TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
January 28, 2020
7:50 p.m.

### HEARING Z-1-20

To consider the application of 80 Jericho Turnpike, LLC, fee owner, for a Change of Zone and Special Use Permit for premises located at 80 Jericho Turnpike, Jericho, New York. (M.D. 12/24/19 #13)

### JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA

SUPERVISOR

TOWN CLERK

#### PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA
COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK
JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

		2
1		SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Now, on to the
2	business of	the evening.
3		Will our Town Clerk Richard LaMarca
4	please poll	the Board?
5		MR. LaMARCA: Supervisor Saladino?
6		SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Present.
7		MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson?
8		COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Present.
9		MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand?
10		COUNCILMAN HAND: Here.
11		MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Labriola?
12		COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Here.
13		MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Maier?
14		COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Here.
15		MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh?
16		COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Here.
17		COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I'm also here,
18	Rich.	
19		MR. LaMARCA: Oops. I didn't see you
20	over there.	I'm sorry.
21		COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It's the chair.
22		SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please bring us
23	the regular	Action Calendar I'm sorry.
24		Call the first hearing.
25		MR. LaMARCA: This hearing is the

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

3 application of 80 Jericho, LLC, fee owner for a 1 2 change of zone and special use permit for premises 3 located at 80 Jericho Turnpike, Jericho, New York. 4 MR. SOLOWAY: Good evening, 5 Mr. Supervisor, Members of the Town Board. My name is Louis Soloway. I'm a member 6 7 of the law firm Certilman, Balin, Adler & Hyman 8 with offices in East Meadow, New York. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Soloway, 10 would you mind just positioning that microphone 11 closer to you? 12 MR. SOLOWAY: Is that better? 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is that better? 14 Does everyone in the room hear him? 15 MR. SOLOWAY: How is that? 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 17 Thank you, Mr. Soloway. 18 MR. SOLOWAY: I'm here this evening as 19 the attorney for the applicant 80 Jericho Turnpike, LLC. 20 2.1 With me tonight are Mr. Paul LaLucia 22 and Mr. Richard Leeds, who are principals of the 23 applicant; our architect, Al Sutton with AM Sutton 24 Associates; our landscape architect, Richard 25 Gibney; our environmental management consultant,

2.1

Turnpike, LLC.

Jason Cooper of CA Rich & Associates; our traffic consultant Pat Lenihan with VHB Engineering; and our Planning & Environmental consultant, David Wortman of VHB Engineering; finally, and our civil engineer, Mike Rant, with North Coast Civil.

I have certificates -- each of their curricula vitae which I would like to hand up.

These people have testified before this Board on many occasions and we would ask that you qualify them as experts (handing).

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The resumes have been duly noted.

MR. SOLOWAY: Thank you very much.

This is the application of 80 Jericho

For approval is required for the redevelopment of a 5.7 acre tract of land in Syosset which the applicant purchased in November of '19 -- of 2016.

The property is zoned LI and it is located in on the south side of Jericho Turnpike, 78 feet west of Oak Drive. It's known as Section 15, Block 38, Lots 14 through 70, 17, 20 and 23 and Section 15, Block 157, Lot 74 on the Nassau County tax map. It's also known as 80 Jericho Turnpike,

Syosset.

2.1

On a survey, the property looks like an inverted J. There's a picture of the property as it presently exists over here with the frontage along the south side of Jericho Turnpike of 336 feet. A length going south on its west side of 985 feet; on its east side, the property runs southerly for about 291 feet to Elm Place where it jogs westerly for 100 feet and continues southerly for an additional 750 feet.

The property lies about two blocks west of the Long Island Railroad trestle crossing

Jericho Turnpike, and in some respects, it can be considered the gateway to Greater Syosset.

The property is located within an area of mixed uses with commercial and industrial uses along Jericho Turnpike to the east, west and south and one-family homes to the northwest across Jericho Turnpike in the Village of Muttontown.

On the east, it abuts a three-story

Fairfield Inn hotel located at the southwest corner

of Jericho Turnpike and Oak Drive and other

industrial uses as you proceed southerly down Oak

Drive.

On the south, it abuts warehouse uses.

2.1

On the west, it abuts the North Shore Atrium, a four-story office building.

On the north, it bordered by Jericho
Turnpike and on the opposite side of Jericho
Turnpike, the commercial industrial area of the
Village of Muttontown.

The applicant proposes to subdivide this property into two distinct lots. The northern portion of the property -- take that down -- the northern portion of the property to be known as Tribeca Square comprises 2.68 acres is currently improved with a large asphalt parking lot on which there is located a sandwich shop, an Italian ice shop, an automobile repair facility and a now-vacant auto shop.

This area will be redeveloped with a new three-story building with commercial and restaurant uses on the first floor and 31 one-bedroom and 14 two-bedroom luxury residences -- luxury rental units on the two upper floors and an outdoor roof sitting area with men's and women's washrooms. This roof area is technically considered as a fourth floor.

The southerly portion of the property comprising 2.83 acres to be known as Gramercy Park

2.1

2.4

is now vacant, but was formally used as a mobile home park housing approximately 121 trailer homes.

In redevelopment, it will be improved with 61 price-controlled Golden Age cooperative apartments to be sold to persons presently on the Town list of eligible purchasers.

Currently, the 2020 general tax and the 2019/'20 school tax on the entire property equals \$384,000. When the project is completed, it is estimated based upon the current tax rates, that the Tribeca Square development alone will generate \$703,000 in real estate taxes of which 386,386 would be paid in school taxes and 316,693 would be paid in general taxes. The Gramercy Park area will generate additional taxes under the RSC25 zoning classification.

In order to accomplish this redevelopment, applicant requests approval from this Board this evening for rezoning of the Gramercy Park portion of the premises from LI zone to the RSC25 multifamily senior residence zone with permission to construct buildings they're on containing more than eight units.

In the Tribeca Square portion of the premises, we're requesting special permits to

2.1

permit retail restaurant use in the LI zone and apartments over retail and restaurant uses.

We're also requesting site plan approval for the overall project, or on the alternative, separate site plan approvals for the Tribeca Square property and the Gramercy Park property.

The applicant will also require several variances from the Board of Appeals and we will require subdivision approval from Nassau County Planning Commission and the Village of Muttontown in order to separate the two parcels into the Gramercy and Tribeca Square development.

At this time, I would like to introduce Al Sutton, our architect, to describe the project in detail.

MR. SUTTON: Thanks, Lou.

Good evening. Thank you.

I'm Al Sutton. Lou took all the power out of my presentation basically. Thank you, Lou. But I will continue that understanding the property -- the 5.7 acres here is -- the topography kind of lends how we develop and why we are presenting it in this way.

That the property essentially slopes

2.1

from east to west, this direction and then there is actually -- the back portion, once you pass this access road Elm, it actually drops.

In the area here where we're proposing Gramercy Park development, it's flat, but it's almost a bowl, a depression. There is a differential height elevation around the perimeter on this side six feet higher. It runs along the entire property, and on the west side, it's five feet higher. And what that does effectively is it creates a berm. It's like a pocket. It's a wonderful place for senior development for the privacy. Easily planted -- our landscape architect will present in a little bit, he'll explain the buffer planting along the perimeter will make this seem isolated, stand on its on and a very, very comfortable place to live.

We are -- the property would be -would be acceptable within the zoning to have a
density of 25 units per acre, but we're only
proposing 21.6, which we could squeeze 70 in here,
but we're only asking for 61. The predominant -if you go down our zoning chart, you'll see that
we're compliant or actually going beyond what's
allowable; meaning, that we are significantly

2.1

compliant in almost every aspect.

The unit themselves are two-story.

This rendering behind here is a representation of kind of midway shot that is shooting at this octagonal which is the recreation center. We have the recreation center designed to be more than double what is required by code because we see this as kind of a clubhouse place where everyone will congregate and it's a vital amenity. We've done other senior facilities and it gets used more than you would think.

Also, on the property, there is a perimeter path all paved for exercising, walking the dog and all like that. We have -- the parking burden is 1.3 per unit stalls. We would be 79 required. We are providing 88 on the site back here and even with the 88 stalls, we have very significant -- we will call them planting islands that are around the property so it doesn't feel like you're in the middle of a big parking lot. The benefit of screening the Dumpster locations also is part of that, so that's good.

We will say we have the indoor recreation. That room was 17 -- 1,753 square feet. The architecture of this -- these buildings here

2.1

it's similar around, but they are five separate structures one with 15, one 14 units. These two or both 12 and this is 8. The Elm Street which comes off Oak here, we're providing a cross access easement.

We actually love this because it limits access. It's not a thru-traffic type of facility. It gives that privacy all the best of the benefits we are looking for. We have kind of integrated into the parking area a 96-foot diameter turnaround, so that's for emergency vehicles and just day-to-day traffic. It's not so much a traffic circle because it fits within the backside of the parking area, so it doesn't interfere with our parking count and it provides a flowered landscape feature in the center.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You mentioned emergency vehicles.

Mr. Sutton, can a full-sized fire truck pumper make a U-turn in that space?

MR. SUTTON: Yes. That's the 96 feet, so we're -- they -- that was actually what the determinant was to create that.

MR. SOLOWAY: We submitted the plans to the local fire department and they approved them.

12 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Do you have 2 documentation to that effect? 3 MR. SOLOWAY: Yes, we do. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 5 We would love to see that as well. MR. SUTTON: I'm just going to jump 6 7 back to the buildings themselves. 8 MR. SOLOWAY: (Handing). 9 MR. SUTTON: Okay. We have -- these 10 are essentially just, you know, gable-style 11 architecture. So, they're certainly conservative 12 and at the same time we have the -- it's hard to 13 see from this distance, but there are what we call 14 transitional details that we have almost 15 contemporary lines. They are straight and clean. 16 It's a poplar style these days. And it will be 17 inside and out, the same. We have standing seam 18 metal roofs on some of the dormers, these curved 19 dormers here, so it kind of gives it a nice 20 character. Feels like home type thing. That is 2.1 the -- this whole development is 2.83 and the front 22 development is 2.86 of the split property. 23 We -- again, the back requires the 24 rezoning for the senior citizens, so we are hopeful 25 that that will be met. The former trailer park or

2.1

homes that were here was actually -- we understand the history of it, it was actually from the 1939 World's Fair was when it was started and I guess it was work housing or something to that effect. It maintained itself and it's been a residential property for over 70 years.

We basically feel like it's consistent with the entire nature of that property and use and it's in the fabric of the whole community because it has been used for that length of time.

And we know that the hero shop and -are a loved operation. So, we keep hearing that
and that's -- actually, the owners have entertained
and made ovations that that shop will be in the new
building. So we are -- the intention is to
continue those historic aspects.

I'm just going to jump over to the

Tribeca part. This is a mixed use building as Lou

described with the retail and restaurant use on the

bottom floor. He's putting it up for us.

I will speak to this architecture of this right now. We -- this is actually an Italian 8 style that was back in say from 1850 to 1889.

It's basically a townhome with the large cornice and then you have these enlarged brackets that are

part of that.

2.1

And, again, what we did was we -- it's actually one height, the roof height is 43 feet 11. But the parapet on this side is five feet above it, which is allowable, and on the side here, it's only two and a half feet, so we get a modulation of the space, and then we essentially offset back and back out again to break up the massing so it's more attractive.

The property we have which is unusual in most jurisdictions, but there is a front yard setback maximum of 60. We propose to push it back to 90.6. Usually we're fighting to get it closer to the road, but we had a limit. That was actually caused -- two reasons: One, the front property line which we are obscured at this point, there is a large sawtooth oblique angle to the property line that cuts back so that essentially pushed this back a bit.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Lou, before you remove that all together, can all interested parties in the room see all of these renderings from their seats?

Is there anyone who would like to move or would you like the angle moved so you can see?

15 We want to make sure that all interested parties 1 2 can fully see everything. 3 MR. SUTTON: This is the rear site and this is the front from Jericho. 4 5 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Mr. Sutton, did you say how many bedrooms are in the apartments you 6 7 propose? 8 MR. SUTTON: I didn't say that, but 9 there are 44 apartments and we have a potential --10 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: 44 apartments or 11 44 bedrooms? 12 MR. SUTTON: No, 44 apartments. 13 COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: I have you put 31 14 bedroom, 14 two-bedroom proposed. 15 Is that what was just mentioned. MR. SUTTON: That's what Lou mentioned 16 17 what and what we have is --18 COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Okay. 19 MR. SUTTON: Some of the units --20 that's correct what you just said, but it 2.1 ultimately is distributed between 22 apartments on 22 each floor. The second and third floor have 22 23 apartments. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In the one 25 building?

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

16 1 MR. SUTTON: It's only one building. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So the total on 3 the entire site are 44 apartments? 4 MR. SUTTON: That's all there are. 5 That's exactly right. Basically, those apartments --6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just so we're 8 clear, there are 44 apartments and then 61 senior 9 housing units? 10 MR. SUTTON: Correct. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All on that site? 12 MR. SUTTON: Exactly. 13 Well, we are hopeful that the 14 properties can -- certainly, we would love them to 15 both be approved simultaneously, but they will --16 certainly, when the subdivision is perfected, stand 17 on their own. They have their own merit. 18 meet the standards within the balance of those 19 properties. So, we are interpreting the front and 20 back as separate once the subdivision is in. We're 2.1 developing now but, obviously, it matters. 22 One of the things about this property 23 with Elm Street, the right-of-way coming in the 24 front one-third of the property. It's a wonderful -- it's a relief valve to the traffic issue. 25

2.1

We know that we have a primary entry to the retail center from the front right off Jericho. There will be a traffic signal that we anticipate at this location, turning lanes and like that, but for service delivery and normal pedestrian traffic to their residents, is predominantly off Elm. This will be a cross access easement. There are four in and out cuts here. Lots -- significantly less traffic to negotiate the loading dock and all of that happens back here. The storefront is from front to back. You have dual -- so it's not going to feel like the back of the building.

If the other -- I don't know where it went the rendering, but you can see that the coffee shop or -- they could have dual access. One from Jericho and a presence from the rear of the property, we found that to be just kind of a community benefit for the people in the back also, by the way.

Each of the floors -- I lost my button here, okay. Second and third floor, we have -- it's basically 25,528 feet for 22 apartments.

Then you have 4,718 square feet for amenities. Amenities -- a gym, atrium, lounge for the residents to gather, and basically the

2.1

balconies and like that.

Commensurate with that we come through the building, come on top and we have a rooftop garden, which we are intending to incorporate a green roof system which our landscape architect will explain and it's certainly environmentally a benefit to the building and all the good parts of that we are hopeful of.

Also, we are intending to have a solar array which we have a significant flat roof to use. So we get a dual benefit in terms of both energy conservation and then creating our own energy that way.

The parking burden in the front is including calculating the restaurant according to code and then the apartments themselves and then we come up with -- we are required to have 223 stalls and we're providing 242. We certainly have 19 to the better. The parking is distributed 158 of them are on the site itself surrounding the building. The 158 goes around normal, then 80 are enclosed, protected under the building, and we have four land bank meaning that it's a lawn but if needed, we can recover them along the shoulder of this access drive.

2.1

This is that sawtooth front setback and the 90-foot that we are requesting allows a deeper throat on the entrance drive which essentially from our traffic engineers, they advised us that it would prevent un -- congestion at the front, allows cars to queue up so there is no interference that way.

Next issue so far as variance is concerned, the height of the building. Which I will put that rendering back up now, the front.

Almost done. So, the height of the building on the three story which is the predominant building itself. It's a three-story building. It's 43'11" to the top of the roof stem and then the varying parapets that we talked about.

There is the access -- elevator access and the restrooms that are on the top of the roof where we have a roof garden. And one is directly under the cupola and the other you can't see from the front because it's kind of central. They both have elevators and stair towers and they're an additional eight and a half feet, so that essentially brings us to 52'5". So, this is 50-foot allowable in the L1 zone. We don't need to rezone the property to allow this construction.

2.1

2.0

And we are 2'5" above what is allowable to the max.

The cupola is another story, a little bit about what we are asking for. There is an allowable 15-foot, you know, encroachment above the roof area that is allowed. We are requesting 31.17. Partly, and I can say definitively, that the proportions and the way the cupola would look if it were 16 feet lower than what is shown here, it wouldn't actually look like a cupola. We learned this the hard way.

We've done a number of structures, catering halls and like that. The proportions of a cupola like that because they are viewed in a relative perspective from the ground, not from an even parallel sky plane when looking at a plan, they need to be a little bit taller to be proportionately correct. So, we are asking for that. We think it is vital and necessary. And I guess the next question would be that -- why even do a cupola. That is essentially -- to me another vital aspect to the design because it creates this sense of place that we like to have where it's not just the same old building and essentially it's done. You can go to Europe and any town or village, they have lots of cupolas around and part of it is

2.1 that it gives an identity to the place that you're 1 2 I didn't write these studies. You can read 3 them. 4 Basically, it makes people feel like 5 from an identifiable point of view, that this is a home and I like where I am and I know where I am 6 7 and that's the benefit we'd look to get to gain 8 from having this uniqueness that everybody can 9 recognize. Again, I already mentioned the 10 11 architecture, the large eves, and we've got 12 streamline detailing in the bottom canopy. 13 walkway in front of the building is covered and it 14 is streamlined so it will seem contemporary almost 15 in its lines, but it's mixed with the traditional 16 styling. That's about it. 17 Lou? 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Before -- sir, 19 are there any questions? 20 MR. SOLOWAY: Does the Board want to 2.1 question each witness? 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. 23 COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: I have a couple of 24 questions.

