Storage Facility

12 at 150 Miller Place, Syosset. Account No. HWY H 1 2 5197 20000 000 1903 008. (M.D. 2/11/20 #34). 3 RESOLUTION NO. 159-2020; Resolution 4 pertaining to Contract No. PWC12-20, On-Call 5 Consultant Services Relative to Environmental 6 Science in connection with SWPPP reviews, comments, 7 approval recommendations, jurisdictional waiver 8 recommendations and SWPPP compliance site 9 inspections. Account No. HWY H 5197 20000 000 1903 008. (M.D. 2/11/20 #35). 10 11 RESOLUTION NO. 160-2020; Resolution 12 authorizing award of Construction Contract No. 13 H19-186R, Construction of Highway Improvements for 14 the Fairwater Avenue Area, Massapequa, New York. 15 Account No. HWY H 5197 20000 000 2003 008. (M.D. 16 2/11/20 #36). 17 RESOLUTION NO. 161-2020; Resolution 18 authorizing acceptance and final payment for 19 Contract No. DP17-167-PH2, Expansion of 20 Ellsworth W. Allen Park Phase 2. (M.D. 2/11/20 2.1 #37). 22 RESOLUTION NO. 162-2020; Resolution 23 pertaining to Contract No. PWC12-20, On-Call 24 Engineering Services Relative to Environmental 25 Science and use of a subconsultant for testing any

2.1

1.3

analysis services. Account No. DER A 8090 44500 000 0000. (M.D. 2/11/20 #39).

pertaining to Contract No. PWC31-20, On-Call
Engineering Services Relative to Operation and
Development of the Old Bethpage Solid Waste
Disposal Complex and for use of subconsultant for hazardous materials analysis services. Account No.
DER SR05 8160 44800 000 0000. (M.D. 2/11/20 #40).

RESOLUTION NO. 164-2020; Resolution authorizing the Department of Environmental Resources to host the 2020 Dune Stabilization at TOBAY Beach in conjunction with the Parks Department on March 28, 2020, and to purchase food and supplies for said program. Account No. DER A 8090 47680 000 0000. (M.D. 2/11/20 #42).

RESOLUTION NO. 165-2020; Resolution authorizing renewal of memberships in the New York State Association for the Solid Waste Management for 2020. Account No. DER SR05 8160 47900 000 0000. (M.D. 2/11/20 #43).

RESOLUTION NO. 166-2020; Resolution authorizing payment to the Nassau County Treasurer to satisfy three tax liens on Heroes Court (f/k/a Lewis Court), Bethpage, New York. Account No. TWN A

2.1

1989 47900 000 0000. (M.D. 2/11/20 #46).

RESOLUTION NO. 167-2020; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC09-20, On-Call Consultant Services in connection with preparation of conceptual designs and cost estimating for the development of a new park facility adjacent to the Country Pointe Plainview site. Account No. PKS H 7197 20000 000 1902 001. (M.D. 2/11/20 #38 & 2/18/20 #15).

RESOLUTION NO. 168-2020; Resolution authorizing the emergency procurement of Professional Dog Training Services until the RFP contract is reviewed and awarded. (M.D. 2/18/20 #16).

RESOLUTION NO. 169-2020; Resolution directing the Town Clerk to advertise a Notice of Hearing pertaining to Hydrant Rental for Plainview Fire Protection District and Plainview Water District for the year 2020. Hearing Date: March 10, 2020 (M.D. 2/18/20 #17).

RESOLUTION NO. 170-2020; Resolution directing the Town Clerk to advertise a Notice of Hearing in connection with the 2020 Fire Protection Agreements. Hearing Date: March 10, 2020 (M.D. 2/18/20 #18).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

15

RESOLUTION NO. 171-2020; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor, or his designee, to execute an access agreement with the United States Government pertaining to three locations within the Town of Oyster Bay, in connection with the Government's obligation to fully remediate the Grumman Navy Plume. (M.D. 2/18/20 #19). RESOLUTION NO. 172-2020; Resolution pertaining to a Memorandum of Agreement in settlement of a grievance filed by the Civil Service Employees Association. (M.D. 2/18/20 #20). RESOLUTION NO. 173-2020; Resolution authorizing the Inland Marine 2020 Hull & Machinery Insurance Policy for the period March 1, 2020 to March 1, 2021. Account No. TWN AMS 1910 43020 601 0000 000. (M.D. 2/18/20 #21). RESOLUTION NO. 174-2020; Resolution authorizing the Inland Marine 2020 Equipment Floater/Rolling Stock Insurance Policy for the period March 1, 2020 to March 1, 2021. Account No. TWN AMS 1910 43020 601 0000 000. (M.D. 2/18/20 #22). RESOLUTION NO. 175-2020; Resolution

authorizing the Supervisor or a designee to execute any and all documents to effectuate the grant of an

