TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
SPECIAL PRESENTATION
AUGUST 15, 2017
10:21 a.m.

JOSEPH SALADINO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN THOMAS HAND
TOWN CLERK JAMES ALTADONNA JR.
RECEIVER OF TAXES JAMES STEFANICH

NOT PRESENT:

COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

KRISTINA TRNKA
Reporter/Notary Public

2.0

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

We appreciate your patience.

Apparently, there was an overturned vehicle on the LIE and that blocked -- slowed down some people in getting here and the public, and one of our council members, who will be getting here shortly, so we're going to get started and, obviously, we have some announcements to make, but we know that folks will be getting here.

So to lead this morning's prayer will be Pastor Jeehoon Song better known as Pastor Jee of Arumdaun Presbyterian Church in Bethpage.

Arumdaun's vision is to faithfully promote the ministry of reconciliation and to function as God's agents. The ministry of reconciliation requires healing, maturity and building relationships through the eradication of spiritual and physical poverty in and around us.

In order to do this, the church is committed to discipleship training, embarking on short term mission trips and community service.

Pastor Jee is the head pastor of the English ministry at Arumdaun Presbyterian Church. He began in March of 2013. Previously, Pastor Jee was the

3 1 youth pastor at the church. Pastor Jee obtained 2 his Master's of divinity and his of Master of Arts 3 from Princeton Theological Seminary. 4 A Philadelphia native, he's an avid fan 5 of the Eagles and the Phillies, but we won't hold that against him. He is married to his lovely 6 7 wife, Jenny, and has three beautiful children, 8 Lilly, Michaela and Oliver. 9 Pastor Jee, would you please join us? 10 (Whereupon, a prayer was recited by 11 Pastor Jee.) 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'd like to ask 13 for a moment of silence for all those who have lost 14 their lives recently as related to hate crimes, the 15 acts of those suffering from mental illness and for 16 other loss of life. 17 (Whereupon, a moment of silence was 18 observed.) 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 20 The Pledge of Allegiance will be led by 21 Girl Scout Sophia Greco. 22 Sophia, would you join us, please? 23 If you all would kindly face the flag. 24 (Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance 25 was recited and led by Sophia Greco.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you,

2 Sophia.

1.3

2.0

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

We are pleased to welcome friends of the Christina Renna Foundation with us this morning, which was founded to honor Christina Renna, a Long Island teenager who died in January 2007 of Rhabdomyosarcoma, a soft tissue cancer.

Among those joining us this morning are Christina's parents, Phil and Renee Renna, who serve as the organization's Director and Trustee respectfully.

Another trustee that is also here with us this morning and he sits here with me on the Oyster Bay Town Board when he's not serving the residents of our great Town, and that's Councilman Tom Hand, who devotes his time to this organization and its many worthwhile endeavors. The Foundation is dedicated to supporting children's cancer research and furthering awareness and education through the support of cancer groups and outreach programs for the direct support of those in need.

After being diagnosed with cancer,
Christina never prayed for herself, but instead
that others would be spared the ravages of this

5 1 terrible disease and the obstacles it presented. 2 She dreamt of a world free of cancer. Her legacy in the Christina Renna Foundation celebrates 3 Christina's life and her vision. 4 5 In honor of the Christina Renna Foundation, Oyster Bay Town will proudly recognize 6 7 September 2017 as National Children's Cancer 8 Awareness Month in an effort to continue 9 Christina's dreams of creating a cancer-free world. 10 At this point, we'd like to present the 11 Proclamation declaring September 2017 as National 12 Children's Cancer Awareness Month to Christina's 13 parents, Phil and Renee Renna. 14 Councilman Hand, would you assist us in 15 this endeavor? If our Board Members would please 16 join us up front? 17 (Whereupon, the Town Proclamation was 18 presented to Mr. Renna by Councilwoman Hand.) 19 MR. RENNA: A few things that are 2.0 happening right here on Long Island. This past 21 November, we were able to bring hundreds of 22 scientists and researchers right here in Nassau 23 County to be the first ever pediatric conference. 24 We are very, very proud of that. 25 If anybody's familiar with (inaudible)

6 1 the cutting edge technology, have now started 2 research grant over at Cold Springs Harbor 3 Laboratory to try to identify drug targets using 4 this new technology. (Inaudible.) 5 We're very proud (inaudible) and we love your support. Thank you so much. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You have our 8 support. We're also very proud of Councilman Tom 9 Hand for giving of his time to (inaudible) 10 Christina's dream come true. 11 MR. RENNA: Thank you. 12 I appreciate it. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: How about a big 1.3 14 hand for Mr. Renna? 15 (Applause.) 16 (Inaudible conversation between 17 Mr. Renna and the Town of Oyster Bay Board 18 members.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I do want to 19 point out that in addition to declaring September 2.0 21 of 2017 as National Children's Cancer Awareness 22 Month, the Town of Oyster Bay will also honor 23 Christina's legacy by participating in a gold 24 ribbon campaign by placing ribbons outside of Town 25 Hall during the month of September.

For more information about the Christina Renna Foundation, residents can visit their website at www.crf4acure.org.

Our second presentation -- yes? I'm sorry.

Councilman Tom Hand.

COUNCILMAN HAND: I just want to make reference to some of the deeds of the Christina Renna Foundation that are worth noting. They work very closely with the Memorial Sloan Kettering Hospital. In particular, they support the prom drive every year, the dress, prom dresses for all the returning patients that go to the -- to the prom every year.

In addition to that, there was an initiative to secure iPads for the pediatric cancer unit. We give a grant to the Memorial Sloan Kettering Hospital and raised hundreds of thousands of dollars, as Mr. Renna pointed out, for Cold Springs Harbor Labs in an attempt to have research for a cure.

So we appreciate what the Renna family has turned the tragedy into something very, very significant to try to support the memory and legacy of their daughter, Christina.

2.0

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you,

Councilman Tom Hand, for your recognition of the

Foundation, for recognition of their work, but also
a thank you to you personally on behalf of all of
us, the Town of Oyster Bay family, for your
diligence and dedication to this very important
issue.

I'm sure all of us know of someone, a loved one, who has been touched by this disease and perhaps have lost their lives to cancer. You're in our thoughts and prayers and our loved ones who are gone will always be remembered.

Thank you.

And thank you to you, Mr. Renna.

For our second presentation this morning, we welcome 13-year-old Sophia Greco who led us in the Pledge of Allegiance. She will be entering 9th grade at Plainedge High School and is a member of the Plainedge Association of Girl Scouts. Sophia participates in cross-country, softball, plays the harp for her school district and for the Gemini Orchestra, volunteers at the Plainedge Library and she has been a Girl Scout -- since Kindergarten, she's been involved in scouting.

2.0

Over the last year, she's been working toward earning her Girl Scouts Silver Award with a project called "A Day at the Beach." The project idea originated from Sophia spending time at Tobay Beach with her father. She noticed children getting lost with no way of explaining where they were and she decided to help find a solution to that problem.

She proposed a very simple, but effective idea of numbering the lifeguard stands, both front and back. She felt that this would help families have a meeting place for their children, provide a landmark place for their -- to meet and gives emergency staff a better location indicator during an emergency.

Sophia financed the project through

Girl Scout cookie sales and babysitting. Sophia

has received great feedback and appreciation from

the Tobay staff, from all of us town officials and

residents and we are happy to report that all

lifeguard stands are now marked with a separate

number thanks to Sophia.

If that wasn't enough, Sophia also organized a workshop for younger Girl Scouts that covered first aid, water safety, and lifeguarding.

1.3

2.0

She enlisted the support two female Tobay lifeguards, who are strong role models, no doubt, and a professional EMT to take a part in interactive and educational workshops where the girls earned their first aid badge.

Sophia volunteers on the weekends to help clean, paint, and organize the stock and the first aid drill at Tobay Beach. Along the way, Sophia has met with various Town officials and all agree that her caring and professional demeanor make her an exceptional young lady highly deserving of this recognition.

It is, therefore, our pleasure with my colleagues here in Town Government to honor Sophia Greco and present her with a Town Citation recognizing her abilities, recognizing her efforts, and thanking her for her work. These efforts greatly advance the community and richly deserving of the Town's highest commendation.

I'd like to also point out before we give this presentation to Sophia, that her parents are here as well, who are great motivators for this wonderful young lady. We are also joined by other people who have made a difference not only in her life, but in the lives of so many people in our

11 1 community, including the Superintendant of 2 Plainedge Schools, Dr. Ed Salina. He's joined by 3 Board Members and administrators at this school. 4 If you all will kindly join us up front 5 for the presentation of this Town Citation. Come on up, Sophia. 6 7 (Applause.) 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We greatly 9 appreciate how much you are caring for others and 10 we know there are young people, children who just 11 get lost from time to time, it happens, but because 12 of your thinking, your very forward thinking, and 1.3 the fact that you care so much and you made the 14 effort to make it happen, a lot of the families are deeply indebted to you and our Town is very much 15 16 appreciative. 17 Congratulations, Sophia. 18 (Applause.) 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, again. 2.0 Congratulations for leading and setting 21 the right example for so many young people. 22 Probably the most appropriate time to 23 mention that many of our Town Board members and 24 elected officials, and quite frankly, our appointed

officials here in the Town Board have been very

1.3

2.0

active in Scouting for many years, not the least of which is Jim Stefanich, our Receiver of Taxes, who has been a Scout leader for so long that he was little Imbroto's scout leader when Councilman Lou Imbroto was in scouting.

But we're very appreciative to everyone who is involved in scouting and leads us. Great work. Thank you very much.

Another big hand for Sophia.

Thank you.

You know, leadership comes in many forms and you just saw two wonderful examples of leadership and we, in the Town of Oyster Bay, take leadership very seriously and we've been making a lot of progress in this Town and we will continue to work to make tremendous progress to turn this Town around for the better.

Since our last Town Board meeting, our progress has moved forward with many cost savings initiatives aimed at protecting taxpayers' wallets which all allow us to freeze property taxes in our upcoming budget. They've also been part of our initiatives to bring great reform to the Town and to make our Town operate much more efficiently.

Another big piece of news is that we

1.3

2.0

1.3

have been awarded this New York State's number one
Downtown Revitalization Grant and earned
recognition from Governor Cuomo, as well as the
entire New York State for our work.

Additionally, smart and good government policies are allowing us to deliver better services to our residents, and quite frankly, save quite a bit of money for our taxpayers.

On that fiscal front, we have announced plans to save more than 3 million dollars through the actions which will be taken by today's Town Board meeting.

They include the transition to single-stream recycling in October and that's big news for our Town. No longer will the Town lose nearly \$100,000 a year on paying for the cost to haul our recyclables away.

Instead, we will earn 2 million dollars in revenue for our taxpayers while making it much easier for residents to recycle their disposables and including more items, more materials that the public can recycle which, obviously, helps our environment.

With this conversion to single-stream recycling, garbage trucks will perform the work

2.0

2.5

once completed by recycling trucks.

Accordingly, Councilman Tom Hand and I announced the sale of our fleet of recycling trucks and this will generate up to 1 million dollars for our taxpayers and every cent of that million dollars will go back to the taxpayers where it belongs.

Later today, the Board will take action on the sale of the first six surplus recycling trucks for which the Town is being offered above market value.

I previously stated I would seek savings in every corner of the government and we will continue to do so and this is what -- but another example that we're delivering on our promises.

The Board will take action on the sale of nonoperation vehicles, and quite frankly, a very long list of surplus equipment including a broken boat hull and even a lawnmower. In total, this will generate \$54,000, the sale of this equipment for our taxpayers and will be removing the eyesores from our property.

Additionally, Commissioners, Deputy

Commissioners and high level employees are no

you for your cooperation.

1.3

2.0

longer allowed to take home their Town vehicles.

This is saving money on fuel and maintenance, and quite frankly, I applaud our Town employees for understanding that, understanding that we will continue to put our taxpayers first, and I thank

When it comes to economic development, our Town won the Governor's top prize of \$10 million because of our actions. This will be the used for downtown redevelopment in Hicksville as that were the terms -- those were the terms of the grant.

These funds will bolster our overall goal of creating new jobs, new housing opportunities, and transforming Downtown Hicksville into an attractive, walkable, bicycle friendly environment.

I thank Governor Cuomo for his commitment to our Downtown and I have to especially thank two people who have been of great assistance and were working on this issue long before I came to the Town of Oyster Bay, Councilwoman Rebecca Alesia and my good friend, Councilman Anthony Macagnone for their dedication to advancing these plans for Downtown Hicksville.

```
16
1
                  Let's give them a hand, please.
2
                   (Applause.)
3
                  COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Supervisor, I'd
4
      like to thank the committee from Hicksville that
5
      was part of this. They did a great job.
      were -- everywhere I turned, they were there, so I
6
7
      want to thank them too for their constant quidance
      and pushing us along.
8
9
                  Thank you very much.
10
                   (Applause.)
11
                  SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Beat me to it,
12
      Tony.
13
                  COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Sorry,
14
      Supervisor.
15
                  SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's okay.
16
                  You're absolutely right and we do have
17
      to thank the Hicksville Community Council, the
18
      Hicksville Chamber of Commerce, the Hicksville
19
      Downtown Revitalization Committee. Members of all
20
      of those organizations are here with us today.
21
                  Let's all give them a big hand for
22
      their foresight, their efforts and their hard work.
23
                   (Applause.)
24
                  SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We appreciate you
25
      all.
```

2.0

Stand up. Go ahead. Stand up, gentlemen.

We're all very appreciative. This is a very competitive grant system. I know this because I worked on developing it as a State Assemblyman over the years and you have to work very hard, be very detail oriented, and really pass muster on this. So to win the Governor's top award is really a big deal. It puts Oyster Bay on top across the entire State and doesn't hurt that the award is \$10 million. It doesn't hurt at all.

It's going to make a big difference in redeveloping Hicksville, making it one of the most beautiful communities, making it the right community, taking advantage of the transit-oriented opportunities there and the State is investing way above 100 million dollars in -- I believe it's about \$134 million in a new railroad station and parking facilities. So it is a very exciting time in the Town of Oyster Bay with Hicksville being one of the Ground Zeros.

Thank you to the team, thank you

Councilman Macagnone, thank you Rebecca Alesia, who
is unable to be with us, but also thank you to the
whole Town Board because each and every one of you

2.0

were very, very helpful.

These accomplishments are happening. We've also been behind the scenes working to

deliver higher quality services to our residents.

With the help of new Councilman, Lou Imbroto, we hosted the grand opening of the new Planning and Development Satellite Office in Town Hall South to assist residents with their building permit applications and that's where we announced something else we are extremely proud of, was much in need, and something we delivered for our residents. Three words that have not been spoken in the Town of Oyster Bay, same-day permits.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Wow.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

Thanks for your help.

Homeowners and those with businesses seeking building permits for a very, very long list of projects can now visit either Town Hall North or Town Hall South, and those seeking certain approvals can walk out of Town Hall the very same day with their approved permit.

You can also download the forms online. So if you're going to contract with a contractor that day, download the forms, fill them out, have

2.0

your paperwork ready, come to Town Hall, and walk out with your permit, get your supplies, and get to work on that project on the very same day.

It's a big deal. This is a win/win for our residents seeking to improve their homes and to get contractors to work right away. We see this as an economic boom and something that will make a big difference in lifting hurdles from our residents, and quite frankly, from small business owners as well.

I'd like to thank Commissioner Leslie
Maccarone for her work and specifically for
revamping the Planning and Development Department
to better assist the residents of this Town.

As I stated, it was vastly needed, it was promised, and we delivered on our promise assisting Commissioner Maccarone is Deputy

Commissioner Zike. If you're both here, why don't the two of you stand.

(Applause.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Commissioner is probably over at the office working right now.

Deputy Commissioner Zike is representing the Department. Thank you very, very much.

I remind residents also that we

2.0

extended out the Amnesty Program until

December 31st, and while I can't speak for all the

Board members, if that's not enough time, we'll

extend it again. We are here to work for the

residents. We are here to deliver for the

residents and we will put the residents first.

Of course, no amnesty can be granted to Grumman and the United States Navy for the pollution they left behind in Bethpage.

Last week, Councilman Hand and
Councilman Imbroto joined with me and the DEC in
starting a well monitor project at the leading edge
of the plume as the DEC is exploring more options
for, quite frankly, a project that I started as a
member of the New York State Assembly some years
back.

In accordance with the Clean Water

Infrastructure Act, construction of infrastructure

must begin now to remediate the plume and we

continue to be the leading voice, not only on Long

Island but statewide, calling for this plume to be

cleaned up 100 percent in an effort to protect

every resident in Bethpage and residents throughout

the Town of Oyster Bay.

In this Town, we are doing our part by

2.0

2.1

requiring Grumman and the Navy to test for 1-4-Dioxane as well as radium and the breakdown products to every extent where they are found in the plume.

The Town, in cooperation with the DEC, has also extended soil testing requirements in the area for the Bethpage Community Park, known as the ball field, to include testing for harmful chemicals, as we mention, including 1-4-Dioxane and radium as well as heavy metals necessary to provide for an all-encompassing remediation at that site.

We are very serious about continuing to call for a full remediation at a level never experienced before in the Town of Oyster Bay or by the elected officials of the Town of Oyster Bay.

We stand in lockstep as one and we will continue to ensure that this gets cleaned up entirely and that the taxpayers are not forced to pay for it. The remediation is our top priority and we will make it a top priority for the federal, state, and local governments.

On a lighter note, under the leadership of Councilwoman Michelle Johnson, the Town extended Summer pool hours for families unveiled recent upgrades including sunshades, umbrellas, new picnic

1.3

2.0

tables and new lounge chairs. We have also announced plans to remodel our restrooms and shower facilities at Town pools before next Summer. We've already started some of that work, and this project will be undertaken by Town employees, so that it's done efficiently, so that we're saving money and getting the job done right.

And I see our Commissioner Joe Pinto in the back. I want to thank you for your cooperation as well as the cooperation of the Department of Public Works. The upgraded equipment and the remodeled bathrooms are being completed without any new borrowing, without any new borrowing. We are very serious about getting the job done and making sure that it's done efficiently without spending recklessly, but is spending efficiently.

In closing, Councilwoman Johnson,

Councilman Muscarella, and I remind residents that
this is Free Adoption Week in the Town Animal
Shelter.

As a matter of fact, in today's

Newsday, there was a beautiful picture of

Councilman Imbroto and Councilwoman Johnson holding

these adorable kittens and myself with a dog, all

needing a -- Foxy is the dog's name, and they all

need forever homes.

1.3

2.0

So part of our effort in utilizing Free Adoption Week at the Animal Shelter is to motivate people to come out. This is a great time of year with the warm weather, perfect time of year to adopt these beautiful furry friends, and as animal lovers, we suggest you come to the shelter today and adopt one of these animals and create a forever home for them.

So that's just some of the new news and changes we're making in the Town.

Councilwoman, go ahead.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: I just wanted to say thank you to everyone that donated blood. We had a very successful blood drive this year -- or this half of the year. I think probably one of the most successful in years, so thank you so much to everyone for coming out.

I'd also like to say thank you to

Commissioner Bergen and his staff because without

you, we wouldn't have gotten to the single-stream

process. It was years in the making and thank you

for all you did.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Very welcome. Thanks for being part of it.

2.4 1 As a fellow animal lover, I appreciate 2 all of your motivation and all of our Town Board members love animals and have animals and we 3 4 appreciate all of your efforts. 5 Before we poll the Board, we just want 6 to recognize that we have members of our 7 firefighting force here and we appreciate all of 8 those efforts and I would like to also recognize a 9 good friend in government, Paul Rupp, who is our 10 Bayville Mayor, has also joined with us and we 11 thank you all for your efforts. 12 (TIME NOTED: 10:51 A.M.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
AUGUST 15, 2017
10:52 A.M.

HEARING - Local Law

To consider a proposed Local Law entitled: "A Local Law to Amend the Code of the town of Oyster Bay, New York, Chapter 93- Building Construction, Section 93-16.1 Safe and Code Compliant Construction." (M.D. 7/11/17 #29).

JOSEPH SALADINO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN THOMAS HAND
TOWN CLERK JAMES ALTADONNA JR.
RECEIVER OF TAXES JAMES STEFANICH

NOT PRESENT:

COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

KRISTINA TRNKA
Reporter/Notary Public

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

	2
1	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Will the Town
2	Clerk now poll the Board?
3	MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor Saladino?
4	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Present.
5	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
6	MR. MUSCARELLA: Here.
7	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
8	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Here.
9	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia is
10	absent.
11	Councilwoman Johnson?
12	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Here.
13	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?
14	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Present.
15	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?
16	COUNCILMAN HAND: Here.
17	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Will the Town
18	Clerk call the first hearing?
19	MR. ALTADONNA: Yes.
20	Hearing, Local Law to consider a
21	proposed Local Law entitled: A Local Law to Amend
22	the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, New York,
23	Chapter 93 - Building Construction, Section
24	93-16.1, Safe and Code Compliant Construction.
25	MR. ROZEA: Good morning, Supervisor

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

and Members of the Town Board.

Matthew Rozea from the Office of the Town Attorney.

Members of the Board, recognizing the good quality work that organized labor performs in the Town of Oyster Bay and throughout New York State, before the Board today is a local law to revamp, strengthen and ensure that work performed in the Town of Oyster Bay is done in a safe codecompliant manner.

On the books at the moment is a provision which requires certain projects to have the benefit of an apprenticeship program. However, in an effort -- our continuing effort to increase the effectiveness of our Ordinances, we've identified two ways in which we can strengthen the apprenticeship program so that we can ensure that the full breadth of projects that come before the Town Board for approval benefit from the apprenticeship program.

And so, those two components are as follows: First, for all projects over 100,000 square feet, the Town will now require that the apprenticeship program be put in place regardless of whether the application is made for a smaller

2.0

square footage amount while the total square footage of this subject property is actually, in fact, excess of 100,000 square feet.

So, for example, an applicant comes in with a proposal to develop or construct 80,000 square feet of floor space, some time late -- which would parenthetically fall below the threshold under the existing law of 100,000 square feet -- if that same applicant then came before the Board for the remaining 40,000 square feet of hypothetical floor space, now bringing the total floor space to above 100,000 square feet, the current law would not require the apprenticeship program to be employed.

We've tightened that provision, closed that loophole so that if within 18 months of making the initial application, the same applicant comes before the Board or the Building Department for a project which would, in the aggregate, exceed 100,000 square feet, the Apprenticeship Program provisions would now be in place and would be enforced by the Town so that no project manager can get around the requirements that safe and code compliant labor be employed.

Go ahead, Councilman.

5 1 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Just before we 2 get really into it. You mentioned organized labor. 3 There also is some fine nonorganized labor apprentice programs out there that we are not 4 5 looking to make an exclusive union. MR. ROZEA: Understood. 6 7 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: This is coming 8 from somebody who has spent 36 years as a union 9 carpenter, but we are looking to, you know, make 10 sure they have the proper training, union or 11 nonunion, as long as they have the proper training. 12 MR. ROZEA: We are on the same page. 1.3 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Okay. I just 14 want to make sure that the public knows that. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, 15 16 Councilman. 17 MR. ROZEA: The second component then 18 of this proposed local law requires that the 19 contractor prove to the Town that not only has it 2.0 used Apprenticeship Program labor, but that the 21 individuals who were a part of that Apprenticeship 22 Program have, in fact, graduated from the 23 Apprenticeship Program. That closes a loophole which allowed a contractor or subcontractor to make 24

application to the Town, show that it had an

Apprenticeship Program in place, and that it had an individual, but then never used that apprenticeship individual in the project.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Or perhaps they never really graduated anyone.

MR. ROZEA: And that's the point.

So now what will happen is they're going to have to come forward and show proof that someone did, in fact, graduate from the Apprenticeship Program so that we can be sure that the Town benefitted from the safe and codecompliant work that the -- that the Ordinance requires.

And so, that's of the two main features of the Ordinance. If the Board has any questions with respect to the Ordinance, I'll be more than happy to address it.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Matt, I just want to clear things up for the public because your presentation was thorough but very technical.

MR. ROZEA: I try.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: The purpose is to close loopholes so that unscrupulous contractors can't get around the apprenticeship requirements by breaking up the projects into small pieces or by

7 1 having fake or phoney Apprenticeship Programs that 2 never actually graduate any apprentices. 3 This is to make sure that every Apprenticeship Program is legitimate and every 4 5 project that should qualify should be required to have an Apprenticeship Program does. 6 7 MR. ROZEA: That's right. 8 Because there's no reason why someone 9 should be allowed to skirt the requirements of the 10 law simply by creatively breaking up the project 11 into component pieces that would be well within the 12 threshold, and so that's absolutely correct, 1.3 Councilman. 14 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Thank you. 15 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Before they 16 start the job, do they present their certificate 17 or -- of the apprenticeship? 18 MR. ROZEA: There's extensive 19 provisions in the Ordinance that address what needs 20 to be presented to the Building Department. 21 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Prior to 22 starting the job? 23 MR. ROZEA: It is prior. 24 It's prior to the issuance of the 25 building permit for construction, there needs to be

2.0

proof that one apprentice from the State-approved program did, in fact, graduate.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I would say,

Councilman, working with Commissioner Zike and

Commissioner Maccarone, and Commissioner Lenz, I do

know they do research to make sure there is a

program in place and I want to commend them for

that. Not many municipalities reach out to the

various unions. Ours always does.