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

Thank you very much for the

presentation.

2.1

On Elm, do we know how many homes, how many residents are currently there?

MR. SUTTON: So far as I can tell, none. It looks like it's entirely business and industrial type usage on Elm.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: The berm that you're proposing and that I'm assuming is going to be around the southern westerly part of the property?

MR. SUTTON: It's actually already there. We're proposing to benefit from the existence of it. We're not creating it. It's actually just a natural -- maybe years ago, I don't know why it's there.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Do you know how high it is? That part is sunken down a little bit, right?

MR. SUTTON: Yes.

Basically, along this property line here, it rises up to six feet above the elevation of our first floor here and along this property over here, it's basically five feet. So we have -- for whatever reason, this back portion of the property is just lower than the property all around

it, essentially.

2.1

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Now, do you -- so, with all of the industrial piece behind that, do you think that would be an adequate sound barrier?

MR. SUTTON: Well, it's not --

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Or would you

require something else?

MR. SUTTON: The industrial zoning -it's light industrial. There isn't any -- I've
been to the site probably 20 times at all different
times of the day. These are buildings -- it's not
a noisy place. It's not like there was a sand pit
or something with heavy equipment in it. This is
actually -- I don't know. We didn't do any sound
testing or any measurement, but it was pretty -the road front of Jericho was the noisiest part of
the -- anywhere around.

That's why we pushed it back the way it is and the original development meaning that the trailer homes -- those residents went halfway up all almost to Jericho. So we are essentially taking that into account. I don't think there will be a sound issue for the residents at all.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Okay.

Thank you.

2.4 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Quick question, 2 you spoke of 44 apartments and also 61 senior 3 housing units. That's 105 units in all. You also 4 spoke about the history on the property, it was used for residences. 5 How many residences were on the 6 7 property previously? 8 MR. SOLOWAY: There were 121 trailer 9 homes on the property. 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 121, okay. 11 How much might this site change in 12 terms of parking needs? 13 MR. SUTTON: Change from -- how do you 14 mean? 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: How many spaces 16 are needed? You spoke about variances. That's 17 going to be my next question. 18 I assume you're looking for a parking 19 variance? 20 MR. SUTTON: No, no. We are not here 21 tonight requesting any parking variances. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Here tonight, but 23 you also spoke about the ZBA. 24 Will that be for parking variances? 25 MR. SUTTON: No, no. We -- our plan

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.1

2.5

essentially even when you evaluate them separately, each property -- the way the development is proposed, we exceed the required parking burden on both parcels.

MR. SOLOWAY: Mr. Supervisor, we have not yet gotten a denial letter from the Building Department as to all the variances we will need. We know we need a variance to exceed the 60-foot setback, not the 90-foot setback. We know we need the height variance.

Mr. Burn told me that there were several other variances, but he hasn't given me a letter.

MR. SUTTON: Special use.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: But in terms of parking, let's speak about that for a moment.

So, you have a number of cars per unit?

MR. SOLOWAY: Correct.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Plus, what did you expect for the commercial operation?

MR. SUTTON: What we used to establish the 242 stalls that we're providing is we use the code standards for the size and square footage of the retail area, the square footage of the restaurant anticipated usage and car traffic flow,

2.1

2.6

the size of the apartments and the number of them.

And, essentially, we -- the number that by direct code is 223 for the front portion of the property that we need to provide to be just compliant, but we are over that. We're providing 242 for just the front portion.

And the rear portion, again, we are -by code standard, we are required to have 79 stalls
and we are providing 88 stalls and those 88 stalls
are in the context of still, again, six large
planted tree islands in the parking lot. We could
actually put more. We could fit another eight
stalls or nine easily, if that did that help.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Any other questions?

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Yes.

I don't know if you said it or not, how many of the senior co-ops are going to be one or two bedroom; did you differentiate?

MR. SUTTON: They are all one bedroom, but we do have what is -- it's an open kind of den area type thing that we could certainly if somebody's staying over, they could stay in that portion of it, so...

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: How many square

27 feet is each unit? 1 2 MR. SUTTON: They are 1,050 square 3 feet. 4 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: That's a good 5 size. I have -- okay. 6 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Any other 7 questions? COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: No. 8 9 COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: I do. A quick 10 question about the restaurant. You're proposing 11 one restaurant, correct? Now, the other retailer 12 that's going to be in there, that's strictly 13 retail, any other proposed use like a sandwich shop 14 or like a deli that would require seating? 15 MR. SUTTON: Well --16 MR. SOLOWAY: Al, just one minute. 17 The applicant tells me that the senior 18 housing project will have two bedrooms, not one 19 bedroom. 20 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Of each unit --21 in each unit, all units? 22 MR. SOLOWAY: Yes. 23 MR. SUTTON: Thank you. Different project. 24 25 Did you have a question?

2.1

2.8

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Just back to the parking.

So, there's one proposed restaurant, but the another retail that you're proposing, will there be any deli-like situation where they would require additional -- you know, there'll be seating, so you'll require additional parking?

MR. SUTTON: Well, it's most -- right now, it's structured similar. I mentioned the hero shop. It's kind of a take-out setup. Under 16 people coming in, to eat and quick sandwich and leave. It's not so much that they're staying. It's -- the burden of the parking is not structured yet for a specific number of additional tables or something like that.

We included the restaurant use because we anticipated that we would have a restaurant, a formal actual restaurant. So but the hero shops or like a pizzeria, they don't have a parking burden that's higher than a pharmacy or something like that based on the square footage, they don't.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Will there be any overflow parking so if all the parking spots are filled in front, will they be able to share some of the spaces in the back?

1 I guess my question is, in the back, 2 will they have assigned parking places? 3 MR. SUTTON: No, the assigned spaces 4 for the residents would be in the enclosed space 5 under the building. None in the parking lot. assigned. All open. That's the intent. 6 7 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: The two projects 8 are presented together? 9 MR. SUTTON: Correct. 10 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Does that mean 11 that you'd only be willing consider doing both of 12 them or if they were bifurcated, would you be able 13 to proceed with one or the other? 14 MR. SUTTON: Again, I mentioned that 15 earlier. I'll check with the owners, but my sense 16 was that the projects could stand on their own and could be evaluated on their own. They conform to 17 18 the zoning within the 2.8 and 2.6 sizes once the 19 subdivision were perfected. I would guess that... 20 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So one, the other 2.1 or both, it doesn't matter to you --22 MR. SUTTON: Well, it matters. 23 MR. SOLOWAY: We want to put up two 24 projects.

We think that --

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

30 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Lou, could you talk into the microphone so everyone could hear you? MR. SOLOWAY: We think that the senior housing in the back is beneficial to the Town. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I think so, too. I'm so glad you're proposing senior housing. It's very rare that we get a proposal like that. It's much needed. They need places to live. MR. SOLOWAY: We have spoken to the Commissioner and they love it. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I wish more developers would propose housing. MR. SOLOWAY: We anticipate that this will be two separate projects. Because the senior housing has to be a rental thing which becomes a condominium -- a co-op rather and that has to stand

on its own since we're creating one lot just for them and the other lot for the commercial and market housing.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So, from the builder standpoint, they could be viewed as two separate projects; both of them -- they are interested in building individually and together?

2.1

MR. SOLOWAY: Probably. I can't guarantee it, but probably they will both proceed a pace, so to speak. We're not going to just blast out one and then forget the other. We're doing both.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: If we were to look favorably upon one of the projects and not the other, they'd still be interested in doing the one project, either project on its own?

MR. SOLOWAY: Well, if can't have both projects, we can't just have the senior housing in the back and then what do we do.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: That's my question.

If only one were to be approved, would you be interested in building the one or it has to be both?

MR. SOLOWAY: We would be interested in building the front project, the northerly project if we had to build one and then we'd have to find something else to do in the rear which would probably be some sort of industrial use.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: But not the other way around?

MR. SOLOWAY: No.

32 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any 2 other questions of our Board members? 3 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Mr. Sutton, I'm 4 not sure if this should be towards you. 5 I'm not sure I'm saying this right, a Bioswale, is that something you design or 6 7 landscape? 8 MR. SUTTON: That's why we have our 9 landscape architect here. 10 MR. SOLOWAY: He is next up. 11 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: What is that, 12 sir? 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: He's up next. 14 MR. GIBNEY: Good evening. 15 My name is Rich Gibney. I'm a 16 landscape architect, registered in New York since 1986. 17 18 Although I grew up in Westbury, I do 19 know Oyster Bay very well. I served on the 20 Planting Fields Board of trustees for 16 years. 2.1 Our office designed the new Planting Fields parking 22 lot and Sensory Garden. I had many projects in 23 Oyster Bay over the years. I know Mike Quashing 24 pretty well. I was happy to be invited to work on 25 this project with a nice team.

2.1

The architect designs a beautiful building or buildings. The engineers come in and make sure that the parking works. The fire trucks can get in. The drainage works and then we get to make it beautiful. We come in and we have to come in with plantings.

about three years ago and one word came to my mind, and that was blight. We walked in the back. There were old trailer homes. There were trees that have not been cared for, if ever, 30, 40 years. A lot of what I would call high risks trees. There was not a lot to be saved, let's put it that way.

On the perimeter, there are some trees that are worth saving and they show on the plan.

Even some of those are not in great condition. We sort of looked at it as an empty slate that we could beautify.

I always like to look ahead to the next 30, 40 years. When I see trees that are in poor condition, I want to get them out as soon as possible so we can get the next tree going because a tree in poor condition is not going to last another ten years and that gives me ten years on the new trees. So, on the 30-year plan, you're way

2.1

ahead of the game. This is how we look at a site like this.

There is a lot of construction. There is a lot of parking. There are requirements for the paving. So, what we try to do is muscle or our way to get a few extra parking spaces into parking -- I'm sorry, into planting. Where the trigger mount is on the end here, we did put in a rain garden at the urging of the Oyster Bay planning people, and we complied.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: For those who don't know what a rain garden is.

MR. GIBNEY: Rain garden basically takes the water that comes into this parking lot all goes -- instead of going into the edges into catch basins that go into dry wells, it's all directed to this center island which is a depressed island which has plants that like it wet.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: And are native.

MR. GIBNEY: Some are native; some aren't. We have a combination of native plants.

We try to use many native plants here. We use what are called nativars which are native cultivars and we use many nonnative heart plant that are suited to this site. There is a peripheral screening of

2.1

the entire site with large evergreens. We try to space those appropriately so they continue to screen for many years.

We have -- we're introducing at least 50 plant varieties to the site. I did a quick count. There are actually 30 on the front part of the site, new plants, and there are as many as 30, 40 on the Gramercy Park area. A combination of native plants, Native American trees. We have some Linden, Tilia, Carpinus, which is American hornbeam, as well as some ginkgo and trees are not necessarily native, but are very tough and pest resistant, drought resistant.

What we've also done is incorporate front planting that has a lot of variety, a lot of color, red dogwood, a combination of grasses, birch trees. We have a little bit of difficulty in the front island because of some large overhead wires, so we're conscious of those in the planting of trees away from the wires.

But a good combination of evergreen and deciduous plants. What we did do also is the -this is the main building. It looks like a big
white roof on this plan. If you look over here is
what we call vegetative roof. There is a solar

2.1

array off one end, which is all solar panels. None of these would be seen from the ground.

This garden will not be seen from the ground, but it will be beautiful for the owners -I'm sorry, for the residents. It's a combination of walking paths, seating areas and a vegetative roof which will help cooling and heating. If you're familiar with vegetative roofs, I think the first ones were in Germany. Chicago has probably the most in the U.S. right now, but it's becoming much more poplar because it gives much more life to the roof itself because the solar radiation doesn't hit the roof.

The plantings actually take up rain water. Most vegetative roofs like this, the first inch of rain gets absorbed. It doesn't run off the building. Okay. By the second or third inch, there is a system in place to allow it to drain normally. The same thing with the rain garden, the rain garden will take that first inch of rain and then what will happen is if we had a five-inch rain, it would go to dry wells at that point, as an overflow system.

Outside of that, we have some screening basically of the buildings themselves and the

37 1 Dumpsters. I'm open to -- let me what else I wrote 2 here. No. 3 Any other questions? 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any 5 questions of this expert? COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Yes. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Go ahead, Councilman. 8 9 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Are you satisfied 10 with the buffer that you developed here between the 11 industrial property -- the light industrial 12 property -- and the senior residences? 13 In other words, I see approximately --14 I'm not sure how many feet that is, maybe it's 15 about 10 feet between the proposed walkway and the 16 property line of the industrial property over by 17 Elm. 18 Do you know what I'm talking about? 19 MR. GIBNEY: Yeah. The proposed 20 meandering walkway that goes around the property? 2.1 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Right. 22 MR. GIBNEY: That was added actually on 23 the project at the request of Oyster Bay planning 24 people. And we wanted to keep that walkway as far 25 as from the buildings as possible, yet as close to

2.1

the screening as we could put it. I do feel like the screening is adequate.

I'm not sure exactly what the question is.

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: How many feet of screening is there? I can't -- it doesn't --

MR. GIBNEY: It does vary because in order to make the walkway interesting, it angulates slightly. It's not just a straight walkway. It has a little bit of a curvilinear factor to it.

So, the distance of screening vary from -- I'm going to say -- a minimum of 8 to 10 feet you think? A minimum of 8 to 10 and then it opens up to as much as 20 feet in some areas.

The screening for this project -- for these homeowners. I mean, this is an industrial type, light industrial area. We're not necessarily trying screen them from us. We're trying to screen us from them.

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: That's -- my point is once we -- assuming this development goes forward, once we place seniors into that portion of the property, are we going to be hearing about noise complaints, trucks traffic, et cetera, if the screening is only -- I'm looking here at minimum

2.1

you're saying at some point it's only 6, 10 feet, right, and then it gets large on the corners, but my point is that -- I mean, I see how long this walkway is, but maybe that area would be best suited to provide a much denser screening between the residents and the industrial portion.

I just think it's something I'm going to query our Commissioner of Planning and Development and our Commissioner of Senior Housing over there. That will tell us about the kinds of complaints that we typically get when we put senior homes into a light industrial area.

MR. GIBNEY: I understand. We've done quite a few senior housing and developments actually in many different settings.

Keep in mind, there are these topographic elevations on both sides of this property. One is five foot, one is approximately six foot. Some of the planting -- we're trying to keep the plantings as close to the property line as possible, as far up the hill as possible, thereby getting more height out of the plantings.

Do you have a comment? Al might have a comment.

MR. SUTTON: I was just going to add

2.1

that in terms from the interior of the senior unit, it's not -- we anticipate the perimeter sound walls and triple glazing. As a comparative, there's a few luxury townhomes that are right on the Northern State they built recently. When you're in those units, they are completely -- you don't hear any other traffic in full like 5:00 rush-hour type noise, so from the interior of the unit, we don't -- again, certainly, it's not other residents around us. We're surrounded by light industrial and it's a valid concern.

anticipating from a construction point of view that there will be no issue in that regard, and that sound wise, we could easily regulate that and the decibel, we didn't measure anything yet, but the exterior didn't seem inordinately, you know, unusually loud. It was mostly coming from Jericho. So I think we will be fine in terms of that regard.

And what Rich was eluding to, that depression, the planting so far as decibel regulating sound is Zero. You don't get any benefit from screening. It's only a visual screen, all the plantings you want to put. You can put 25 feet deep and effectively the sound will go right

2.1

through it, so there is no benefit.

That's why along the Expressway all those solid walls have gone up because that's the only way to stop sound if you some elevation differential or some grade or a berm, those are the benefits.

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: So, the sound would be dealt with from the construction of the unit and that's in your plans that you're going to -- that is in your plan as you develop?

MR. SUTTON: Yes.

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Would you be willing -- I should ask Mr. Soloway, are you willing to covenant to that restriction that you would, in fact, use the kind of sound deadening -- the sound --

MR. SOLOWAY: Absolutely.

I'd like to also point out that on the westerly side of the building, we abut the parking area of the office building, so there's no industrial uses there.

On the easterly side, these are basically office buildings. This is a hotel.

There's very little going on here. Down in the southerly side, it's all warehousing.