16 easement over certain tracks of land so that the 1 2 government may fulfill its statutory obligations to 3 fully remediate the Grumman Navy Plume. On the motion? 4 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: So moved. 5 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second. 6 7 MR. LaMARCA: Motion made by Councilwoman Johnson; seconded by Councilman 8 9 Imbroto. Do we have any questions on any 10 11 Resolutions, any speakers? 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. 13 Our first speaker will be Arthur 14 Adelman. 15 Good afternoon, Arthur. 16 Thank you for your patience in waiting 17 today. 18 We had a number of hearings and 19 presentations. 20 MR. ADELMAN: I've got nothing better 21 to do. 22 Arthur Adelman, 110 Dubois Avenue, Sea 23 Cliff. 24 Greetings, Board. 25 Normally, I have something to say about

2.1

liking or disliking something.

My problems with Resolutions I posted 138, 156, and 157 entails the fact that they're so volimous -- voluminous -- anyway, it's a lot.

Regarding 138, the way it reads is confusing, but I assume that Cashin & Associates wants to bring in a subconsultant to analyze the results from testing at the Bethpage waste disposal site that were done to areas of the property that were leased to lessees that the Town leased to people.

In Resolution 156, where Cashin looking for a new contract they list the things that they did under last years Resolution. One of the things was -- had a list of completed tasks in 2019. They tested the environmental conditions at the Empire site at the OBSWDC.

Have we ever gotten the results listed, you know, advised to the public or what the results of that testing was and if we already have, were they remediated?

And the third question would be why are we now hiring someone today to analyze those results? It seems very confusing to me.

The item about Resolution 157 doing

18 environmental stuff for various Harbour Management 1 2 programs, I was curious if within that broad 3 Resolution does the scope include any areas in 4 dispute between the Town and the State; in 5 particular, the area noted in the case of Murphy versus the Town of Oyster Bay? 6 7 As a side note, I did read up on the 8 Andros Patent per your suggestion. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's good. 10 MR. ADELMAN: Unfortunately, it doesn't 11 seem that our current requests to the courts is 12 even referring to that anymore. 13 So, be that as it may, I was just 14 curious if that Resolution will be covering that 15 type of subject matter. 16 Thank you. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. Thank 18 you for being with us today. 19 To shed some light on this, I'm going 20 to ask George Baptista to please step up to the hot 2.1 seat. 22 Good afternoon. 23 COMMISSIONER BAPTISTA: Good afternoon, 24 Supervisor, Town Board Members. 25 George Baptista, Deputy Commissioner of

2.1

the Department of Environmental Conservation -- sorry, Resources.

With regards to Mr. Adelman's question the issue of the Andros patent, the State disputed and it's a legal issue that's best handled by the Town Attorney's office and not the Department of Environmental Resources.

With regard to Cashin & Associates and the services they provide, they are essential to the Department of Environmental Resources in how we manage the harbor and exactly how we further our goal of providing clean water and a sustainable shellfish stock. They possess a level of technical expertise and experience in this field.

Specifically that it helps us -- it compliments our inhouse capabilities and expands our abilities to manage and protect the health of the Town of Oyster Bay and South Oyster Bay harbors, so they are really critical to what we do. I don't know if that fully answers Mr. Adelman's question, but I think --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: One of the questions he had was where can he find this information so he may review it for -- in terms of last year's findings and so forth so there can be a

comparison, I assume.

2.1

COMMISSIONER BAPTISTA: I can easily provide Mr. Adelman the reports we have. He can review them. They are voluminous. They are very technical. But I'm more than happy to share them with him.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I ask that you please meet with him and arrange a convenient time for both. This way he can take a look at those reports and delve in deep.

COMMISSIONER BAPTISTA: Very well.

MR. ADELMAN: No.