MR. ROZEA: I just heard a comment from the gallery about the one apprentice. It requires at least one so we are not going to put a cap and just say merely one apprentice. I mean, the more, the better.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Right. There is no cap on that.

And just to dovetail from Councilman Macagnone's statements, I worked for the Town of Oyster Bay years ago and I worked for the Town Board.

Quite frankly, I worked for Tony

Macagnone as well as the other Board members and I

watched and learned how the Town of Oyster Bay took

on the role of protecting its work force and

protecting its residents by ensuring that the most

2.0

skilled workers were on the job to provide the benefit and to ensure that work was done efficiently and, quite frankly, safely.

And I want to applaud Tony Macagnone for all of us. Because this has been going on for years. We didn't just become labor friendly. We didn't just become -- provide these assurances to our residents overnight. This has been going on a long time in building.

Because of the efforts of people like

Tony Macagnone and others in our Town and I am

proud that we are the most conscientious

municipality in the State when it comes to

protecting the work forces here in the Town of

Oyster Bay, protecting our residents and ensuring
they get the highest quality of services in any

kind of construction job.

So, when we found a loophole that the Town, quite frankly, that people were trying to work around the rules, we immediately put in force and wrote this piece of legislation to ensure that no one could take advantage and that we can ensure everyone was following the rules and we are very proud to have you here before us today, but a special thanks to Tony Macagnone for starting this

10 1 process some two decades ago. 2 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I want to thank 3 Councilman Imbroto for carrying the ball on this. 4 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And I would like 5 to thank you for all of your assistance over the 6 years. 7 While I'm at it, I'll just thank the 8 rest of the Board for just being so great. 9 MR. ROZEA: On that note, we'll also 10 thank the Board for giving the Office of the Town 11 Attorney the assignment to close this loophole and 12 make the Ordinance a stronger ordinance for 13 everyone. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Truth be told, 15 Lou brought this to our attention this round and, 16 Lou Imbroto, thank you for your foresight on 17 closing up this loophole. 18 Any other questions? 19 Okay. We have some speakers. 2.0 Michael Price? 21 Would you please start off by 22 identifying yourself and giving us your address for 23 the record? 24 MR. PRICE: Sure. 25 Good morning, Board Members,

Councilman, Supervisor.

2.0

Michael Price, 44 Northcrest Avenue, Bethpage, New York.

And I just want to touch upon some of the issues that were spoken just prior to me getting up here regarding the apprenticeship language.

You know, there are companies that are recognized by the State that do have this certification, but my concern is more with acceptable graduation rates.

Just because they're enrolled in a program and don't produce, that's -- that's a big disadvantage to those of us -- the companies out there that are -- are taking the efforts to produce and cultivate young men and women into the Apprenticeship Program.

So as part of the -- you know, I've been a union member for about 18 years now and we have an outstanding carpenters' program. You know, it takes -- it's a five-year program and, you know, we turn out highly skilled and qualified journeymen after that five-year period and what I would like to see become part of the apprenticeship language are the acceptable graduation rates.

12 1 You know, come up with a percentage 2 that either qualifies or disqualifies a company 3 from bidding on a project, as well as enforcement 4 and disqualification should a company receive a bid 5 and then not adhere to the stipulations of the language of the Apprenticeship Program. 6 7 And that's about all I have regarding 8 this issue. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 10 much. 11 Our second speaker is Paul Leo. 12 Would you kindly begin by identifying 13 yourself and giving us your address? 14 MR. LEO: No. 15 (Laughter.) 16 MR. LEO: Good morning, Mr. Supervisor, Counsel Members. 17 18 Paul Leo, 20 Sinclair Street, 19 Farmingdale, New York. 2.0 I am a representative of the Northeast 21 Regional Counsel of Carpenters, President of the 22 Local 290 for the Carpenters. 23 I have been a carpenter for 26 years, 24 16 years as a representative. I am very thankful 25 for this Board and for you having the courage to

1.3

bring this forward, this loophole that we've had because we've seen so many unscrupulous programs. There are many very good programs. There are also many State-approved programs that don't graduate anybody, and in our eyes, those are bogus programs, so closing those loopholes is tremendous for the workers, as well as the public as you earlier stated.

I also wanted to comment in regards to what we do with our recruitment for apprentices.

Right now, we have over 200 apprentices in our program just here on Long Island. We just recently had a pre-Apprenticeship Program for women and they went through a six-week Apprenticeship Program, then had a test and interviews. Out of 10, 8 completed the program. All scored extremely high and are all employed right now, and we're talking about women that ranged in age from 22 to 55, some with families, children, not getting paid to come to these programs, and very proud of that.

It was the first time that we undertook something like this. That's the type of recruitment that we do. Diversity and making sure that our apprentices are well suited for our contractors and for the public and for the safety.

14 1 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Mr. Leo? 2 MR. LEO: Yes, sir. 3 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: If I'm not mistaken, these women were recruited through the 4 5 One Stop Programs. Those people looking for employment, single mothers, a lot of them, you 6 7 know. 8 MR. LEO: Disadvantaged. COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: 9 Yeah. 10 MR. LEO: Absolutely. You're 11 absolutely correct, and that's why we seek out and 12 look to diversify ourselves so that -- like I said, 13 with 200 apprentices, we've got more than 15 14 percent that are women in our local itself. Our 15 diversity is tremendous from all cultures and I'm 16 very proud that these loopholes have been closed 17 and appreciate your time and your efforts to 18 continue supporting our communities and our causes. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're very 2.0 welcome and thank you for your work. It is greatly 21 appreciated and we are in lockstep with you and 22 encouraging more opportunities for woman, equal 23 rights for all, more diversity, and we encourage 24 this in the new Town of Oyster Bay and will

continue to encourage those actions.

	15
1	MR. LEO: Thank you, my friend.
2	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any
3	other speakers on this hearing?
4	(No verbal response given.)
5	MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor, last night
6	we received a correspondence from a James W.
7	Versocki, Esq. and he wanted this read into the
8	hearing.
9	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We'll all make
10	sure that we have an opportunity we'll take an
11	opportunity to read that so please consider that
12	accepted.
13	MR. ALTADONNA: Would you like to read
14	it?
15	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes, you can
16	distribute that to our Board Members.
17	Is there any other information; any
18	other correspondence?
19	MR. ALTADONNA: We have affidavits of
20	posting and publications.
21	There is no other correspondence.
22	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.
23	At this time, I would like to call for
24	a vote.
25	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor,

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

```
16
      I'll make a motion that this public hearing be
1
      closed and the decision be reserved.
2
3
                   COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.
 4
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
5
      signify by saying aye.
                   ALL: "Aye."
 6
7
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All against,
      "Nay."
8
9
                   (No verbal response given.)
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
10
11
      it.
12
                   Thank you.
13
                   (TIME NOTED: 11:08 A.M.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
AUGUST 15, 2017
11:09 A.M.

HEARING - Local Law

To consider a proposed Local Law entitled: "A Local Law to Add Chapter 84 of the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay entitled 'All-Terrain Vehicles.'" (M.D. 7/11/17 #27).

JOSEPH SALADINO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN THOMAS HAND
TOWN CLERK JAMES ALTADONNA JR.
RECEIVER OF TAXES JAMES STEFANICH

NOT PRESENT:

COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

KRISTINA TRNKA
Reporter/Notary Public

1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you kindly 2 call the next hearing? 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Sure. Hearing - Local Law, to consider a 4 5 proposed Local Law entitled: "A Local Law to Add Chapter 84 of the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay 6 entitled 'All-Terrain Vehicles.'" 7 8 MR. ROZEA: And, again, Supervisor, members of Board, from the Office of the Town 9 10 Attorney, Matthew Rozea. 11 This local law is very straightforward 12 in that it recognizes the precious nature of the 13 properties that the Town holds in trust for its 14 residents; and, in particular, the beaches which so 15 many of us enjoy. 16 And given the critical function that 17 our dunes and sands play, not only in the 18 aesthetics of the experience at the beach, but also 19 in quarding against storm surge and storms, 2.0 generally, this local law would prohibit the use of 21 all terrain vehicles at Town properties which 22 include, of course, beaches. 23

It is not intended nor does it regulate the use of all terrain vehicles in any other aspect of the Town; meaning, the streets where the police

24

25

2.0

2.5

department would have jurisdiction, and it's merely limited or it is limited to Town property since that term is defined in the Ordinance.

This is done purely out of recognition of the need to protect our environment, preserve our natural resources, and ensure that those resources are available for all to enjoy for years to come.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Can you speak to us briefly about the fact that this legislation would protect the sanctity of our environment?

MR. ROZEA: Well, of course, because if you can't use an all terrain vehicle, which is designed specifically for land features which are not ordinary, meaning not paved, we are ensuring that those vehicles can't be used in places like our beaches, our dunes, our ballparks, our fields, or any of the other number of areas within the Town that are ecologically and environmentally sensitive.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So this legislation addresses the protection of our pristine environment.

It also saves money because damage to fields, obviously, are expensive to repair, so it

protects the taxpayers.

1.3

2.0

And can you speak to us about protecting the safety of Town residents?

MR. ROZEA: Absolutely, Supervisor, what the law does not prohibit is anyone from the police or public safety or other law enforcement or State agency from using an all terrain vehicle in the scope of their public duties.

So, of course, if the situation were to arise, say at a beach where the need for emergency medical technicians or other first responders arose to use an all terrain vehicle to rescue someone or tend to someone in need of aid, they could they could still do that under this Ordinance.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So you're answering my next question and I'm glad that we have exempted out law enforcement, emergency responders, perhaps people from government who are using a vehicle of this type to do a survey, but in terms of the safety our residents, has your research indicated that accidents on these vehicles cause many injuries?

MR. ROZEA: Let's explain it this way.

By eliminating the presence of an all terrain vehicle at a place like a beach where

5 1 people are focused on the waters rather than what's 2 surrounding them, we are limiting the risk that an 3 all terrain vehicle could come along and strike them or cause other damage, so, yes. 4 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: As well as injuries to the rider? 6 7 MR. ROZEA: Correct. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And in those 9 cases where injuries take place on Town property, 10 is it usually the case that the Town is dealing 11 with a lawsuit that costs our taxpayers money? 12 MR. ROZEA: Undoubtedly, because the 13 minute that any type of incident were to take place 14 on a Town-owned property, the creative plaintiff's 15 attorneys will invariably name the Town of Oyster 16 Bay as a Defendant in that lawsuit. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So it is correct 18 to assume that this legislation would protect our 19 environment, would protect our residents from 2.0 injuries, be they the riders of these vehicles or 21 people that they could strike and it saves the 22 taxpayers money from the lawsuits that ensue? 23 MR. ROZEA: Correct on all 24 three points. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

	6
1	Any questions from any of the Board
2	members?
3	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: No. I think it
4	is a great idea.
5	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.
6	Are there any speakers on this hearing?
7	(No verbal response given.)
8	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No one from the
9	public would like to speak? Okay.
10	Is there any correspondence?
11	MR. ALTADONNA: We have affidavits of
12	postings and publications.
13	There is no other correspondence.
14	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May I please have
15	a motion on this hearing?
16	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I
17	make a motion that this public hearing be closed
18	and the decision be reserved.
19	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.
20	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
21	signify by saying aye.
22	ALL: "Aye."
23	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All opposed,
24	"Nay."
25	(No verbal response given.)

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

```
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
 1
 2
       it.
 3
                     (TIME NOTED: 11:13 A.M.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
AUGUST 15, 2017
11:14 A.M.

HEARING - Hydrant Rental

To consider the 2017 Hydrant Rental Agreement with New York American Water Company, Inc. Glenwood-Glen Head Fire Protection District. (M.D. 6/20/17 #12).

JOSEPH SALADINO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN THOMAS HAND
TOWN CLERK JAMES ALTADONNA JR.
RECEIVER OF TAXES JAMES STEFANICH

NOT PRESENT:

COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

KRISTINA TRNKA
Reporter/Notary Public

2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Will the Town 1 2 Clerk please call the third hearing? 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Hydrant rental, to 4 consider the 2017 Hydrant Rental Agreement with 5 New York American Water Company, Inc., Glenwood, Glen Head Fire Protection District. 6 7 MS. SWANSON: Good morning, Supervisor, 8 Town Board. 9 The Town of Oyster Bay contracts with 10 New York Water on be half of the Fire Protection 11 District and we need to do this to provide water 12 for the fire hydrants and that's what this is 13 about. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: This a mandate 15 from New York State that the hearing takes place 16 here even though we're not directly involved in this issue? 17 18 MS. SWANSON: Right, because in Fire 19 Protection Districts, the Town Board in some 20 instances stands in the place of the Commissioners. 21 I don't like to use Commissioners, but 22 in various --23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The responsible 24 parties? 25 MS. SWANSON: Yeah.

3 And this is one of the cases where we 1 2 must act on behalf of the Fire Protection District, 3 yes. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 5 Are there any questions from any of the Board members. 6 7 (No response.) 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 9 We have a -- would you like to ask a 10 question. 11 COUNCILMAN HAND: Yeah, one quick point 12 or question. 1.3 The charge is approximately \$750 per 14 hydrant and the comparison to the special districts, it comes back to approximately \$100 per 15 16 unit, so is that a second charge back to the 17 community in regards to tax because it's going to 18 go back to the fire districts that charge? 19 MS. SWANSON: Basically, the other Fire 2.0 Protection Districts contract with water districts 21 and not private companies. 22 In this particular case, we have to 23 contract with New York Water, so, yes, the 24 taxpayers in those fire protection districts that 25 are -- that must utilize New York Water are paying

4 1 a premium for that. 2 I did contact New York Water with 3 regard to the expense of that fire district, and at 4 this point, they consider themselves a public 5 utility and they consider themselves well within the tariff allowed by New York State. 6 7 COUNCILMAN HAND: The concern I have, 8 as I attended a meeting up in Glen Head regarding the 60 percent increase from American Water and 9 10 that was to cover their tax consideration for the 11 assessments on their property, so to see, not only 12 the 60 percent increase in taxes being passed back 1.3 to consumer, I'm concerned about the \$750 charge as 14 well, so it's not either/or. It's both in this 15 case. 16 MS. SWANSON: I agree with you, Councilman, 100 percent. 17 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any 19 other questions? 2.0 We have one speaker on this hearing, Arthur Adelman. 21 22 MR. ADELMAN: Thank you, Supervisor, 23 Councilman Imbroto and Councilman Hand. 24 I'd like to thank you again for 25 attending the meeting in Glen Head last week, it

5 1 was eye opening for everybody, I think. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Kindly begin by 3 just giving us your name and address for the record. 4 5 MR. ADELMAN: Arthur Adelman, 110 Dubois Avenue, Sea Cliff, New York. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 8 MR. ADELMAN: 11579. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 10 much. 11 MR. ADELMAN: Again, I want to thank 12 the Councilmen for showing up. There were some 13 people that didn't show up, but we won't get into 14 that. 15 This requested charge, I thought it 16 just went right to the Fire Protection District and they just passed it on to the Town. I didn't know 17 18 the Town actually is in a negotiating position with 19 New York American Water because the President of 20 New York American Water, who also had the courage 21 to attend this meeting, explained to us that the 22 charge is tax based, that they spend -- that .72 23 cents of every dollar that comes in, they're paying 24 out that in property taxes.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

Well, for a fire hydrant, it's 750.

2.0

That comes to about \$540, leaving \$210 for whatever. That's still more than twice as much as any other water district is charging their receptacle fire district or fire companies.

What -- I'm not saying that we shouldn't sign the contract because we need fire protection, our home insurance prices would skyrocket if we didn't have hydrants, but I am requesting you to contact Controller DiNapoli to possibly allow him to audit their rate requests, not only for fire hydrants, but across the board.

He needs to look at the PSC. I don't think they're acting in the public's interest at this point. I'll speak later on that. This is only about the fire hydrant. I don't know what we can do.

Can we give the counteroffer of \$360?

Can we do as the Town Clerk suggested, can we buy pumpers for that kind of money and forget about hydrants? I don't know. I just don't know why there is nothing that can be done. Where -- how do you not be able to negotiate? Who set the rates?

MS. SWANSON: I believe New York State allows the rates that the Water Authority is charging and I think your initial suggestion to

7 contact the Controller would be correct. 1 2 MR. ADELMAN: I mean, I've contacted 3 New York American Water. 4 Unfortunately, they don't respond to 5 me. MS. SWANSON: They don't respond to me 6 7 either. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, they will 9 respond to us. I think that's an excellent idea. 10 I want to let you know that I have been meeting 11 with residents on this issue, as well as our staff 12 members, and we are very concerned about this 13 issue, and quite frankly, we embrace the community 14 and get it. 15 I, too, am a customer of this company 16 where I live and I understand entirely, so I'll ask 17 our Town Attorney to please prepare a letter to 18 State Controller Tom DiNapoli from myself and the 19 Town Board to ask for this. It's an excellent 20 suggestion. We will get their attention and we 21 will continue to fight for our residents and Sea 22 Cliff and throughout the Town. 23 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Adelman, I 24 also agree with your suggestion. 25 Having sat through that presentation

8 1 and saw them give all these numbers and figures, 2 something doesn't add up. Plain and simple, 3 something's not adding up, so we're going to 4 continue to look into this for you. 5 MR. ADELMAN: Again, thanks again for coming. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just so you know, 8 that evening, we had many items on our schedule and 9 we were representing each other at these different 10 places, but I have met and our Town Board members 11 have met with the residents on this. We will 12 continue to be at your service and I think you 1.3 are -- not only do I think your suggestion is an 14 excellent one, but this Town will follow through. 15 MR. ADELMAN: Thank you very much. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 17 Let's make sure we get that done this 18 week to the State Controller. 19 May I have a motion to close this 20 hearing? 21 MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor? 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes, I'm sorry. 23 Correspondence? 24 MR. ALTADONNA: We have affidavits of 25 posting and publications.