42 COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: I was there the 1 2 other day. It's a lot of trucks, though. 3 there -- I was there during the week. It was a lot 4 of trucks going back and forth. 5 MR. SOLOWAY: We will covenant that we will use sound mitigating construction and keep in 6 7 mind that there were 121 families living on this 8 site for many, many years, so it has a history of 9 residential use. 10 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: I understand 11 that. 12 Again, I think this is a completely 13 different kind of development and I think you're 14 going to have --15 MR. SOLOWAY: Absolutely. 16 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: The way our 17 senior housing works, I'm not sure if the owners 18 are familiar with building senior housing. 19 I should ask you, Mr. Soloway, have 20 they built senior housing -- is there any history 2.1 of building senior housing --22 MR. SOLOWAY: No, they have not. 23 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: -- in the Town? 24 So, there is a learning curve here in 25 terms of providing the right kind of amenities that

43 1 seniors expect in the Town of Oyster Bay. MR. SOLOWAY: We will covenant the use 2 3 of noise mitigating materials in construction. Thank you. 4 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: 5 That's great. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Any other 6 7 questions? 8 MR. GIBNEY: Any more questions on the 9 site? SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. I didn't 10 11 notice fencing and while it's not make or break, 12 but I'm curious, do you plan any kind of opaque 13 fencing? 14 MR. SOLOWAY: Repeat that, please. 15 MR. GIBNEY: As per code, yes. Six-foot chain-link on the outside? 16 17 Talking about a solid fence, I was not involved in 18 that, but there's a solid fence on the property 19 line that would meet code. 20 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there other 2.1 questions on landscape? 22 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: That concrete 23 retaining wall, does that rise above -- is that 24 part of what you're calling a five-foot over the 25 street level?

44 MR. SUTTON: Which wall? 1 2 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: The concrete 3 retaining wall I see. 4 MR. SUTTON: There is a wall -- this 5 part here? 6 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Yes. 7 MR. SUTTON: No. I was discussing this 8 gradient differencem that's all on the side. This 9 wall is here because that is retaining the -- the 10 first floor of the hotel is seven or eight feet 11 above the grade on the property there. That wall 12 is absolutely a functional retaining wall. 13 sound deadening impact because it's underground. 14 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: That's what I was 15 curious about. 16 Thank you. 17 MR. GIBNEY: Would that be all? 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just from your 19 professional perspective, how does this fit in with 20 the rest of the community; what will the look be if 2.1 you're offsite driving by, walking by, riding a 22 bicycle and also, on site from the design, what 23 will be the difference from the rest of the 24 community? 25 MR. GIBNEY: Well, having been on site

45 1 earlier, in short, anything would be nicer than 2 what was there. This is actually quite beautiful, 3 I think the building, the fenestration on it, 4 especially compared to some of the adjacent 5 buildings, I think it's a vast improvement. I'm looking at some of the -- the 6 7 building to the right which is just a line of 8 windows and rather boring in a way, but it is what 9 it is. It's there. I find this building to have 10 much more of a residential appeal, again, with the 11 fenestration and the combination of plantings that 12 will be there compared to what's around it. 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any 14 other questions? 15 (No verbal response given.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 16 17 Mr. Soloway? 18 MR. SOLOWAY: Our next presenter is Pat Lenihan. Our traffic consultant will discuss the 19 20 traffic impacts of the site which have been -- we 2.1 gave the Town a full traffic impact statement. 22 Pat? 23 MR. LENIHAN: Thank you, Lou. 24 Good evening, Supervisor Saladino, 25 Members of the Town Council.

2.1

My name is Patrick Lenihan. I am the Director of Transportation and of VHB Hauppauge's office.

Part of the work of VHB and Dave

Wortman will speak a little bit more later about

the overall environmental approach, but what my

office did was generate a detailed traffic impact

study for the application in keeping with standard

and accepted practice of studies of that nature and

that traffic impact study was appended to the

expanded EAF presented as part of the application.

Briefly, the traffic study looked at traffic safety, site access, parking which we've spent some time on already, future volumes and capacity conditions without the proposed redevelopment and conditions that we expect to exist after the redevelopment. Of course, to compare the two.

The proposed redevelopment, first, a little bit about the existing site. We talked about what was on the site, the existing buildings. The site is served now by four uncontrolled driveways across it's slightly 300-plus feet of frontage. Those are four driveways, curb cuts where drivers visiting the site now can make lefts

2.1

into the site, lefts out of the site, rights in, right out. There are no restrictions.

What I'm going to mention later on and it was mentioned previously was that we are proposing to build a traffic signal there and it will serve one single driveway, so, from an access management perspective, and a safety perspective, that's a big improvement over what's there now.

In our study, no credit was taken for the traffic generation of the existing uses on the site. We essentially looked at it as if this was all new. We took no credit for the elimination of anything on the site. So that's a conservative way of doing things.

As far as potential impacts, the study looks at the affects of the additional traffic, not only at the driveway but at a couple of signalized locations east and west of the site; specifically, Michael Drive and Underhill Boulevard, and what we found was that when we introduced the site traffic to the existing condition, without the redevelopment, we look at changes and delays and levels of services at those intersections and what we found was, and it's detailed in the traffic study, very, very small increases in delays and no

2.1

changes in levels of service at those locations as a result of the introduction of traffic due to what we have here.

Again, the site access, there will be one fairly central. It will be signalized. That signal will also serve the commercial development on the north side of Jericho Turnpike.

So, as a side benefit to that, what will happen is folks over there currently, they can't make a left out of that driveway. It's prohibited by sign. Some people cheat.

But with the introduction of the traffic signal, some of those folks who are currently using Underhill Boulevard will have the opportunity to come out here and that will take a little bit of pressure of of Underhill Boulevard as well.

The traffic study was reviewed by Town staff and their professional consultants. I also had two meetings with State DOT. The study was submitted to State DOT and reviewed. They are in agreement. We've gone over the site, the site access and the installation of a traffic signal, so they're on board with that. They're awaiting plans for the work within the right-of-way should this

2.1

project be approved and move forward.

In closing, based on our detailed study, it is my professional opinion that the approval, construction and operation of the redevelopment that is proposed would not cause a significant negative impact to traffic in the area of the site and I thank you for your time.

And if you have any questions?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any

questions? Councilman?

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Can you just go over the trip generation? I'm not sure if you mentioned that.

MR. LENIHAN: Sure.

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: The peak time, the peak trip generation.

MR. LENIHAN: As you -- we've probably been before you, us boring traffic engineers, we look at weekday peak hours of commuting traffic a.m. and p.m. and the Saturday midday. So, what we have here with the residences, commercial, retail space, restaurant space, in total in weekday morning -- and I need my glasses because I'm going to move into senior housing soon -- in the morning, we've got 63 trips in an hour, so roughly one a

2.1

minute. So, that's mostly exiting in the evening because of residences. In the p.m., 145. So that would be, you know, a little less than three a minute. That's fairly split evenly between entering and exiting.

On Saturday, 167 in a one-hour period which is under three per minute split in both directions. So, again, we took no -- we took no -- when we applied that traffic to Jericho Turnpike, we didn't take credit for the elimination of the existing trips.

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just one quick question to differentiate parking and trips needed for different types of eateries, the subject came up a little earlier in this hearing, can you just describe to us briefly the difference of the needs between a sit-down restaurant where people are going to stay for some time and enjoy a full meal versus a delicatessen, hero shop where there are two similar places of similar square footage?

MR. LENIHAN: Sure.

A sit-down restaurant, of course, folks are going to visit the restaurant. They're going to sit down and probably have waitress service.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

51

They're going to linger for a while.

It was mentioned a deli, hero shop.

I'm not sure deli and hero shop would coexist next

4 to each other. They might compete a little bit.

So a hero, shop there's probably some breakfast activity and the heaviest peak would be lunchtime, but the hero shop is a quick hit, in and out fairly quickly.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Which generates more trips, which would need more parking spots between the deli or hero shop versus the sit-down restaurant?

MR. LENIHAN: The sit-down restaurant.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Requires more

parking spots --

MR. LENIHAN: More parking because people are going to --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And generates more trips?

MR. LENIHAN: Yes. People are there longer. They have, you know, more seats. The other things -- there's not a complete correlation between trips and parking. It depends how long folks linger at the site.

The hero shop is more of your quick hit

52 and then the hero shop probably doesn't do much for 1 2 dinner. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm looking at 3 this from a common sense perspective and I would 4 5 think the less expense the item is and that quicker the turnover generates more trips as opposed --6 7 MR. LENIHAN: It would but the hero shop -- I guess I'm thinking the hero shop wouldn't 8 9 be as large. 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Wouldn't be as 11 large. 12 MR. LENIHAN: As a restaurant. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And wouldn't 13 14 service as many hours perhaps? 15 MR. LENIHAN: They may not have much going on at dinner. They may have very little bit 16 17 at breakfast. COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: I was there during 18 19 the breakfast hours this weekend and that's exactly 20 how to explain it. Quick hit. They're in/out. 2.1 There are tables --22 MR. LENIHAN: And that's why you 23 wouldn't have a deli. 24 You wouldn't want --25 COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: -- sitting down --

2.1

it was almost like they were in a rush to get to work.

COUNCILMAN HAND: Mr. Lenihan, if you could just elaborate on the reduction from four curb cuts to one and the benefit of such?

MR. LENIHAN: Sure.

In traffic engineering, one of the better accepted principals and this is backed up by statistics is access management, and DOT has made great strides in this area over the years as folks come in with applications and that's reducing the number of access points to the extent that particular use will still function under. Four curb cuts with 300 some odd footage is a lot of curb cuts.

As you're driving down Jericho

Turnpike, you have to be more on guard,
essentially, for someone who is pulling out of this
site. With the traffic signal, they'll be
protected completely, but with the four curb cuts,
statistics tell us that with the same amount of
traffic with more curb cuts, there is a potential
for higher accident occurrence.

COUNCILMAN HAND: Thank you.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Mr. Lenihan, thank

2.1

you very much for your presentation.

I have in my background I used traffic studies many times. Thank you. I know sometimes how painstaking it can be sitting there counting cars all day long.

MR. LENIHAN: Thankfully, I don't count them any more. I've spent my time.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: So, the one traffic light, having worked with State DOT in the past, you said you've submitted the proposed use to DOT for the traffic light and they seem favorable upon that?

MR. LENIHAN: Correct.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Had they given you any indication of timeframe? I know that can some time.

MR. LENIHAN: They are awaiting permit plans which, you know, our construction plans --

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Permit plans, once they receive those, the timeframe of which they are able to move forward with putting the light in?

MR. LENIHAN: If we were to receive a favorable ruling of the site, we would probably initiate those right away. I would imagine we would get through DOT probably in three and a half

55 months' time. 1 2 COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Very optimistic. 3 MR. LENIHAN: They've gotten better. 4 They have, but --5 COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: So you expect that the traffic light will be there before, if this is 6 7 approved, you know, both uses open? 8 MR. LENIHAN: It could certainly be 9 there before, but we would time it, but we may not 10 get approval for a while, but it would certainly be 11 up running before, for instance, got the C.O. 12 COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Thank you. 13 MR. LENIHAN: Thank you. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 15 much. Counselor? 16 17 MR. SOLOWAY: Now, introduce Jason 18 Cooper, our environmental management consultant, to 19 discuss the environmental conditions on this site. 20 MR. COOPER: Hello. Good evening. 2.1 My name is Jason Cooper. 22 New York State licensed professional geologist. 23 work with CA Rich Consultants out of Plainview, 2.4 New York and I've been working there about twenty 25 years.

2.1

My company has worked on this project since 2017, beginning with preparation of a Phase I environmental site assessment. The site assessment was for the entire property.

What we did was -- for those of you that don't know Phase I environmental site assessment is basically a screening tool that the environmental industry uses to help determine the environmental quality of the property.

What we do is we research the history by reviewing fire insurance maps, aerial photographs, topo maps and government data bases.

In addition to the historical review, we go out for site inspections and we walk the entire site as much as we can and we inspect or observe adjacent properties.

So, our Phase I environmental site assessment from 2016 revealed that there may have been a couple of potential environmental concerns. As you're aware, there's the -- it's not up here now, but there's the County Auto Body which is in that approximate location and then down over here was the Trio Auto Repair shop.

Then somewhere in here, there was a former transmission shop, which when we went to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

57

inspect, no longer existed, so, because of our findings of Phase I, we recommended conducting Phase II environmental site assessment which involves sampling of soil or soil vapor, ground water, whatever we deem necessary at that time.

So, our Phase II investigation, we also did a 2016 shortly after Phase I. We completed an investigation on the northern side of the property and we collected soil samples and soil vapor samples. The results of our soil samples were pretty good. We only found some elevated levels of copper, which weren't too bad, and then we found some soil vapor in the ground which when we compare to New York State Department of Health Guidelines -- let me step back -- there are no Guidelines for soil vapor yet. There are for sub slab soil vapor, but what we did was we looked at the best data we had and it looked like the site may require mitigation and by mitigation, we mean the installation of a vapor barrier during construction of the building, a possible sub slab depressurization system if it's needed. Obviously, after those things are installed, we would want confirmatory sampling.

So, this brings us to 2017 when we

2.1

completed a preconstruction remedial investigation work plan -- sorry, remedial investigation work plan/remedial action work plan.

This work plan was completed to collect additional samples on the property where we had data gaps. We wanted to make sure that the data we got in the initial sampling round -- we needed to fill those data gaps to see what we would need to do going forward.

So, our plan going forward basically is to have this plan reviewed by the County as well as you, so you can see what's going on. Then anything that we do at the site will be governed by this remedial action work plan and anything that's done basically has to be done through the New York State Department of Health, the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and/or the Nassau County Department of Health.

So, anything that is environmentally related has to go through those channels. So, that's really it. Yeah. We just -- after we get work done, obviously, we will keep everybody informed of what we find and, you know, plans may change. We may require to put in certain things to, you know, keep the health of the residents, you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

59

know, it's our utmost importance right now.

That's all I have.

If anybody has any questions?

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Did your Phase II include the area where the senior housing will go?

MR. COOPER: So, for right now, our sampling, right now, is limited to this area.

Reason, being the back of property was strictly used for residential purposes. Our main concern at that time was the auto body shop, the auto repair shop and the former transmission shop.

But as far as sampling on this part of the site, we did not but that is something that we want to address because of what we found over here with the soil vapor, we need make sure that it's not a problem further south of the sample we took.

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Thank you.

MR. COOPER: You're welcome.

Mr. Soloway, if you could please comment on the terms of the agreement with the Syosset Central School District which identified mutually agreeable measures to mitigate potential impacts to the district associated with the generation of school

aged children in the Tribeca Square Residential

60 1 apartments. 2 MR. SOLOWAY: The school district had a 3 question about whether or not we were going to 4 utilize IDA inducements in the development of the 5 project. We have not yet decided whether we will or not. We agreed with the school district that if 6 7 we did, we would give a stipend to the school 8 district in a significant amount which they asked 9 for and if we do do IDA financing or inducements, 10 we will live up to that stipulation with the school 11 district. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Any other 13 questions? 14 (No response given.) 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Do you have any 16 other witnesses, Mr. Soloway? 17 MR. SOLOWAY: Yes. 18 We have one final witness, David 19 Wortman --20 MR. COOPER: Thank you. 2.1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, 22 Mr. Cooper. 23 MR. SOLOWAY: -- of VHB Engineering. 24 He is our environmental planning expert and he is 25 going to sum up for you.

61 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good evening, 2 sir. 3 Place state your presence. 4 MR. WORTMAN: Please. Thank you, Mr. Supervisor, Members of 5 the Board. 6 7 My name is David Wortman. I am the 8 senior environmental manager for VHB with offices 9 in Hauppauge. VHB was retained by the applicant to 10 11 review the proposed project with respect to 12 environmental and planning considerations. 13 The hour is getting late. I'll attempt 14 to be brief, but will be happy to answer any 15 questions as I wrap up. 16 But VHB had prepare a Part 1 environmental assessment form for submission along 17 18 with the application for the proposed project which contains a wealth of environmental data on the 19 subject property as well as the proposed project. 20 2.1 We had also prepared and supplemented 22 that form with an extensive, expanded environmental 23 assessment report which comprehensively addressed a 24 range of topics. Those included a detailed 25 description of the proposed action, an assessment

2.1

of water resources including ground water and storm water. Land use and zoning and community character were evaluated as part of that report.

You've heard about traffic. A traffic impact study was considered as part of that and included. Impacts on community facilities and services were addressed including school districts and other -- excuse me, other service providers.

Impacts on cultural resources and anesthetics were also addressed therein.

Following the submission of those materials, a coordinator review was undertaken on behalf of this Board by the Town with all involved agencies and potential interested agencies.

There's quite a list. It's included in the materials before the Board.

The application was subject to a detailed review with the Town's Planning and Development Department. VHB, our client, the applicant and this team worked closely with the Planning and Development Department, as well as their professional consultants, Nelson, Pope & Voorhis over a period of several months in reviewing consideration of various site planning but also environmental considerations.

2.1

We also coordinated closely with the Town's Environmental Quality Review Division, and their Environmental Department over that period as well.

The application was enhanced in a number of ways as a result of those coordination efforts. Those are detailed very specifically in the memo from the TEQR division, but, for example, there changes made to reduce overall height of the building, to include the walking paths as we talked about and some of those many green features that our landscape architect had described earlier and several others.

environmental considerations, the proposed project would be connected to public water supply and sewers, so there will be no use of or discharges to ground water with respect to sanitary waste, portable water. There are no wetlands or surface waters at or approximate to the subject property. There are not shallow ground water conditions.

