TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
JUNE 20, 2017
7:17 p.m.

## HEARING P-5-17

To consider the application of Beechwood CBW, LLC, fee owner, for a Modification of Restrictive Covenants, southwest corner of Round Swamp Road and Old Country Road, Plainview, NY. (M.D. 6/6/17 #4).

## JOSEPH SALADINO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON COUNCILMAN THOMAS HAND

ALSO PRESENT:

TOWN CLERK JAMES ALTADONNA JR. RECEIVER OF TAXES JAMES STEFANICH

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

KRISTINA TRNKA Reporter/Notary

2 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good evening, 2 ladies and gentlemen, and welcome to the Oyster Bay 3 Town Board meeting of June 20, 2017. 4 This evening's prayer, as we begin 5 every Town Board meeting with prayer, will be led by Reverend Dr. Kimberly A. Wilson, who is the 6 7 pastor of Our Savior's Lutheran Church in Glen 8 Head. 9 Pastor Kim, as she prefers to be 10 called, was ordained in 1997, and prior to 11 attending the seminary, Pastor Kim graduated with 12 honors from Columbia College with a degree in 13 elementary education. 14 Pastor Kim employs her teaching skills 15 and her first communion and confirmation classes as 16 well as in leading children's sermons. Today, our Savior's Lutheran Church exists as it began, by the 17 18 faith of the people of God. 19 Pastor Kim. 2.0 Would everyone please rise? 21 (Whereupon, a prayer was recited by 22 Pastor Kimberly Wilson.) 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Pastor 24 Kim. 25 For the purposes of our Pledge of

2.0

Allegiance, you can remain standing, please.

It is led today by the Color Guard of Troop 689 of Saint James Parish, serving the Plainedge community. Troop 689 has been serving Plainedge and the surrounding areas for over 25 years, providing successful programs for boys and young men of all ages. I was honored recently to present a Town citation to Andrew Frost of Troop 689 as he reached the coveted rank of Eagle Scout. I was joined by many of my colleagues on the Town Board at that Eagle Scout ceremony.

Andrew was not able to join us tonight but, again, our congratulations go out to Andrew and his entire family, the Frost family, including his proud father, Frank Frost, who is joining us tonight.

For almost 100 years, scouting programs have instilled in our youth, the values found in respect and honor and volunteer service, all part of the Scout law and the Scout oath. Today, these values are just as relevant in helping the young grow to their full potential as they were in 1910.

Troop members of Troop 689, please lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.

(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance

4 1 was recited and led by Troop 689.) 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please retire the 3 colors. 4 Ladies and gentlemen, while you're 5 still standing, I would like to ask you to remain standing for a moment -- a moment of silence for 6 7 the victims of terrorism in England, as well as the 8 victims of the shootings in Virginia, including 9 Congressman Steve Scalise and for the passing of 10 Town of Oyster Bay resident and Massapequa 11 Volunteer Firefighter Jim Kennelly. 12 (Whereupon, there was a moment of silence. 13 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 15 The Town Clerk will now poll the Board. 16 MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor Saladino? 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Present. 18 MR. ALTADONNA: I just want to say, if 19 you don't mind, I had the privilege on Memorial 2.0 Day, as we had spoken before, of going to 21 Arlington, and since the war on terror 2001, there 22 have been over 6,000 soldiers that have passed. 23 And if you went to Arlington during 24 Memorial Day weekend, they asked you to take a 25 ribbon with a soldier's name on it and the only

5 1 promise was that you remember that soldier. 2 So, if you don't mind, I'd like to read 3 his name into our minutes, so he will be with us. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Town 5 Clerk Altadonna. 6 MR. ALTADONNA: His name William D. 7 Dusenbery. He was from Operation Iraqi Freedom and 8 he passed 11/15/2003. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, it is most 10 appropriate to honor this veteran, to honor all of 11 our veterans, those who have given the ultimate 12 sacrifice, those who have been wounded and each and 13 every veteran who lives on today to appreciate 14 their memories and recognize that our freedom came 15 from their efforts. 16 God bless our country and God bless our 17 veterans. 18 MR. ALTADONNA: Now, I will call the 19 roll call. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please. 2.0 21 MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor Saladino? 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Present. 23 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella? 24 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Here. 25 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?