	9
1	There is no other correspondence.
2	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Town
3	Clerk.
4	May I have a motion?
5	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I
6	make a motion that this public hearing be closed
7	and the decision be voted on today.
8	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.
9	MR. ALTADONNA: Want me to have a roll
10	call?
11	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes.
12	MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor Saladino?
13	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."
14	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
15	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
16	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
17	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
18	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia is
19	absent.
20	Councilwoman Johnson?
21	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
22	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?
23	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."
24	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?
25	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

```
10
                   Motion carries 6 (inaudible).
1
2
                    SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, and
 3
      thank you for the residents who came out to speak
      on this.
 4
 5
                    (TIME NOTED: 11:22 A.M.)
 6
7
8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETIN
AUGUST 15, 2017
11:23 A.M.

HEARING P-7-17

To consider the application of 5220 Merrick LLC, fee owner, for a Special Use Permit and Site Plan approval for premises located at 5220 Merrick Road, Massapequa, NY. (M.D. 7/11/17 #26).

JOSEPH SALADINO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN THOMAS HAND
TOWN CLERK JAMES ALTADONNA JR.
RECEIVER OF TAXES JAMES STEFANICH

NOT PRESENT:

COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

KRISTINA TRNKA
Reporter/Notary Public

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would the Town 2 Clerk call the fourth hearing? 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Hearing P7-17, to consider the application of 5220 Merrick LLC, fee 4 5 owner, for a Special Use Permit and Site Plan approval for premises located at 5220 Merrick Road, 6 7 Massapequa, New York. 8 MR. ALTMAN: Good morning, 9 Mr. Supervisor, fellow Board members. Nice to see 10 everybody this morning. 11 I'm for the applicant. 12 David Altman from the Law Office of 13 Harris Beach, PLLC, 538 Broadhollow Road, Suite 14 301W, Melville, New York, for the applicant. 15 Just a little housekeeping matter, 16 Mr. Supervisor. I'm going to submit some 17 additional certified mail and received green cards 18 into the record which came in following our 19 submission of the affidavit of posting and mailing 2.0 on the 8th. 21 With me this morning, and before I get 22 into my brief discussion regarding the application 23 that's before the Board today, I have sitting to my 24 immediate right with -- studying the plans on his

lap, Chris Tartaglia, from High Point Engineering.

2.0

Chris is the project engineer. They have prepared the plans which are on the easel to my immediate right.

Also with me today is Michael Lynch from Lynch Appraisals. Mike is a licensed real estate appraiser. He has appeared and testified before this Board as well as the other boards of this Town on a number of occasions. I'm going to ask Mike to come up and give some testimony as well. He's also prepared a written report in connection with his assessment of the application.

Finally, with me today is Frank

Filiciotto, also to my right. Frank is a licensed

New York State engineer. He is a traffic expert

and he's testified before this Board as well as the

other boards of this Town. Frank has also prepared

a report and I'll have Frank submit that report

into the record when he provides his testimony.

In that regard, I'll note for the record and before I start my presentation, I would like to thank Leslie Macagnone as well as Tim Zike and Jim McCaffrey for helping to sort of facilitate this application and move it through the application process.

I know this has presented some concern,

2.0

particularly to the local Civic Association in the area and the residents proximate to the site that live along the south of the lot line of the property over there, north Flatline and along West Shore Road and we are not indifferent to their concerns, and we've done our best to address a number of the comments that were raised through the vetting process.

We had two meetings with the local Civic Association which resulted in some layout changes as well as some significant architectural changes on (inaudible). Typically, because this is going to be a BP site, they have their particular national branding and we've changed their national branding in terms of the architecture to accommodate and make it far more, I'd say, compatible with the character of the area such that the proposed architecture is going to be one of --more of a colonial style with reverse gabling on the roofs, et cetera, so it will fit in far more nicely with the community.

That being said, the subject premises is known as 5220 Merrick Road, Massapequa,

New York. It bears Nassau County Tax Map Number

Section 66, Block 2, Lot 1 or 2. It's currently

2.0

zone neighborhood business and has been such for many, many years.

The applicant acquired the property by bargaining sale deed dated December 2, 2013 which deed was recorded in the Office of the Nassau County Clerk on December 6th of '13 in Liber 39370, Page 457.

The lot was previously developed with a gasoline service station which was approved by the Town Board going back to 1958. That was actually Resolution No. 1958-07 dated April 16th of '58.

At that time, it allowed for the operation of a gasoline service station with automotive repairs. The size of the repair building, which is still present on the site today, and if you look on the easel to my right or to your respective left, you'll see the yellow box on the south side of the property.

Chris, if you have your laser pointer.

With a laser pointer, it's that -- that's the

location of the repair station building that was

built pursuant to that '58 approval. That building

is approximately 1,512 square feet, CO was issued

for that on May 7th of '59. That was C of O Number

7296 for a 54 by 28 gasoline service station

2.0

building. There are a couple of other C of O's on file relative to alterations from '68.

I understand and records seem to indicate, at least having gone through the Town's records, that the station ceased doing business roughly 2007. A copy of a site plan or survey, I'll call it, obtained from Town's files with an approval stamp issued to us, Permit Number L30022, dated 8/17/92, shows the layout that existed at that time, and I'll note, it appears that there were two canopy pump islands at that time; one proximate to Merrick Road, or parallel to Merrick Road, and one proximate to West Shore Drive.

The applicant is proposing to redevelop the site which has a been vacant there for the past ten years, Mr. Supervisor, and I've been to the site, and I know you're familiar with the site as well. It's not the prettiest looking facility at the moment having not been operated or attended to for some time.

The applicant is looking to revitalize and redevelop the existing structure and we're actually going to make it a little smaller. We're going to make it a 1,345 square foot convenience store, which is approximately 167 square feet

1.3

2.0

smaller than what exists today. The applicant is proposing three fueling pumps, and if you see the, sort of, tan-shaded area, that's where the proposed pump Island canopy will be, which will be parallel to Merrick Road, not proximate to West Shore Road.

There are going to be three fueling pumps. The one where Chris has his pointer on the left or on the west side is going to be what's called a three in one fuel dispenser. That one will allow for diesel as well. It will allow for six fueling positions. The canopy itself will be 79 by 22 or 1,738 square feet.

There is also a proposed loading stall in the southeast corner of the property. That loading stall will be approximately 40 by 16 in dimension, and just directly south of that, there will be a masonry trash enclosure which measures approximately 15 by 10. The masonry trash enclosure will be designed architecturally to match the aesthetics of the building.

In addition, the applicant will be reconfiguring the curb cuts on both Merrick Road and West Shore Road. Currently, I believe there are two curb cuts on West Shore Road. The applicant will be consolidating that into one full

movement right in, right out curb cut. You'll see that the applicant is also providing, and this has been discussed in some detail with Town Planning, a "do not block the box" area which will allow unobstructed and ingress and egress through that curb cut.

The western curb cut up on Merrick

Road, which is proximate to the intersection with

West Shore, will be right in only and the eastern

curb cut will be right out only. No left turns.

The applicant is also proposing nine parking stalls

which is code compliant and there will be one

ground sign at the corner. The applicant is

proposing a 24/7 business operation.

There will be five to six employees.

It's contemplated to have three shifts, eight hours a piece, Mr. Supervisor, generating five to six jobs.

In addition, there will be, as a result of the development, increased traffic, I'm assuming, increased tax revenue in terms of sales and use tax, petroleum taxes, as well as increased real estate tax.

The deliveries at the site will be done on a daytime basis, daytime off peak, and I'll have

2.0

Mr. Filiciotto address that in a little more detail, such that there will be nominal interference with peak traffic times.

This site being on the eastbound direction of Merrick Road or on the south side of the road, it will tend to, as Mr. Filiciotto will discuss, be a little more heavily patronized during the p.m. peak hours.

I will note that the character of the area, particularly on east and west along Merrick Road, is commercial in nature. Zoning on both sides is predominantly NB or neighborhood business. We do have single-family residences to the south.

In fact, across the street from us on the southwest corner of West Shore Road and Merrick Road, we have another automotive repair facility, albeit that they do not, I believe, have a gas station use.

We have Marjorie Post Park across the street on the north side and I believe there is another gas station that's a Bolla Station which operates roughly a quarter of a mile or less on the north side of Merrick Road at 5839 Merrick Road in Massapequa. That one was granted a special use permit for revisions to that site as well as the

2.0

construction of a convenience store on 10/2/01 that, I believe, store also allows for 24-hour use at that particular site.

That site also abuts single-family residential development. I believe the site is consistent with the character of the area in terms of its proposed use and design. The site was operated as a gasoline station for the better part of 50 years and it's properly suited in that regard given its location on a corner. The application will involve modern state of the art redevelopment of the site as is contained on the plans in front of you, as more fully set forth in the testimony and report from Mr. Filiciotto who will be speaking shortly.

There will be no traffic hazard. There will be no adverse impact to real estate values and it's especially consistent with the character of the area.

That's being said, I'm going to ask

Mr. Tartaglia to step up and just take you through

any aspects of the site plan that I may have

omitted. Chris.

MR. TARTAGLIA: Thank you, David. For the record, my name is Chris

1.3

2.0

Tartaglia with the firm of High Point Engineering, address 521 Conklin Street in Farmingdale.

Mr. Altman has done his usual, steal my thunder act, and has taken really all of the words out of my mouth. Just two points I want to make to reinforce for the Board a couple of key components of the layout.

And, again, I'm going to refer to the exhibit to my right. Just noticing the green space between the proposed development and the neighbors to the south, we were very sensitive all along with, even before we met with the civics, to the fact that there are residential homes to the south so we are providing a full minimum 10-foot width in some cases, it's up to 15 foot in width densely planted evergreen buffer along both lot lines, both the southerly and the easterly. We're also proposing a solid white PVC fence.

Also, if you'll notice up at the corner near the intersection where there's clearly a curb cut, that curb cut is being closed and we are providing, again, another 10-foot wide densely landscaped area up at that corner. Because there are sight lines, visibility issues to address, we are doing landscaping, but still very dense and

quite varied.

2.0

The only other point I want to make is that with regard to the access, we do have approval from the Nassau County Department of Public Works Traffic and Highway Department for the access as shown. We are awaiting their final approval based on some minor paperwork and applications, but we do have agreement in concept for the access that's shown.

That's the extent of my presentation. If there are any question, certainly, I would be availably to answer them.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes.

Could you give us more specifics about what is planned as a buffer between the residents on the south side of the property?

MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes, I can.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You spoke to a white fence.

What's the height of that fence?

MR. TARTAGLIA: The height of that fence will be 6 feet tall, which is the tallest height that the Town Code will allow.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Have you or a representative of your company reached out to those

2.0

1.3

neighbors to allow them input in choosing exactly which style and perhaps what color fence?

MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes.

As Mr. Altman indicated, we had met with the Civic Association actually on two different occasions, once early on in the project and then once more recently, and we've gotten some significant feedback from them and, I believe, we addressed all of their concerns, but if we're talking about fence height or landscape height or anything like that, I can speak on behalf of the applicant to say, that's an open book, whatever is desired within reason, we would certainly undertake.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Will you be speaking directly with the residents who live specifically in the homes abutting this property to get input from them? You spoke about the Civic Association, who I have a lot of respect for.

MR. TARTAGLIA: Mr. Supervisor, I'm pretty sure they attended the meetings that we attended, but will we speak with them, yes, if we have not spoken to them, absolutely.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: After your conclusion of your conversation, will you strongly

14 1 take into consideration their desires for the type 2 of fence, the type of plantings, the height of 3 those plantings? 4 MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes, like I said, those 5 are the easy things to do, certainly, Mr. Supervisor, so if a higher fence is desired, as 6 7 long as the Town would approve it, we would be more 8 than happy to do it. 9 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I think we'd be 10 happy to approve it if the residents asked for it. 11 MR. TARTAGLIA: We'd be happy to do it. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: As well as the 13 type of plantings and the height, the initial 14 height of those plantings? 15 MR. TARTAGLIA: Indeed. We'd be happy 16 to do it. 17 MR. ALTMAN: I would just concur with 18 the statements from Mr. Tartaglia. We spoke with 19 the applicant in detail in this regard and one of 20 the things we actually did, we actually did talk 21 about potentially, particularly along the south lot 22 line, Mr. Supervisor, perhaps a second row of dense 23 Arborvitaes so the applicant is certainly open to 24 accommodating whatever's within reason in that 25 regard.

2.0

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What about in terms of the lighting plan?

MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes.

We have had some very specific commentary and requests with regard to modifying the lighting and I can say as someone who has handled gasoline station and commercial development applications for the better part of twenty years, that we have really gotten out of the box with lighting on this.

In a nutshell, we have prepared an amended lighting plan which addresses all of the concerns. There was one particular resident who spoke out who had some pretty in-depth knowledge of lighting design, and as does my office, and they had requested what is known as a 4K type limitation on lighting.

Just to give the Board some rationale of what 4K means, there's different lighting levels that are proposed. By the way, all of the lighting is proposed is LED which is the state of the art in terms of lighting control and not allowing trespass or glare on adjoining properties, but the 4k lighting element allows for -- it's commonly known -- it has a nickname called moonlight,

16 1 meaning there are sunlight, there are daylight, and 2 then there are moonlight, and then beyond 3 moonlight, there is pitch dark, so we're just on the verge of having just enough illumination on 4 5 this property to make it work. I can say beyond a shadow of a doubt, 6 7 this is the -- and I hate to use the word, dimmest, 8 but this is the least lit gasoline station that I 9 have ever been -- had the pleasure of designing, so 10 I am going to presume that the lighting levels on 11 this site are going to be noticeably different to 12 the residents in the passers by than the other 13 stations perhaps maybe two-tenths of a mile away. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Will all the 15 lighting be 100 percent uniform? 16 MR. TARTAGLIA: I'm not sure that 100 17 percent uniform is possible. The locations of the 18 lights, of course, dictate the levels of the 19 lighting on the property, but they all are going to 2.0 be the 4K specification, so in that aspect, they 21 will be uniformly specified as that. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Even the lighting 23 on the exterior of the building? 24 MR. TARTAGLIA: That's correct. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Have you had any

17 conversations with the residents in terms of 1 2 ensuring and alleviating their concerns as it 3 relates to shielding their homes and their properties separately from direct light? 4 5 MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes, we have. The lighting plan that we developed, 6 7 which was actually the characteristic of the 8 lighting that we came into the application with 9 years ago has zero lighting levels at the southerly 10 property line. 11 It always has. All the lighting is 12 located up in front of the facility. Where the 13 back is landscaped, there's no reason to light 14 that. So the lighting levels at the property line 15 are all zeros. 16 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Will they be 17 selling alcohol there? 18 MR. ALTMAN: There will be beer sales, 19 Mr. Macagnone. 2.0 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Thank you. 21 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In terms of the 22 cars coming in to park along the south border of 23 the property, will the buffer be significant to 24 entirely block the headlights of those cars pulling 25 into the southerly spots?

2.0

MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes. I believe it will.

Nowadays, the headlights on cars are a lot brighter than they were, say, twenty or thirty years ago but I believe the installation of a fence at least 6 foot, if not higher, along with a multiple row of Evergreen plantings, coupled with the fact that headlights even on the tallest vehicles are typically only about 3 feet tall, I believe that that buffer will more than block that light.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: If there's a problem, I'm sure a corporation like BP would want to solve it right away.

MR. TARTAGLIA: I believe we've taken steps to avoid any problems, Mr. Macagnone, but absolutely, I mean, this is the -- the meetings that we had with the Civics, the modifications that we've done to the plans, all with respect to what the residents had requested, I believe is very emblematic of our willingness to become a part of the community and be a good neighbor.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just so we're clear, the definition of problem also includes a

19 1 resident's complaint? MR. TARTAGLIA: Understood. 2 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. Any other questions? 4 5 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: I have a question. 6 7 Post Sandy, okay, after the hurricane, 8 people could not get gas. I thought it was --9 obviously, I was up in the middle of the night 10 trying to get gas. We thought it was a 11 distribution problem. It was not. The gas station 12 had the gas, they didn't have electricity to pump 13 the gas. 14 Do you have a generator on here? 15 MR. TARTAGLIA: Yes. 16 Fantastic question. The State took very definitive action, 17 18 as I'm sure you're aware Councilman, and came up 19 with the requirement that stations which met a 2.0 specific location and volume threshold on certain 21 roadways had to have a generator hookup. 22 Initially, they offered subsidies and 23 things of that nature to actually install 24 generators, but the reality is on a site like this, 25 the only issue with generators is they have to

2.0

2.5

start up once or twice a week to run. And with fueling locations like this where site is limited in size, it's not a tremendously large site, but also because there's residents nearly to the south, I think that hearing that generator start up, whatever time of day it has to lubricate itself is a little bit of a nuisance.

So this site, however, will comply with the State regulation to have what's called a transfer switch, and with a transfer switch, the State will actually be providing a portable generator to this facility upon demand when the lights go out, so it does fully comply with that law.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Anyone else?

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Will there be a left turn onto Merrick Road or just the right?

MR. TARTAGLIA: Right turn in, right turn out only and that's as mandated by the County.

Introducing Frank Filiciotto from Stonefield Engineering.

MR. FILICIOTTO: Good morning,

Mr. Supervisor, members of the Town Board.

For the record, Frank A. Filiciotto

F-I-L-I-C-I-O-T-T-O, with the firm Stonefield

2.0

2.1

Engineering Design, offices at 2702 41st Avenue in Long Island City.

Thank you and good morning.

Mr. Altman and Mr. Tartaglia did a great job hitting on some of the traffic engineering things that I usually speak about at these hearings, but I think one of the most important things about this site is the consolidation of access that's being proposed and Chris eluded to it in discussions with Nassau County.

They've made it a very high priority to limit conflict points at driveways along County road. The State is doing the same thing along state roads and the Town does the same thing along town roads. This site has historically had two driveways on Merrick Road and two driveways on West Shore Road.

The driveways on West Shore Drive will be will be completely consolidated down to one single driveway. On Merrick Road, the two driveways, there'll still be two driveways there, but we're significantly reducing the number of conflict points at those two driveways to the tune of -- you previously had eight turning movements

2.0

2.2

happening for each driveway, you're now going down to a total of two turning movements. One at each.

So at the westerly driveway on Merrick Road, you are only able to make a right turn in.

At the easterly driveway on Merrick Road, you can only make a right turn out. So the ability for accidents and conflicts is significantly reduced as a result of this new circulation plan.

My office prepared a report dated
July 26, 2017. I'd like to submit that for the
record. The report generally talks about access
and circulation parking. I want to note that we
are code compliant with the nine parking spaces
that we're providing. And we also talk about trip
generation, trying to quantify, you know, the
changes in traffic levels as a result of a project
like this.

Just to kind of orient the Board, I think the Board is very familiar with Merrick Road, we all are. It's a very important east/west roadway on the South Shore. It carries about 25 to 30,000 vehicles per day depending on where you are on Merrick Road, so it's a significant artery for east/west travel.

And as Mr. Altman eluded to earlier,

2.0

we're on the south side of Merrick Road so this site, the way we feel, is really going to draw from the eastbound traffic and that's heaviest in the evening as people are commuting home from points west. So the dominant flow of traffic and it, and it's sort of replicated or mirrored in the way the site has been designed, is that right turn movement in off of Merrick Road, the person does whatever he or she wants to do, fill up, or stop at the convenience store, and then continue on in an eastbound fashion.

You can see that the orientation of the fueling pumps themselves underneath the canopy are oriented in that same direction. It really caters to that flow. Of course, people could come off of West Shore Drive, people could make a left turn off of Merrick Road onto West Shore Drive and then enter via the curb cut there, but the dominant flow of traffic, the way we see it, is what we refer to in traffic engineering, parlance as "pass-by traffic." It's traffic that's on the road today passing the site, not going in because the site shuttered but will do so or may do so in the future because the site's reactivated and revitalized.

Based on that really effect of the

2.0

2.4

site, this site will not generate a significant amount of new traffic to the area. There's already 30,000 vehicles on Merrick Road daily. That's why a use like this is -- it looks for a site like this because the roadway already has a captive audience of about 30,000 vehicles to draw from. It's not -- this type of use is not one that creates an abundance of new traffic. Really becomes all about how the site's designed and the safety mechanisms that are put in place to make sure the circulation is favorable from an efficiency and safety standpoints.

Mr. Tartaglia eluded to the "do not block the box" markings that we're proposing. That was done in concert with some discussions with the Planning Department. The essence of the "do not block the box" is to really prevent vehicles from encroaching in the area right in front of the driveway and I want to note that the intersection of Merrick Road and West Shore Drive is signalized so there is a queue that develops on West Shore Drive when the light is green on Merrick Road.

The cycle lines, which means the time it takes for the light to cycle completely, is about two minutes during peak hours. You have

2.0

about 30 cycles in an hour. So during that time when Merrick Road is getting its green time which is most of the time, given how busy it is, traffic develops on West Shore Drive.

So the purpose of that "do not block the box" is really to leave that area open and available for a person who might be coming off of West Shore Drive wanting to turn into the site or someone who wants to come out, gives them the ability to do so and not congest that area.

We are able to leave about 20 to 25

feet between the north side of the painted box and
the stop line so there'll be enough room for one
car to queue in there, then you have the vacant
area that the box is creating and then the rest of
the queue develops beyond that. So the intent is
not to elongate a queue farther into the
neighborhood, it's really to allow for space so
that traffic does not get snarled in that area.

I want to point out that the consolidation of access on West Shore Drive reduces the curb cuts two to one there. There are two there, currently.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Can I ask you about this because --

2.0

MR. FILICIOTTO: Sure.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: To me, this seems like the most problematic part because of the traffic on West Shore Drive.

Why does there need to be -- if the dominant flow is coming through Merrick Road, why does there need to be an exit on West Shore Drive?

MR. FILICIOTTO: Well, it's to really take advantage of the traffic signal. In order to process left turns, again, we're restricting left turns on Merrick Road. There is the possibility that someone who's coming west -- there is a significant westbound traffic, may want to stop in and see the site so while the dominant flow is eastbound, there is the possibility of catering to westbound traffic as well and we don't want to encourage the left turn directly from Merrick Road, we'd rather have that happen at the traffic signal, both for the ingress movement and the egress movement.

So, if you didn't have a -
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: See, there's only
room for one car --

MR. FILICIOTTO: Correct.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: -- between that

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

box and Merrick Road so couldn't you envision the egress traffic potentially blocking all the residents who live in that neighborhood who are trying to get out in the morning from getting to that signal?

MR. FILICIOTTO: I don't, because what will happen is, you'll have the "do not block the box" and you'll have a sign there that says "do not block the box." It's very common and it's really only about a 40-foot box so we're talking about one to two car lengths of clear zone and that's really a good practice when you're -- when you have a shallow site like this and you're near Merrick Road with a lot of action going on, it really helps to provide that area where turning movements can occur.

It's a safety mechanism that the County employs on a lot of County roads. We're seeing it more and more. It really helps to organize the traffic in that area.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Would it be possible to have that as an ingress point, but not as an egress point?

MR. FILICIOTTO: Well, again, if you eliminate the egress there, now you're forcing

2.0

traffic to the east purposely and what one of the concerns that oftentimes comes up with these types of sites is you get recirculation traffic. So now you have a person making a right turn on Unqua and circulating through the neighborhood which is not something that we want to do. We'd rather keep the traffic at the signalized intersection where it can recirculate without having to go into the neighborhood.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So by preventing them from exiting onto the West Shore Drive, you're saying that would just move them into a different neighborhood, somewhere to the east?

MR. FILICIOTTO: It could. If they have to get back to the west, they have no other choice except to recirculate.

COUNCILMAN HAND: Quick question in regards to the document you circulated.

MR. FILICIOTTO: Yes.

COUNCILMAN HAND: The public hasn't seen it yet, but on Page 2, Table 3, you reference the accident history from 2013 to August 22, 2016.

MR. FILICIOTTO: Yes.

COUNCILMAN HAND: This is a clearly when that facility is not open, so is there any

2.0

information going back a decade when the facility was clearly operating?

MR. FILICIOTTO: Typically, the records are kept for about seven years, I think. We strive to get the most current because that's most indicative of what's going on there now.

I want to point out that, you're right, the facility hasn't operated in about ten years so this considers the traffic that's passing through the intersection now.

There isn't a prevalence of accidents at this intersection, fortunately. We're seeing five over the course of three years and nine months, which is about one every nine months and there's no -- there were no fatalities. Most of them are rear end accidents which are considered -- nobody wants an accident, of the accident hierarchy, those are -- tend to be of a lower concern than a right angle or something like that.

So the implementation of the safety measures that we're proposing, I don't believe that it's going to create a significant increase in accidents by virtue of the "do not block the box," the restriction of left turns on Merrick Road and things of that nature. I think will be, you know,

2.0

hopefully, in keeping with this accident trend or less.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In the process of your traffic pattern design, did you seek the input of the residents?

MR. FILICIOTTO: The team has met with the residents on multiple occasions as we spoke about. We've also engaged the Planning Department and the County to get their feedback as well so that we believe this plan addresses some of the concerns that were raised. I haven't spoken directly with residents myself, but I know the project team has and we've accommodated those requests to the extent that we can.

 $\label{eq:SUPERVISOR SALADINO:} \mbox{ To the extent}$ that you can.

What does that mean.

MR. FILICIOTTO: It means that the design of the site has to accommodate not only the opinions of the residents, of course, but we need the site circulation to work as well with respect to ingress and egress from the County road and the Town road, so we have to take it into account a variety of concerns and a variety of code requirements from the Counties and the Towns and

31 1 kind of piece them all together and figure out the 2 best plan possible and we think we've done that 3 with what we're proposing. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In the plan 5 you've designed, do you believe that you have alleviated the concerns of these residents? 6 7 MR. FILICIOTTO: From what we have 8 heard, yes, I do. 9 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: There are some 10 residents that are here that I'm sure you'll be 11 hearing from later that don't seem to agree with 12 you so I hope you take their feedback into 13 consideration. 14 MR. FILICIOTTO: Sure. I'd be happy to 15 listen. 16 Thank you. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Any other 18 questions? 19 MR. ALTMAN: I'd like to ask Mike Lynch 20 to come at this time, Mr. Supervisor. 21 MR. LYNCH: Good morning, 22 Mr. Supervisor, Members of the Board. 23 My name is Michael Lynch, business 24 address, 15 Dewey Street, Huntington, New York. 25 I have a report that I prepared that

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.0

Mr. Altman has handed up. So as the Board is aware, the site is a -- it's a former gasoline station that was originally constructed back in 1950 -- 1960, excuse me.

It was in operation for some fifty years and for the last ten years or so, it has been vacant and certainly an eyesore to the community. The building itself, the site itself, is at the southeast corner of West Shore Drive and Merrick Road in the Nassau Shores section of the Hamlet of Massapequa.

The site is approximately .35 acres in area. It's in a NB business zone. The building as it presently stands, it's an old three-bay station comprising approximately 1,512 square feet. As Mr. Tartaglia explained, the proposal is to downsize the site approximately 11 percent down to 1,345 square feet, but the setbacks to the rear residences will remain the same.

As the Board is probably aware, the site, as I noted, is in a derelict state and it's centrally devoid of any landscaping. The plan is to provide for a 19.2 percent landscape coverage with significant buffering to the rear of the property as you can see on the site plan that was

2.0

prepared by Mr. Tartaglia.

Again, if you look through my photos, I have a few -- two shots -- if you refer to the photographs -- bear with me one second -- on Page 13, photos 5 and 6, you can see currently the state of the southerly border that borders the residences to the south on West Shore Drive and South Merrick Road.

Presently, there's an old wooden fence there as well as an old corrugated steel fence, but, again, essentially no buffering other than those old fences that presently exist and, obviously, the proposal here for the significant landscaping and buffering to the rear of the site, the southerly border that bounds those two — there's actually three residences that border this property to the south, the one at the corner of South Merrick Road and West Shore Drive as well as two further to the east on South Merrick Road.

So what is presently, again, essentially no landscaping or buffering, those will have significant, not only a landscape, a buffer there, but as Mr. Tartaglia indicated, a fence at the board and residents' discretion.

So the property, the surrounding uses

1.3

2.0

is noted to the south, all those residences opposite to the west at the southwest corner, is a -- of Merrick Road and West Shore Drive is an auto repair shop. To the north is the Marjorie Post Community Park and to the immediate boundary to the east is a two-story office building.

The proposal, again, is for the renovation of the site, slight downsizing with a new convenient 24-hour convenience store, as well as a three-pump island canopied gasoline filling station portion of the site.

My conclusions on this proposal to renovate the site, as well as convert the existing service station to a 24-hour convenience store will be that there will be no adverse effect to the surrounding community in terms of either property values or the essential character of the area.

As far as the character to the area, again, this is a site that's existed since 1960.

It's been in a derelict state for the last ten years or so, so as far as existing conditions are concerned, this will only be improvement with respect to the existing conditions.

With respect to property values, I've been working on these type facilities, hearings

2.0

before the various towns in Nassau County and Suffolk County, this is -- would be the trend for these older stations which is the redevelopment of the older buildings, if not the raising of the old buildings, in this case, it will be renovation and a conversion.

The auto repair component will not exist as it did for some fifty years so we're replacing the auto repair component with the retail component. I have -- I did study another site to the west of this on Hempstead Turnpike in the Hamlet of West Hempstead. It was an older site, not unlike this property, was developed in the 1950s with a full service gasoline station.

It was purchased by Hess Corporation which is now Speedway, and it was redeveloped and completed at the end of 2012 as a new Speedway/Hess station, 24-hour station. It's immediately abutted on its south by residences in the Hamlet of West Hempstead.

I studied property value trends immediately before the redevelopment of the site with the new 24-hour station. I then compared the median value of those sales which was within a one-eighth mile radius of that station.

2.0

I compared that with the sales subsequent to the development of the state of the redevelopment of the station and what I found was that the overall appreciation met or exceeded the overall appreciation of values.

During that same time period in the Hamlet of West Hempstead as reported by the Multiple Listing Service so the redevelopment of that site which is not unlike this site with respect to its proximity to residences immediately to the south.

Again, the redevelopment of that older station with a new 24-hour type filling station with a convenience store did not result in a devaluation of surrounding property values.

So, again, as far as area character, I think this site stands on its own in terms of it's been there for some sixty years. It was -- it's been in a derelict state for the last ten years, so certainly the redevelopment will certainly solidify the character of the area along Merrick Road, and in terms of property values, again, we're redeveloping a site with a new facility and other type stations that I've worked on in Nassau County as well as Suffolk County. My experience is not --

	37
1	has not been one of where the property values have
2	been deleteriously affected, so, at this point, I
3	can answer any questions if the Board may have any.
4	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Let me ask you
5	question.
6	MR. LYNCH: Yes.
7	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: How much light,
8	noise is currently on the site now?
9	MR. LYNCH: Zero.
10	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Okay.
11	Thank you.
12	MR. LYNCH: It's a vacant site, so
13	there's no activity.
14	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Thank you.
15	MR. LYNCH: You're welcome.
16	Anyone else?
17	Thank you.
18	MR. ALTMAN: Thank you, Mr. Supervisor.
19	We'll reserve any comments after the
20	residents have their comments on the record.
21	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I have a few
22	questions for you, Mr. Altman.
23	MR. ALTMAN: Sure.
24	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Will there be
25	a I see in the plans that the Dumpster is

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

38 1 designed to be on the east side of the structure. 2 MR. ALTMAN: Southeast corner, correct. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Southeast corner. 4 On the west side of the structure 5 outside of parking spots, will there be any other mechanical equipment? 6 7 MR. ALTMAN: I don't see any on the 8 plans. 9 Chris, is there any? No. 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 11 So that means they'll be no air pump or 12 vacuum located anywhere on the property because I 13 didn't see that in the plans? 14 MR. ALTMAN: The air pump and the 15 vacuum right now are up on the northwest corner. 16 We did speak to Planning about that just before the 17 start of the hearing and apparently there was some 18 comment as to its location. We do have the 19 ability, if the Board should so desire, to relocate 2.0 the air pump and the vacuum over to the east side 21 of the property approximate to the loading stall. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The public, that 23 has communicated with me, does not want those on 24 the east side. 25 MR. ALTMAN: They don't want?

39 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: They do not want 2 them on the east side of the property. I'm sorry, 3 don't want it on the west side. MR. ALTMAN: 4 Right. 5 So we can, as I said, relocate them over to the east side proximate to the loading 6 7 stall. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 8 Okav. 9 Next question, through your 10 communications with the public, do you feel as the 11 applicant has been responsive to all of their 12 concerns? 13 MR. ALTMAN: That's a great question. 14 I will say that the applicant has been 15 incredibly responsive to the concerns voiced. 16 Can you be responsive to each and every 17 concern in designing a site? Arguably, no, you 18 can't, but I think in this particular instance, the 19 applicant has very much so been responsive to the 20 majority of the concerns expressed. 21 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let me ask you 22 two specific questions. 23 MR. ALTMAN: Sure. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: One, just in 25 terms of design, the application, from what I see,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

40

speak to one reverse gable on the building.

Is your plan to have one reverse gable or if there is a second gable or dog house stormer requested on the structure, is that something the applicant would be amenable to?

MR. ALTMAN: I believe so, yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Then let's get to the second issue.

You had mentioned it earlier, would you please repeat the applicant's hours of operation as currently being requested?

MR. ALTMAN: They are requesting 24/7.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is the applicant

amenable to changing those hours of operation?

MR. ALTMAN: We have discussed it,

Mr. Supervisor, and I'll put it this way, the answer right now would be no.

We are making a substantial investment in terms of redeveloping the site. Between acquisition and redevelopment costs, we're well in excess of \$2 million.

We have competitor -- competitors in the area that already operate on a 24/7 basis. As I have mentioned, we have the Bolla a couple of blocks away. I believe there's a Walgreens to the

west, albeit slightly different use, that also operates on a 24/7 basis. I believe the applicant has done everything in its power to be a good neighbor in the community.

We want the opportunity to be that good neighbor and, candidly, if there should come a time where we're not, then I expect as the Board or the residents to let us know, but give us the opportunity to operate and be competitive with our neighbors.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are you or the applicant aware that the hours of operation has been one of the chief complaints?

MR. ALTMAN: I have heard that it's been an issue and a concern for residents and we've done everything we can, I believe, reasonably can to ameliorate any potential impact from the use on a 24/7 basis.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So you don't think that restricting the hours at all is reasonable?

MR. ALTMAN: I think it's putting the cart ahead of the horse, to be honest, and the Code does allow it, recognizes Merrick Road as being one of those roadways in the Town where 24/7 is permitted and I think the applicant should be given

that opportunity.

1.3

2.0

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So if the hours were restricted, the applicant wouldn't be interested in anymore?

MR. ALTMAN: In discussion with my client, he would like to have 24/7 basis.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I believe the Councilman's question was, is the applicant amenable to consider changing hours of operation, obviously, reducing them from 24 hours?

MR. ALTMAN: Right now, at least the discussions this morning, the answer is no, sir.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: To me, that doesn't a seem like you're considering every reasonable avenue to make the residents in the surrounding community happy.

I mean, you wouldn't even consider restricting it by one hour? I mean, this is the chief complaint from the Nassau Shores community is the 24 hours of operation and you're saying that you want to be -- you want to work with the residents, you want to take their concerns seriously, but their most serious concern is being completely dismissed.

MR. ALTMAN: I wouldn't say it's being

43 1 dismissed, far from it, it's been weighted and 2 considered very heavily in the totality of the 3 project. 4 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Okay. 5 MR. ALTMAN: I will speak to my client one more time. He's sitting right her in the 6 7 audience, so give me one second. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 9 MR. ALTMAN: His position respectfully 10 is that it's no. That we have, again, we have 11 other, you know, similarly situated use which 12 doesn't have that restriction placed upon it and 13 while we certainly hear and respect the concerns of 14 the residents, in this particular instance, we want 15 to be considered and treated the same as, you know, 16 the other similar-situated uses in the community, 17 sir. 18 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Yeah, I heard him. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Do you have anything else you'd like to present to us before we 20 21 call up the public? 22 MR. ALTMAN: I'm going to reserve any 23 further comment to any public comments, 24 Mr. Supervisor. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

I'd like to make a statement very clear to all those here, all those watching this presentation, to the media, and especially to the residents of Nassau Shores. We hold public hearings in the Town of Oyster Bay to listen to the public's input and listening to the public is my number one priority.

Any concerns that are raised by any resident regarding health, safety, welfare, quality of life, the character of the neighborhood, security, noise, traffic, or any other similar issue must and will weigh heavily in my decision in this application and I believe I'm speaking for my colleagues on the Board.

Following the conclusion of this hearing, we're going to keep the record open so that anyone may be heard regarding this application, whether it is through mail, e-mail or a phone call. Our residents must and will be heard and their concerns will be respected.

MR. PERRY: Good morning, Supervisor, Councilmen.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you kindly

2.0

start off by giving us your name and address?

MR. PERRY: Martin Perry, 15 Bayview

Street, West Massapequa.

I became involved in this somewhat late because I'm concerned about the nature of the neighborhood and the area in Massapequa. I was at the meeting, the Civic Association meeting that we had a few weeks ago in which Supervisor Saladino attended, as did Councilman Imbroto attended, and a number of issues were brought up.

Obviously, first and foremost, is that 24-hour 7-day-a-week issue. Now, it was brought up that the Bolla is not -- is open 24 hours. I'm not sure of that. I haven't been down that way at 3:00 in the morning but if it is, it is.

However, to the west, starting at 135 all the way to West Shore Drive, there is no gas station that is open and I use, as somebody wanting to point out an example, there is a Union 76 station at the intersection of 135 and Merrick Road. It is closed.

How do I know? I travel for business. Sometimes I have to take that red eye home and get home 4 o'clock in the morning. It's closed, and that is in a neighborhood that is more commercial

1.3

2.0

than where this station is being proposed. The station is going to be across the street from Majorie Post Park. It is also to the west -- yes, there is a gas station and a small, I guess, real estate office, I've never quite figured out what it is, but there is a wetland and John Burns Park, so it is not in keeping with the examples that are being presented here.

I am pleased at what I have seen regarding the lighting and this idea of the moonlighting is very, very interesting to me. A question that I have, though, is the generator that was brought up and if that could be clarified. Was it said that this generator will not be located on the property but in case of emergency, supplied by New York State; am I correct?

If anybody lives in the -- for those of you that live in the Nassau County -- I'm sorry.

Nassau Shores, Sandy hit us quite hard. We were hit very, very hard. How New York State is going to get that generator to us other than helicopter is a mystery to me, so I think it's a specious argument in that regard.

So, how much control or -- I'm sorry. How long is the contract with BP? Is this a

1.3

2.0

five-year contract, ten-year contract, twenty-year contract? What if BP breaks the contract, then one of the issues that was brought up is we can go to BP and, say, we're not happy with the operation of your station. Well, if they become an independent operator, who do we go to? We go to nobody.

The "block the box" issue, anybody that has been on Nassau in Nassau Shores at 7:00 or 8:00 in the morning, "block the box," you think anybody's going to bother to read it?

There -- there's a constant stream of cars that break the line and cross through the West Shore Drive service station on the east side, so that they can get around waiting at the red light. They're going to look at that "don't block the box," don't think it will be effective.

If there is going to be a traffic study, let that happen at 7:00 or 8:00 in the morning and we'll see how effective that is.

Comparing this property values here to a station that allegedly is similar in West Hempstead, I don't think so. West Hempstead is quite different than Massapequa and I think that is comparing apples and oranges. The delipidated nature of the state, I get the impression that the

2.0

owner and his representatives are saying they're doing us a great favor by bringing in a commercial enterprise that will clean up the area, that particular piece of land.

That station was a problem even when it was in operation. It was constantly going downhill. The owner was, to be kind, somewhat unique in his outlook as to how he operated the station. This 24-hour operation really is a problem, and I don't really believe that it warrants 24 hours and I would like to see a solid study of what the traffic is going past that area between the hours of, say, 1:00 a.m. and 6:00 a.m.

I don't know that it even warrants, you know, the costs of keeping an employee on during that time. For those of you who have been on Merrick Road at that hour, it's deserted.

What else? I think that is about all I have to say. I can live with that station, but I cannot live with the 24/7. I believe it would be a useful addition to the area, but we really do need to get over this 24-hour operation.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you,

Mr. Perry.

49 1 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Perry, what 2 hours would you be comfortable with? I'm sorry to 3 make you come back up. MR. PERRY: That's all right. 4 Νo 5 problem. I have no problems to a 1:00 a.m. to 6 7 6:00 a.m. closure, somewhere in that area. I can 8 live with that. Somewhat say 12:00 or earlier, 9 that's a negotiating point, okay? But I just will 10 not -- especially, the fact that they will be 11 selling beer, I think there's also an issue of cars 12 coming in at 2:00 in the morning, picking up a 13 six-pack, and by the way, who's going to get those 14 people that are sitting in the car drinking the 15 beer and maybe getting rowdy and playing their 16 radio loud? Who's gonna take care of that? 17 many times will we be calling a patrol car? 18 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I just want to be 19 clear, we cannot take the alcohol into 2.0 consideration. MR. PERRY: I understand. 21 Ι 22 understand, but I just -- it needs to be put on the 23 table because they are getting a liquor license. 24 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: But to you, the 25 hours of operation is the biggest issue?