We're over 100 feet to ground water beneath the site. We are not within a special ground water protection area. The Town's Aquifer Protection

2.1

environmental area that's designated pursuant to SEQRA.

There are no significant natural areas present and as the site was previously developed throughout. A comprehensive storm water management plan and swift will be implemented as part of the proposed development. There are no historic landmarks at the site, nor is the site within a designated archeological sensitive area.

The traffic impact study prepared, as you already heard, addressed considerations with respect to traffic. Overall after these extensive analyses, we respectfully submit that the proposed project would not result in significant adverse environmental impacts. That is consistent with the results of the TEQR division memorandum which contains a recommendation to this Board that they consider issuing a negative declaration pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act or SEQRA, otherwise known as a determination of nonsignificance.

With respect to certain planning considerations, as you know, the applicant is before you tonight requesting a change of zone for a portion of the property at the rear, as well as

2.1

2.4

special permit considerations regarding the use of the Tribeca Park property at the north portion.

The comprehensive analysis within the expanded environmental assessment details the mix of land uses that are present throughout this area as well as at the site under existing conditions.

We talked about visual considerations such as those you asked about before how existing views changed and community character overall. We also undertook a detailed review of the project with respect to the Town's special permit criteria.

And we respectfully submit that this proposed project would be compatible with the land use pattern in this vicinity, the established character of the neighborhood and meets all the relevant criteria for the issuance of this special permit. And, again, any interest in time just a few quick highlights with respect to planning and consideration.

The request for special permit approvals would essentially allow a similar condition that has existed at the subject property.

In other words, what I mean is there would continue to be a mix of commercial and residential uses on the site that's zoned light

2.1

industrial and in an area containing a mix of uses.

It's noteworthy that the commercial uses that are currently on the LI zone site are generally more intensive in nature than would be those proposed; meaning, the auto body, auto repair, former transmission shop or generally speaking more intensive commercial uses than would be the nature of the retail and restaurant uses proposed.

And, of course, the residential component is consistent to the historic use of the southern portion or much of the site with respect to the former mobile home park that occupied it.

Last, but not least, we respectfully submit that the project would be consistent with the relevant comprehensive land use plans for the area and the Town's stated purpose of its residents district for achieving a balanced array of housing types, sizes and densities in the matter consistent with the character of the existing neighborhood and protection of the environment.

That concludes my presentation. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very

25 much.

2.1

2.4

MR. WORTMAN: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Soloway?

MR. SOLOWAY: Let me just say, that over the past three and a half years, the applicant has been meeting with the Town Planning Department, reviewing the plans, improving the plans, accepting suggestions made by the Town Planning Department to improve the project.

We hope that the Board likes the project and will approve it and that is basically our presentation tonight.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

Are there any other questions from the Board members before we turn it over to the public?

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Yes, I do.

Mr. Soloway, before you take your seat, just a couple of questions that I thought might have been answered in presentation but were not, for the benefit of the public, everybody, they know that this is designed for what we call affordable housing for senior citizens. It's program this Town has developed over a couple of decades now.

I just was wondering what your client will consider an affordable unit for a two-bedroom senior citizen housing in this particular area.

68 1 Have you discussed the price point? 2 MR. SOLOWAY: No, we have not, but we 3 that's up to the Town department. We will go along what their recommendations are. 4 5 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: If I said \$200,000 a unit, you would go along with that? 6 7 MR. SOLOWAY: I think there are certain Guidelines that the Town has. 8 9 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: I get that. 10 That's what I thought this would --11 MR. SOLOWAY: We will work within those 12 Guidelines. 13 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: In other words, 14 you've come up with this density of almost 22 units 15 per acre. 16 I'm assuming THAT you're at that 17 density because this is what your client needs in 18 order to generate a profit on this particular --19 MR. SOLOWAY: Right. 20 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: -- on this 2.1 particular development. 22 They must have a price point in mind. 23 I'm trying to elicit what is the range. 2.4 MR. SOLOWAY: They did not. 25 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: The range you're

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

69 1 talking about to have a senior housing unit, a 2 two-bedroom unit over there. 3 MR. SOLOWAY: They have not. 4 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: So, I quess I'll 5 have to talk to our Town Attorney about that. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: They are aware 6 7 that they'll be working within the Town Guidelines. 8 MR. SOLOWAY: Absolutely. 9 Before my client even came to me, and 10 he came to me before he purchased the property, I 11 represented him in the transaction in purchasing 12 the property, he had already met with the Town, 13 with the Commissioner and the Deputy Commissioner, 14 and he came to me saying, "This is what we're going 15 to do." 16 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: What is the intended schedule for the buildout? When do you 17 18 plan to have the senior housing component completed 19 in --20 MR. SOLOWAY: I would say they're going 2.1 to build both projects at the same time. At least, 22 that's the present plan. 23 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Mr. Soloway, you 24 mentioned that the new taxes that would be 25 generated on this parcel on the commercial portion

70 will be 703 -- I'm sorry, yes, \$703,000. 1 2 MR. SOLOWAY: That's based on present 3 tax rates. 4 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Based on the 5 present tax rates, but I didn't hear you say what you estimate the taxes that would be generated from 6 7 the senior --8 MR. SOLOWAY: Again, we don't know that 9 because that's a function of the Town department --10 what the taxes will be based upon the purchase 11 price, et cetera. 12 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: I'd like to ask 13 our Receive of Taxes if he could possibly do that 14 research for us before we render any decisions in 15 the future and try to determine what our -- what 16 this whole property would generate in its entirety. 17 Thank you. 18 MR. SOLOWAY: Talk to the County on 19 that. 20

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Thank you.

2.1

22

23

24

25

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Anyone else?

We don't have any slips of residents.

We always ask residents if they would like to be heard. We have two here.

Would you fill out the slips? Anyone

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

else who would like to be heard, we ask you to fill out a slip and we'd like to hear from all of you.

We'd like to hear from anyone who would like to speak on this application.

While we're waiting, just to give everyone a sense of how the schedule is going to go this evening, right after we hear from the public, we are going to entertain a motion.

We are not voting on the application this evening, but we will be listening to everyone and then we are going to break for Executive Session and to give our stenographer a break as well. And that shouldn't take too long, but just to give you a sense and then we will come back and get right to the regular Resolutions calendar of the day.

(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. Okay.

We have a few names here of folks who would like to be heard.

First on this list is Ned Newhouse.

MR. NEWHOUSE: Good evening, Board.

Welcome new members.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good evening,

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

	72
1	Ned.
2	MR. NEWHOUSE: 362 Barrel Court,
3	Woodbury, New York.
4	I have a couple of questions.
5	Are these units maybe I missed it
6	are they for rental or for purchase?
7	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Lou, would you
8	like to address that?
9	MR. SOLOWAY: The units which are in
10	the northerly building, the three-story building,
11	those are rentals. The units in the senior housing
12	are for purchase.
13	MR. NEWHOUSE: So, how can it be low
14	income adult housing if it's for purchase?
15	COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Affordable.
16	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It's not low
17	income housing.
18	MR. NEWHOUSE: I'm sorry, affordable.
19	Does that mean that someone else can
20	sell it for a much higher price?
21	MR. SOLOWAY: No.
22	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It's the Town's S2
23	program.
24	MR. NEWHOUSE: I'm not familiar.
25	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Golden Age program

73 1 that operates throughout the town. 2 MR. NEWHOUSE: So then they are 3 restricted in subsequent sales --4 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Yes. 5 MR. NEWHOUSE: -- of how much they 6 can --7 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: There's a formula 8 based upon the consumer price index that the owners 9 -- the next owners that would purchase it would be 10 set. 11 Again, that price point that I 12 discussed earlier establishes the baseline and from 13 that point on, it will be the consumer price index 14 based upon how many years. 15 MR. NEWHOUSE: Thank you for explaining 16 that to me. I'm ignorant about that. 17 Does that mean in the adult housing 18 that school aged children are not permitted to live 19 in there, in the adult housing? Are they 20 restricted? My mother's unit in Florida, children 2.1 can't live there, and I'm concerned about burdening 22 the school. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Why don't you ask 24 all your questions and then we will get your 25 answers for you. Give us all your questions.

74 1 MR. NEWHOUSE: I have a lot and they're 2 not going to get answered. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We'll try to do 4 the best can. It's our intention to answer your 5 questions. MR. NEWHOUSE: All right. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Obviously, the 8 applicant is here to answer questions. 9 MR. NEWHOUSE: Okay. 10 Will there be restrictions on how many 11 people can live per unit because certainly we don't 12 want to overcrowd and overburden our school system? 13 Regarding security, will this be a 14 gated or card access property or is it open? 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Do you have an 16 answer to that, Mr. Soloway? 17 MR. SOLOWAY: It's not a gated 18 community. 19 MR. NEWHOUSE: It's open. 20 This property is less than a quarter 2.1 mile of Cerro Wire Superfund site. That property 22 is concerning to the extent that it cannot be used 23 for residents and only light industrial. 2.4 also not mentioned that this property -- if this 25 property will have a below ground level.

75 1 property abuts 150 Miller Place. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No, it doesn't. 3 That's incorrect. 4 MR. NEWHOUSE: This back end, it doesn't? 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No. That's not 6 7 correct. 8 MR. NEWHOUSE: It doesn't abut it, but 9 it's a quarter mile away. Fine. It's a half mile 10 away. 11 We all know that the Bethpage Plume has 12 travelled five miles and if that property is, 13 indeed, contaminated and we're still waiting for 14 environmental testing on that property, this 15 property might be contaminated in my opinion. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: If you have --16 17 MR. NEWHOUSE: It's concerning to me --18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: If you have 19 testing results, please, we want to see that. Any 20 information you might have. 2.1 MR. NEWHOUSE: Well, they haven't 22 tested the south side of the property that is the 23 closest to that 150 Miller Place, so that does 24 concern me. 25 I mean, this Board can certainly

76 approve this plan, but what happens if 150 Miller 1 2 Place is deemed contaminated? Who's going to want 3 to live there? That's less a quarter mile away. 4 Those are my comments and questions. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. Commissioner Fitzgerald, would you mind 6 7 approaching the podium? Can you give little bit 8 overview of the S1 program so that Ned and others 9 in the room are maybe curious about the facts, can get educated to that information? 10 11 COMMISSIONER FITZGERALD: Good evening, 12 Supervisor and Town Board. Maureen Fitzgerald, Commissioner of 13 14 Department of Community and Youth Services. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, 16 Commissioner. 17 There was a question by the previous 18 speaker about this system in terms of regulations 19 on S1 Housing. 20 Can you just describe to us how it 2.1 might apply to a project -- like this project or a 22 project like this? 23 COMMISSIONER FITZGERALD: I think 24 Councilman Labriola spoke very well about the 25 resales.

2.1

So, the units are owner occupied by a single person or a couple. In some cases, in recent years, we've a requests for aides as the population ages. So, there would never be more three people there, tops. Most times we find it's usually a couple or one person. There's mostly what we find in all of our developments.

Children are not permitted. No one is permitted under the age of 62 and one at least one of the two people living in the apartment, if it's a couple, have to be of the age of 62. We do not permit children. Trust me, the Boards and the homeowner's association there track that very well, so there will not be children living in the units.

None of our communities are gated.

They are all mostly on major roads like Jericho

Turnpike, Sunrise Highway, Woodbury Road, but none
of the developments overall are gated.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Councilman.

COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Commissioner, being that you're here, quick question, the waiting list for Syosset in our -- do you have any idea just a roundabout number?

COMMISSIONER FITZGERALD: We do. And

78 1 it's always fluid because we're always getting 2 applications in and we're always selling units. 3 But, currently, now we have 4 approximately 146 Syosset residents, applications 5 from Syosset residents that are interested in purchasing. If I can go on to the Town of Oyster 6 7 Bay, we have over -- around 976 Town of Oyster Bay 8 applications from Town of Oyster Bay residents 9 themselves. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So there's a great 10 11 need for senior housing? 12 COMMISSIONER FITZGERALD: I can speak 13 with great confidence about the need for senior 14 housing in the Town of Oyster Bay. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Commissioner. 16 17 COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: Thank you, 18 Commissioner. 19 COMMISSIONER FITZGERALD: You're 20 welcome. 2.1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. I believe 22 we answered all those questions. 23 So we will -- we missed one? Which one 24 was that, sir? 25 MR. NEWHOUSE: What about the

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

79 restrictions on how many people will live in the 1 2 rental units, not just adult housing? 3 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Ned, there's 4 building codes that restrict how many people can 5 live in any sort of housing unit. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Commissioner, 6 7 would you like to take that question? 8 Commissioner, please begin by stating 9 your presence. 10 COMMISSIONER MACCARONE: Commissioner 11 Elizabeth Maccarone of Planning and Development. 12 The number of people who would be 13 permitted to live within the apartments would be 14 based upon New York State Building Code based on 15 square footage of the bedrooms. 16 So the one-bedroom apartment, depending 17 on the size would allow for two or three people, 18 two bedrooms, two people per each room. Bedroom --19 potentially four. I have not run those numbers, 20 but we would -- if the Town would look favorably on 2.1 the application, those numbers are all set by the 22 New York State Building Code. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And not the Town 24 code?

COMMISSIONER MACCARONE: Not the Town

80 1 Board. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Does anyone have 3 any questions for Commissioner? 4 (No one responded.) 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Commissioner. 6 7 Our next speaker will be Mr. McKenna. 8 MR. McKENNA: Good evening. 9 Kevin McKenna. I do live at 3 Edna 10 Drive, Syosset, New York. 11 Just a few easy questions. 12 The -- I think it was the State 13 gentleman from the Department of Transportation 14 talked about the traffic light to be put there. 15 That, you all know, in case you don't 16 know, Jericho Turnpike is an extremely heavily 17 trafficked road as it approaches Underhill 18 Boulevard. I don't live too far from there. 19 the traffic backs up from the light at Underhill 20 Boulevard, especially at rush hour. It backs up like 106/107. 2.1 22 So, my question is, when you put this 23 traffic light there, it's going to -- it's going to 2.4 block traffic while the light is turned waiting for 25 people to come out of the senior center. And I'm

2.1

just wondering if that was taken into account, because it's going to make the backup even worse, the traffic light.

I also want to ask if -- just so that the Town Board does diligence -- one of the gentlemen said that this property was transferred in the year 2016. As we all know -- as we all know, a prior Town of Oyster Bay -- town attorney, his family owned that property, and I would like to know, and I'm sure you would all like to know, has the Inspector General of the Town of Oyster Bay, has he done diligence to make sure that the prior Town of Oyster Bay employee is not a part of the LLC that is making this application? And I just ask for you to take that into consideration and talk to the Inspector General.

We -- as Ned mentioned, the site -- the site is very, very close to a Superfund or a former Superfund site which he referenced as 150 Miller Place. And I appreciate the fact that your environmental man talked about the testing on the property, and I do encourage you to go further back on the property as it get closer to the Superfund site.

What I want to make sure that you're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

82

aware of, is that we are, right now, still waiting for the Department of Environmental Conservation to provide radioactive test results of ten ground water wells on the site that is very close to the property that you intend to build on.

So you might want to make sure that you understand what those results are before you put people on this site, Number One.

Number Two, on May 1st of 2018, Supervisor Saladino, after listening to about 70 residents at the Environmental Impact Statement Meeting at Syosset High School, where numerous residents -- and I'd be happy to give you a copy of the transcripts -- numerous residents have talked about how family members that have lived around this site which is very close to this property have experienced all different types of illnesses, deaths, cancers, neurological disease and because Supervisor Saladino is gracious enough to listen to everybody as well as Councilwoman Johnson, I believe was the only council member there, after that hearing, Supervisor Saladino and the Town announced independent testing would be provided on that site very close to where you want to put people.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

83

And that was announced June of 2018 that independent testing would be done in addition to the testing that DEC did in October of 2018 and we are waiting now 14 months. It's actually 16 months right now. The DEC still has not provided to the public and to the Town those test results. So, I encourage you to be very, very aware of that. I'm going submit to the record -- for the record, the announcement that was made by Supervisor Saladino on June 18th of 2018. I'd like to submit that to the record -- for the record. It's the official announcement that was made that we are still, to this day, waiting on the Town to let the public know --SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna. MR. McKENNA: -- what is going on with the independent testing? We don't know. Thank you. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Two items. Wе are waiting on the DEC, just to correct you. MR. McKENNA: You're waiting on the DEC as well as your independent testing. Thank you.

Our next speaker

SUPERVISOR SALADINO:

	84
1	is Julia Muench.
2	Good evening.
3	MS. MUENCH: Good evening.
4	Thank you for the time.
5	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Of course.
6	MS. MUENCH: So, just to quickly run
7	through my questions.
8	MS. FAUGHNAN: Can you give your name?
9	Spell your name for the record.
10	MS. MUENCH: I'm Julia Muench,
11	J-U-L-I-A, M-U-E-N-C-H.
12	I'm a member of Indivisible Nassau
13	County.
14	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And you reside
15	10 Fox Place, Hicksville, New York?
16	MS. MUENCH: That's correct.
17	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you so
18	much.
19	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: What is
20	Indivisible Nassau County?
21	MS. MUENCH: We are a group of people
22	who are working to build an infrastructure of
23	communication on progressive issues at the local
24	level.
25	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It's a political

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

organization?