The question goes to Resolution 157,

Cashin talks about all the things they did in last

years agreement. One of the items they talk about

was the -- that they did testing on the Empire site

in the Oyster Bay dump, whatever it's called.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Old Bethpage
Solid Waste Facility where we do not accept solid
waste. It's been closed for many, many years.

MR. ADELMAN: We had an issue with Empire. They were supposed to remediate any issues that might have come up after they left. There was testing done and Resolution 138 is now talking about paying someone to analyze those results and

24

25

2.1 -- before the fact -- I think they already did it 1 2 back in August now, and we just want to give them 3 permission to do it because it's got that that 4 Latin word N-U-M -- yeah. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm going to ask John to please step forward on the technical side. 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Baptista. 8 MR. SCALERA: Excuse me, Supervisor. 9 Before Mr. Tassone speaks, if you 10 remember, this is a person that occupied the 11 property that was --12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Landlord/tenant 13 issue. 14 MR. SCALERA: Vacated and --15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you please identify yourself? 16 17 MR. SCALERA: Frank M. Scalera, Chief 18 Deputy Town Attorney. 19 Part of the stipulation to get -- for 20 them to be vacated was, amongst other things, clean 2.1 the property, clear it of their debris, also to do 22

some checking to see if indeed there was any, you know, substances that they might have left behind. That's where Cashin comes in and that's where John Tassone can take it from here.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

2 MR. TASSONE: Thank you, Supervisor,

Town Board.

2.1

John Tassone, Deputy Commissioner for Department of Public Works.

Mr. Adelman, on 138 for Cashin, yes, that is for last year. We asked Cashin & Associates and along with their subs to come in and test the two tenant locations on the facility to make sure that there was no contamination, and if there was, to hold them accountable for going in there and remediate it.

The findings came back that there was no contamination and we do have those reports on hand. I do not believe we put them on the website, but we do have them and can get them out however the Town Board sees fit to get those results out, but those results definitely came back with no contamination. So, we are clean now.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: To reinsure

Mr. Adelman or any residents who may be interested,

they did environmental work for us. They tested

the site. They found no contaminates and now we

are paying them for their services.

MR. TASSONE: That is correct.

This is actually -- we did not realize that they needed to bring on a subconsultant. So, this is the approval of the subconsultant that is working for Cashin & Associates.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And through this approval, we're ensuring that we're doing this appropriately, properly and legally.

MR. TASSONE: Correct.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

MR. TASSONE: 156?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes.

MR. TASSONE: Mr. Adelman asked about

156.

2.1

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes.

MR. TASSONE: 156 is also for Cashin & Associates. It is not to cover work that they forgot to do, per se. Last year's contract the on-calls are good for two years, 2018, 2019.

What they can't finish in those two years, we RFP new on-call contracts. We bring on new consultants. Cashin & Associates is qualified. They were renewed for a second two-year on-call and this is a continuation of the work that they were doing in '19, but because the on-call expire, we need to now authorize them again to continue with

2.4 the work that they were already doing for us. So 1 2 it's not forgotten work. It's work they did not 3 get to. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. Much 5 appreciated. 6 MR. TASSONE: Thank you. 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, that 8 satisfies those question. 9 I don't have any other slips and I 10 don't see -- is there anyone else to be heard in 11 public comment? 12 (No response.) 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please let the 14 record indicate that no one has asked to speak --15 I'm sorry -- not public comment on normal Resolutions portion of the calendar. 16 17 Can you speak to postings and 18 correspondence? 19 MR. LaMARCA: I'll move to vote on the 20 regular calendar. 2.1 On the vote: 22 Supervisor Saldino? 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye." 24 MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson? 25 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."

	25
1	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto?
2	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."
3	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand?
4	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."
5	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Labriola?
6	COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: "Aye."
7	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Maier?
8	COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: "Aye."
9	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh?
10	COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: "Aye."
11	MR. LaMARCA: The calendar is complete,
12	Supervisor.
13	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I thank everyone
14	for attending today. We greatly appreciate it. We
15	wish you health and happiness.
16	Close the meeting.
17	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I
18	make a motion to close the meeting.
19	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
20	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
21	please signify by saying, "Aye."
22	ALL: "Aye."
23	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
24	"Nay," unless we want this to continue.
25	Those opposed, "Nay."

```
26
                    (No one responded.)
1
2
                    SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We thank you very
 3
      much.
 4
                    Thank you everyone for attending.
 5
                    (TIME NOTED: 1:40 P.M.)
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```