```
50
1
                  MR. PERRY: That is the biggest issue,
2
      yes.
3
                  Thank you.
4
                  SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you,
5
      Mr. Perry.
                  The next speaker will be Elaine
6
7
      O'Neill.
8
                  Hi. Ms. O'Neill, how are you today?
9
                  MS. O'NEILL:
                               Fine.
10
                  How are you?
11
                  SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good.
12
                  Would you please start off by giving us
13
      your full name and address for the record?
14
                  MS. O'NEILL: Okay.
15
                  It's Elaine O'Neill. I live at 15 West
16
      Shore Drive, Massapequa.
17
                  I'm not overly crazy about -- I mean, I
18
      know it's always been a gas station, but this
19
      24-hour thing, I do not approve of it. I mean,
20
      when that gas station was there, I lived in that
21
      neighbor when it was first built in 1960.
22
                  My husband worked in that station also
23
      when he was much younger and they weren't open on
24
      the weekends -- on Sunday. They were only open
25
      from 6:00 to 10:00. Okay. I don't feel that we
```

need for them to be open 24 hours a day. I'm not overly keen on the idea that they are going to be selling liquor because people go down, and the kids, and we do have it, that, you know, they can get the beer, get rowdy, go down West Shore Drive, speed, whatever. Okay?

Another thing is that "don't block the box." That's another consideration that you're saying you have one car to go there and then you have the box and then you have the car.

Did you ever see the traffic at 5:00 in the morning because I go out and I walk every morning at 5:00. There is -- and I walk in Burns, there is no traffic going there. Down the street at that time of the morning, maybe you'll get one or two cars, but you don't have a big thing of traffic, so how many people are you going to get to go into that gas station? Okay?

Then with the box, so say around 6:00, 7:00 in the morning, people are going to work, and you're going to have -- there's more than five cars there. There is a line that goes even past my house and I'm five houses from the corner -- going. There are cars that, as the man had said, cut through the service station which I have almost

2.0

gotten hit twice in that time of the morning because people can't wait for that light to change, that they just go right on the thing, so not a thing with that box there, because traffic is just going to keep on piling up West Shore Drive and then onto Huron. So nobody that has to go work in the morning is going to get through that light. They don't have the service station on the corner. He doesn't have a block, you know, don't box the block, he doesn't have one.

There's no, you know, thing and I'm not for it even to have an opening to go into the gas station. And just a few weeks ago, the Bolla, a man, a 60-year old man, got killed because somebody went in the wrong way. People do not read signs.

So, I mean, you're go to have an in and you're go to have an out. What cars can go into the gas station from West Shore Drive? Are they going to turn from Merrick Road into West Shore Drive and go into that station? It's -- I don't know. There has to be some type of solution on that and I do not believe that that "block the box" should be there.

And the hours, it is another thing, the hours. Kids from the high school that run down our

1.3

2.0

And also from that generator, which I didn't know, that's going to be another thing. Sandy was a disaster down in Nassau Shores. I was fortunate enough that I lived in the beginning, that I did not get the water, but most of my friends, they did. They were affected by that.

As the man said, how are you going to get a generator in there? You're never going to get something like that and I certainly wouldn't want a generator going off a few hours in the day. They are noisy, so...but anyway, I said my peace.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Ms. O'Neill, just so we're clear because I might not be sure, you don't like this application?

MS. O'NEILL: No, I am not overly crazy about the -- it can be a gas station. It's always been a gas station. When it first opened, it was a nice -- the man that owned it was very, very nice and then his son took it and he was a disaster.

Then it just went completely down, but the thing is, it can be a gas station, but do you have to have the -- and I'm not against having that convenient, I just don't like the idea of them selling liquor.

54 1 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And for you, the 2 24 hours of operation and the traffic are the 3 biggest concerns? 4 MS. O'NETLL: Yes. And I don't think 5 it should be open 24 hours either. It's not in -the Bolla gas station, if you really look, there is 6 7 one house that's, like, around it. 8 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Would you say the two sites are a little bit different? 9 10 MS. O'NEILL: The two sites are 11 completely different. 12 You can't --13 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: What makes them 14 different to you? 15 MS. O'NEILL: Well, the thing is that 16 gas station, it really -- how can I put it? 17 It is in a residential -- I don't know 18 the street, the name of the street, but you have 19 the Corner Galley on the one side, you have Bolla 20 there, and to the left of the Bolla, there's one 21 house, but you don't even see that house. 22 Well, you're going to see the houses 23 where the gas station is. It is more of a 24 community, as I say, you know, and as I say, it has 25 been done as a regular station. It got -- probably

has to be a gas station.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Would you say the traffic on West Shore is a little bit worse than in some of the other roads in the area?

MS. O'NEILL: Yes.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Including the one that the Bolla is on?

MS. O'NEILL: Oh, I think so, I mean, because the street down -- none of the cars -- well, I shouldn't say that.

Any of the cars that are coming into the Bolla, say, from that area, they might use it, but I don't find that when I -- you know, I don't drive, I walk or I ride my bike, and the thing is, I don't see as many cars turning into Bolla from that street.

They are -- well, I guess, they are coming from Merrick Road and they are going into the entrance there, but I don't see many cars from that development going in -- you know, in that -- there might be. I mean, but again, I don't think there's that many cars that are coming out there either. I think they go around and then they come out by Marjorie Post Park.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mrs. O'Neill, in

56 1 contrast, would you consider West Shore Drive to be one of the main thoroughfares for cars --2 MS. O'NEILL: Oh, yes. 3 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- exiting out of 5 Nassau Shores? 6 MS. O'NEILL: Yes. East Shore Drive 7 does not have it because I think of, kind of, the 8 fire department. I don't think Unqua Road -- West 9 Shore Drive is a busy, busy street. 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And you know this 11 because you and your husband have lived on West 12 Shore Drive for how many years? 13 MS. O'NEILL: I guess it's almost 14 sixty years. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And you've raised 16 your children there? 17 MS. O'NEILL: Yes. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So you know this 19 street very, very well? MS. O'NEILL: I know this street very, 2.0 21 very well. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So let me ask 23 you, what is your opinion to the -- what the hours 24 of operation should be to be most compliant with 25 the residents and the character of the

57 1 neighborhood? 2 MS. O'NEILL: I don't think it should 3 be open any later than 11:00, and I'm stretching 4 it. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What time do you think it should open in the morning? 6 7 MS. O'NEILL: It can open at 6:00. 8 have nothing against it being open at --9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 6:00 a.m. to 10 11:00 p.m.? 11 MS. O'NEILL: Yeah. 12 I would like to see it 10, but, hey. 13 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: In the morning, 14 which direction do most of the cars that come out 15 of that neighborhood go? Do they go west in the 16 morning when they're commuting or do they go east? 17 MS. O'NEILL: They go east. 18 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Most of them go 19 east? 20 MS. O'NEILL: Oh, no, no, no. They go 21 west. 22 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Most of the cars 23 that are coming out of the gas station would 24 probably be going west too, right? 25 MS. O'NEILL: Yeah. Most of the

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

traffic is going west in the morning. You get a few going east but very, very few. And sometimes, the people that are in the right-hand lane are really turning west so you got two cars going around that way.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Do you think that there might be a little bit of a bottle neck at that -- where that "don't block the box" is? If -- where all the cars are trying to get out and go the other way and the two main entrances on Merrick Road, they can only go east? When they come out on Merrick Road, they can only go east, and if they want to go west, they have to come out onto West Shore Drive.

yeah, on West Shore Drive. That is going to be some -- especially -- well, any time of the day, go down West Shore Drive. There are cars by that light all the time. Now, wait until the school opens. There's two children on -- across the street that I think they go to parochial school, okay, go to high school. Now, they go each different times. You're going to get that bus and it is during rush hour in the morning. You can't go past the bus, so that's only going to make the

59 1 traffic go down further. So, as I say, if you have 2 that "don't block the box" there, it is going to be 3 a disaster. It literally is going to be a disaster. 4 5 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Thank you. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 6 7 much, Ms. O'Neill. 8 MS. O'NEILL: You're welcome. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you to you 10 and Mr. O'Neill for coming out today. 11 The next speaker is Warren O'Neill. 12 Good afternoon, Mr. O'Neill. 13 How are you today? 14 Mr. O'NEILL: Pretty good. 15 Thank you. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you please 17 give us your name and address for the record? 18 MR. O'NEILL: Warren O'Neill, 17 West 19 Shore Drive, Massapequa. 2.0 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, sir. 21 MR. O'NEILL: As my wife has said and 22 everybody said, that has been a gas station for 23 years. At one time, I was looking to make a couple 24 extra dollars so I worked there in the evenings and 25 they closed at 10:00 p.m. and those were there

2.0

hours. I don't know what time they opened, but they closed at 10:00 p.m.

My main concern is the 24/7. Primarily that and the box. The reason I say that is -- my wife tried to explain Bolla. Bolla has nothing to the west of them. To the east of them is a business. Behind is one house.

In order to enter Bolla from Merrick
Road, you have to make a left-hand turn at the
light and enter that way. The egress is on the
west side and this is a whole different ball game.

Last Thursday, I was taking my wife shopping, I stopped at the traffic light on West Shore Drive and I slowed down to kind of visualize this box, all of the sudden, somebody is blowing their horn. I looked in my mirror. I had this huge pickup truck behind me.

I said, "Oh, boy, I better get out of here." I made a left. Thank God I made a left and ended up in the left-hand lane going west because as soon as I looked, the pickup truck was right inside me in the right-hand lane and gone. That's an every day occurrence.

I've also had it where they pull in the right lane making a left-hand turn. You know, you

61 1 have to take traffic on West Shore Drive very 2 seriously, very seriously. And that box concerns 3 If it is an egress out of that gas station, best of luck, because even if the box is open, you 4 5 have traffic coming off -- you have eastbound traffic coming off of Merrick Road going south. 6 7 So now you have southbound traffic, you 8 have a horror here. I'm afraid there's going to be a serious accident there. 9 10 And like I said, the 24/7, I could deal 11 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., you know, probably beyond 12 that, who knows what traffic is like. 1.3 Like I said, I'm two houses south of 14 Mrs. Vestrich. Thank God that the lighting is 15 going to be well, that I can agree with, no 16 problem, but I have problems with traffic and the 17 box and the hours. 18 Thank you very much for your 19 consideration. 2.0 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. O'Neill? 21 Mr. O'NEILL: Yes, sir. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You, obviously, 23 have a lot of experience of the traffic on West 24 Shore Drive and it sounds as though you have

specific experience of traffic flow patterns on the

62 1 site because you worked there. 2 MR. O'NEILL: Yes. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Based on your 4 many, many years of experience with the traffic on 5 West Shore Drive and these other issues, as a very long time, almost lifelong resident of Nassau 6 7 Shores, what hours of operation do you feel would 8 work best for the community? 9 MR. O'NEILL: I would say 6:00 a.m., 10 5:00 a.m. to 11:00. Usually, you know, once you 11 get past 11:00 at night, there is very little 12 traffic, especially going westbound. 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 14 Your testimony has been very, very 15 helpful, sir. 16 MR. O'NEILL: Thank you. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you for 18 coming here today. 19 The next speaker will be Linda 2.0 Vestrich. 21 MS. VESTRICH: Hi, Town Supervisor, 22 fellow Board members. 23 My name is Linda Vestrich. I live at 24 14 West Shore Drive. I've lived there for 25 33 years.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.0

I have heard about this proposed gas station. It has been a gas station when we moved in. I don't think at this point anybody has an objection to it being a gas station, we all agree that the property itself is, right now, a disaster.

You know, we'd love it be beautiful, to be operational, something. I have a petition that I started within the neighborhood and with the Massapequa residents and the residents of Nassau Shores against the hours of operation for various reasons that I will get into.

The hours that we are seeking are a 6:00 a.m. open and 11:00 p.m. close. I started and I looked and researched the hours of operation of the businesses that are around the area. 6:00 a.m. seems to be an open time. There is grocery stores, there are other businesses that are open and 11:00 p.m. seems to be closed for restaurants, other grocery stores, businesses.

John Burns Park, at that point is shutting down with all its activities, whether it be ball games, concerts in the park, and everything else, and I felt that that was a fair and reasonable hour of operation. And, again, Merrick Road does quiet down.

1.3

2.0

I also -- I will pass around the petition -- it also has in it, unfortunately, there have been other issues that I have found with gas prevention leak laws that have been violated, tax evasion, and other issues that I will pass around.

The signatures that I have received from the residents of Nassau Shores and the Massapequa area are over 500.

I'd just like to go over what life is like, not only in Nassau Shores but, particularly, I'm the third house in on the west side of the street.

Like I said, I've been a Massapequa resident since I'm six years old. I've been a West Shore Drive resident for 33 years, over 33 years. When I moved into Nassau Shores, it's always been a quaint, beautiful tree lined neighborhood. We have a golf course in our neighborhood. We have a bay (inaudible) park for the community to use. We have Marjorie Post Park across the street. We have John Burns Park next to us.

The real estate agents describe Nassau Shores -- they say prestigious Nassau Shores when they're advertising homes for our area. We have pillars in front of Nassau Shores stating "Nassau

2.0

Shores." The areas that also have pillars or designated signs include Harbor Green and also Biltmore Shores for all the residents that live there.

That quaintness, that beauty, is something I think every resident in the area treasures. When you drive down Merrick Road, it's one of the, I think, very few Towns that still have residential people living on Merrick Road. You can't compare people living in the homes in the Town of West Hempstead to those of Nassau Shores and/or Massapequa, so real estate values, I think, vary quite the same.

And also, Hempstead Turnpike is also known as a much more industrial commercial area than is Merrick Road. We want to keep the quaintness and the beauty and the quietness in our area.

From Merrick Road onto West Shore

Drive, you can clearly see the homes that are

there. I know Bolla is open 24 hours a day, seven

days a week, but if you look at the Bolla Station

from Merrick Road, you can maybe see one home. You

basically see the two businesses that are around

it. Not so from West Shore Drive. If you're

1.3

2.0

looking down West Shore Drive, you can clearly see the homes. It's open. It's a big -- it's a wide street. The only gas station that is open 24/7 right now is Bolla. There are gas stations along Merrick Road in Seaford. In Wantagh, there's American Gas. On the north side of the street, there's Seaford gas stations off the parkway. There is the other gas station that is at the edge on Forest Avenue and Merrick Road as well, all closed. That is an important fact.

Basically, with the petition, I think most of the residents and people that have signed the petition are basically against the 24 hour, seven day a week operation. Some of the things that I think everybody should know, that West Shore Drive is used by the high school. They use West Shore Drive because it is a mile down West Shore Drive, it is a mile back up West Shore Drive. It's a great training for the track teams, for the football teams, and everything else. They use West Shore Drive during the course of the school day.

Also, Massapequa High School is an open campus, which means that there is a very small cafeteria in there for the kids to eat lunch. The majority of the kids are walking. Whether they

2.0

walk home for lunch because it's close enough in proximity or they're going across Merrick Road to go to the various lunch places or whatever they need, West Shore Drive is used heavily by the kids, whether it be bicycle, walking, residents such as Mrs. O'Neill, myself, we're all going up there because we want to cross the street to use the park. We want to go to the left and use John Burns.

West Shore Drive is used by -- annually by those doing the Turkey Trot. It's a big fundraiser. They start off in John Burns Park, they go down West Shore, they're back up West Shore again. That's another use of West Shore Drive by the community on foot.

During the course of the Summer months when the park at John Burns is used for concerts, there are people that overflow and park on West Shore Drive, and let's not forget South Merrick Road, which is directly and will be directly behind the house that's behind the gas station that's being built, there is one house and after that is South Merrick Road and the overflow of traffic parks on those streets as well.

The other thing is that now there is

2.0

not ample enough parking for the businesses adjacent to the gas station so what they've been doing since the gas station has been abandoned for the last ten years is they're parking on Merrick — on West Shore Drive and South Merrick Road, so as people are coming off of South Merrick Road and they're trying to ease onto West Shore Drive to make that right, you almost have to ease into the middle of the street to get a clear view because of all the cars that are parked there from the businesses.

So, you know, when you're putting a "block the box" there -- I mean, it looks great on paper, but let's get into realistically, what's going to happen with everybody in the neighborhood and when people come up West Shore Drive and everybody's looking at the traffic light and everybody is racing up West Shore Drive to get that traffic light to go westbound. So for them to stop and realize, oh, wait a minute, let's not block this box, I think it's a disaster. You know, we're even questioning whether it is necessary to have an opening on West Shore Drive to get in and out of the gas station.

You know, and when you're making

1.3

2.0

references -- what happened during Hurricane Sandy afterwards, most of Long Island had no electricity. That goes without saying. Most of us were out.

Nassau Shores was hit hard, very hard, and in particular, we were out, my house, we were out eleven days. There were many people in the neighborhood out much more. In those eleven days, there was, you know, as traffic started to move as gas became more available and people were trying to leave the neighborhood, what the police tried to do is block off and prevent any resident from making a left-hand turn, just to make a right-hand turn until they got that traffic light up and working. It didn't work. In one day, within a two-hour period, there were four accidents. I was calling the police myself.

I mean, that just -- that just started the motion. The amount of trucks that come down because now Nassau Shores is being rebuilt. There are still homes being raised in Nassau Shores. We have big heavy trucks coming down delivering products and everything else. That's an issue.

The other issue is the concern is, you know, how is a gas tanker going to safely get into the gas station to service and deliver the gas? I

1.3

2.0

don't want to see a gas tanker coming down West

Shore Drive to try to turn around and go back up

again and deliver gas, which it may be because

we're looking at the angle of them turning either

off Merrick Road to try to get through that "don't

block the box" and get in there to deliver gas,

that's a concern.

And as much as they're going to have an air pump and a vacuum available, okay, when the hours of operation cease, if they are closed at 11:00, I'd like that shut off as well because why should somebody pull up at 2:00 in the morning and maybe, I don't know, inebriated or not, and just like to vacuum their car out and then you have to hear that white noise now waking us up.

I was the one that requested the 4000K.

I am glad to see that it's being complied with

because we can see all of those lights from our

bedroom windows. You know, there is a lot of

concern. We want to have a good neighbor, you

know, here, we'd like to see a business thrive, but

at the same time, not compromising the integrity of

the neighborhood, the residents, the community.

We also want to address that there is a giant drug issue in Massapequa that has been

2.0

publicized. Everybody knows it. The question is, you know, the sale of alcohol, we don't want anything to happen with the kids and they're getting their IDs at a younger age than they should be and they're able to, you know, purchase alcohol. That's another issue. Drug sales have been known to happen in gas stations.

Unfortunately, there has been a last -there was a Nassau mini mart that was robbed at
gunpoint on June 28, 2017. It was posted in the
Massapequa Patch. It was a gas station on Broadway
in Massapequa and, unfortunately, it seems that
these robbers like to be brazen enough to go and
rob the gas stations while they're open now instead
of waiting until they're closed.

And with that, the question is, how do you not only provide safety to the residents, but I'm concerned about the school district. The high school is an open campus. When there is a problem, they shut down the schools. They lock down the schools. The kids are out to lunch and something happens, then those kids cannot get back into the school. That is an issue that somebody has got to think about, too. Not to say, listen, any business can be robbed. We all know that, but gas stations

1.3

2.0

seem to be something that these people feel that they can hit more so than some of the other businesses and maybe get away with it, but we have to be concerned about our kids.

Let's see if I covered everything.

Oh, yes, the other -- the other thing is that with the new gas station, I understand that there are new leak prevention laws that require steel vaults to be installed into the ground. This particular property had a leak issue. It had contaminants and to contain the contaminants, a few things were done. There were wells drilled in front of the home directly behind the gas station. There were two wells drilled on the houses, the first two houses on the west side of West Shore Drive.

At the same time, they also pounded steel into the ground to try to contain the contaminants for cleanup. That took approximately two weeks, and during that pounding, it was about six hours every day for the two weeks and my house was shaking almost uncontrollably. I noticed that there were some cracks in the ceilings and some issues going on. I did call the Town to complain.

Unfortunately, I did not get a

2.0

response, a phone call back, a resolution, anything. I ended up having to repaint my house.

Now, I understand -- of course, we want the safety issues installed but, again, has anybody thought about the impact of this pounding on the residential homes directly affected and around the gas station and what is going to happen if there is an -- or the potential of structural damage of any kind? I've invested a lot of money in my home. I have added a second story to my home. Everything has been permitted. I had to make sure structurally, I was able to do this safely and I did that. I do not want the integrity of my home jeopardized in any manner in that respect at all.

And also, with regard to the contaminants -- and I know that is not this particular owner's responsibility, according to the DEC, there is only one particular area still left that needs to be cleaned up.

Again, not the responsibility of the current owner, but the previous owner. But in regard to that, I'd like the ground also retested to make sure that any stirring of dirt while anything is going on, that doesn't stir up any additional contaminants and I want to make sure

2.0

2.5

that this other contaminant is cleaned up prior to this gas station, and I'd like the area and the site monitored for additional contaminants not being allowed to leak into the ground even though they're at what is considered an acceptable level now, we all know what contaminants will do, they're going to get right into our water system.

There is a canal right behind our house. We have the aquifers in the ground and that is our water. So I think these issues need to be addressed as well and I highly considered.

And, again, I think the issue of pedestrian traffic is another issue. As far as the Bolla gas station being open 24 hours, from what the residents that have signed my petition have said, they said that's more than enough. There's 7Eleven open if anybody needs it and in Amityville, there's a CVS.

At this point, nobody wants a 24-hour, seven-day-a-week operation. We want to keep the quaintness of Merrick Road, Massapequa, and Nassau Shores and we would like the Town to address the issues that we have stated.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Ms. Vestrich, Thank you for being here.

7.5 1 I just had a couple of questions for 2 you. Thank you for the thorough presentation. 3 For you, again, the main issue is the hours of operation; is that accurate? 4 5 MS. VESTRICH: Correct. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Because they did 6 7 address the lighting and all of those things? 8 MS. VESTRICH: Yes, they did address 9 the lighting. I thanked them for that. 10 I think that was, you know, a great 11 compromise and as far as, you know, my husband was 12 the one that went into the in depth study. 13 works for a company called Voltronics. He has been 14 in the lighting industry for over thirty years and 15 he is well versed on lighting issues, lumen output, 16 LED. 17 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: At this point, the 18 major issues are the 24 hours operation and the 19 traffic that would be generated on West Shore, 20 right? 21 The traffic and also the MS. VESTRICH: 22 concern about the gas leakage and the contaminants 23 that were previously on the property, yes. 24 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Would you say that 25 a lot of these concerns are specific to this

76 1 particular site? It's not that you're opposed to a 2 gas station, it's not that you're opposed to a 3 convenience store, but this is the wrong place for one? 4 5 MS. VESTRICH: I would -- is it the wrong place for one? You know what? 6 7 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Is there something 8 special about the corner of West Shore Drive and Merrick Road? 9 10 MS. VESTRICH: I think that it is the 11 traffic concerns, yes. And I think it is that the 12 hours of operation, yes. 1.3 I think that also we have to look at 14 the type of person that has and is trying to run a 15 business here, the past history of that person, and 16 some of the business practices that they have done. 17 We don't want to see that again. I want something 18 honest here. I want somebody that's going to be 19 responsible and reliable. 2.0 This is somebody that is going to 21 leak -- lease the property out to BP. He's not 22 going to be a direct owner. He's not going to be 23 there every day. He's not going to be running the 24 business. He's leasing the property out to BP.

And again, how long is he leasing the property out?

25

2.0