2.1

MS. MUENCH: Yes.

So, the questions that I had concerning this development, the -- you know, I just looked at Google Earth briefly and I saw that it's currently a forested parcel or at least it has a lot more trees than what is being proposed in the development.

And so I was curious if we could get -they talked about the current trees that are there
being a blight, but we didn't talk about how many
are coming down versus how many are being planted.

On a related note, I appreciate that the development has solar panels that are planned for the rooftop, and, so I was curious if those solar panels are going to be providing for all of the development's electrical needs; and if not, whether at least on the senior housing, whether the co-ops would be planning to be built with south facing roofs, so that if those purchasers chose to improve their property by installing solar panels, they would have that capacity.

I was also concerned with replacing a trailer park. I appreciate that we have affordable senior housing absolutely, but -- and I definitely

86 appreciate that the Town of Oyster Bay needs senior 1 2 housing, but we are aging and we're aging for a 3 reason because young people can't afford to live 4 here, so I was curious if the rental properties, if 5 there was any provision being made for low income accommodation there. 6 7 So, that's my questions. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 9 MS. MUENCH: Thank you. 10 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Thank you, Julia. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you like to 12 address some of those issues at this time or would 13 you like to do it at your wrapup? MR. SOLOWAY: I'd like to hear all of 14 15 them. 16 Ask us which questions you want 17 answered. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: First, let's 19 start off with the tree density issue. 20 Perhaps your --2.1 MR. SOLOWAY: Richard? 22 MR. GIBNEY: We actually did an 23 assessment of the site, again, going back 24 approximately two and a half to three years ago. 25 And we did, as part of our plan, the

2.1

entire list of trees -- 1 through 75A, I'm not sure why it's an A -- I think it was left out at one point, so it was added on, but there were 75 trees on this site, and there's a combination of many of them being removed, mostly, because they're in poor condition, many because of construction and hazardous conditions created by those trees.

For example, there is a Number 22 is an oak tree, a 26-inch oak that had a major split in the trunk and is considered a hazardous tree.

As I gad said earlier, when we came to this site, there were no outstanding specimen trees to this site, let's start with that. Most of the trees were in fair to poor condition. In a situation like this, especially with some of these trees, there's another split hazard tree which is a 48-inch silver maple. There were quite a few Norway maples that are invasive trees that are sort of at the end of their useful life on that site because they're either split or dropping limbs, in very poor condition.

I'm trying to get some other examples.

There are trees we're looking to preserve. I'm

looking at a 12-inch oak, 10-inch oak. In fact,

I'll run through the list here to find some more

88 1 preserved. There is an ailanthus on the property 2 line that I normally wouldn't even preserve, but 3 because it's healthy we decided to leave that. 4 Most of the trees on or near the property line are being preserved as they are not dangerous trees. 5 So what might look like a large canopy in Google 6 7 Earth in a lot of cases are some of these trees 8 that are in very poor condition. 9 What we don't want to do either is we don't want to excavate for foundations within the 10 11 roots zone of tree that we're trying to preserve. 12 So, I would much rather see that tree 13 come out and be replaced by another tree which 14 we're trying to do also. We're trying to put new 15 trees back in. 16 This list could be made available, I 17 assume, right? 18 MR. SOLOWAY: Yes. 19 MR. GIBNEY: It's part of the public 20 record. 2.1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Could you tell us 22 the number of trees and bushes, total plantings, 23 that would be added if this was approved? Do you 24 have a total?

It's going to be quite a

MR. GIBNEY:

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

89

bit, but I can give an example.

Well, shrubs and Forbes, they call them, or perennials, for instance, one plant Amsonia which is a native, it's called a three-leaf -- Thread-Leaf Bluestar, we have 800 of those going in. Let me get to some trees. Twelve Heritage Birch, which is one of the nativars, I was talking about before special to nigra. We have eleven red maples going in and this is just in the front property now. Two gingkos in the front.

Then, let me go to the back the property --

MR. SOLOWAY: If I can just say this while Rich is looking at this, this was all submitted to the Town. You have this information.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We just want --

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Just for the

resident's question.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Residents, this resident and any others that may be --

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: We have a lot information.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- interested in

knowing --

25 MR. GIBNEY: In terms of natives and

90 1 trees, we have nine American hornbeams which is a 2 native deciduous tree. We're looking at 3 twenty-five American Hollys, also American native. 4 We have several dogwoods. I'm just trying to 5 I'm not going to go through all the evergreens. There are quite a few evergreens going 6 7 in as part of screening. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Approximately, 9 give us --10 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I think what the 11 resident was asking was the number before and the 12 total number of trees after. 13 MR. GIBNEY: Well, it's going to be several hundred. 14 15 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So a lot more 16 trees. 17 MR. GIBNEY: 200 evergreens going in, 18 As far as deciduous trees, it's probably 19 about 40 or so going back in, approximately. And 20 we could put more, if we wanted to. I really like 2.1 to think of -- I like to project canopies and see 22 -- we don't want to crowd it to the point --23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We always 24 appreciate it when applicants work with residents --25 MR. GIBNEY: Oh, no problem.

91 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- to come up 2 with aspects of the project that make them very 3 resident friendly. 4 MR. GIBNEY: I agree. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And to either fit in with the community or enhance the community as 6 7 well as our environment. 8 MR. GIBNEY: One thing I'll note is we 9 are providing great diversity among the trees. 10 We're putting in species -- several 11 different species that don't exist there now, and 12 we're getting rid of some of the invasive such as 13 Norway Maple, Black Locust and trees that are 14 proving to be invasive. In fact, you can no longer 15 buy or plant them on Long Island. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, if approved, the environment on the site would be far more 17 18 diverse than it currently is? 19 MR. GIBNEY: No question. 20 And we have had this reviewed by the 2.1 planning group. We did field a couple of questions 22 of theirs and made one or two adjustments. But I'm 23 very confident that this will provide much more 24 diversity. 25 There's no diversity out there right

25

92 1 now, so it will be highly diverse. I believe we're 2 introducing at least 70 different species of the 3 plants out there. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Julia, does that 5 answer all your questions? MS. MUENCH: Yes. 6 7 Thank you very much. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And the other 9 question in regard to the self-sufficiency based on 10 the solar panels proposed, would this site be -- do 11 we have a sense of what percentage of the 12 electrical needs would be supplied by those onsite 13 panels? 14 MR. SUTTON: Currently, the panels are 15 -- they use the existing grid. It's essentially a 16 symbiotic relationship where the electricity is 17 generated. The panels are just going to kind of a 18 bank into a fund. So, there is a credit that goes back to the owner which benefits the residents. 19 20 There's not an actual -- you know, it can't be 2.1 shared directly with the seniors in the rear of 22 the -- we can have the roof system solar ready. 23 is a beautiful southern part of the property, so it

We haven't as of yet. It was just hard

would be easy to accommodate that.

2.1

to resist the big flat roof of the new building in the front, so it was great target.

Actually, just so you know, most of the solar panels we've done them on a number of our projects, the flat roofs are actually better than the slope because they're just weighted systems that sit on the roof. The panels are slightly angled. They're completely invisible from any angle around and you just load it up and it gets —the solar panels of today, it's just the photons, they don't have to directly hit like at any angle as soon as the sun comes up, as soon as there is light, they start — they become activated.

with suggested that. The flat roofs are easy because it gets the full spectrum of the sun going over because it gets the higher exposure; whereas, a sloped roof it diminishes the impact of the light earlier in the day you get there. So, basically, that's the solar answer.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: If I may re-ask you the question because I'm still not sure of the answer. Let me rephrase that.

Have you designed these rooftops to accommodate as much -- as many solar panels as many

2.1

photocells as is reasonable to get on those rooftops? Have you maxed out as much is as reasonable to fit the space?

MR. SUTTON: The commercial building and the apartments in the front, okay, the Tribeca building is -- we are showing the solar array, but it is not maxed out. We can -- we will -- at this point, we haven't done so. Once the project is rolling, we will consult the solar engineers and they set up -- it's -- we can fit more panels on that roof at the senior development in the back.

We haven't addressed any solar contributions to those units. I mean, we could certainly do so. We haven't done it as of yet. We just wanted to initiate that as a project -- in the whole of the project, we do anticipate taking advantage of those benefits and, listen, if it's approved, we will continue in that direction and it will be expanded.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, the applicant is interested in employing as much green technology as reasonably possible?

MR. SOLOWAY: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

MR. SUTTON: Okay.

95 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Our next speaker 1 2 is Scott Merandi. 3 MR. MERANDI: Good evening, Board. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: How are you, Scott? 5 6 MR. MERANDI: Good. 7 Hi. My name is Scott, S-C-O-T-T, Merandi, M-E-R-A-N-D-I. And I'm the owner of 8 9 Village Heros in Syosset. 10 A couple of things. I heard someone 11 mention landmark. I would think there isn't any 12 landmark. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: You're the 13 14 landmark. 15 MR. MERANDI: Village Heros, third 16 generation. My mother previously, Rikki and Eli, 17 the owners of Village Heroes. 18 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Are you going to be displaced by the project? 19 20 MR. MERANDI: That's what I'm -- I'm 2.1 hoping that's not going to happen. I heard them 22 say restaurant. I don't if that's going to be us 23 or another shop that's going to be only -- I heard 24 only 16 tables maybe. 25 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Earlier in their

96 1 presentation, it seemed like they were indicating 2 that you would be staying. 3 MR. MERANDI: I would stay, right, but 4 if it's going to be a small little shop where -because we get hundreds of people a day that come 5 in there, that will not be able to be accommodated 6 7 if that were to happen. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are you currently 8 9 negotiating with the applicant? 10 MR. MERANDI: They say that I will 11 stay. You know, he did give me a handshake 12 agreement that I would be able to stay, but I 13 didn't know if I was going to be the restaurant 14 part or just a smaller part. It's not like --15 we're a family restaurant. We have served the 16 community for a long, long time, 48 years. And I 17 would just like not to see Village Heros leave. 18 That would be bad for Syosset, Jericho. it's a landmark. 19 20 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Absolutely. 2.1 MR. MERANDI: Kids work there, come and 22 gone. 23 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Where will the 24 workforce go to lunch? 25 MR. MERANDI: Yes.

2.1

But I understand the reasoning for senior housing. My mom was sick. Maybe she's looking to get into a place. Maybe that will be room there. Hopefully, somehow, in the capacity Village Heros can stay there. I speak on my mother, Rikki, Eli, Bob, the people who have come before me. So, basically, that was my only question, was that restaurant meant for us or was to -- we're going to be on the smaller capacity over there? Are you going to have a bigger, maybe a chain? Because we're not a chain restaurant.

Another question, if they do -- if it is approved and they get the permits and whatever, are we allowed to stay there while the process is being done or does it all -- all going to be closed off all at one shot? That's pretty much it.

Thank you for your time and have a good night.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: One quick question, you spoke to or suggested a number a little earlier.

About how many trips, how many customers walk into your store in a day, on the average day?

98 MR. MERANDI: I'm going to say 500 to 1 2 maybe 800 people a day. 3 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I'd like to get 4 some clarify from the applicant. 5 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Do you see a lot of accidents with those four different curb cuts? 6 7 MR. MERANDI: Have I seen it --8 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: It's a tough 9 spot. 10 MR. MERANDI: I've seen it in the past, 11 yes. More when the trailer park was there, I've 12 seen accidents. 13 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Without the 14 light? 15 MR. MERANDI: Without the light, yes. 16 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Okay. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: On average, how 18 long do those -- does the average car stay in your 19 lot, the 500, 800 trips per day? 20 MR. MERANDI: Twenty, twenty-five 2.1 minutes. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 23 MR. MERANDI: Thank you. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And our next 25 speaker is Diane Johnson.

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.1

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: When Ms. Johnson's done, I would like the applicant to address their intentions with respect to Village Hero.

MS. JOHNSON: Good evening, everyone.

I don't know if I'm speaking up loud enough?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes.

Could you start off by giving us your full name and address, please?

MS. JOHNSON: My name is Diane Johnson.

I live at 58 Park Center Drive in Deer Park.

The reason I'm here is not where I live now. It's where I used to live, right there. I was number 70 Park Center Drive. There are a few admonitions, warnings, whatever I would like to let everyone know about, including the folks back here.

Well, Mr. Lenihan, the traffic, you're going to need more than a light. You're going need warning signs. You're going to need curve and hill signs. You're going to need speed signs and they're going to have to be enforced, because the front of this Tribeca building where the driveway is going go to be and the light, that's a hill coming up from this way (indicating). This is going from west to east that way (indicating).

2.1

Right there is the bottom of a hill and the bottom of a curve at the same time.

That light may not be seen by somebody who is maybe even 4 or 500 feet away from it. Will not be able to see it. They will not slow down in time because I was there and I almost got creamed one time. Especially in bad whether, snow and ice, et cetera. So, that's one little thing.

There is also -- in the back, there is flooding area. This area floods in no uncertain terms (indicating). With the rain we had a couple of weeks ago, that probably is somewhat still there. The sewer system, the sewers are going to have to be redone.

Is that being taken care of? I guess, Mr. Cooper or who's dealing with the sewer? Big time. Big time. Because the 5-foot sides of that bowl also extends to the back. Because everybody's talking about the west and east side. Nobody's talking about the south side. And that's where the worst flooding is because over here (indicating), this is all parking lot. No drainage, because they didn't do drainage that they should have done. We got it here. This is exactly where I used to live.

Twenty-five years, folks, so I sort of

2.1

know what I'm talking about.

And the biggest problem is going to be as you mentioned about the plume, Cerro plume, whatever other sites there are around there because I am one of eight or ten people who had cancer out of twenty homes. There were eight to ten of us.

Not all the same cancer. You can't say it was like that town in New Jersey where all children get brain cancer at the same time.

This is a big, big problem. I assumed it was due to Cerro, but who really knows.

Bethpage Cerro.

The water is all connected underneath somehow, so even though the drinking water comes out of pipes, supposedly it's going maybe from Upstate and being cleaned and so on, we really don't know. But there are a lot of us with various types of benign tumors. And then those of us like me who got sick and had to have three surgeries and chemotherapy and the whole rest of it, and now we're scattered to the four winds because we all had to leave because of the evictions and stuff, prior to these folks owning it.

The contamination worries me which is why I actually contacted the EPA three years ago

2.1

when I found out new people were going to get stuck with it, including all you guys. I was very worried about that. And the fact that even after it's all done, is it going to be affordable for seniors like me, who retired on Social Security and some savings and some stuff? So, we don't know what the prices are going to be, right? Guys? We don't know?

Anyway, so, those are my four big concerns: The traffic, the flood and the system back there, how far you're going to have to get rid of the dirt that's there right now. I think that's going to be a problem and the cancer problems and any other problems that occurred with the residents that were there. I spent twenty-five years there. So, I was exposed for quite a while to whatever is there and there is something there.

Thank you very much.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you for coming out to share your information.

Mr. Soloway, that's the last of the speakers.

Would you address those issues and any other issues brought up this evening?

MR. SOLOWAY: I think we addressed the

2.1

speakers as they came up.

As far as Mrs. Johnson's comments, there will be a whole new drainage system installed in the project and that will take care of the drainage on the project. As far as her other comments, I don't think there are any need address them here tonight unless the Board has a specific question that you want me to address.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: You don't think there's any need to address them?

MR. SOLOWAY: No. I think we've covered them in the presentation that we gave.

COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: What about the deli? If the deli is allocated for the smaller space or the restaurant space. Do you know?

MR. SOLOWAY: There have been preliminary discussions between the owners and the sandwich shop. We are trying to provide a means of keeping the sandwich shop open during construction. I don't think we can keep the ice shop open during construction.

And he's evidenced an interest in going into one of the stores and we're very happy to have him, but it's been too early to negotiate leases.

We don't have an approval yet. So, we can't really

104 talk about leases at this time. 1 2 Once the project gets started and we go 3 into a leasing program, I'm sure they want him. 4 We've been talking about him being our first 5 tenant, so we want keep him. He wants to stay. Ιf the dollars and cents work out, he's going to be 6 7 there. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Any other 9 questions? 10 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I'll 11 make a motion that this public hearing be closed 12 and decision be reserved. 13 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor 15 please signify by -- I just have to ask for any 16 correspondence on this? 17 MR. LaMARCA: The attorney for the 18 applicant has filed his Affidavit of Service and 19 Disclosures. 20 Communications are as follows: We have 2.1 memos from the Department of Planning and 22 Development including a review of the required off 23 street parking. Nassau County Land and Tax Map indicates the property is Section 15, Block 38 and 24

Lots 14-17, 20 and 23, Section 15, Lot 157, Lot 74.