How long and what happens if BP decides to pull out, who then is he going to lease? What type of reputation does that person have? Who are the employees that are going to be employed by this gas station? You know, who is going to be responsible if something happens and who --

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I understand. I'm just trying to stay with the things that the Town has control over at this point.

MS. VESTRICH: Yes. I understand that.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And I just wanted to clarify whether you think that this site is different from, say, the Bolla mart or some of the others.

MS. VESTRICH: I do. I actually think it's smaller and I think having a gas station at Bolla is more than enough for the neighborhood. So if given a choice, if somebody came to me and said would you rather a gas station or something else, I would probably honestly say something else because Bolla is right there.

I don't find that it is necessary to have another gas station there; however, I respect the fact that it's already been zoned for one so I'm trying to be logical, fair, and, you know, be a

good neighbor as well.

2.0

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I didn't know if there was something special about West Shore Drive than a different location, if it's more traffic in that area.

MS. VESTRICH: Well, I think there is more traffic in the area. If you want to look at the West Shore Drive street versus the street that Bolla is facing, yes, we have considerably more traffic on West Shore Drive, hands down. There is no comparison.

With the traffic flowing in and out of our neighborhood, West Shore Drive is considered an emergency exit route, so anybody vacating Nassau Shores during a hurricane, like Sandy or Irene, a tropical storm, anything that may cause flooding or potential home damage, they're going via West Shore Drive. They -- yes, they have Unqua Road, they have East Shore Drive as well.

But I am telling you, for the majority of people, they're coming up West Shore Drive.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And as far as the hours of operation go, is there a reason why this site should be treated differently than the Bolla Mart?

79 1 MS. VESTRICH: I think because this 2 site faces more residential homes. We are 3 surrounded by two parks. We are closer to the high 4 school. We already have a 24-hour operation right 5 There is no reason to have more. still trying to keep Massapequa that quaint, nice, 6 7 quiet town that all the residents move here for. 8 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Thank you very 9 much. 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: One question, 11 please. 12 You spoke to being amenable to the 13 hours of operation from 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.? 14 MS. VESTRICH: Correct. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You also told us 16 that you collected signatures from approximately 17 500 people or families? 18 MS. VESTRICH: Yes. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In your 20 estimation, do those families, those residents, 21 also believe that the hours of operation should be 22 between 6:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m.? 23 MS. VESTRICH: Yes, I do. 24 They -- basically that was one of the 25 foremost reasons that they signed the petition,

2.0

because of the hours of operation, and they were also concerned about safety and they were concerned about the children.

Most residents basically said besides the hours of operation and the traffic concerns, we all know how many kids come up and down our street and how our street is used by pedestrian traffic, not only children, parents walking their kids to the parks, on bicycles, in strollers, West Shore Drive is heavily used by the population in Nassau Shores to get to the two parks that are in very close proximity to West Shore Drive.

And that was one of their concerns, and the kids, you know what, listen, it's not -they're kids. They don't always look at the traffic. They don't always focus on it. Nobody -I don't think there's one person in this room that would like to see anybody get hurt. And, you know, there are a lot of times, yeah, there have been accidents both on Merrick Road in front of West Shore Drive and, again, with Sandy, it was an issue.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And do the vast majority of the people who filled out or signed your petition also believe -- have no problem with

81 1 a station, a gas station at that location? 2 MS. VESTRICH: Yeah. 3 I think right now because we all know it's been zoned for a gas station, that everybody 4 5 was -- I mean, there were people that have suggested other types of businesses, but since that 6 7 is not what this particular owner does as his 8 livelihood, I doubt that he's going to switch at 9 this point and start a new business there, so I 10 think that everybody understands that because it 11 was a gas station, to be another potential gas 12 station is something that, you know, they may not 13 be happy with, but are accepting. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Any other 15 questions for Ms. Vestrich? 16 Thank you so much --17 MS. VESTRICH: Thank you. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- for all your 19 and your diligence, your help today, but obviously, 2.0 your work in the months leading up to today. It's 21 very much appreciated. 22 MS. VESTRICH: Thank you for listening. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Our next speaker 24 will be Doug Aloise.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

Good afternoon, Mr. Aloise.

MR. ALOISE: Good afternoon,

Mr. Supervisor.

I'll be brief. I don't want to be too redundant, but I'd like to thank you and the Town Board, particularly, Councilman Imbroto, for your interest in this as well as Planning Department, which was very generous with their time and the owner, which I perceived, made a lot of concessions to the community.

It's very important that this site be environmentally sound. We're particularly concerned because of the past history that had nothing to do with the current developer and owner.

I come from Bethpage originally so when I hear the word plume, I just shiver a bit that we had too many plumes in our neighborhood so we want this to be the prettiest gas station in Nassau County and as well as environmentally sound.

My -- another very important issue is the hours of operation, again, with the mini mart which would be a new enterprise in the area and I agree with the other speakers that 11:00 p.m. closing would be very acceptable to us and opening at 6:00 a.m.

I just worry about our young people.

2.0

As we know, when you're young, you sometimes have bad judgment and somebody can get an older brother to buy beer and, you know, be in the car together and sometimes your judgment is clouded when you do have a few beers, particularly when you're a young person, again, so that is a very paramount issue.

I also want to mention that as we get into the details, the Civic Association can coordinate with the owner and the community; particularly, the residents of West Shore Drive on various detailed issues.

As an example, with the PVC fence, what color should it be. The landscaping is very generous, but what type of shrubs we would put in or trees and we can help with that.

I want to mention that this has been a particularly tough issue for myself personally because public opinion in Nassau Shores varies.

The further away you get from the site, more people are for it because they want something to go there.

I've had many letters through the years that, you know, you should be ashamed of yourself as Civic President letting this stay this way. It wouldn't be allowed in Jericho, et cetera, et cetera.

And I tried to explain, we didn't have

1.3

2.0

that much control with it, and as an MBA, I have to say something positive about the owner. He had the hootspa to come forward, invest money in this facility and he has been kept waiting a long time.

So, I think a fair compromise is we want it to be a very nice facility, but we would like the hours restricted.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Aloise, you spoke to the fact that you've been very active with the Civic Association.

MR. ALOISE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Beside speaking on behalf of yourself and perhaps your family, are you speaking on behalf of many of the residents that live in Nassau Shores?

MR. ALOISE: I think so.

Like I say, I've taken a broad

perspective on it because I do get many calls and

letters saying something should go there, and to be

realistic, the current developer owns the site, has

site control, and I have a lot of experience as a

community development director and I know how hard

it is to develop sites like that and the Civic

Association did not take an official position on

2.0

this because of the varying opinions that we've encountered.

We have our own politics, too, as a Civic Association, but everybody felt comfortable with restricting the hours.

So my perception is, if the special use permit was approved, but with the hours restricted, almost everybody in the neighborhood would be very happy.

Again, with the landscaping, the lighting, things of that nature, and I also have experience with Brownfield's development and I worry about the environmental issues and the leaking that could happen. I know it may not be directly be the Town Board that has jurisdiction, but to work in partnership with DEC, that's an issue.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So, in short, you're telling us that the majority or perhaps the vast majority of the residents that you've been in contact with --

MR. ALOISE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- are in favor of a gas station there, but they would like the hours limited?

86 1 MR. ALOISE: Yes, exactly. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 3 MR. ALOISE: It's a complicated issue because some people have said, well, I want a 4 5 daycare center, I want this, but that's not who owns the site right now. 6 7 And I also point out, some people get a 8 little upset, it could be worse than a gas station. 9 It could be a tattoo parlor. It could be one of 10 these vapor places. I haven't figured out exactly 11 what goes on in those enterprises, but we certainly 12 wouldn't want one, so...it's not a perfect world 13 but in an imperfect world, I think we want the 14 prettiest gas station that we can get and also one 15 that's environmentally safe and the mini mart 16 restricted and 11:00 p.m. seems to come up quite a 17 bit. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 19 MR. ALOISE: Thank you. 2.0 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any 21 other speakers, any other members of the public 22 that would like to be heard on this issue? 23 Yes, sir. 24 Would you please step forward?

Would you please give us your name and

87 address for the record? 1 2 MR. MOLINARI: Good afternoon. Paul Molinari, 332 West Nicholai 3 Street, Hicksville. 4 5 Not a resident of Massapequa, I'm a resident of Hicksville, but I've been coming to 6 7 these Board meetings for many years and I've been 8 sitting through a lot of hearings on special use 9 permits and I thought I brought it up at the last 10 Board meeting that this -- one of the major issues 11 that always seems to arise is the limitation on the 12 hours. 13 I support our fellow residents in the 14 Town to seek that this facility be only open from 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. and I've also spoken, you 15 16 know, last time to the Board, that maybe you should 17 consider the limits of operations on, you know, 18 hours of operations for certain facilities, 19 especially when they are adjacent to residential 20 neighborhoods. 21 Thank you. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 23 much. 24 Yes, sir? 25 MR. PERRY: I spoke earlier.

25

88 1 Martin Perry, 15 Bayview Street, West 2 Massapequa. 3 And I neglected to ask a question and someone brought up the subject of the pillars and 4 5 there is one pillar that is smack in the middle of that street. 6 7 If they're going to redesign that cut, 8 what happens to those pillars? I don't believe the residents of Nassau Shores would like to see those 9 10 pillars disappear on West Shore Drive. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And that would be 12 one of my questions when the applicant returns to 13 the podium. 14 MR. PERRY: Okay. Thank you. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, sir. 16 Are there any other -- yes. 17 MS. VESTRICH: Again, in regard to 18 pillars. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Can you just give 20 us your name and address again for the record? 21 MS. VESTRICH: Sure. 22 Linda Vestrich, 14 West Shore Drive. 23 In regards to the pillars, we would

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

donation to the Nassau Shores Civic Association for

request that the owner of the property make a

2.0

the rebuilding of both pillars so we can redesign and rebuild them which we will start with West Shore Drive and then conform the other pillars that are entering into Nassau Shores to be exactly the same as those.

That was something that we were discussing with Doug Aloise, the Civic Association President, and we thought that might be something that the owner might be willing to do for us.

MR. ALOISE: Doug Aloise, 36 South Bay Drive, Massapequa.

As a matter of fact, I have asked Linda to head the fundraising drive to rehab all of the pillars.

When I saw her energy and thoroughness and she has agreed, so she'll chair that, but we'll ask for private sector donations. We also want to have the LED lighting so the Civic won't be saddled with an operational cost.

Also, the owner, just before, agreed to make a contribution. We'll negotiate on that because the other pillar across the street would have to be probably moved, so both of them.

But we went one step further. We're a glutton for punishment with work and the Civic

90 1 Association that would rehab all of the pillars. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you for 3 bringing that to our attention. 4 Are there any other speakers that would 5 like to be heard in connection with this hearing? All right. Then to close. 6 7 MR. ALTADONNA: Okay. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Excuse me, Mr. Altman? 9 10 MR. ALTMAN: We going to have an 11 opportunity to respond to you? 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's what we're 13 giving you right now. 14 MR. ALTMAN: I didn't know if you asked 15 the Town Clerk to speak. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No. No. I was 17 asking for you to respond, please. 18 MR. ALTMAN: Thank you very much. 19 My appearance again, David Altman, from 20 the law firm of Harris Beach, 538 Broadhollow Road, Suite 301 W, Melville, New York. 21 22 So just a couple of comments that I'll 23 address and I think the 600-pound gorilla in the 24 room relative to 24 hours. I did speak to my 25 client further. Taking into consideration

1.3

2.0

operational details, we would be willing to consider shutting down from 12:00 midnight to 5:00 a.m. That's our position.

With regard to the pillars, and I think Mr. Aloise stated it correctly, we discussed it with the Civics. We're going to be making a contribution to the Civics in that regard and will be relocating it for so the pillars are going to stay.

In connection with the traffic issues in the "block the box," which I think -- I don't want to minimize people's concerns but people are getting hung up on -- Frank, I'm going to ask you to just come up and address that again if you would, Frank Filiciotto from Stonefield. Frank.

Frank Filiciotto with Stonefield Engineering.

MR. FILICIOTTO:

I think it would just help the Board, help the public, if I just run through how the operation of the "do not block the box" would work.

Thank you, again.

It's nothing more than paint on the ground. If everyone is unclear on that, I just wanted to make that clear. It's paint on the ground that makes an X and it's encapsulated in a

2.0

rectangular square area. It's about 30 feet long and it will -- it'll take up the width of the road.

The purpose of it is not to stop every vehicle. When the light is green, you don't have to stop there. It's to create a small gap in front of the driveway. When the light is red, it allows a vehicle to come in or out. This is commonly done throughout Nassau County. It is nothing new to Nassau County or the Town of Oyster Bay.

That is the purpose of it. We've worked with the Town Planning Department. If it's something that requires more dialogue, we would certainly like to have it but we don't believe that this is anything except a traffic safety enhancement. So I just wanted to make that clear. That it's not something that's create undue congestion. It's merely going to move traffic 20 feet to the south. That's it.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I don't think anyone thinks the box is going to create the congestion, they think that the exit onto West Shore Drive is going to create the congestion and the box is not going to be effective in mitigating it.

MR. FILICIOTTO: I would counter that

1.3

2.0

by stating that we have two curb cuts on West Shore Drive now. There's been two curb cuts there for 40 something years. We are essentially consolidating that down to one curb cut.

Is the suggestion that there be no access? I've explained why one access wouldn't work.

 $\label{eq:council_man} \mbox{COUNCILMAN IMBROTO:} \quad \mbox{One resident made} \\ \mbox{that suggestion.}$

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I think more than one resident is in favor of that.

MR. FILICIOTTO: Okay.

you're taking a site, you are completely disallowing westbound traffic to come to this site. You're basically saying that eastbound traffic is the only traffic that can use it and if you do need to return to the west, you need to use the residential side streets to recirculate.

So if you need to return to the west, you're forced to make a right on to Merrick Road, your next opportunity would be Unqua Road, and you use South Merrick Road to get back to West Shore Drive. I don't think anyone wants that condition either. So we're trying to balance the circulation

1.3

2.0

safety with practicality in the design.

appear to me that the condition that would be created is that one car at a time would be able to leave there during a peak hour, like in the morning rush hour when everybody is going west, and the cars would be lining up in the gas station and blocking the cars that are coming out through West Shore Drive.

MR. FILICIOTTO: That level of traffic is not anticipated. We are talking about probably one to two vehicles during the peak minute of activity using that driveway. We're not talking about an abundance of traffic where you'd see a line at a Wal-Mart trying to exit on a Saturday afternoon. This is -- we've all been to gas stations. This is a well designed gas station with a lot of circulation.

We're talking about six vehicle fueling positions. This isn't an 18 or 24 pack of vehicle fueling positions that you see on the service roads of the expressway or out in Suffolk County. This is more of a neighborhood gas station. This is not expected to deliver the type of traffic.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I believe the

2.0

residents are used to having a gas station there.

It's the convenience store aspect of it coupled with the heavy vehicle traffic on West Shore Drive to begin with. I think that's what's creating the -- the discomfort among some of the residents here.

MR. FILICIOTTO: Sure.

And the traffic that is mostly going to be using this site is already on Merrick Road today.

Certainly, the residents of West Shore

Drive would have the opportunity to patronize this

store. That there's -- there's no -- they choose

to turn right in and right out, they certainly can.

But I believe in order for this site to function

efficiently, it needs the curb cut on West Shore

Drive as would any use that goes here.

If it was -- if it was a shopping center, if it was a bakery, you would want the ability to recirculate back to the traffic signal. That is good design.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In the design of the curb cuts, in the site design, in the design of turning radiuses, did you take into consideration all sizes of cars, motorcycles, and all sizes of

96 1 trucks? 2 MR. FILICIOTTO: Yes. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Did you take into consideration any other type of vehicle? 4 5 MR. FILICIOTTO: Well, mostly, we make sure the site is designed for passenger vehicles, 6 7 mostly -- or small box trucks that would utilize 8 the fueling component on the site. 9 This is a low flow diesel site so this 10 is not a truck stop that you would see, you know, 11 on the New Jersey Turnpike. This would be a box 12 truck at most that would come to the site. 1.3 And then, of course, we have to take 14 into consideration the service vehicles that would 15 come to the site such as the delivery vehicles for 16 the convenience component of the site, so that's why we have the dedicated loading zone on the east 17 18 side, making sure that the vehicles can 19 appropriately get into a place where they can 20 discharge product into the store. 21 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Did you take into 22 consideration any other vehicles besides cars, 23 motorcycles and trucks? 24 MR. FILICIOTTO: Of course, 25 pedestrians. We have sidewalks along both

97 frontages. Those will be rebuilt. We're also 1 2 proposing to upgrade the corner of the 3 intersections so that it's ADA compliant. That's a requirement now, really much across the board with 4 5 respect to DOT and Nassau County DPW. So, in addition to vehicle traffic, pedestrian traffic is 6 7 something that we --SUPERVISOR SALADINO: But no other type 8 of vehicle traffic besides the three that I 9 10 mentioned? 11 MR. FILICIOTTO: Motorcycles, too, a 12 certain extent, typically, they can occupy the same 13 parking space, you know, as a regular passenger 14 vehicle. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What I'm 16 referring to specifically is boat trailers. 17 Massapequa is a boating community. 18 Many people who are utilizing a pleasure boat 19 operate with a trailer, take it back and forth to a 20 ramp. 21 There's ramps right next door at Burns 22 Park and one of my concerns would be, will those 23 residents who would be utilizing this station to 24 fill their boat tank on a trailer, would that 25 create a complicated situation as they try to

navigate a trailer in and out of this site and your canopies?

MR. FILICIOTTO: So you're referring to fueling of the boat?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Fueling of a boat on a trailer being pulled by a car or truck.

MR. FILICIOTTO: I think we could take a look at that. We've designed the site to accommodate for a box truck at the fueling positions, which is about 30 feet long, so I do believe that a modest -- a modest boat on a trailer and a pickup truck would be able to work on a site like this.

If we're talking about much larger a boat craft, you know, we have to take a look at that, but I think, you know, a modest, a reasonable sized boat could work on the site.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It wouldn't be unusual for a resident of Massapequa or any of the local communities, anyone traveling near Burns Park to have a boat the size of an 18, 19, 23, maybe even 25-foot on a trailer where they would want to utilize local stations close to the boat ramps for fueling that boat.

MR. FILICIOTTO: Yeah.

I mean, to be honest, I think if that's the case, if someone has a large or a larger boat, that wouldn't fit on the site, they would go to a place that they're currently using where it works.

I mean, there's a little bit of common sense that every driver who owns a piece of equipment like that has to apply when deciding where to buy something.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: But they wouldn't -- if they were using this station for the first time, they wouldn't know that, from what I'm hearing, that it might not accommodate a trailer for a boat of 20 or 23 feet?

MR. FILICIOTTO: I think that would have to be worked out. I think it's incumbent on the person driving the trailer and the piece of boat craft that's attached to that trailer to know and to be responsible not to pull in to a site where it might not be not large enough.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It should be noted though that this site is the -- would be the closest gas station to the launching ramps at Burns Park.

> MR. FILICIOTTO: Sure. Understood. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Also, I just want

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

25

1.3

2.0

to thank the applicant for taking the residents' feedback into account.

MR. ALTMAN: Thank you.

MR. FILICIOTTO: Thank you.

MR. ALTMAN: I'm going to ask Mike Lynch to step up just to address a couple of comments relevant to him.

MR. LYNCH: Good afternoon again,
Supervisor, members of the Board. I just want to
clarify, two of the residents made note that the
West Hempstead comparison that I had made was -was not really a salient comparison. I just wanted
to note that the -- that I did a study of sales
going back five years from both sides; one being
the subject's site, the other being the West
Hempstead site.

I did a quarter mile radius sale going back five years and the average of median sale of homes within that quarter mile radius of both sites was very similar, close to 400,000 for both. So, during those five years, that quarter mile radius, there was not a dissimilar significant difference in the median or average sales price.

The other thing I wanted to note, just to the site itself, again, we're not talking about

2.0

a pristine reserved piece of land here. It's a site that was developed back in 1960 as a gas station, and I just wanted to show that the Nassau County Assessor has noted as such for the -- the abutting homes immediately to the south of this -- and I will hand both -- the two homes that abut this, there's actually three, but these are two of the homes that abut the property to the south; one being on West Shore Drive, the other on South Merrick.

The Assessor notes for both of these houses that there is commercial influence for both houses, so the assessment was accordingly reduced for the fact that it abutted a commercial establishment and presumably, the gas station that had stood there since 1960.

I'd like to submit these two assessor cards for 2 West Shore Drive and the other for 15 South Merrick Road.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just in relation to your comments, we, on the Board, are of the opinion that every piece of property in the Town of Oyster Bay is precious.

MR. LYNCH: Just a clarification.

Thank you.

102 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 1 2 MR. ALTMAN: Nothing further, 3 Mr. Supervisor. 4 That concludes our presentation. 5 I want to thank the Board and the Town and, of course, the residents for their input. 6 7 appreciate the courtesy extended here today. 8 Thank you. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 10 Are there any last questions of any of 11 Board members? 12 (No verbal response given.) 1.3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. I would 14 like to also state or reiterate that we are keeping 15 the record open so that anyone can be heard 16 regarding this application by e-mail, by letter, by 17 phone call. Our residents must and will be heard 18 and your opinions respected. 19 May I please have a motion to close 20 this hearing? 21 MR. ALTMAN: How long are we keeping 22 the record open? 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thirty days. 24 MR. ALTADONNA: We have correspondence. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes.

part of the record?

103 1 Can you please state the correspondence 2 we have on this? 3 MR. ALTADONNA: The attorney for the applicant has filed affidavits of service and 4 5 disclosure. The communications are as follows: 6 Wе 7 have memos from the Department of Planning and 8 Development. 9 As per present review procedures, the 10 required off-street parking for this application is 11 nine parking spaces. The site plan submitted 12 depicts a total of nine parking spaces. 1.3 The Nassau County Land Tax Map 14 indicates the property is Section 66, Lot 2, Lot 102. According to the Town of Oyster Bay Zoning 15 16 Map, the property is located within the NB, 17 Neighborhood Business Zone. There is no variance 18 applications on file; however, there are Town Board 19 Resolutions and one open Code Compliance case on 2.0 file for the subject premise. 21 There is no further correspondence. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okav. 23 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Clerk, has 24 this petition that was handed up, has that become a

104 1 MR. ALTADONNA: Yes. As all the other 2 information that was presented today. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Very good. 4 I would highly recommend that the 5 applicant converse with the residents, not only the 6 ones that are here, but other residents in the 7 community to ensure that all of their questions are 8 answered including what plans may be in place in 9 the event of issues with the contracted corporation 10 so they have an expectation of what would happen 11 under different scenarios. 12 May I please -- any other speakers? 13 (No verbal response given.) 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May I please have 15 a motion to close the hearing? 16 MR. MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I'll make 17 a motion that this public hearing be closed and the 18 decision be reserved. 19 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second. 2.0 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor, 21 signify by saying aye. 22 "Aye." ALL: 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All opposed, 24 "Nay." 25 (No verbal response given.)

```
105
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
1
2
      it.
3
                   Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. We're
      going to give the stenographer a five-minute break
4
5
      before we continue our business.
                   (TIME NOTED: 1:26 P.M.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
ACTION CALENDAR
AUGUST 15, 2017
1:38 P.M.

JOSEPH SALADINO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON
COUNCILMAN THOMAS HAND
TOWN CLERK JAMES ALTADONNA JR.
RECEIVER OF TAXES JAMES STEFANICH

NOT PRESENT:

COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

KRISTINA TRNKA Reporter/Notary Public

	2
1	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Ladies and
2	gentlemen, if you'd kindly take your seats, we are
3	going to continue our meeting.
4	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Would everybody
5	please take their seats?
6	Thank you.
7	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.
8	Your assistance is quite valuable to
9	us.
10	Will the Town Clerk please call the
11	regular Action Calendar?
12	MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor, we have a
13	few tables, suspends, and then we'll get to the
14	regular Action Calendar.
15	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please proceed.
16	MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to
17	table Resolution Nos. 507, 508, 509 and 526?
18	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 507, 508, 509
19	MR. ALTADONNA: and 526.
20	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.
21	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Hang on a
22	second, please.
23	507, 50
24	MR. ALTADONNA: 508, 509, and 526.
25	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May I have a

	3
1	motion?
2	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.
3	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And a second.
4	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.
5	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
6	signify by saying aye.
7	ALL: "Aye."
8	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Opposed, "Nay."
9	(No verbal response given.)
10	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
11	it.
12	Please continue.
13	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to table
14	Resolution Nos. 507, 508, 509, and 526 passes with
15	six "Ayes."
16	Okay.
17	May I have a motion to suspend the
18	rules and add Resolution No. 527?
19	Resolution No. 527-2017; Resolution
20	authorizing the Supervisor of his designee to
21	execute an inter-municipal agreement and easement
22	agreement with Plainedge School District. (M.D.
23	8/8/17 #22).
24	On the motion?
25	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.

	4
1	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.
2	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by
3	Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman
4	Macagnone.
5	On the vote?
6	Supervisor Saladino?
7	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."
8	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
9	MR. MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
10	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
11	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
12	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia is
13	absent.
14	Councilwoman Johnson?
15	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
16	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?
17	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."
18	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?
19	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."
20	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to suspend the
21	rules and add Resolution No. 527 passes with the
22	six "Ayes."
23	Now, I'm going to go to the regular
24	Action Calendar.
25	May I have a motion to adopt Resolution

5 1 Nos. P-19-17 through Resolution 527, noting that 2 507, 508, 509, and 526 were tabled? 3 Personnel Resolution No. P-19-17; Resolution pertaining to personnel of various 4 5 departments within the Town of Oyster Bay. 6 Transfer of Funds; Resolution No. 7 TF-12-17; Resolution pertaining to Transfer of 8 Funds within various departments accounts for the Year 2017. 9 Resolution No. 451-2017; Resolution 10 11 authorizing the Town-wide Senior Trips in September 12 and October 2017 and to authorize the Department 13 Commissioner to make any necessary adjustments. 14 (M.D. 7/18/17 #4).15 Resolution No. 452-2017; Resolution 16 directing the Town Clerk to advertise the 17 application of Avi Polischuk to erect, maintain, 18 improve, alter or repair a dock, float, bulkhead or 19 other mooring at 249 West Shore Drive, Massapequa, 2.0 NY. (M.D. 7/18/17 #12). 21 Resolution No. 453-2017; Resolution 22 pertaining to special counsel to the Town Attorney. 23 Account No. OTA A 1420 44110 000 0000. (M.D. 24 7/18/17 #13). 25 Resolution No. 454-2017; Resolution

2.0

pertaining to legal services in connection with various matters. Account No. OTA A 1420 44110 000 0000. (M.D. 7/18/17 #14).

Resolution No. 455-2017; Resolution pertaining to outside counsel relative to the Town's housing programs. Account No. OTA A 1420 44110 000 0000. (M.D. 7/18/17 #15).

Resolution No. 456-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a parking permit fee to B.S. Kalra. Account No. HWY St 0001 01721 000 0000. (M.D. 7/18/17 #16).

Resolution No. 457-2017; Resolution granting request from the Kiwanis of Central Bethpage for Town assistance in conducting their community garage sale on September 16, 2017, to use Municipal Parking Field B-7 and the use of Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 7/18/17 #18).

Resolution No. 458-2017; Resolution granting request from Pakistan Day Parade

Long Island, for Town assistance in conducting their Pakistan Day Parade in Hicksville on August 27, 2017, to use Municipal Parking Field H-14 on August 26 & 27, 2017 and Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 7/18/17 #19).

Resolution No. 459-2017; Resolution

1 granting request from Holy Trinity Greek Orthodox 2 Church in Hicksville, for Town assistance in 3 conducting their annual Grecian Festival from 4 September 8-10, 2017 and use Town equipment for the 5 event. (M.D. 7/18/17 #20). 6 Resolution No. 460-2017; Resolution 7 authorizing payment of the Town's share of dues in 8 the Oyster Bay/Cold Spring Harbor Protection 9 Committee form June 1, 2017 through May 31, 2018. 10 Account No. DER A 8090 44900 000 0000. (M.D. 11 7/18/17 #21). 12 Resolution No. 461-2017; Resolution 13 authorizing renewal of membership in the Nassau 14 Municipal Swim Conference Inc., for the year 2017 15 for Marjorie R. Post Community Park and Syosset-16 Woodbury Community Park. Account Nos. PKS SP07 7180 47900 000 0000 and PKS SP11 7180 47900 000 0000. 17 18 (M.D. 7/25/17 #8).19 Resolution No. 462-2017; Resolution 2.0 pertaining to the decision on the application of 21 James B. Busch, 156 Division Avenue, Massapequa, NY 22 to erect, maintain, improve, alter or repair a 23 dock, float, bulkhead or other moorings. (M.D. 24 7/25/17 #9).

Resolution No. 463-2017; Resolution

25

2.0

authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building permit fee to SolarCity Corp., for property at 2 Oak Lane, Old Bethpage, NY. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 7/25/17 #10).

Resolution No. 464-2017; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup of 496 North Atlanta Avenue, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 7/25/17 #15).

Resolution No. 465-2017; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup of 70 Lincoln Avenue, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 7/25/17 #16).

Resolution No. 466-2017; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup of One Woodland Avenue, Glenwood Landing, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 7/25/17 #17).

Resolution No. 467-2017; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup of 144 Gerhard Road, Plainview, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 7/25/17 #18).

Resolution No. 468-2017; Resolution

1.3

2.0

2.5

authorizing the property cleanup of 6 Hyman Drive,
South Farmingdale, NY, be referred to the County of
Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax
Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 7/25/17 #19).

Resolution No. 469-2017; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup of 18 Monroe Avenue, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 7/25/17 #20).

Resolution No. 470-2017; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup of 326 Linden Street, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 7/25/17 #21).

Resolution No. 471-2017; Resolution pertaining to Settlement of Claim: West Publishing Corporation d/b/a Thomson Elite, Claimant. Account No. CMP A 1315 46410 000 0000. (M.D. 7/25/17 #22).

Resolution No. 472-2017; Resolution pertaining to legal services in connection with negligence matters. Account Nos. TWN AMS 1910 43010 602 0000 000 and TWN AMS 1910 43020 602 0000 000. (M.D. 7/25/17 #23).

Resolution No. 473-2017; Resolution granting request from the Bayville Chamber of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

10

Commerce, for the use of Charles E. Ransom Beach and Stehli Beach to hold their annual Bayville Waterside Festival on September 9, 2017, to waive Town Ordinances 168-22 and 168-20 and for the use of various Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 8/1/17 #58).

Resolution No. 474-2017; Resolution pertaining to On-Call Engineering Services relative to Civil Engineering for Contract No. PWC07-16 Construction of Pickleball Courts. Account No. PKS H 7197 20000 000 1504 013. (M.D. 8/1/17 #54 & 8/8/17 #24).

Resolution No. 475-2017; Resolution pertaining to Settlement of Negligence claim; Stark v. Town of Oyster Bay; Nassau County. Account No. TWN AMS 1910 43010 602 0000 000. (M.D. 7/25/17 #26).

Resolution No. 476-2017; Resolution authorizing an Inter-Municipal Agreement with the Village of Upper Brookville for Yard Waste Disposal Service from July 1, 2017 until June 30, 2019. (M.D. 7/25/17 #28).

Resolution No. 477-2017; Resolution authorizing the annual "9/11 Memorial Ceremony" at the memorial site located at TOBAY Beach (bay side)

on the evening of September 6, 2017, and to employ the services of a vocalist for this event and to authorize the Supervisor or his designee to execute an agreement for said services. Account No.

CYS A 7020 47660 000 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #4).

Resolution No. 478-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for two resident daily parking permits purchased at TOBAY Beach to M. Smith. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #6).

Resolution No. 479-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for a resident season parking permit purchased at Harry Tappen Beach and Marina to B. Russo. Account No.

PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #7).

Resolution No. 480-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for a resident daily parking permit purchased at TOBAY Beach to A. Janusas. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000.

(M.D. 8/1/17 #8).

Resolution No. 481-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for three resident daily parking permits purchased at TOBAY Beach to C. Lis-Johnson. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #9).

2.0

Resolution No. 482-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for registration for one child in Town of Oyster Bay Recreation Program at Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Park to P. Weiss. Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #10).

Resolution No. 483-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for registration for one child in Town of Oyster Bay Recreation Program at Ellsworth Allen Town Park to S. Gangi. Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000.

(M.D. 8/1/17 #11).

Resolution No. 484-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for registration for two children in Town of Oyster Bay Recreation Program at Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Park to M. Svoboda. Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #12).

Resolution No. 485-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for registration for one additional child in Town of Oyster Bay Summer Recreation Program at Ellsworth Allen Town Park to K. McNamara. Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #13).

Resolution No. 486-2017; Resolution

2.0

authorizing the issuance of a refund for registration for two children in Town of Oyster Bay Summer Recreation Program at Plainview-Old Bethpage Community Park to Mr. & Mrs. Castagna; Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #14).

Resolution No. 487-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for a resident daily parking permit purchased at TOBAY Beach to N. Katz; Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #15).

Resolution No. 488-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for registration for one child in Town of Oyster Bay Swim Team at Syosset-Woodbury Community Park Pool to D. & N. Walowitz .Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #16).

Resolution No. 489-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for registration for one child in Town of Oyster Bay Swim Lessons at Syosset-Woodbury Community Park Pool to O. Campbell. Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #17).

Resolution No. 490-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund to Syosset High School Activities Fund for a Town of Oyster

2.0

Bay Showmobile rental. Account No. PKS A 0001 02414 000 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #18).

Resolution No. 491-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for a resident season parking permit purchased at TOBAY Beach to F.J. Carlo. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000.

(M.D. 8/1/17 #19).

Resolution No. 492-2017; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor to execute an amendment to the Inter-Municipal Agreement with the County of Nassau in connection with Community Revitalization Program: Atlantic Steamer Fire Company. (M.D. 8/1/17 #23).

Resolution No. 493-2017; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor to execute an amendment to the Inter-Municipal Agreement with the County of Nassau in connection with Community Revitalization Program: Oyster Bay Fire Company. (M.D. 8/1/17 #24).

Resolution No. 494-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund to SolarCity Corp. In connection with 54 Roosevelt Avenue, Syosset, NY. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #27).

Resolution No. 495 2017; Resolution

15 1 authorizing the issuance of a refund to SolarCity Corp. In connection with 3 Monfort Place, Syosset, 2 NY. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 3 4 8/1/17 #28). 5 Resolution No. 496-2017; Resolution 6 authorizing the issuance of refund to SolarCity 7 Corp. in connection with 5 Rubin Lane, Woodbury, 8 NY. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #29). 9 10 Resolution No. 497-2017; Resolution 11 authorizing the issuance of a refund to SolarCity 12 Corp. In connection with 24 Essex Road, Bethpage, 13 NY. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 14 8/1/17 #30). 15 Resolution No. 498-2017; Resolution 16 authorizing the issuance of a refund to SolarCity 17 Corp. In connection with 48 Tidewater Avenue, 18 Massapequa, NY. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 19 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #31). 2.0 Resolution No. 499-2017; Resolution 21 authorizing the issuance of a refund to SolarCity 22 Corp. In connection with 36 Irene Lane East, 23 Plainview, NY. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 24 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #32).

Resolution No. 500-2017; Resolution

(M.D. 8/1/17 #40).

25

16 1 authorizing the issuance a refund to SolarCity 2 Corp. In connection with 79 Brittle Lane, 3 Hicksville, NY. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 4 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #33). Resolution No. 501-2017; Resolution 5 6 authorizing the issuance a refund to SolarCity 7 Corp. In connection with 206 Central Park Road, 8 Plainview, NY. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 8/1/17 #34). 9 Resolution No. 502-2017; Resolution 10 11 authorizing the Town of Oyster Bay Animal Shelter 12 to host a free rabies clinic on September 16, 2017. 13 (M.D. 8/1/17 #37).14 Resolution No. 503-2017; Resolution 15 authorizing the Town of Oyster Bay Animal Shelter 16 to hold a "Free Adoption Month" event and waive 17 adoption fees during the month of September 2017. 18 (M.D. 8/1/17 #38).Resolution No. 504-2017; Resolution 19 2.0 authorizing the Supervisor or his designee to 21 execute a Municipal Cooperation Agreement with the 22 Syosset Fire District for the Syosset Fire 23 Department Memorial Park, under contract No. DP 24 15-128 and authorizing the award of this contract.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

17

Resolution No. 505-2017; Resolution authorizing the acceptance of the design and entrance into the Bid and Construction Phases for Contract No. DP 16-136 Improvements to Pool Filter Systems at various Town Parks. (M.D. 8/1/17 #41). Resolution No. 506-2017; Resolution authorizing the acceptance of design and entrance into Bid and Construction Phases for Contract No. DP 17-157 Replacement of Parking Lot Lights at Plainview-Old Bethpage Community Park and Syosset-Woodbury Community Park. (M.D. 8/1/17 #42). Resolution No. 510-2017; Resolution authorizing the award of Construction Contract No. H17-150, replacement of existing timber bulkhead at Ships Point Lane. Account No. HWY H 5197 20000 000 1503 008. (M.D. 8/1/17 #46). Resolution No. 511-2017; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC23-16; On-Call Technical Assistance relative to Environmental Engineering review of groundwater monitoring well locations. Account No. HWY H 5197 20000 000 1503 008. (M.D. 8/1/17 #47 & 8/8/17 #18). Resolution No. 512-2017; Resolution

Contract No. HRR17-154 Requirements Contract for ON TIME COURT REPORTING

authorizing the Bid and Construction Phases for

516-535-3939

2.0

Road Restoration throughout the Town of Oyster Bay. (M.D. 8/1/17 #48).

Resolution No. 513-2017; Resolution authorizing the Bid and Construction Phases for Contract No. HVR17-156 Highway requirements

Contract for Inspection and Cleaning of Storm

Drainage Systems throughout the Town of Oyster Bay.

(M.D. 8/1/17 #49).

Resolution No. 514-2017; Resolution authorizing the award of Construction Contract; Highway Improvements for Canal Road and Seabreeze Road, Massapequa, NY. Account No. HWY H 5197 20000 000 1503 008. (M.D. 8/1/17 #50).

Resolution No. 515-2017; Resolution pertaining to the retention of special counsel for Office of the Town Attorney for Zombie and Vacant Properties Remediation and Prevention Initiative which will be covered under a grant awarded to the Town by the Local Initiatives Support Corporation.

(M.D. 8/1/17 #51).

Resolution No. 516-2017; Resolution authorizing the establishment of fees for Town of Oyster Bay program - Arts and Crafts for Toddlers Ages 2-4. (M.D. 8/1/17 #52).

Resolution No. 517-2017; Resolution

19 1 authorizing the award of Contract No. SE 005-17, 2 Surplus Vehicles and Equipment. Bids received 3 July 17, 2017. (M.D. 8/1/17 #53). 4 Resolution No. 518-2017; Resolution 5 authorizing the acceptance of the design and 6 entrance into bid and construction phases for 7 Contract No. DP16-137, Construction of Pickleball 8 Courts at B-21, Bethpage. (M.D. 8/1/17 #55). 9 Resolution No. 519-2017; Resolution 10 recommending award of Contract No. SE 006-17, 11 Surplus Recycling Trucks. Bids received July 31, 12 2017. (M.D. 8/1/17 #20 & 8/8/17 #9). 13 Resolution No. 520-2017; Resolution 14 authorizing the award of Contract No. SE 002-17, 15 Single Stream Recycling of Commingled Glass, 16 Ferrous and Non-Ferrous Metal, Plastic Containers, 17 Cardboard and Mixed Paper. Bids received July 31, 18 2017. (M.D. 8/1/17 #21 & 8/8/17 #7). Resolution No. 521-2017; Resolution 19 2.0 pertaining to Standard Workday Resolution for the 21 appointive positions of Town Supervisor and one 22 Councilman. (M.D. 8/1/17 #59). 23 Resolution No. 522-2017; Resolution 24 authorizing the Division of Employment and Training

to enter into an Urban Senior Jobs Program Host

2.0

Agency Training and maintenance of Effort Agreement and to authorize the Supervisor and/or his designee to execute said agreement. (M.D. 8/1/17 #26 & 8/8/17 #10).

Resolution No. 523-2017; Resolution pertaining to Site plan review in connection with 80 Grumman Road West, Bethpage, NY. (M.D. 8/1/17 #35 & 8/8/17 #12).

Resolution No. 524-2017; Resolution pertaining to Litigation and Retention of Counsel to the Town Attorney in connection with nuisance matters. (M.D. 8/1/17 #36 & 8/8/17 #25).

Resolution No. 525-2017; Resolution pertaining to the decision on the 2017 Hydrant Rental Agreement with New York American Water Company, Inc. - Glenwood-Glen Head Fire Protection District. Hearing held: August 15, 2017. (M.D. 6/20/17 #12).

Resolution No. 527-2017; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor of his designee to execute an inter-municipal agreement and easement agreement with Plainedge School District. (M.D. 8/8/17 #22).

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.1 1 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion was made by 2 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 3 Macagnone. 4 You have multiple speakers. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Our first speaker will be Paul Molinari. 6 7 Please step up, Mr. Molinari. 8 MR. MOLINARI: Paul Molinari, 323 West 9 Nicholai, Hicksville. 10 I apologize for holding up. We're just 11 having a heated discussion about Downtown 12 Hicksville Revitalization with the Receiver of 13 Taxes and one of your Town Attorneys. 14 I guess I'm addressing the Board on 15 438. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just if I may on 17 that, I'm very thrilled to know that the community 18 is as passionate about Hicksville as we are. 19 MR. MOLINARI: Yes, thank you. 20 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: 438, Paul. 21 MR. MOLINARI: 438, the legal fees? 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 23 MR. MOLINARI: I'm sorry. 24 My concern, I see that we're approving 25 approximately an additional \$40,000 representing --

2.2 1 not that a Town employee should not be represented, 2 but I don't understand what's really going on in 3 here. We've already spent -- I don't know how much money we've spent to represent this individual and 4 5 it's another \$40,000 to represent a Town employee. It seem -- it doesn't seem to me that we're -- just 6 7 seems exorbitant. 8 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It is frustrating for all of us, Paul. 9 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. Let's get 11 that answered for you. 12 I know what the answer is, but I want 13 to hear it right from our Town Attorney. 14 MR. MOLINARI: Thank you. 15 MR. NOCELLA: Supervisor, Members of 16 the Town Board, this is as a result of an 17 administrative review and investigation where Town 18 employees were required to come forward and be 19 interviewed by an administrative agency and they 2.0 are entitled to be represented and this was a bill, 21 most of which I believe was generated in 2016, that 22 has been outstanding and needs be paid for the 23 representation of the employee. 24 It was not something that was within 2.5 the employee's control to be called forward and to

2.3 1 provide information and testimony or otherwise, nor 2 was it in the Town's control. We do have an 3 obligation to make sure they're represented. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: For the purposes 5 of clarification, this employee has the right to counsel? 6 7 MR. NOCELLA: Yes. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Was the employee 9 the focus of the investigation? MR. NOCELLA: 10 No. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Was the employee, 12 in fact, a fact witness? 1.3 MR. NOCELLA: That's exactly correct. 14 Fact witness. Somebody who had to provide 15 information. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: For the purposes 17 of clarification, was the employee the previous 18 Supervisor of the Town of Oyster Bay? 19 MR. NOCELLA: No. It was definitely 20 not the previous Supervisor. 21 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: To ensure that 22 the public gets the accurate information and is not 23 misled or that we are taking every step of clarity 24 and transparency, did the previous Supervisor ever 25 receive Town of Oyster Bay paid legal

2.0

2.4

representation on any criminal issue whatsoever?

MR. NOCELLA: None. None at all.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: At any time, and this includes periods of time before you began here?

MR. NOCELLA: Yes.

To the best of my knowledge, that has never occurred. The fact that -- I used an example once before. Let's say the department -- the State Department of Insurance is conducting an investigation and they want to look into how rates are set by insurance companies with whom we do business. In that case, we might not even be the target of the Department of Insurance's investigation.

We're part of the subject matter of the investigation and they want to talk to our financial people and we can't refuse and the Department of Insurance has subpoen power so they called various financial people from the Town to come forward and say, we need to know, what information was given to you by these insurance companies, how did they set their rates, what calculations did you do, so on and so forth.