105 According to the Town of Oyster Bay 1 2 zoning map the property is located within LI, light 3 industry zone. There are open code compliance cases as well as variances and Town Board 4 5 Resolutions on file. We have affidavits and postings of 6 7 publications. There is no further correspondence. 8 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: These are all the slips I have received of people indicating that 10 11 they were interested in speaking in this 12 application. 13 May I now have a motion? 14 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I 15 make a motion that this public hearing be closed 16 and the decision be reserved. 17 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor 19 please signify by saying, "Aye." 20 ALL: "Aye." 2.1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed, 22 "Nay." 23 (No one responded.) 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have 25 it.

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

106 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I'm 1 2 going to move that the Board go into Executive 3 Session for the propose of discussing proposed, 4 pending or current litigation. 5 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I second the motion. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor 8 signify by saying, "Aye." 9 ALL: "Aye." 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed, 11 "Nay." 12 (No one responded.) 13 MR. SOLOWAY: Thank you very much. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 15 Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to be 16 going into Executive Session. 17 Any residents interested in speaking 18 with the applicants or their experts, you have a 19 great opportunity now and I ask that you afford 20 them the time. 2.1 Thank you. 22 We'll be back out as shortly as 23 possible and we will report any action taken. 2.4 (Whereupon, the Executive Session began 25 at 9:59 a.m. and ended at 10:33 a.m. and the

	107
1	proceedings resumed as follows:)
2	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Ladies and
3	gentlemen, we ask that you please take your seats.
4	We are ready to continue our meeting
5	and I ask for I hand the floor over to
6	Councilwoman Johnson.
7	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I
8	make a motion to close the Executive Session.
9	No action has been taken.
10	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second the
11	motion
12	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And on the
13	motion?
14	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
15	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
16	please signify by saying, "Aye."
17	ALL: "Aye."
18	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All opposed,
19	"Nay."
20	(No one responded.)
21	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
22	it.
23	All right.
24	No action taken as you just heard.
25	(TIME NOTED: 10:34 A.M.)

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
ACTION CALENDAR
January 28, 2020
10:35 a.m.

#### JOSEPH SALADINO

RICHARD LaMARCA

SUPERVISOR

TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILMAN THOMAS P. HAND
COUNCILMAN STEVE L. LABRIOLA
COUNCILWOMAN LAURA L. MAIER
COUNCILWOMAN VICKI WALSH

ALSO PRESENT:

RICHARD LaMARCA, TOWN CLERK
JEFFREY P. PRAVATO, RECEIVER OF TAXES

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

HOLLY DALOIA OSTEEN Reporter/Notary Public

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

	2
1	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I will ask our
2	Town Clerk to please present the regular Action
3	Calendar.
4	May I have a motion?
5	MR. LaMARCA: May I have a motion to
6	suspend the rules and add Resolution Nos. 92-2020
7	through 95-2020.
8	On the motion?
9	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: So moved.
10	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
11	MR. LaMARCA: Motion made by
12	Councilwoman Johnson.
13	Seconded by Councilman Imbroto.
14	On the vote:
15	Supervisor Saladino?
16	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."
17	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson?
18	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
19	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto?
20	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."
21	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand?
22	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."
23	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Labriola?
24	COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: "Aye."
25	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Maier?

3 COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: "Aye." 1 2 MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh? 3 COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: "Aye." 4 MR. LaMARCA: I have a couple of 5 Walk-on Resolutions that I'll briefly describe 6 before I ask for a vote to add them. 7 Resolution 96-2020; Resolution supplementing Resolution 49-2020 in connection with 8 9 New York State Volunteer Firefighters Benefit Law Policy town wide. 10 11 Resolution 97-2020; Resolution 12 authorizing the Supervisor or his designee to sign 13 an agreement for the Federal Department of 14 Transportation mandated Employee Drug and Alcohol 15 Testing Program. 16 May I have a motion to resuspend the 17 rules and add the following Walk-on Resolutions Nos. 96 and 97-2020? 18 19 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: So moved. 20 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second. 2.1 MR. LaMARCA: Motion was made by 22 Councilwoman Johnson. 23 Seconded by Councilman Imbroto. 2.4 On the vote: 25 Supervisor Saladino?

	4
1	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."
2	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson?
3	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
4	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto?
5	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."
6	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand?
7	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."
8	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Labriola?
9	COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: "Aye."
10	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Maier?
11	COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: "Aye."
12	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh?
13	COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: "Aye."
14	MR. LaMARCA: Motion to resuspend the
15	rules, a procedure to add Walk-on Resolutions
16	96-2020 and 97-2020 passes with seven "Ayes."
17	MR. LaMARCA: I think we have some
18	speakers on our regular calendar.
19	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes, we do.
20	Our first speaker will be Arthur
21	Adelman.
22	MS. FAUGHNAN: How many? One Reso or
23	more than one?
24	MR. ADELMAN: Three.
25	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: He has three

5 1 Resolutions he would like to speak on. 2 MR. ADELMAN: Yes. 3 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: How are you, Arthur? 4 5 MR. ADELMAN: Good evening, everybody. My name is Arthur Adelman, 110 Dubois 6 7 Avenue, Sea Cliff. 8 I'm here to talk on Resolutions 77, 78, 9 79, 70 with regard to the license agreement to pay almost \$50,000 for 65 parking spaces which costs 10 11 the Town approximately \$765 a space. 12 I know that's a lot of money 13 considering we are -- permit fees are \$100 a year, 14 even less for seniors like me. 15 I'm just curious how we justify, you 16 know, that expenditure. 17 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: There's not enough 18 parking at the train station, Arthur. We need to 19 provide more parking. 20 But my question was --2.1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Why don't we have 22 him ask all his questions first? 23 MR. ADELMAN: Second question was on 24 No. 78, the settlement. I'm thrilled we're done 25 with this litigation and we can move forward.

2.1

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What was that, sir? I didn't hear you.

MR. ADELMAN: I'm happy that we made settlement of the litigation and that we can move forward.

I never thought it was a good idea to fight it, but that was me.

Then on Resolutions 79, regarding the bond for \$30 million, if this is how I read it and there are 30 million that's being utilized to pay off the balance or a great portion of the settlement that we just talked about on Resolution 78, I'm very much against the Town floating a bond to pay for current expenses, especially in light of the fact that we are paying something back for something we got paid for and the money was not segregated properly and now we have to replenish the coffers by floating a bond.

It was my belief we float bonds to pay for improvements for structures, for infrastructure that will -- we pay out over 10 or 15 years, normally the life span of what we're borrowing for but if it's -- I guess the question I have to ask, are we utilizing the \$30 million bond for funds to pay this settlement?

1 That would be the first question. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. 3 MR. ADELMAN: If the answer is yes --SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 4 5 MR. ADELMAN: Is the answer yes? SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 6 Yes. 7 MR. ADELMAN: I don't think we should float a bond. 8 9 I think we should take the money and 10 pay it and if we have to raise taxes --11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Take the money 12 from where, sir? I'm not following you. Take the 13 money from where? 14 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It's \$30 million. 15 MR. ADELMAN: We had \$17 million in 16 what was it, surplus, at the end of last year. We 17 have an \$8 million rainy day fund. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Sir, for starters 19 our bond rating would crash. We're going to bring 20 up Rob Darienzo to address this issue and we want 2.1 you and every member of the public to understand 22 what numbers, what financial data we are basing 23 this decision on, and I think it will be very clear 24 to you that this decision is the most responsible 25 of the options. It will be very clear when you see

8 1 the numbers. 2 MR. ADELMAN: But you understand my 3 apprehension is that when I thought municipalities 4 borrow money, we want to build a bridge from Point 5 A to Point B, so it's going to cost 30 million and the bridge has a span -- life span of 20 years 6 7 let's say, so we'll pay off the bond in 20 years so 8 everybody that gets to use the bridge is paying for 9 a portion of it. We're borrowing \$30 million that is 10 11 going to be -- strap new homeowners that move in here -- move here 5, 10, 15 years from now. 12 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I think when you 14 hear the financial picture in its whole, you'll 15 understand why, and then I'm going to ask you at the end --16 17 MR. ADELMAN: If I'm satisfied. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- if you would 19 do the same. 20 All right? 2.1 MR. ADELMAN: Fair enough. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would Rob 23 Darienzo come up? 24 MR. DARIENZO: Good evening, Supervisor

and Town Board Members.

Rob Darienzo, Director of Finance, Town 1 2 of Oyster Bay. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Darienzo, how much did the Town borrow, I believe that was 2013? 4 5 MR. DARIENZO: How much did the Town borrow in 2013? 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm sorry, how 8 much was the Town paid for the property at 9 150 Miller Place? MR. DARIENZO: 30,025,000. 10 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 30,025,000. 12 MR. DARIENZO: That was a down payment of a \$32.5 million deal. 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We had a contract 14 15 with the purchaser, correct? 16 MR. DARIENZO: Correct. 17 We received a \$2.5 million down payment 18 and then we received 27,525,000 on September 4, 19 2013, which allowed us to stay rent free at the DPW 20 site for up to five years. 2.1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: But in the terms 22 of a contract, this was a contract that before we 23 got here, this was all in black and white, and 24 signed, sealed and delivered before we got here. I 25 want to make it very clear that we are dealing with

10 a financial issue we inherited that has to be 1 2 resolved. 3 So we were paid \$30,025,000 in 2013, 4 but due to the terms of the contract, as time went 5 on, we had to pay rent and we had to turn over the proceeds from the cell tower on the property. 6 7 I'm to believe that at this point, 8 based on that contract, we would own them \$1.2 9 million combination of rent and proceeds from the 10 cell tower; is that accurate? 11 MR. DARIENZO: Actually, I'd hate to 12 say it's not accurate 1.2 million was just for the 13 rent. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm sorry, 1.2 15 for the rent and then the 900,000 which is annual that comes to over \$2 million. 16 17 MR. DARIENZO: Correct. 18 The 900,000 is the annual rent. It's \$75,000 per month. 19 20 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And that's in 21 addition to the money to settle this? 22 That would be in addition to 23 \$30,025,000. 24 MR. DARIENZO: That would have been --25 if we received the \$30,025,000, we would have had

11 to pay rent monthly starting September 2018. 1 2 have not made a payment at all. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, if we didn't 4 negotiate this to lower it, we'd have to pay back 5 the \$30,025,000 and the 2 million some odd dollars for the rent and the proceeds from the cell tower. 6 7 MR. DARIENZO: That's correct. 8 MR. ADELMAN: No. We settled for 9 30 million. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 10 Because we 11 negotiated a better settlement. 12 MR. ADELMAN: Yes. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, in addition 13 14 to that, it's important to realize that the rent on 15 the property -- and please correct me if I'm wrong 16 or give us the numbers -- but I believe it's 17 \$900,000 a year. 18 MR. DARIENZO: Correct. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: To rent the 20 property. 2.1 This might not be the question for you, 22 it might be the question for DPW, but would we be 23 able to get off the property quickly or is this 24 something that would take time to build this 25 facility and move our resources elsewhere to one or

12 multiple locations? 1 MR. DARIENZO: I would surmise it would 2 3 take a year or years for us to fully get off the 4 property. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And in that time, we would be paying rent to the --6 7 MR. DARIENZO: In addition to what was 8 already owed, absolutely. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm going to ask 10 you to stand by while we bring up Richard Lenz, our 11 Commissioner. 12 Commissioner, how are you today? 13 COMMISSIONER LENZ: How are you? 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: State your 15 presence, please. COMMISSIONER LENZ: Commissioner Lenz, 16 17 Department of Public Works, Commissioner of 18 Department of Public Works. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Commissioner, we 20 had many meetings. You were involved in many 2.1 meetings with a variety of folks determining what 22 the costs were to obtain property and build a 23 facilities to handle the same services we're 24 handling at 150 Miller Place, correct? 25 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

then --

1.3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: A combination of CVM repair facility, storage facility. We -- our trucks have to stay warmed overnight so they are read when the snowstorm hits. We have office buildings. We have quite a bit. We have storage shelter. There's quite a bit at that site. COMMISSIONER LENZ: We have over -- in this central maintenance facility, you can work on about 20 trucks at one time. Now, picture garbage trucks, how huge they are, that's what this facility can maintain. Now, we've got to find another place --SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Or places. COMMISSIONER LENZ: -- to put this type of equipment. Now, also in the back -- on the property, we have 60 garbage trucks that go out on a daily basis. We also have salt trucks, sanding trucks, salt and sanding trucks. We have six-wheelers and ten-wheelers. So, the sheer enormity of trying to find another site just for

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: When you say astronomical, this is critical?

central depo maintenance was astronomical and

2.1

COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes.

From the meetings that I attended and I know you were a part of this from the very beginning in calculating this out, we were told that the calculations brought up the numbers \$60 to \$70 million --

COMMISSIONER LENZ: Absolutely.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- to rebuild -to build a scaled-down facility, smaller than what
we have now elsewhere in one or more locations.

COMMISSIONER LENZ: It would be several locations to build that, yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So \$30 million that we were being paid for this, but \$60 to \$70 million to build what the Town needs to service -- to provide the services we have in one or other locations.

COMMISSIONER LENZ: Exactly and one of our original discussions when we first came on Board was that this is a huge issue and it just didn't make sense to move.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In addition to that, do you find the facility right on the Long Island Expressway creates a high level of efficiency in delivering those services, whether

15 it's weekly, multi-weekly garbage and sort pickup 1 2 or the need in the case of emergency snowstorms, 3 other natural disasters? COMMISSIONER LENZ: We are located 4 5 centrally in the Town of Oyster Bay for the North Shore and South Shore to man and operate taking 6 7 care of the Sanitation and recycling and salt --8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, would it be 9 wildly expensive, at least double, if not more --10 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Absolutely. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- \$60 to \$70 12 million to replace that \$30 million site? 13 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm going to ask 15 you to stand by for a moment while I bring our Town 16 Attorney -- from our Town Attorney's office up 17 here, a representative. 18 Frank, would you state your presence, 19 please? 20 MR. SCALERA: Frank Scalera, Chief 2.1 Deputy Town Attorney. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Have we currently 23 been in a lawsuit with Syosset Park Development? 24 MR. SCALERA: Yes. 25 Syosset Park Development brought a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

16

lawsuit against us in January of 2019.

Syosset Park Development LLC.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Who are they?

MR. SCALERA: Syosset Park Development is the buyers of the 150 Miller Place DPW facility. They are the successor corporation to the original buyer of the contract which was Oyster Bay Realty LTD. Just they changed form from that company to

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, the Town sold a piece of property for \$30,025,000 that it would cost us \$60 or \$70 million to build elsewhere?

But there is another issue at hand here in calculating out the costs. If we were unsuccessful in this lawsuit brought on by Syosset Park Development, would the court impose statutory interest?

MR. SCALERA: Yes.

If we were unsuccessful and didn't prevail and this is a straightforward contract and it was a breach of contract claim because we never completed the closure there and we didn't pay the rent and we didn't turn over the cell tower fee.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In an effort to

protect our taxpayers?

MR. SCALERA: Exactly.

17 1 And looking into these financial -- the 2 financial statistics and data about what it costs 3 to go elsewhere, yes, if you were to buy elsewhere, 4 a 20 to 25-acre site of that magnitude, you'd have 5 to pay --SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Even a scaled-6 7 downed size. 8 MR. SCALERA: Even a scaled-down. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Construction 10 costs and land costs would cost between --11 MR. SCALERA: DPW is 53 acres. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: But just to get 13 back here on the legal arm of this issue, what is 14 that statutory interest rate? 15 MR. SCALERA: The statutory interest in 16 New York State Law is 9 percent per year on 17 judgments. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So what could we 19 be paying in terms of court imposed interest on 20 this case? 2.1 MR. SCALERA: It would be \$2.7 million 22 a year. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: A year? 24 MR. SCALERA: Starting. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: How many years

could the Court impose that on us?

MR. SCALERA: As long as -- they can do it prejudgment and postjudgment.

Prejudgment means from the time they determined the breach was. In this particular case, not to bore you, they would probably pick some time in October or November of 2018. Do the math.

We are already over a year and if we continue litigation and went to trial, we have at least another year or two, you're talking about minimum three years at 2.7 million. That's minimum. I'm going minimum. If there's an appeal, add another year. Now, you 4 million -- four years that's --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: At a minimum, you're talking about \$8.1 million?

MR. SCALERA: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What could it cost the Town in legal fees to fight -- to continue to fight this case throughout the process?

MR. SCALERA: Whether we keep it inside or out, it would definitely -- definitely -- based on the hourly rate, it would probably about \$200,000 all said and done, if you include an

appeal.

2.1

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, this would cost us at least another 30 or 40 million -- thank you, Mr. Scalera.

So what we're hearing here, one option is the bond \$30 million; pay them back; end the lawsuit; close this chapter in the past; continue to own the property; continue to service our residents.