That was not the case. I'm making an

2.0

2.5

analogy. A different agency came and had required these people to come forward and provide information as fact witnesses. That did not involve any criminal allegations or a criminal indictment brought against the prior Supervisor and there was no defense -- no money spent or expended by the Town for any defense.

reiterate this so it is very clear because there have been some rare few individuals of the public that, I believe, wanted to mislead the public to make it seem as though the taxpayers paid for any legal representation on any criminal matter whatsoever for the previous Supervisor.

To your knowledge, the previous -- that the taxpayers of the Town of Oyster Bay have never paid to represent the previous Supervisor in any criminal proceeding or any criminal issue?

MR. NOCELLA: That is precisely correct.

The Town of Oyster Bay taxpayers have never spent a nickel on defense on any kind of criminal defense.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What day did you begin to be employed by the Town of Oyster Bay?

	26
1	MR. NOCELLA: February 14th of this
2	year.
3	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very
4	much.
5	MR. NOCELLA: Thank you.
6	Mr. Rozea, would you please step up
7	here as well?
8	I'm asking these questions to provide
9	crystal clear clarity and transparency.
10	What day did you begin your employment
11	here in the Town Attorney's Office?
12	MR. ROZEA: I believe it was April 21st
13	of 2011.
14	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Since so you
15	have been employed as a member of the Town
16	Attorney's Office since 2011?
17	MR. ROZEA: That's correct.
18	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Have the
19	taxpayers ever paid for the previous Supervisor's
20	representation on any criminal matter whatsoever?
21	MR. ROZEA: No, not to my knowledge,
22	none.
23	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And you feel as
24	though your knowledge
25	MR. ROZEA: It would be improper for

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

27 1 the Town to spend money to provide the criminal 2 defense for any employee, including the Supervisor. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And you feel very knowledgeable of all the activities of the Town 4 5 Attorney's Office since 2011? Well, I'm certainly aware 6 MR. ROZEA: 7 of those activities that were authorized by the 8 Town Attorney. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 10 Mr. Molinari, does that answer your 11 question? 12 MR. MOLINARI: Yes. Thank you. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're very 1.3 14 welcome. 15 Our next speaker is Brad Slover. 16 MR. SLOVER: Thank you, Supervisor, Members of the Board. 17 18 My name is Brad Slover. I'm one of the 19 General Managers at Omni Recycling of Westbury. 2.0 Mr. Anthony Core is the President and Owner of Omni 21 Recycling of Westbury. He unfortunately cannot be 22 here today. He had another meeting that's been 23 scheduled for over 45 days with people coming from 24 various distances that he just -- would be 25 unprofessional for him to cancel at the last

2.0

minute, but if the Board will allow me, I'd like to read a prepared statement due to Mr. Core's absence.

"Omni Recycling is the current call" -this is with regards to Resolution 520 -- "Omni is
the current commingle contractor for the Town and
became such when other agreements for recycling and
disposal of your commingled material failed. At
said time, Omni made itself available to aid the
Town with its problem. Omni has been the only
company to invest in infrastructure for the
processing and recycling of commingled material in
Nassau County in almost thirty years.

"In fact, it was Mr. Core at Omni that developed the first residential program for them -for this material on Long Island. Omni operates
from a plant located in Westbury, New York. It is
proud to employ workers that are members of the
International Brotherhood of Teamsters with some
living in the Town of Oyster Bay.

"Oyster Bay is moving very fast with this bid and with this award and the movement to single -- excuse me -- and movement to a single stream program. We only ask for a motion to table this award so that we may have an opportunity to

1.3

2.0

review the Winters Brothers bid and provide the Town with beneficial insight.

"We see no harm in such a request for the Board to vote on this matter at the next Town Board meeting on September 12, 2017. The Town may remember that on February 10th of last year, the Town of Oyster Bay requested bids for commingled recycling. When Winters Brothers responded to that bid, they proposed to charge the Town \$60 per ton.

"Now, they have offered to pay for single stream at a rate that we believe is significantly higher than anywhere else on Long Island or in the Northeast region. Why? What is the catch? Shouldn't the Town take a few more weeks to ask additional questions before it jumps into a substantial program change, a change that may not easily be reversible?

"As far as the hope in increase recycling rates going to single stream, that answer is an absolute yes, but not because of single stream alone, because the Town will permit homeowners to place residential cardboard with the newspaper.

"When Mr. Core unsuccessfully bid the newspaper contract over ten years ago, his proposal

highlighted residential cardboard to be added to the newspaper, and at the time, the old Town Supervisor did not listen.

"Residential cardboard can now be added to your current program to increase the Town's revenue. Over fifteen years ago, Mr. Core came home to UPS boxes in the door on his way home from one night at work.

He asked his wife, "Are we moving?"

She said, "No, I was just doing some
Christmas shopping online."

Immediately, Mr. Core called the Towns that he has newspaper contracts with and added cardboard to the newspaper collection. And where he was not the contractor, he respectfully suggested doing the same and even proposed it as part of his bid to the Town of Oyster Bay, telling the Town that it could be as much as a 33 percent increase in revenue due to the increase in volume in recycling.

"Heartbreaking because such a disregard of good advice cost of Town's taxpayers a lot of money. Now, the Town seems to be moving very fast on new advice. Please, let's make sure it's the right advice.

2.0

"The recycling market is a complicated business. The only reason this material is recyclable is because it has a value to others after it's been processed and marketed.

"If it has no value, it is just garbage and must be disposed of. Single stream puts it all together and requires it to be taken apart again.

The cost of that processing on Long Island is high because, in part, our workers, friends and neighbors need a living wage to live here.

"Mr. Core's concern is for his Town as a resident, his company and his workers. Keeping jobs on Long Island and not exporting them, especially good union jobs will not and cannot help our economy. Not investing in proper infrastructure will not help either. Our only request is the Town allows us to review the bids and ask the relevant questions so that its Town Board and its Supervisor will know that this decision is correct for the Town of Oyster Bay.

"The adjournment of this matter until

"The adjournment of this matter until September 12th is all we are respectfully asking for today."

Thank you for your time and attention. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

1.3

2.0

MR. SLOVER: Do you have any questions or comments for me?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Other than the fact that the high bidder was so significantly higher than Omni, is there any other reason for this request?

MR. SLOVER: Well, that's why we've -- actually, there's been a formal request.

We've asked to see the bids to make sure that there isn't something because the number that has been offered is just -- it makes absolutely no sense and one of the conditions as part of bid was to also -- not only pricing, but was also to disclose where the material is being sent and it just doesn't -- it just doesn't add up to us and all we're trying to do is just make sure the Town is doing the right decision.

If it's not with any of it -- with Mr. Core, if it's with Winters Brothers, God bless them. We just -- obviously, Mr. Core has very -- is very concerned for the Town and just wants to make sure that, you know, the right things are being done for the Town and its residents.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

MR. SLOVER: Thank you.

33 1 I only have three slips. Our last 2 speaker is Mr. Freier, so he'll have to ask 3 somebody to hold his phone while he struggles to handle what he has. 4 5 Good afternoon, Mr. Freier. MR. FREIER: Good afternoon, 6 7 Mr. Saladino. 8 First of all, with regard to Resolution 9 P19-17, after you take your vote, I'd appreciate it 10 if you could name who is being moved around and/or 11 hired. 12 I came in a little late so the 13 Resolution that Mr. Molinari talked about, which 14 Resolution was that? 15 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: 453. 16 MR. FREIER: 453. Okay. Great. 17 So, actually, I had a very similar 18 question. One of the questions -- and I understand 19 what you said about paying for legal defense, but 2.0 was there any kind of indemnification policies or 21 anything like that that were utilized? 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would the Town 23 Attorney please step forward, Mr. Nocella? 24 MR. NOCELLA: The answer to 25 Mr. Freier's question is no, there were not because

34 1 these persons were not in any way going to be held 2 responsible. They were fact witnesses. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Fact witnesses only. 4 5 MR. NOCELLA: Because they were fact witnesses, they were entitled to representation. 6 7 It wasn't a situation where they caused some loss 8 to the Town and we needed to be indemnified. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: As would be the 10 case in any municipality in America? 11 MR. NOCELLA: Absolutely. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 1.3 MR. FREIER: Okay. 14 Was -- so this is \$38,000, was this --15 that money was spent already or is just being paid 16 now? 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Can you please 18 tell us the status of that, Mr. Nocella? 19 MR. NOCELLA: Again, the bills were 2.0 mostly incurred, I believe, in 2016 and to some 21 extent, in 2017 for an employee who was called 22 before this reviewing body, for lack of a better 23 term, without disclosing specifics. 24 The bill was incurred, but the money 25 had not been spent. The firm had previously been

35 1 engaged, but they have not been paid for the work 2 that they did. 3 MR. FREIER: Had the money been encumbered last year or --4 5 MR. NOCELLA: I can't answer the specifics as to what was or was not entirely 6 7 encumbered, but there were -- this amount is the 8 amount that remains outstanding so, I believe, but I can't swear to it, that a certain amount had been 9 10 encumbered and this is the balance. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What we've seen 12 in the Town is that legal services took place prior 13 to this year, prior to my taking over as the Town 14 Supervisor, but the bills have been coming now in 2017, and, obviously, as you would agree, we have a 15 16 responsibility to pay our bills. 17 MR. FREIER: Yes. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I bet you agree. 19 MR. FREIER: See, we agree on 20 something. 21 As far as, was that money reflected 22 anywhere in this year's budget? 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We would have to 24 ask and we will get that answered for you. 25 MR. FREIER: Next Resolution 454, looks

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

36 like another \$47,000, almost 48,000 for the 1 2 Sinnreich Law Firm and I'm wondering is if you know 3 how much has the Town spent to date since the time that that firm was hired, previous years including 4 5 this year, and also is that a budgeted line item? SUPERVISOR SALADINO: To date. 6 7 MR. FREIER: Pardon? 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Your question was 9 how much have to spent to date with that firm? 10 MR. FREIER: Yes, in total, since the 11 Town hired them. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We will get that 13 for you. 14 MR. FREIER: And is that -- was that 15 budgeted for in this year's budget? 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'll get that 17 answer. 18 MR. FREIER: Second, also 455, another 19 law firm and that's another \$50,000 pertaining -- I 20 guess that's to the housing discrimination lawsuit 21 brought by the federal government. 22 Was -- that's the same firm that was 23 originally hired, right? I just -- I didn't 24 recognize the name, but is that also in the budget 25 because that lawsuit existed previously to this

37 1 year? 2 I just want to go through and then you 3 can answer them so that way you don't knock me out 4 of time. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Certainly. MR. FREIER: Okay. 6 7 The Resolution 471 pertaining to the 8 settlement of claims for publishing -- for a 9 publishing company. I guess it looks like you 10 settled -- you had a bill of \$29,000 and you 11 settled on paying \$15,000. 12 Could you explain that? 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. 14 That's good government. That is 15 watching out for the taxpayers at every turn. 16 MR. FREIER: Can I -- I can finish and 17 then you can answer my question. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Oh, sure. 19 ahead. 2.0 MR. FREIER: Thank you very much. 21 Resolution 472, looks like that was a 22 negligence claim, and if I read it correctly, it 23 looks like we did use outside counsel for that and 24 I'm just curious why that our internal, you know, 25 that our internal legal department didn't handle

that.

474, you're hiring Debron Engineering to oversee on-call engineering services to build pickleball courts, and I remember the gentleman who was here last year to talk about pickleball and how popular it's becoming, and that's -- and it sounds like a fun thing to do. I'm just curious also, is that -- are those pickleball courts, beside the engineering services, but are those pickleball courts in this year's budget?

And going down to Resolution 497, I think you said at the last meeting that this was going to be the last kind of tranche of refunds to SolarCity and I recall --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just as a point of correction. The refunds are for the residents, not SolarCity; specifically, for our residents who we have the highest respect for.

MR. FREIER: It says Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund to SolarCity Corporation.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's find that out.

MR. FREIER: In fact --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is Mr. Zike here?

1.3

2.0

We've gone over this in the past about speaking to this issue, and we realize that there are a number of these situations are coming up as they relate to SolarCity. You have pointed out to us, and the Commissioner's pointed out to us, that we have corrected, under my watch, with the Town Board's direction, we have corrected this situation so we have a different procedure in place so that companies can't come in and force these expenditures on our residents.

We've protected our residents, but the question was specifically, who pays that check? Is it a reimbursement? Tell us how that works.

COMMISSIONER ZIKE: Sure.

As you alluded to at the last meeting, you did sayat the last meeting that the last slew of Solar City refunds was actually going to be completed by today's calendar date. Those refunds do go directly back to SolarCity.

What they had done is they had filed on behalf of the residents to take out these solar permits and whether the residents said that they didn't want to go to through with the solar project or they simply went with another solar company, that's what brought on the whole process of voiding

40 1 out these permit applications. They're refunding 2 the money. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there cases 3 where the residents paid that money in cases where 4 5 Solar City paid the money? COMMISSIONER ZIKE: Absolutely. 6 7 would say most of the time, the money came from 8 SolarCity, but it could have been based on that the 9 homeowner paid SolarCity. 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Right. 11 COMMISSIONER ZEICH: To come out and 12 get their building permit. And it should also be 13 noted, too, that the entire building permit fee is 14 not being refunded. We do keep the application fee 15 sort of for the administrative fee, so we don't 16 lose money in that respect. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 18 much, Mr. Zike. 19 MR. FREIER: Before you go away, in 20 terms of -- so money is going to SolarCity. 21 Is the Town doing any --22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Not entirely. As 23 you just heard. 24 MR. FREIER: Every single Resolution 25 here says to SolarCity.

41 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Sir, are you 2 trying to suggest that people should not be reimbursed? 3 4 MR. FREIER: No. Not at all. If you'd 5 let me finish talking and not interrupt me, you can hear what I'm saying. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Because you're 8 making certain assertions that these residents shouldn't be reimbursed by either SolarCity or the 9 10 Town. 11 MR. FREIER: No, that's purely --12 you're saying that. I'm not saying that. 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We are in 14 agreement that that wouldn't be right. MR. FREIER: Yes. We are in agreement, 15 16 but that's not what I'm saying. That's what you're 17 saying. 18 Every single Resolution says to 19 SolarCity. My question is in looking out for the 2.0 21 taxpayers of the Town are before SolarCity is being 22 refunded, is the Town verifying that the resident 23 has been refunded? 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Can we speak 25 about our process in relation to that question?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

42

COMMISSIONER ZEICH: Certainly.

We don't specifically get involved with private business transactions. What happens when someone requests a refund, they'll write a letter to the Department saying that they'd like to void out an application. We have a building inspector, while out doing inspections, will verify the work wasn't completed, that information will come back to the Department and we, myself, Commission Maccarone, will do a referral to the Town Board for a refund back to whoever physically paid the permit fee, whether it be the corporation, SolarCity, whether it be the homeowner, but, again, we as a Town don't get involved with private contractual agreements such as myself, if I paid SolarCity and SolarCity, in turn, paid the Town for it, I have no method of tracking this --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: This process -COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: In other words,
Mr. Zike, we refund the money to the person who
gave it to us?

COMMISSIONER ZEICH: Absolutely.

So if the check said SolarCity, procedurally, we keep consistent with who paid the fee. So if SolarCity paid it, the money will go

4.3 1 back to SolarCity, and as the Supervisor alluded to 2 before, there are instances where the homeowner 3 will lay out the money to the contractor and the contractor will come up and pay the permit fee. 4 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Mr. Zike. 6 7 MR. FREIER: And with regard to that and Resolution 497, you mention that, you know, 8 9 you're verifying that the work has not been done. 10 In 497, in the backup, there is a 11 Certification of Completion where the work was done 12 apparently by a company called SunRun and I was 1.3 just wondering if inspectors had gone out to verify 14 that the work wasn't done, but yet there is a Certificate of Completion in there and it's just --15 16 just a point of information. I'm not sure the 17 backstory. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 19 MR. FREIER: I'd appreciate if you can 20 explain that. 21 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We appreciate the 22 information and we will be looking into it. 23 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I asked that 24 question also and I was told that what could have

happened was that there was dual permits issued.

44 1 MR. FREIER: Okay. 2 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: The refund was 3 on the other one, and this was completed. Tim? 4 5 COMMISSIONER ZIKE: Yeah, Councilman, what had happened was SolarCity submitted a 6 7 building permit for this one particular address 8 over in Bethpage, and what had happened was -- and 9 I had said before, resident, this particular 10 resident, decided to go with another solar company, 11 in this case being SunRun, so when the Certificate 12 of Completion was issued, it was issued for the 13 SunRun application that was -- issued the building 14 permit. The one for SolarCity was never done. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 16 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Could have figured 17 that out by reading it. 18 MR. FREIER: Thanks, Lou. 19 As far -- and then, I'll just ask a 20 number of questions. 21 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, where are 22 we on time? 23 MR. FREIER: Well, you let just about 24 everyone else speak beyond their allotted time. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Sir, we have a

45 1 limit on time, so why don't you ask one last 2 question as a convenience to you? 3 MR. FREIER: Oh, thanks. 4 I still have so many more, but I'll 5 kind of bundle together most of the questions from 506, excluding the tabled ones, to 512. 6 7 Can you let us know if those are indeed 8 in this year's budget and also explain the 9 requirements, contracts, that Cashin seems to be 10 getting all this -- from old requirements 11 contracts, they're getting renewed without perhaps 12 seeing if there are entities that out there that 13 can perform the same business for hopefully less? 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 15 Thank you. 16 Are there any other speakers? 17 MR. FREIER: Can you answer those 18 questions? SUPERVISOR SALADINO: 19 Yes. 2.0 Any other speakers? 21 Okay. So, one of the questions was in 22 regard to issues as they relate to personnel. 23 We have been continuing to work 24 diligently to ensure that our employees are working 25 in the proper titles that reflect the work

2.0

responsibilities that they have. Over the course of, what I assume is years, we have reduced the Town's workforce and, therefore, employees are doing a heavier load of more responsibilities.

Through that process, the -- we have been working with the Union to ensure that titles properly reflect the responsibilities of the employees. So, we continue to put the employees into titles that directly reflect what they're doing.

In the case of the first one in the Executive Office, we have one from the Executive Office, my office, and a secretary to the Town Board, that title is being changed to Secretary to the Deputy Supervisor. That employee will get a reduction in their salary because the other title pays less.

So, this is one of a number that have a reduction in salary. The ones that do have a small increase, it's very di minimus, in the hundreds of dollars, and nothing that I see immediately that is any more than that.

So, this is a process to ensure that our employees are working in title, so as to not bring grievances with the Union and to ensure that

47 we -- while we're not adding employees and 1 2 increasing the cost to our taxpayers, we do have a legal responsibility to have our employees working 3 in title. 4 5 And, obviously, the other issues you brought up, we will look into in terms of the 6 7 budget. Are there are any other speakers that 8 would like to speak in the comment period? Okay. 9 10 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Hang on, 11 Supervisor. 12 Before we go to vote, on 520, 13 Mr. Slover brought up some good suggestions. 14 I kindly request that we table it to 15 September 12th to review this to make sure that the 16 deal we are getting is the right deal. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. I would 18 like to point out that we went through a legal bid 19 process. COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I'm not asking 2.0 21 that, sir. 22 I'm asking if we can table it for a 23 month. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Can we have an 25 opinion from the Town Attorney, please?

2.0

MR. NOCELLA: Supervisor, Councilman Macagnone, of course, it could be tabled, but the effect would be to delay the movement that we've determined we're going to head for towards singlestream recycling with a tremendous impact, budgetary wise.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I'm sure we are still going to do it no matter who the vendee is.

MR. NOCELLA: Well, moving it to
September means that we would have to then change
the entire schedule and pushing it back in effect
two or three months. The two or three months would
add up to a substantial amount of money. I say
that because we have to give a Notice of
Cancellation to the current vendors.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: We didn't say we're cancelling it. I just want to review it a little further.

MR. NOCELLA: No, I understand that.

But for example, notwithstanding the fact that this bidder's contract is on for your consideration today, we did not cancel any of the other prior vendors contracts because until you approved it, we didn't want to be caught short without having any coverage.

2.0

They actually inquired, is our contract canceled because you've now chosen a different bidder?

The answer was, no, not until the Board acts, but the letter is canceling the 60 days notice that we are canceling, which we have the right to do, would be issued today under those circumstances and then we have been gearing up under the assumption that 60 days from now, we would begin moving toward the single stream and not -- by delaying the vote on this contract, we'd be delaying the entire process.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: One month.

MR. NOCELLA: Well, yes, but every phase of it would be delayed one month.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: One month.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would that be a

cost to the taxpayers?

MR. NOCELLA: Yes, it would.

I can't calculate it, but -- I can't give you the actual numbers, I can't crunch them, but it delays every single phase of what we're doing.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So delaying this would have an adverse effect and actually cost our

taxpayers more money?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NOCELLA: Yes.

We would lose the revenue stream that we would gain. By entering into contract with the new vendor, we would continue to make outlays based upon the old contracts. All of the efforts that we've made so far that are geared toward moving toward single stream would also be delayed so there would be a time cost involved in that delay.

As the Councilman noted, it's a month, but it is still a substantial amount that would be incurred, even during the month.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: If tabled, would it be possible for the Board to vote on it before the next Board meeting? Is there a procedure for that? Does it have to be an entire month?

alternative would be a Special Board Meeting.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, thank you.

MR. NOCELLA: I believe the only

Thank you very much.

I'm going to ask the --

COUNCILMAN HAND: Supervisor, could we hear from Commissioner Bergin on this, please?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. And I was actually going to bring -- Commissioner Bergin, why

51 1 don't you come up? We'll speak with you and we'll 2 speak with our Commissioner of DPW and, obviously, 3 Sanitation, and let's try to get some of the Councilman's questions answered and maybe all of 4 5 his questions answered. COMMISSIONER BERGIN: 6 Sure,. 7 Supervisor, members of the Board. 8 First off, I would like to thank Councilwoman Johnson for listening to me complain 9 10 about single stream for years and --11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Or the lack 12 thereof, you mean? 13 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: The lack thereof. 14 Mr. Core's concerns that were presented 15 here today, I was here with the bid opening, 16 Mr. Core was here and Mr. Core put in a bid under 17 another company's name. He offered \$8 a ton 18 collected at the transfer station for single stream 19 or \$10 a ton if we do -- would drop it off at his 2.0 facility. Citywide Carting of Stanford Connecticut 21 wrote clearly on their bid, and the Town Attorney 22 was here as well as Rich, that we would pay them 23 \$10 a ton. 24 I vetted this thing for over five 25 years. We are getting the best deal on Long Island

1.3

2.0

at \$25.08 a ton. The facility that Mr. Winters has where this will be brought to, is state of the art. It has optics. It is going to recycle everything under the sun. No one else has this. No one else is willing to give us \$25 a ton for this.

This is a win/win for the Town. It's going to be roughly half a million dollars the first year and once we educate the public about recycling single stream, we will increase that by 35 percent. That meaning, we will lower our municipal solid waste bill by 35 percent. This is huge for the taxpayers of the Town of Oyster Bay.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Mr. Bergin, in my life, I've always found that if something seems too good, there's something wrong with it. I'd like to review this a little bit more. I'm not saying -- I know you guys -- I've known you a long time.

COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Yes, you have.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: But I, you know, and if it's, you know, taken hours gone, sometimes you're better off letting it go. It's not going to be here in a month or the Supervisor so chooses to call a Special Board Meeting in two weeks after this is reviewed, then I have no problem with that.

53 1 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: If you choose to 2 go in that direction, my suggestion would be to 3 reach out to Ed Romaine, the Brookhaven Supervisor, 4 who during the first week that Brookhaven went 5 single stream, he was standing on the piles of 6 single stream that he was getting paid for 7 basically with a sign that said, "Money." And 8 Mr. Core is a principal in that business in 9 Brookhaven. 10 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Commissioner, can 11 I ask you a question. 12 You said the lowest bid in this 13 situation was that we were going to pay them \$10? 14 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: That's correct. 15 That was Citywide's account. 16 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Core's bid was 17 that he would pay us \$10. What's the difference? 18 19 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: He would pay us 20 \$8 if he picked it up at the transfer station and 10 if we delivered it to him? 21 22 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: What's the 23 difference between those two bids? The difference 24 is \$18 or \$20 per ton, right? 25 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Yeah, exactly.

	54
1	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And what's the
2	difference between Mr. Core's bid and Winters
3	Brothers bid?
4	COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Mr. Core was \$8
5	and Winters Brothers was \$25.
6	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Which is how many
7	dollars?
8	COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Lots. Lots.
9	Lots.
10	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: 17.
11	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: It's \$17 higher
12	than Mr. Core's bid.
13	COMMISSIONER BERGIN: What I'm saying
14	is when you can
15	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Commissioner, this
16	is the question: Winters Brother's bid is \$17.00
17	higher than Mr. Core's bid?
18	COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Correct.
19	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Core's bid was
20	\$18 higher than the next lowest bidder?
21	COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Correct.
22	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So it's not such a
23	dramatic difference that it would raise suspicion.
24	He was in the middle. There was somebody that was
25	17 higher and he was 18 higher than somebody else.

55 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Correct. 1 2 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I don't know. 3 To me, it doesn't raise eyebrows but... 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We are here to 5 get the Councilman's questions answered. COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Well, wait, a 6 7 bid that's three times higher than the other one 8 certainly does raise eyebrows. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: But you don't 10 have what -- what I believe he's saying is you 11 don't have cluster of bids in the same category and 12 one unusually high. 1.3 The three bids, and I'm assuming we 14 went out to as many companies as possible; is that 15 correct? 16 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 18 COMMISSIONER LENZ: It was an open bid 19 and only three bid. 2.0 I just want to add to what Commissioner 21 Neil had just said. 22 At Brookhaven, there is a contractor 23 there right now, what's called Green Stream, and 24 that's Omni and Winters working together as a team 25 and they pay Brookhaven \$20 a ton.

1.3

Winters is looking to do it with just the Town of Oyster Bay at 25 and it's 25.08, so it's, you know, that, we're comparing apples against apples. That's what I'm trying to say to you, Brookhaven.

It's just that ours was the best contract mainly because the facility, the way the education of our residents have been taken care of their recyclables over all of these years, that Winters Brothers was able to provide this increased cost and that's why they're looking to do this for the Town of Oyster Bay.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Commissioner, when exactly were the bids opened?

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: 31st.

COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes, 31st, yes.

Thank you. I'm sorry. I don't have my backup with me.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Anyone receive correspondence from Mr. Core before this meeting that we could have perhaps looked into this in the past couple of weeks?

 $\label{eq:supervisor} {\tt SUPERVISOR\ SALADINO:} \quad {\tt Mr.\ Core\ was\ at}$ the bid opening?

COMMISSIONER LENZ: At the bid opening,

57 1 yes. He bid. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Was he allowed to look at all of the other bids? 3 4 COMMISSIONER LENZ: He foiled the 5 I have the foil. I responded back to the 6 Clerk's Office with the foil, with additional 7 information. 8 Now, I just want everybody to 9 understand, there is not a lot to look at. The way 10 this bid was done was pretty simple. It's, what 11 are you willing to pay the Town of Oyster Bay for 12 this year and for the next four years, so it is a 1.3 five-year contact and he had put the same number in 14 for the next five years. There is nothing else to 15 read in there. You know, other than insurances and 16 bonds and things like that, there is no 17 interpretation here. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Did all three of 19 the companies provide insurance and bond? 2.0 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Yes. 21 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Was there any 23 difference in the way they would pick it up or 24 where they would deliver it to that made one stand 25 out in any way in a substantive manner?

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

58 1 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: That's a good 2 question. 3 COMMISSIONER LENZ: I'm sorry? SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is there any 4 5 difference among the three in the way they would pick up the recyclables or where they would deliver 6 7 them to? Did we get that kind of information? 8 COMMISSIONER LENZ: As Commissioner 9 Neil had said, they had -- Winters Brothers is 10 going to pick it up at our site, at old Bethpage 11 landfill at the transfer station. Omni had said 12 that if we delivered it to their site, we would get 13 paid \$10 if they picked it up at our site, we 14 would get paid \$8 and then the other company would 15 pick it up --16 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: We were paying 17 them \$10. 18

\$10. That's the other thing, too. With the two contracts that we have right now, we have a newspaper contract and we have a mixed commingled contract. The newspaper contract, we get paid for, and the commingled contract, we have to pay and that's Omni. We have to pay Omni about \$90,000 a year to get rid of our bottles and cans.

The newspaper contract, we get paid about 200,000 -- no, it's a little bit less than that. It's about -- yeah, it's a lot less now that I'm thinking about it, it was like a 100,000 we get paid, so the net is on the zero side. So this is the only way that we could, after doing a lot of investigation on the Commissioner's part and also bringing me into this, we did a lot of investigation, we found that this was the best way to go for the Town and for the residents, that now we'll be getting paid for all our bottles and cans and our newspaper.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: And waiting a month or two weeks, whatever we do, would be that big of an inconvenience?

COMMISSIONER LENZ: Only thing is -that was brought up is you have to alert both
contractors that we are going to stop their
contracts so that moves everything 60 days. It's
60 days.

COMMISSIONER LENZ: No. No. What I'm saying is.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Move them back a

60 1 month, Rich. 2 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Right. 3 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Because the month would be --4 5 COMMISSIONER LENZ: I understand. COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: On the 12th, we 6 7 sent the letter out, it would be 60 days from then. 8 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes. Yes, because that's the termination. It's in both contracts, in 9 10 all three contracts, even in the new one we wrote. 11 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: And during that 12 time, we could have that month to start educating 13 our residents that we're going to be doing single 14 stream so we really wouldn't be losing that much. 15 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Did you say why 16 there would be such a difference between one and 17 the other? Does Winters Brothers have a facility 18 that's superior? 19 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: They have a state 20 of the art facility with optics that can really 21 pick out the different recyclable material. 22 When you when you go to one of these 23 facilities, the -- it's done on a conveyor belt. 24 So if somebody puts a plastic bag, the plastic bag 25 gets thrown in the air and there's a vacuum and it

61 1 sends all the plastic bags down into a separate 2 bin. 3 Everything along the way is separated out into its original form. Plastic bottles, big 4 5 milk jugs or Poland Spring bottles, aluminum cans. Aluminum is through the roof right now because of 6 7 Ford pickup trucks made out of aluminum. 8 cardboard currently is being shipped to China. 9 Once it is processed, the ton -- per 10 ton price is a \$165 at the container ship so we 11 are -- we hit this at the right time because the 12 cost of everything is -- that we are going to get 13 paid for it is there. 14 In the advent of Amazon, the amount of 15 boxes that come every day to the residents homes, 16 now they just throw that in the garbage and we're 17 going to be making serious money. 18 MR. MUSCARELLA: Curiosity. 19 Where do they deliver it to? 2.0 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Shelton, Connecticut. 21 22 MR. MUSCARELLA: Have you been to the 23 facility? 24 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: I have not been

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

to Shelton as of yet. I have a son about to go to

62 1 college, so I can't really disappear anywhere. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Have you looked 3 into on the internet or in some other research process? 4 5 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Absolutely. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What can you tell 6 7 us about that facility? COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Well, with the 8 9 optics, like I said, you're going to be able to 10 capture so much more material and separate it out 11 into different commodities, and then Winters 12 Brothers has somebody that is a commodities broker 13 and they will sell the commodity to the different 14 purchaser. 15 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Corporation, 16 Winters Brothers is obviously bigger than Omni? 17 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Yeah, a lot 18 bigger. 19 MR. MUSCARELLA: Okay. 20 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Commissioner, on 21 Long Island, is \$25 a ton unusually high? You 22 mentioned Brookhaven is %20 a ton? 23 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Brookhaven is \$20 24 a ton, Rich? 25 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes, it is.

6.3 1 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Is there any other 2 municipalities within that range? 3 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: That's the norm, is \$8 to \$20. When we -- we were kind of excited 4 5 to see that we were -- they were giving an extra \$5 a ton, Winters. 6 7 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Is a five-year 8 term normal for this? 9 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes. You can go 10 five. 11 I'll be honest with you, Councilman, 12 most of the time you go twenty years. 13 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Okay. 14 Thank you. 15 COMMISSIONER LENZ: We were going with 16 five to investigate, make sure that this is really 17 the best way of going and it's really only a 18 one-year contact with four year extensions on it. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: With one-year 20 extensions for four years? COMMISSIONER LENZ: For four years, 21 22 So what I'm saying is, if we do find that yes. 23 there are problems, but I don't believe so, but 24 that's why we did it that way. 25 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: That was by our

64 choice? 1 2 COMMISSIONER LENZ: To ensure, yes. 3 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: That was our choice to do it that way? 4 5 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes. Yes. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, through 6 7 your research of this entire process, what kind of 8 problems do municipalities face and what assurances 9 have we received from this company that leads us to 10 believe that they are not only the best 11 financially, but they are a structurally company 12 sound in terms of being to deal with -- being able 1.3 to correct any problems that other municipalities 14 have had? 15 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Right now, they are 16 picking up our MSW. They have been doing it for quite a few years and we have never had a problem 17 18 with the MSW. Also, their base is on Long Island. 19 That's where they're structured. They had been in 2.0 Connecticut for a while and now they're getting 21 back into Connecticut and Long Island, so they have 22 a long history of doing -- working in this 23 industry. 24 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: I believe 25 Mr. Winters, if you look in the packet that I sent

65 1 home on the Friday nights, there should be a map in 2 the back and it pinpoints where its locations are. 3 I believe he has -- it's called a MRF basically, a Materials Recovery Facility. 4 5 I believe he has one in Danbury and Shelton, but he pretty much runs the State of 6 7 Connecticut and -- as far as Sanitation is 8 concerned and he's got a good chunk of Long Island. 9 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Commissioner, 10 can you put a dollar amount on what any delay would 11 actually cost us, potentially? 12 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: \$25,000 a month. 1.3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It would cost us 14 \$25,000 per month to delay this? 15 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Yes. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, I, again, 17 refer back to the Councilman to find out what other 18 questions he might have that would be helpful in 19 making his decision. We went through an open and 2.0 legal bid process. This company won the bid fairly 21 and legally. 22 We have a certain responsibility to 23 live up to the agreement that we make with a 24 company, but what other questions do you have, 25 Councilman, that he could answer you?

66 1 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Just the fact 2 that he's three times higher than the other guy 3 just raises questions. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Three times 5 higher than the lowest, but not three times higher than the next. 6 7 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Actually, 8 he's -- no, he's two times higher than the next. I 9 just -- I don't know. Just something about it 10 doesn't seem right. 11 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Your hair 12 standing up on the back of your neck? 13 COMMISSIONER LENZ: I understand but as 14 I said. 15 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: We are big 16 people here, everybody has their vote so... 17 COMMISSIONER LENZ: They're already 18 doing this work in Brookhaven. 19 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Do you have 20 concerns? 21 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I do have 22 concerns. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's try to 24 answer as many of those as possible so that the 25 residents, the public, so that everybody feels very

67 1 calm. 2 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I don't think we 3 can answer all at this time. I'd like to see both 4 bids. I'd like to review them. I'd like to dive 5 into them. I don't think this -- that's why I asked for a month to table it. 6 7 MR. FREIER: Can the public ask a 8 question about this? 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The process is 10 that the Town Board receives backup on this, 11 receives information, has an opportunity to ask 12 questions prior to Board meeting in the time 13 leading up to this. 14 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: And Mr. Slover 15 brought up some good points that I'd like to 16 investigate. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 18 individual was the person who was the losing 19 bidder. 2.0 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Understood, and 21 when he first got up, I thought that, but he 22 brought up some good points. But, guys, everyone's 23 got their own vote. I just had questions and I 24 still have questions and I'd like to table it. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is there an

68 1 issue -- I'd like to have a sense of why. I have 2 no problem. We have no problem with being 3 transparent, letting the public know why. 4 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Supervisor, no 5 one is questioning that. I, personally, I'm not comfortable 6 7 voting on it at this time after hearing Mr. Slover. 8 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Could I just add, 9 Supervisor --10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. We want to 11 get your questions answered. 12 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Right. This contract is --13 14 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: If you really 15 want to get them answered, we will table it for a 16 month or call for a special meeting next week even 17 so we can look this over and I can look at 18 everything and make my decision. 19 COMMISSIONER LENZ: I understand. 20 This contract is not -- like sometimes 21 in the past, you would do what they call an RFP and 22 you're allowed to do that where you can write 23 different things and anything. This is just a pure 24 bid contract, straight bid contract. 25 How much are you paying? Give me your

number? This is what material we have there. This is how much material we have in commingled and newspaper and mixed paper. That's it.

So I just want you -- everybody to understand here, and even the public, this is -- you're not going to be able to see much of anything other than numbers when you're opening up the books. There's not a lot of things to look at.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Councilman, does the fact that it's only for a one-year trial term and then at our option, we could choose either to cancel it or renew it, does that alleviate some of your concerns?

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Yes, it does, but also the fact that we can't delay it a month creates more concerns.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, there's a cost to the taxpayers. We have been working very hard to put this together. It was legal, it was -- and there's also responsibility to the bidders.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Supervisor, then please, just go to a vote then and every one will vote for what they feel.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All right.
Well, I do want to point out that I'm

70 1 directing the departments to please continue to 2 provide, not only Councilman Macagnone, but any 3 other Member of the Board, with whatever information they ask for so they can continue to be 4 5 briefed so that they have every question answered. One last question from our Town 6 7 Attorney. 8 Sir, would you step up, Mr. Nocella? 9 Just to clarify, was this bid process 10 done entirely legally, transparently, did we ensure 11 that the information was on the website? 12 MR. NOCELLA: All of those procedures 13 were followed to the letter. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Did we reach out 15 to as many entities as possible to ensure that 16 everyone had a chance to bid? 17 MR. NOCELLA: In fact, we did. One other piece of information, and I 18 19 apologize for not bringing this to the Board's 2.0 attention, but it is germane to your consideration, 21 very germane to your consideration. 22 One of the current vendors actually 23 went to court twice to try to seek a stay of the 24 bids being opened and the contract being awarded

and both times, the judiciary denied the stay.

2.0

Their argument was that there was a rush in the bidding process, a rush to convert to single stream, and that there were irregularities in the bidding process and the judiciary dismissed those arguments.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And those judges looked at -- carefully at all of the facts and all of the documentation?

MR. NOCELLA: Yes.

As the Commissioners can attest, I had to come down to this very meeting room at the time and stop the bids from being opened while Judge Diamond considered the matter.

Then we sent the bidders out for lunch because we expected a Resolution by the afternoon and finally, Judge Diamond issued his decision denying the stay and he wrote a very short opinion saying that the bidding process was correct and all the procedures were followed. There's no basis for staying the opening of the bids. At the very end of the afternoon, I believe, Mr. Core was here for that as were the other bidders and they were fully aware.

None of those bidders, by the way, sought to stop the opening of the stay, it was a

1.3

2.0

different company with whom we are we currently contracting, but whose contract we are going to terminate.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Town Attorney, given that Winters Brothers was the highest bidder, what grounds would it to take to award this to someone who was not the highest bidder?

MR. NOCELLA: There would have to be a determination that Winters Brothers was in some way not responsible and then and only then could we entertain Omni, Mr. Core's company's bid, as the second highest bidder.

I'll note for the record, Mr. Core's a lawyer and during the proceedings that day, I discussed the applications for the stay with him. He's also an expert in the field of recycling and he is also a partner of Winters Brothers in another venture.

He has great respect for Winters

Brothers, but I think Councilman Macagnone summed

up accurately in your own view, sir, what

Mr. Core's view was, which is very simply, those

numbers, if they're real, are great, obviously,

it's a good deal for the Town of Oyster Bay, but he

just doesn't understand how they could have gotten

2.0

to the numbers that they did and that was the sum and substance of his position.

If you heard the gentlemen read

Mr. Core's statement into the record, condensing it
to its substance, is it what Councilman Macagnone
had said. He doesn't understand how they were able
to achieve such excellent numbers.

That having been said, Mr. Core's also a gentlemen and never cast any aspersions against Winters Brothers or suggested in any way that they were any responsible bidder.

In fact, they were very successful ongoing concern in this field as is his company, or I should say, companies.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Town Attorney, what are the types of factors that would lead one to conclude that a company is not a responsible bidder?

MR. NOCELLA: If, in the past, they had breached their contracts. If they had, in the past, been sued and successfully sued; say, for example, by a municipality or by a private concern and judgments had been entered against them. If they had, for example, been fined or cited by the State for violation of labor laws or other

23

24

25

74 1 regulatory bodies and so on and so forth. 2 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Would you consider 3 it a high bar to reach? In other words, let's say, this were --4 5 this was set aside and someone wanted to go with the lower bidder, do you think that that would be 6 7 successful or do you think that Winters Brothers 8 would probably be successful in arquing they were 9 the highest responsible bidder? 10 MR. NOCELLA: I'd be speculating, 11 Councilman, I really can't answer your question 12 other than to say, another factor is how well 13 capitalized is the firm, how long have they been in 14 business, how successful have they been at what 15 they're doing, and in these cases, I think all 16 three qualify as highly successful enterprises. 17 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Is it common 18 though. 19 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: No disrespect to 2.0 the Councilman, no one is questioning Winters 21

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: No disrespect to the Councilman, no one is questioning Winters

Brothers integrity, their history. I'm questioning this bid, if it was apples to apples and everything else and that's what I'm not sure. When the numbers come in so different. I know from my business, when I see a bid that's way out of whack,

75 1 there's a problem. If it's too low, there's a 2 problem. Something is wrong. We missed something. 3 Missed a floor, missed columns. Hate to say subcontractors pay people, wrong but they do. 4 5 There's something in here that's just bothering me, but as I said earlier, go to a vote, everyone has 6 7 their vote. It is fine. I personally, just 8 something here that I'm not ready to vote on. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just so we can 9 10 be -- bring clarity to every aspect of this because 11 we're not rushing this for any other reason than 12 to --13 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: No one said we 14 are. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 16 Did the judge look at issues that 17 Councilman Macagnone has just spoken to? 18 MR. NOCELLA: I believe the judge, in 19 both instances -- I was not at the Appellate 2.0 Division after they appealed Judge Diamond's denial 21 of the stay, but I believe the proceedings didn't 22 include the precise analysis that Councilman 23 Macagnone is talking about, sir, no. 24 What the judges were looking at was, 25 did the Town of Oyster Bay employ the correct

2.0

procedures, were the procedures followed, did the bidders comply with the procedures and the answers to those questions were a resounding yes, and, hence; there was no basis for any stay to ever issue.

That went, as I say, that went to

Nassau Supreme before Justice Arthur Diamond, but

also up to the Appellate Division affirmed his

decision.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It went all the way to the Appellate Division.

And in your legal opinion, were both judges thorough?

MR. NOCELLA: Absolutely. Absolutely.

In fact, Justice Diamond -- I came down, I believe both Commissioners might recall this, I came down and apologized several times to our bidders because the judge wanted to be very careful and thorough, which he was, and gave us a decision pretty much at the last possible moment for us to open the bids that day.

Again, to Mr. Core's credit, he was a gentleman and pointed out to me that I had no reason to apologize because it was in the hands of the judiciary, as did the other bidders for that

77 1 matter. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Councilman, one 3 last question. 4 Have you taken an opportunity to 5 research any of the backup, any of the data that is available to us? 6 7 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Only the stuff 8 that was provided to me on Friday. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Only that. 10 And from that data, you're not 11 confident? 12 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I was confident 13 until Mr. Slover brought up some good points. 14 when I find out that the numbers are so different, 15 that, to me, just -- it's a red flag. But you 16 guys, like I said, we all have our own votes, 17 please. Nothing says we have to be unanimous. 18 Difference of opinion is good sometimes. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Absolutely. 2.0 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: But we want to 21 respect your concerns about where you --22 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I appreciate 23 that. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We are very 25 respectful of you.

78 1 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I appreciate 2 that, and you guys make compelling arguments. 3 still don't feel comfortable voting on it right 4 now. 5 MR. NOCELLA: May I add one thing, Councilman? 6 7 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Please. 8 MR. NOCELLA: Again, in the conversations that I did have with Mr. Core and 9 10 mindful of the fact that he's a fellow lawyer, as 11 well as I recognize an expert in this field, his 12 statement, much like yours after you heard the 1.3 statement that was read into the record, was he 14 can't understand, knowing the business, how the 15 numbers can be as good as they are, but if they are 16 legitimate numbers, then certainly it's undeniably 17 the best deal for the Town of Oyster Bay. 18 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I'm sure he'd 19 like to sub out to them at that rate. 2.0 MR. NOCELLA: Maybe so. That in and of 21 itself, there was nothing specific. 22 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I'm not saying 23 anything specific. I just want to make sure that 24 we are comparing the same thing, that we're 25 getting -- it is a great deal. I just want to make

sure it's real.

2.0

the same type of recycling facility as Winters

Brothers, like, state of the art with the optics?

COMMISSIONER BERGIN: I do not believe

so. I believe that Winters in Shelton is probably

one of the only ones around. The Brookhaven

facility does not have the optics because their

original MRF was -- it was antique and when

Mr. Core and Mr. Winters got together, they were

able to add on to the existing MRF for the Green

Stream. They don't get as fine a residual out of

their facility out there.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's do this.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Does Omni have

Councilman, is one week sufficient for you to get your questions answered?

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Yes, I believe so.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's do this.

The Town of Oyster Bay, under our new administration, has prided ourself on our transparency and the fact that we always do things the right way.

While I believe we are doing things the right way and I'm very confident of that, I'm also

2.0

respectful of the Councilman's concerns -
COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Thank you.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- and what I would like to do is ask the Board to table this one item for one week and then ask the staff to make themselves available to Councilman Macagnone to answer every question he might have and then we will call a Special Meeting in one week, which everyone will be asked to attend, and to get this done.

The public deserves that we get this done quickly, but the public deserves we get it done accurately, and my opinion and the opinion of our Town Attorney and everyone is that we are doing this properly, but I'm going to ask that we --

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Supervisor, once again, the procedure is perfect. I have no questions about the procedure.

All right?

MR. MUSCARELLA: You just want time -SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's not

directed toward --

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: The procedure is done perfect. You guys did a wonderful job. Just

81 1 when numbers come back that skewed, I have 2 questions. COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Your hair is 3 4 standing up on the back of your neck. That's all. 5 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Let's set up a 6 meeting and discuss it further. 7 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Can we do Friday 8 morning? 9 MR. ALTADONNA: Let's make sure we have 10 enough time to do it in a week. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. That's a 12 good point. 13 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Do you want to do 15 it at DPW or do you want to do it --COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: DPW is 16 17 wonderful. 18 COMMISSIONER BERGIN: You name the 19 time, we're there. 2.0 COMMISSIONER LENZ: DPW. We're either 21 going to set up a meeting on Friday with Tony and 22 anybody else. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We're just asking 24 for the opinion of Counsel. 25 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Maybe someone

82 1 can see the facility also, of Winters Brothers. 2 COMMISSIONER LENZ: Can set that up 3 also. COMMISSIONER BERGIN: Whenever we want 4 5 to go. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All right. 6 7 We are just asking for the opinion of 8 Counsel who worked on this to ensure that our 9 contract and any other factors allow for this week 10 delay and what are the ramifications? 11 We, obviously, want to -- we are very 12 proud to go to single stream, we are very proud of 13 the process that we reached out to any and every 14 entity and we're very proud that we adhered to the 15 law and we adhered to the ethics of this. But I 16 don't have a problem with giving the Councilman one 17 week to get all his questions answered and then to 18 reconvene in a Special Board Meeting. 19 Yes, sir, Mr. Nocella. 2.0 MR. NOCELLA: Yes. It was brought to 21 my attention that rather than call a Special 22 Meeting, you need only adjourn this meeting to be 23 resumed at a date when you're ready to call 24 everybody back together for these purposes, for

voting on the Resolution.

	83
1	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: On this one item?
2	MR. NOCELLA: Yes.
3	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All right.
4	I'm going to have to ask for a motion
5	then.
6	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Later we'll do
7	that. We have to go through the voting. I'll do
8	that at the end.
9	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.
10	Fair enough.
11	MR. ALTADONNA: I think we should
12	because right now we have on the table 507, 508,
13	509 and 526, and I called the whole calendar so I
14	think we should have the motion to adjourn
15	Resolution 520 to date certain and then I can call
16	the whole calendar.
17	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Okay. Let's
18	look at the dates.
19	A week from today?
20	MR. ALTADONNA: Whatever the Board
21	decides.
22	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I'll make myself
23	available when anybody needs me.
24	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: A week from today
25	is the 22nd.

	84
1	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So we will go
2	to the 22nd.
3	MR. ALTADONNA: Okay.
4	May I have a motion to adjourn
5	Resolution 520 to August 22nd?
6	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: 10:00 a.m.
7	MR. ALTADONNA: At 10:00 a.m.
8	MR. MUSCARELLA: So moved.
9	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.
10	And I want to thank the Board also for
11	indulging me in this, and the Supervisor.
12	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're welcome.
13	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion was made by
14	Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman
15	Macagnone.
16	On the vote.
17	Supervisor Saladino?
18	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."
19	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
20	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
21	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
22	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
23	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia is
24	absent.
25	Councilwoman Johnson?

	85
1	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
2	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?
3	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I vote "Aye."
4	And I think that it's the right thing.
5	We don't want there to be a perception that we
6	rushed into this. We want this to be successful
7	and I think after we have a chance to look at it, I
8	think it will, so I vote "Aye."
9	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?
10	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."
11	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adjourn
12	Resolution 520 to August 22nd at 10:00 a.m. passes
13	with six "Ayes."
14	Now, we can call the regular Action
15	Calendar for a vote.
16	Is everybody prepared to vote on the
17	regular Action Calendar?
18	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes.
19	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: You're funny
20	now, huh, clerk?
21	MR. ALTADONNA: Yes. Yes.
22	Supervisor Saladino?
23	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."
24	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
25	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."

	86
1	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
2	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
3	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia is
4	absent.
5	Councilwoman Johnson?
6	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
7	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?
8	COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."
9	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?
10	COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."
11	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt
12	Resolution Nos. P19-17 through Resolution Nos. 527,
13	noting that 507, 508, 509, 526 was tabled and
14	Resolution 520 was adjourned to August 22nd at
15	10:00 a.m. passes with six "Ayes."
16	The calendar is complete, Supervisor.
17	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you so
18	much.
19	May I have a motion to close?
20	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I
21	make a motion to adjourn this meeting to August 22,
22	2017, at 10:00 a.m.
23	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.
24	SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
25	signify by saying "Aye."

```
87
1
                   ALL: "Aye."
2
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
      "Nay."
3
                   (No verbal response given.)
4
5
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
      it.
6
7
                   Thank you very much and now we'll begin
8
      our public comment portion of the meeting.
9
                   (TIME NOTED: 2:45 P.M.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```