Another option is to continue to fight them; spend \$60 to \$70 million to build this elsewhere even as a scaled-down site; pay \$8.1 million maybe more in court-imposed interest which is statutory in New York State; lose \$110,000 a year on cell tower revenue which can go up with high cell usage; pay \$900,000 annually in rent and we're not sure for how many years, but we know it would be at least three years before we could fully move off that site, maybe longer, if construction slowed down or it was difficult finding comparable sites.

What else? \$900,000 a year rent; \$110,000 cell service; \$8.1 million in courtimposed statutory interest all for a site that's going cost us double or move to build elsewhere.

20 1 If you were in charge, would you go 2 that route? 3 MR. ADELMAN: Excuse me, I never -- my 4 opening statement said thank you for doing the 5 settlement. Everything else beyond that, I have 6 7 nothing. That's that. 8 My complaint --9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is? 10 MR. ADELMAN: -- why do we have to 11 borrow money to do this? 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Because we don't 13 have that much in reserve. MR. ADELMAN: I've been questioning it 14 15 for years. 16 Where'd the money go? 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We're building a 18 reserve, which you've heard many times you come to 19 our meetings and we appreciate you being here, but 20 we are building reserves. 2.1 Before we got here, there was a large 22 44 million deficit ongoing -- budget -- ongoing 23 deficit in our budget and now because of proper 24 stewardship of the Town's finances, we are in a 25 much better place. In just two years, we have

2.1

2.1

built up \$8.2 million in reserve, but we don't have \$30 million in reserves, and if we spent all our reserves, our bond rating would be crashing.

MR. ADELMAN: Then we do have the option of raising taxes to replenish what we have to pay out. I'm just saying if you spend -- we sold the land today five years ago. We took the money in. Now, you're buying it back at no profit to the buyer, we are getting it the same price, we should have the money to buy it back.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It's actually a contractural savings.

MR. ADELMAN: I'm sorry.

We're accruing \$900,000 year that's in the budget for rent we are not paying. We're getting the cell tower revenue. So, we're bringing in a million dollars a year on paper for the property.

I don't understand why you want to float a bond and burden future residents with paying it off. To me, it's not proper.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Because the cost -- I'm going to ask Mr. Darienzo to come back up for a second.

Let's compare costs of just what it

2.2 costs -- what the loss would be versus -- tell us 1 2 how much would this cost us a year, this bond with 3 principal interest. 4 MR. DARIENZO: Well, if we don't sell 5 the bonds in a couple months, I'm quesstimating it will 2.6 million per year for 15 years. 6 7 MR. ADELMAN: 2.6? 8 MR. DARIENZO: Correct. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 2.6 million. 10 MR. DARIENZO: Roughly, 30 million in 11 principal. Roughly, \$2 million a year in principal 12 for 15 years, estimating between, again, 550, 13 600,000 a year in interest. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So we could be 15 looking at \$2.7 million a year in the court imposed 16 interest alone. We could be looking at 900,000 a 17 year in rent at that site. 18 MR. ADELMAN: No. We agree the settlement is done. 19 20 I'm just talking about paying for it. 2.1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, you would 22 prefer that we raise taxes instead of come up with 23 a better financial plan --24 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Arthur, I'm 25 going to say, thank you for your input but, this is

2.1

what they've come up with and that's what we're voting on, and that's the difference right now is that we decided it's better to bond or do we have money in reserve and it doesn't seem that we have it just to pay out of hand.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Arthur, nobody wants to raise \$30\$ million in taxes to pay this off.

MR. ADELMAN: But we took in the money to save people taxes. I'm just saying everyone got it five years ago, now -- I'm sorry guys, you've got to give it back because we're getting the land back. We're saving you on rent. Everything has got to make it even Steven. I'm just saying it's not fair to burden future residents, people trying to move to Town of Oyster Bay to burden them with an increased tax -- you know, it's a tax burden.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Arthur, what we'll do then is we'll look at other uses of the property that could generate revenue to mitigate this cost.

MR. ADELMAN: I'm --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And in addition to that, remember, when construction does take place, there'll be one-time permit fees coming in

2.1

on that site that will also mitigate the cost.

So, you're looking at a piece of the puzzle when you need to look at the entire puzzle to understand where we plan to go with this.

MR. ADELMAN: My only feeling is that the previous actors in this theater, looked like heroes when they sold the land and they were able to wipe out a deficit at that time.

Now, it's all new actors, but it's the same play.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: That would be -where are we putting our facility for a cost of now
60 million more dollars to buy land and et cetera,
et cetera.

MR. ADELMAN: I knew I was going to look kind of way out there when I suggested assessing a \$30 million one time --

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: I know.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Arthur, you're not looking at all the facts and figures to make a full assessment of this, but we're happy as we go forward --

MR. ADELMAN: Okay.

25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- to discuss 1 2 that with you so you can see the full picture, 3 because I think you're making an assessment based 4 on just partial information. 5 MR. ADELMAN: Okay. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Arthur, that would 6 7 be an enormous cost to the residents. 8 MR. ADELMAN: It was an enormous 9 savings to the residents five years ago. 10 Where did the money go? Where did the 11 money go? Go back and pull it back from the Parks 12 Department. 13 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: You have to find 14 60 million just for the new facility and where are 15 you getting the land? MR. ADELMAN: We got 30 million in. 16 17 Where did it go? 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Arthur, there's 19 an old expression and that's hindsight is 20/20. 20 MR. ADELMAN: But we spent the money --2.1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: New Board 22 members --23 MR. ADELMAN: Until the deal closed. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Not we. 25 That came from a different

26 administration. 1 2 We are dealing with the issues of the 3 past and we are fixing them. Every single day 4 there is an issue that comes up that needs a 5 sensible approach. We're laying this out with full 6 7 transparency --8 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Arthur, thank 9 you for your input. 10 MR. ADELMAN: I think we're mortgaging 11 our future by doing so. 12 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. 13 MR. ADELMAN: Then look at parking. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Arthur, what if 15 it's paid off early? 16 MR. ADELMAN: Great. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would we still be 18 mortgaging our future? 19 MR. ADELMAN: No. I would love to see tax -- just like I pay anything else, pay it now. 20 2.1 Run it like a business. You want it now, you pay 22 for it now. 23 The other thing on the parking lot 24 thing, the \$50,000 for 65 parking spots, isn't 25 there any way to negotiate a better deal with the

27 owner of this land? I mean, we're --1 2 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: The market rate is 3 \$15 a day for the spot. 4 MR. ADELMAN: Who's getting \$15? 5 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: That's what the spots go for in Hicksville. That's what people pay 6 7 every day. 8 MR. ADELMAN: Oyster Bay is paying 760 9 a year for a spot. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: How much is that 10 11 day? 12 MR. ADELMAN: I don't know. We're only 13 getting \$100 a year in. We're losing \$500 a year 14 for space. Come on. You do the math. 15 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It's a fraction of 16 the market money. 17 MR. ADELMAN: Who's responsible for the 18 maintenance of that parking lot? Who's responsible for the maintenance of that parking lot? 19 20 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, 2.1 Arthur. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, 23 gentlemen. 24 We appreciate your input and the 25 clarity you brought to the issue.

	28
1	Our next speaker is Mr. McKenna.
2	MS. FAUGHNAN: How many Resolutions?
3	MR. McKENNA: Kevin McKenna, 3 Edna
4	Drive, Syosset, New York.
5	Simple question, the other option,
6	Mr. Supervisor, the other option was
7	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Kevin, how many
8	Resolutions are you speaking on?
9	MR. McKENNA: Three.
10	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Three.
11	Thank you.
12	MR. McKENNA: I'm just as tired as you
13	are.
14	The other option is you said
15	different options.
16	The other option is, why did we not
17	adhere to the contract? Why didn't we adhere to
18	the
19	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: What's your next
20	question?
21	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm not sure how
22	that's an option.
23	What's your next question?
24	MR. McKENNA: Why didn't we go ahead
25	with the contract? Why did we default on the

29 contract, and, by the way, just if my memory serves 1 2 me correct, it's correct you weren't here, 3 Mr. Supervisor, but the financial man who 4 orchestrated this whole thing from the beginning is the Deputy Finance man that is a nice guy and he 5 probably knows his stuff, but he's the same -- you 6 7 said it's all new people. He's the one who 8 orchestrated this from the beginning, so what you 9 said is not true. COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: 10 Is there a 11 question? Next question. 12 MR. McKENNA: That's not true. 13 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: It's a 14 statement. 15 MR. McKENNA: My question is, the 16 contract -- what you didn't talk about is the 17 contract that was signed says in the contract that 18 the Town is responsible for the legal fees. 19 Town's responsible for all legal fees. 20 So, my question is, does that \$30 2.1 million -- because no one talked about the legal 22 fees that we have to pay the legal fees for Syosset 23 Park LLC -- does the \$30 million include --24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The Town has

### ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

negotiated a new settlement.

	30
1	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Ask all the
2	questions.
3	MR. McKENNA: Is that in the 30 million
4	dollars?
5	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's bring up
6	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: No. Ask all
7	your questions.
8	MR. McKENNA: Let me ask, is that in
9	the \$30 million?
10	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What else would
11	you like to know before you sit down?
12	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Ask all your
13	questions.
14	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So please take a
15	seat
16	MR. McKENNA: No. I have ten minutes.
17	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.
18	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Kevin, this is for
19	questions, not speeches.
20	MR. McKENNA: Now I have 8.20.
21	The other inaccuracy of what you said
22	is not only was Mr. Darienzo the Finance Director
23	who orchestrated this deal and put it on the books
24	the wrong way from the beginning
25	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: That's not a

31 1 question. That's a statement. 2 What's the question? 3 MR. McKENNA: And I'm allowed to, make 4 statements. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's also 6 inaccurate. MR. McKENNA: And I'm allowed to make 7 8 statements. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's not 10 accurate. 11 MR. McKENNA: It is accurate. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It's not. 13 MR. McKENNA: The other inaccuracy --14 the other inaccuracy is that when you came aboard as the Supervisor after the deal was made, you were 15 the one who decided to continue to default on the 16 17 contract causing us to be in the situation that 18 we're in and that's true. You can't deny that. 19 Why did we default on the contract? 20 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: False statement. 2.1 MR. McKENNA: Why didn't we go ahead 22 with the contract? 23 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Kevin, you have 24 to ask questions. You can't just make false 25 statements.

32 1 MR. McKENNA: I asked a question. 2 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: False statement. 3 MR. McKENNA: Why did we cancel the 4 contract? The public deserves to know that. 5 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Next question. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Kevin, what else 6 7 would you like to share with us, because this feels 8 more like a bully session? 9 MR. McKENNA: No. It's not a bully 10 It's a fair question. 11 Why did we default on the contract? 12 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It doesn't make 13 sense to relocate this. We're staying there --14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Kevin, it doesn't 15 make sense to relocate. Maybe you weren't 16 listening before, but it would cost \$60 to \$70 million to build even a scaled-down version of this 17 18 or at other locations. 19 MR. McKENNA: Well, you didn't tell the 20 public -- maybe you forgot. Maybe you forgot, 2.1 because you weren't here at the time, that John 22 Venditto hired, and the taxpayers, we paid for an 23 engineering firm to draw up architectural plans. 24 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: How is that 25 relevant to this Resolution?

33 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: How is that 1 2 relevant to what we're talking about? 3 MR. McKENNA: You made a statement 4 before that we have to pay -- we already have plans 5 to -- design plans to move the people. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Kevin, please keep 6 7 your comments germane to this Resolution. 8 MR. McKENNA: Moving past that --9 moving past that, I have been told that we have 10 relocated many people out of that facility at 11 150 Miller Place and there's not that many people 12 there. 13 Now, I do agree that we need to have 14 land. I do agree that we --15 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: What's your 16 question? 17 MR. McKENNA: I do agree that we need 18 to have space to store trucks --19 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: What does this 20 have to do with the Resolution? 2.1 MR. McKENNA: -- but if my memory 22 serves me correct about eight months ago the Town 23 Board, not all the Town Board here, but some of the 24 Town Board approved a purchase of the property over 25

on Stewart Avenue and you told the public and the

34 Town Board, eight months ago, that the reason the 1 2 Town was buying that building on Stewart Avenue was 3 to store the Town's facility over there when you 4 were still leading the public to believe that your were leaving the property. 5 Is that untrue? 6 7 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: No. That's not 8 true. 9 MR. McKENNA: Did we buy a building on 10 Stewart Avenue? 11 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Is this relevant 12 to this Resolution, Kevin? 13 MR. McKENNA: Did we buy a building on 14 Stewart Avenue? 15 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: There was a 16 Resolution --17 MR. McKENNA: I'm going to reiterate --18 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: You're 19 mischaracterizing the discussion at that time. 20 MR. McKENNA: The public and the Town 2.1 Board --22 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: As you tend to do. 23 MR. McKENNA: The public and the Town 24 Board was told that the reason for the purchase of 25 that facility was to store the vehicles at that

	35
1	facility. I'm just correcting some misstatements
2	that were made.
3	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Which Resolution
4	is this on?
5	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: These are
6	misstatements.
7	Next.
8	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Is this on one of
9	the Resolutions?
10	MR. McKENNA: I still have five minutes
11	left.
12	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Go.
13	What's your next question?
14	MR. McKENNA: You're going to
15	interrupt?
16	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Yes.
17	What's your next question?
18	MR. McKENNA: You know what? Let me go
19	on to the Seritage. I'm just curious. I spoke to
20	a few of the Town Board members recently. I don't
21	want to single any out.
22	As of two weeks ago, you had not
23	received the Seritage preliminary draft DEIS.
24	You're going to vote tonight on whether or not
25	you're going to accept a voluminous document that I

36 received about four weeks ago and I still have not 1 2 been able to go through it all. 3 So, my question is, how much time has 4 the Town Board had to review the DEIS before you 5 vote on it tonight? COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Plenty of time. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Again --8 MR. McKENNA: A couple of weeks ago --9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Kevin --10 MR. McKENNA: A couple of weeks ago one 11 of the council members didn't have it, so I'm 12 wondering how you could vote on that tonight if some of the council members didn't have it. 13 14 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Kevin, we have a 15 very capable staff that works for the Town that has 16 worked on this for a very long time. 17 MR. McKENNA: I understand that, but --18 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Okay. 19 MR. McKENNA: That's not what I'm 20 saying, Councilman. 2.1 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: We've had plenty 22 of time to review it for completeness which is what 23 this Resolutions is about. 2.4 MR. McKENNA: That's not what I'm 25 saying.

37 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Saying it's 1 2 complete. 3 MR. McKENNA: I'm asking if you've had 4 enough time. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Yes. 5 6 MR. McKENNA: You might have. You might 7 have been the privileged one to have gotten it six or eight weeks ago, but there's others that didn't 8 9 have it two weeks ago. So, I'm just asking if you had enough 10 11 time to review it so that you can make an 12 intelligent decision as to whether or not it's 13 complete DEIS in order for the Town Board to accept 14 it tonight? 15 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Do you have any 16 reason to believe that it's not a complete DEIS? 17 MR. McKENNA: I didn't say that. 18 That's not my question Councilman Lou. 19 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Councilman Lou? 20 MR. McKENNA: Thank you. 2.1 MS. FAUGHNAN: That was only two 22 Resolutions. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Our next speaker 24 will be Giuseppe Deanna. 25 Mr. Deanna, would you please come on

38 1 up? 2 MR. McKENNA: I'd like to have the 3 Deputy Town Attorney to answer my question. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Why don't you 5 meet with the Deputy Town Attorney and he'll be happy to speak with you. 6 7 MR. McKENNA: By the way, are there any 8 plans to get a Town Attorney? 9 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: We're on the 10 next person. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We are going to 12 listen to this gentleman, and I thank you very, 13 very much. 14 MS. FAUGHNAN: Councilwoman Johnson, 15 that's two Resolutions, not three. COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Oh. 16 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Deanna, would 18 you please start off by giving us your full name 19 and address, sir? 20 Giuseppe Deanna, 8 Mill River Road, 2.1 East Norwich. 22 Good evening, Supervisor Saladino and 23 the Members of the Board. 2.4 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Can you tell us 25 how many Resolutions you're speaking on?

	39
1	MR. DEANNA: One.
2	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.
3	MS. FAUGHNAN: Sorry.
4	MR. DEANNA: That's fine.
5	I'm coming to asked for assistance.
6	About two and a half years ago, I
7	complained to the Town of Oyster Bay about a water
8	issue I was dealing with on my property allowing
9	natural water to pass through my property.
10	The Town of Oyster Bay came in and they
11	forced my neighbor to fix an existing pipe for the
12	water to go through my property.
13	Since then, my problem has become worse
14	because of the collection of water at the front of
15	my property, so now I have water collecting. This
16	is natural water collecting in the front of my
17	property.
18	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Grade water?
19	MR. DEANNA: No.
20	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No?
21	MR. DEANNA: Natural water, 365 days a
22	year, every day.
23	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.
24	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: A spring?
25	MR. DEANNA: It's springs.

2.1

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Springs itself.

MR. DEANNA: I started to get -unfortunately, I don't live -- I'm in the Town of
Oyster Bay, but the road in front of my house is
the Village of Muttontown.

So, I started to become harassed by the Village of Muttontown due to the water starting to leak into the road. They've placed an unpleasant berm in front of the house to cause the water to pool in the front of my yard. The water is still making its way onto Mill River Road causing icy road conditions.

I had many meetings with the Town of Oyster Bay. I've been promised a resolution.

Now, I'm being threatened from

Muttontown for a lawsuit. They told me to put my
homeowners insurance on notice and the problem
continues.

I have three small children. My front yard is inaccessible to them. If they go out there, they could potentially drown. It's a matter time that there's going to be a lawsuit because of the water on the roadway icing up. I don't know what to do about the water. The water is not mine. It's not gutter water. It's water that emanates

2.1

from other Town of Oyster Bay properties.

So, I'm asking you for assistance because I've been dealing with this for two and a half years, and I'm not getting anywhere, not with the Town of Oyster Bay. Muttontown is, like I said --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, you are getting somewhere with the Town of Oyster Bay and I can assure you that we have spent quite a bit of time in meetings discussing this issue.

We have had communications with the Village and we're continuing to advocate for you. The Town of Oyster Bay can't do work on another municipality's property.

Just like your next door neighbor can't build on your property, but we have been continuing to advocate for you and I will have happily bring up our representative from the Town Attorney's office to give you a sense of what we've been doing and what we continue to do for you.

MR. DEANNA: I know what you've been doing and I know what you're trying to do --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You are getting a responsive interest on behalf of our administration. We're helping.

	42
1	MR. DEANNA: Yes.
2	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.
3	MR. DEANNA: I'm not going to deny
4	that.
5	Although, you have to see my
6	frustration.
7	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Of course.
8	MR. DEANNA: I'm dealing with it for
9	two and a half years.
10	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Of course, we get
11	that.
12	MR. DEANNA: So, I just feel like I
13	can't keep doing this. There's got to be a way
14	that some more communication can be made or some
15	other solution can be made to resolve this issue
16	that doesn't involve I don't know.
17	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Because we want
18	to continue to help, because we recognize your
19	frustration, because we understand the issue.
20	I'm going to ask you to please meet
21	with Frank Scalera.
22	Would you raise your hand, please, from
23	our Town Attorney's office?
24	MR. DEANNA: I know.
25	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's work on

4.3 ways to step up our pressure on Muttontown Village 1 2 to get this issue resolved. 3 MR. DEANNA: That's fine. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is that fair 5 enough? 6 MR. DEANNA: That's very fair. 7 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Perhaps Ted can 8 help. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Perhaps. 10 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: The Newsday 11 reporter might be able to help you as well. 12 Something. 13 MR. DEANNA: That would be great. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm going to ask 15 you to please speak to Mr. Scalera and let's work 16 on ways to step up our pressure and to continue to 17 assist you. 18 MR. DEANNA: Okay. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All right. 20 MR. DEANNA: Thank you very much. 2.1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: But it's not our 22 property and you do realize that the Town has 23 continuously made efforts on your behalf. 24 MR. DEANNA: I do realize it. 25 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: I want to

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

44 clarify, you really didn't have a Resolution you 1 2 were speaking on. 3 You want us to create a Resolution of 4 some sort? 5 MR. DEANNA: I apologize. COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Okay. 6 7 Thank you. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It's okay. 9 MR. DEANNA: Thank you. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I believe that's 10 it until public comment. 11 12 That's all the slips I have on public 13 comment. Please. 14 MR. LaMARCA: May I have a motion to 15 adopt Resolutions Nos. P-3-20 through 97-2020? 16 PERSONNEL RESOLUTION NO. P-3-20; 17 Resolution pertaining to personnel of various 18 departments within the Town of Oyster Bay. 19 TRANSFER OF FUNDS RESOLUTION NO. 20 TF-2-20; Resolution pertaining to Transfer of Funds 2.1 within various departments' accounts for the Year 22 2020. 23 RESOLUTION NO. 51-2020; Resolution 24 amending Resolution No. 127-2017 to adjust the park 25 facility use permit fee non-resident and/or

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.1

4.5

non-resident organizations facility use permit fee. (M.D. 1/7/20 #9).

RESOLUTION NO. 52-2020; Resolution authorizing acceptance of a donation of a memorial plaque and bench from P. Cicero, to be placed in the Massapequa Dog Park in memory of Joanne Cicero. (M.D. 1/7/20 #10).

RESOLUTION NO. 53-2020; Resolution authorizing acceptance of a donation of a memorial plaque from D. Marotti-Halama, to be placed on an existing bench in Bay Front Park in memory of Benjamin Marotti. (M.D. 1/7/20 #11).

RESOLUTION NO. 54-2020; Resolution authorizing payment to instructors for fitness classes to be held in 2020. Account No. PKS A 7110 44900 000 0000. (M.D. 1/7/20 #12).

RESOLUTION NO. 55-2020; Resolution authorizing the Town of Oyster Bay Thirty-fifth Annual Bluefish Tournament at Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Park on September 13, 2020. Account No. TWN TA 0000 00085 474 0000. (M.D. 1/7/20 #13).

RESOLUTION NO. 56-2020; Resolution authorizing and directing the Town Clerk to publish a Notice of Hearing in connection with the Community Development's 46th Program Year 2020-

2021, scheduled to be held February 26, 2020;
Account No. IGA CD 8686 44100 000 CD 20. (M.D. 1/7/20 #18).

RESOLUTION NO. 57-2020; Resolution authorizing renewal of membership in the New York Government Finance Officers' Association for 2020; Account No. CMP A 1315 47900 000 0000. (M.D. 1/7/20#20).

RESOLUTION NO. 58-2020; Resolution calling for a public hearing in connection with serial bonds for Bethpage Water District Improvements. Hearing Date: February 11, 2020.

(M.D. 1/7/20 #21).

RESOLUTION NO. 59-2020; Resolution authorizing an Extension of Time, Quantity Decrease No. 1, Acceptance and Final Payment on Contract No. DP18-181, Installation of Sidewalk at Theodore Roosevelt Elementary School located in Oyster Bay, New York. (M.D. 1/7/20 #22).

RESOLUTION NO. 60-2020; Resolution authorizing the Town of Oyster Bay Full Day/Half Day Summer Recreation Program for the 2020 Calendar year. Account No. PKS A 001 02001 510 0000 & TWN TA 0000 085 444 0000. (M.D. 1/7/20 #23).

RESOLUTION NO. 61-2020; Resolution

2.1

authorizing the New York Rangers "Try Hockey for Free" program at the Town of Oyster Bay Ice Skating Center at Bethpage Community Park to be held on February 15, 2020. Account No. PKS A 7110 47670 000 0000. (M.D. 1/14/20 #4).

RESOLUTION NO. 62-2020; Resolution authorizing the Town of Oyster Bay All-Star Ice Hockey Invitational in cooperation with the High School Hockey League of Nassau County to be held at the Town of Oyster Bay Ice Skating Center at Bethpage Community Park on March 7, 2020. Account No. PKS A 7110 47670 000 0000. (M.D. 1/14/20 #5).

authorizing the Town of Oyster Bay Waterfront

Festival and Marine Expo to be held at Tobay Marina on September 12, 2020, the waiver of the provisions of Chapter 173, and for the addition of sponsors, vendors and/or exhibitors to said event.

Account Nos. TWN A 0001 02770 590 0000 & PKS A 7110 47670 000 0000. (M.D. 1/14/20 #6).

RESOLUTION NO. 64-2020; Resolution authorizing the Town of Oyster Bay Special Olympics "Polar Plunge" in cooperation with Special Olympics to be held at Tobay on March 8, 2020. Account No. PKS A 7110 47670 000 0000. (M.D. 1/14/20 #7).

2.1

authorizing two Town of Oyster Bay Car Show Long Island events, to be held at Tobay Beach on April 25, 2020 and October 4, 2020, the waiver of the provisions of Chapter 173, the addition of sponsors, vendors and/or exhibitors to said event, and to employ the services of a dedicated judging staff and for the Department of Parks to produce commemorative merchandise for the event. Account Nos. TWN A 0001 02770 590 0000 and TWN TA 0000 00085 438 0000. (M.D. 1/14/20 #8).

RESOLUTION NO. 66-2020; Resolution authorizing acceptance of a donation of a memorial plaque from P. Vannatta, to be placed on an existing bench in Marjorie R. Post Community Park in memory of Leonard R. Vannatta. (M.D. 1/14/20 #9).

RESOLUTION NO. 67-2020; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor, or his designee, to execute a Letter of Acceptance to receive a grant from the National Hockey League through the Hockey is for Everyone Grant Program in support of the Town of Oyster Bay Youth Hockey Ice Program. (M.D. 1/14/20 #14).

RESOLUTION NO. 68-2020; Resolution

2.1

authorizing the Supervisor, or his designee, to execute a Grant Disbursement Agreement with the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York to secure state funding to be applied to the Marjorie Post Community Center Renovation Project. (M.D. 1/14/20 #15).

RESOLUTION NO. 69-2020; Resolution pertaining to site plan review and approval for PJA 250 Crossways Park, LLC, 250 Crossways Parks Drive, Woodbury, New York. (M.D. 1/14/20 #17).

authorizing a one year extension option for the License Agreement for the use of property for commuter parking at 47 West Barclay Street, Hicksville, for the period January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020. (M.D. 1/14/20 #21).

RESOLUTION NO. 71-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 18 Cheryl Lane South, Farmingdale, New York, performed on October 1, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 1/14/20 #22).

RESOLUTION NO. 72-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of Old Country Road, Hicksville, New York, performed

2.1

on September 3, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 1/14/20 #23).

RESOLUTION NO. 73-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 165 Wilfred Boulevard, Hicksville, New York, performed on September 11, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 1/14/20 #24).

RESOLUTION NO. 74-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 90 Cold Spring Road, Syosset, New York, performed on October 9, 2019, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 1/14/20 #25).

authorizing the property cleanup assessment of
63 Vandewater Street, Farmingdale, New York,
performed on September 30, 2019, be referred to the
County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County
Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 1/14/20 #26).

RESOLUTION NO. 76-2020; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 116 Thorne Drive, Bethpage, New York, performed on September 5, 2019, be referred to the County of

2.1

Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 1/14/20 #27).

RESOLUTION NO. 77-2020; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC23-18, On-Call Engineering Services Relative to Environmental Engineering in connection with Oversight of Restoration of Excavated Area at Country Pointe Development, Plainview. Account No. TWN A TA 000 00085 466 0000. (M.D. 1/14/20 #29).

RESOLUTION NO. 78-2020; Resolution pertaining to settlement of litigation in connection with 150 Miller Place, Syosset, Syosset Park Development, LLC v. Town of Oyster Bay. (M.D. 1/14/20 #31).

RESOLUTION NO. 79-2020; Resolution authorizing the issuance of serial bonds to pay for the settlement of a lawsuit related to the sale of the Town's DPW complex at 150 Miller Place, Syosset. (M.D. 1/14/20 #18).

RESOLUTION NO. 80-2020; Resolution pertaining to the Self-Insurance Retention Provision for Administrative Proceeding (EEOC) in connection with the Public Officials and Employees Liability Insurance Policy. (M.D. 1/14/20 #32).

RESOLUTION NO. 81-2020; Resolution

2.1

authorizing the 2020 Public Officials & Employees
Liability Insurance Policy for the period
January 28, 2020 to January 28, 2021. (M.D. 1/14/20 #38).

RESOLUTION NO. 82-2020; Resolution authorizing renewal of memberships in various municipal government associations. Account No. OTC A 1410 47900 000 0000. (M.D. 1/14/20 #35).

RESOLUTION NO. 83-2020; Resolution pertaining to settlement of Arbitration No-Fault Claim; Claimant: Allstate Insurance Company a/s/o Maria Lerner. Account No. TWN AMS 1910 43020 602 0000 000. (M.D. 1/14/20 #36).

RESOLUTION NO. 84-2020; Resolution authorizing payment for the provision of Workers' Compensation Insurance for the participants of the 2020 Summer Youth Employment Program. Account No. IGA CD 6293 43000 000 CW19 (M.D. 1/14/20 #16 & 1/21/20 #9).

authorizing award of contracts for Independent

Medical Examination providers in connection with

civil actions and other proceedings, for the period

January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020, with two

one-year extension options. Account No. OTA A 1420

2.1

44110 000 0000. (M.D. 1/14/20 #28 & 1/21/20 #17).

RESOLUTION NO. 86-2020; Resolution authorizing acceptance of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) in connection with Seritage for the purpose of commencing the public review process and to set a date for public hearing to be held on March 10, 2020 at 7:00 pm at Hicksville High School. (M.D. 1/14/20 #33 & 1/21/20 #23).

RESOLUTION NO. 87-2020; Resolution pertaining to Settlement of Property Damage Claim; Claimant: Leyda Cruz, Matter ID No. 2019-7255.

Account No. TWN AMS 1910 43020 602 0000 000. (M.D. 1/14/20 #37).

RESOLUTION NO. 88-2020; Resolution

pertaining to the decision on the application of

27 Carmans, Inc. for a Special Use Permit for

premises located at 25-27 Carmans Road, Massapequa,

New York. Hearing held: November 19, 2019. (M.D.

11/19/19 #5).

pertaining to the decision on the application of Massapequa Plaza Associates, Owner and Massapequa FBBC, LLC d/b/a Fit Body Boot Camp, Tenant, for a Special Use Permit to allow the operation of a fitness facility for premises located at

2.1

5117-5167 Merrick Road, Massapequa, New York.

Hearing held: 12/10/19. (M.D. 12/10/19 #6).

RESOLUTION NO. 90-2020; Resolution

pertaining to the decision on the application of

62 South Street Tower, Inc. for a Special Use

Permit for premises located at 62 South Street,

Oyster Bay, New York. Hearing held: November 19,

2019. (M.D. 11/19/19 #6).

RESOLUTION NO. 91-2020; Resolution pertaining to the decision on Hydrant Rental for Oyster Bay Fire Protection District and Oyster Bay Water District for the year 2020. Hearing held:

January 7, 2020. (M.D. 1/7/20 #8).

RESOLUTION NO. 92-2020; Resolution authorizing negotiations to purchase the easement at 185 Central Avenue, Bethpage, or in the alternative, to commence condemnation proceedings, and to utilize the services of an appraiser.

Account No. OTA A 1420 44110 000 0000. (M.D. 1/21/20 #25).

RESOLUTION NO. 93-2020; Resolution directing the Town Clerk to publish a Notice of Hearing in connection with the application of 31 Pearl Street, LLC and Island Properties, LLC for a Change of Zone and Site Plan Approval on premises

2.1

located at 41 Summit Street, Oyster Bay, New York.

Hearing Date: February 25, 2020. (M.D. 1/21/20

#26).

RESOLUTION NO. 94-2020; Resolution directing the Town Clerk to publish a Notice of Hearing in connection with the application of 56 Washington Pkwy, Inc. for a Special Use Permit to allow for the operation of an automobile repair shop on premises located at 56 Washington Parkway, Hicksville, New York. Hearing Date: February 25, 2020. (M.D. 1/21/20 #27).

RESOLUTION NO. 95-2020; Resolution authorizing an extension to the Public Comment Period to January 31, 2020 in connection with the Town of Oyster Bay Solid Waste Disposal District Local Solid Waste Management Plan. (M.D. 1/21/20 #29).

RESOLUTION NO. 96-2020; Resolution supplementing Resolution 49-2020 in connection with New York State Volunteer Firefighters Benefit Law Policy town wide.

RESOLUTION NO. 97-2020; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor or his designee to sign an agreement for the Federal Department of Transportation mandated Employee Drug and Alcohol

		56
1	Testing Program.	
2	On the motion?	
3	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: So moved.	
4	Sorry.	
5	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.	
6	MR. LaMARCA: Motion made by	
7	Councilwoman Johnson.	
8	Seconded by Councilman Imbroto.	
9	On the vote:	
10	Supervisor Saladino?	
11	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."	
12	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Johnson?	
13	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."	
14	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Imbroto?	
15	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."	
16	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Hand?	
17	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."	
18	MR. LaMARCA: Councilman Labriola?	
19	COUNCILMAN LABRIOLA: "Aye" on all.	
20	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Maier?	
21	COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: "Aye."	
22	MR. LaMARCA: Councilwoman Walsh?	
23	COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: "Aye."	
24	MR. LaMARCA: Motion to adopt	
25	Resolutions Nos. P-3-20 through 97-2020 passes wi	th

```
57
1
      seven "Ayes."
2
                  The calendar is complete.
3
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you for
4
      your assistance.
5
                  COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Supervisor, I
6
      make a motion to close the meeting.
7
                  COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Second.
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor
8
9
      please signify by saying, "Aye."
10
                  ALL: "Aye."
11
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
12
      "Nay."
13
                   (No one responded.)
14
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
15
      it.
16
                  On public comment -- thank you, by the
      way, for all those who come to speak and listen and
17
18
      assist.
19
                  We appreciate your cooperation and we
20
      appreciate your involvement.
21
                   (TIME NOTED: 11:15 A.M.)
22
23
2.4
25
```