6 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Here. 1 2 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia? COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Here. 3 4 Good evening, Jim. 5 MR. ALTADONNA: Good evening. 6 Councilwoman Johnson? 7 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Here. 8 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto? 9 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Present. MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand? 10 11 COUNCILMAN HAND: Here. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Jim 13 Altadonna. 14 And now time for a little bit of our 15 Town of Oyster Bay news and we do have some very 16 exciting news. 17 This Saturday, we're very happy to announce is the official kickoff of our summer 18 19 beach season in the Town of Oyster Bay. I'd like to remind all of our residents that spending a day 2.0 21 at one of our Town beaches is one of the truly 22 great amenities available in the best township in 23 the United States, Oyster Bay. 24 I know all of our residents are excited 25 to see the many improvements and the concession

2.0

services that are now available at Tobay this summer as well as all our beaches.

The improvements made include a refurbished spray park and a new playground being constructed near the marina. There are two new restaurants, brand-new restaurants, Mango Bay and the Crazy Oyster at Tobay overseen by the well known, Carlyle Catering Group.

As you all may know, Carlyle was selected by the Town Board in a completely open public hearing held in this very room that allowed our residents to participate in the process and a very open process it was as our residents witnessed all of the deliberations, including the process of choosing those vendors.

And as a result, we are now receiving five times the amount of rent that the Town received in the past. These two summer-themed eateries are sure to offer outstanding food and unmatched ambiance associated with dining at waterside. They provide breathtaking views of the Great South Bay. The new restaurants will also offer special evenings of beach front entertainment that will put everyone in the mood to kick back and relax and enjoy one of the truly great summer

2.0

destinations located on Long Island.

And we are exceptionally proud of the destinations we have created. Just as an aside, beach stickers are not required for diners at the restaurant. Just last week, we broke down additional barriers when we removed the turnstile and gate to all improve accessibility to all residents visiting Tobay Beach via the bike path, including those differently abled. And now our beach is open to everyone looking to spend a memorable stay-cation with us.

Finally, as you may know, further advancing my initiative to protect, protect our Town's taxpayers, we have filed litigation holding accountable those responsible for problematic work at the Hicksville parking garage.

As I promised when I first took office,
I will do what is necessary to protect our
residents and my Town Board colleagues join me in
that resolve. I do believe that this action
furthers our commitments.

Without further ado, will the Town Clerk call the first hearing?

MR. ALTADONNA: Hearing P-5-17 to consider the application of Beechwood CBW, LLC, fee

9 1 owner, for a modification of restrictive covenants 2 southwest corner of Round Swamp Road and Old 3 Country Road, Plainview, New York. MR. PIZZULLI: Is this on? 4 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. 6 MR. PIZZULLI: Thank you. 7 Good evening, Mr. Supervisor, Members 8 of the Board. 9 My name is Vincent Pizzulli, counsel 10 for the applicant, Beechwood CBW, with offices at 11 200 Robbins Lane in Jericho. 12 I'd like to start out tonight by giving 13 a brief description of the Country Pointe at 14 Plainview project for the benefit of those who may 15 not be familiar with the project, who may not have 16 been present at the public hearings a few years 17 ago. 18 Country Pointe of Plainview -- and I 19 have a board on display to my right -- is a 2.0 mixed-use smart growth planned community that was 21 approved by the Town Board in May of 2015. 22 consists of three component sections. The shopping 23 center, which is the subject of this hearing 24 tonight which is located on the lower left of that

Master Plan Board; the condominium residences which

are delineated as the brown and yellow buildings in the middle of that site plan board; and then to the lower right adjacent to the area to be dedicated to the Town of Oyster Bay is a future Golden Age Affordable Housing Project.

Construction of the shopping center is underway and building permits are pending for several residential structures and Sections 1 and 2 of the condominium and the community club house on the HOA lot.

Plans for the development of the

Affordable Golden Age Housing units are awaiting
the outcome of litigation regarding the Fair

Housing Act and the Town's residency requirements
for the offering of units to prospective

purchasers.

When Country Pointe of Plainview was approved by the Town Board, it was approved subject to several covenants and restrictions regarding the development plans.

My client is requesting a modification of three of those covenants.

The first one is Paragraph 25 -- and by the way, the covenants are attached to the petition as Exhibit B -- Paragraph 25 of the covenants

2.0

provides that no COs shall be issued until all of the plans for each section of the project have been approved and that no CO for any shopping center building shall be issued until the athletic fields on the 58 acres to be dedicated to the Town are developed or the athletic area is cleared and graded.

What that is referring to is on the right-hand side of this Master Plan Board, you'll a not that says 57 plus acres to be dedicated to the Town of Oyster Bay. That was required in connection with the change of zone for future park planned development.

The difference between the number on that plan and the number I recited, 58, is accounted for by an additional one acre that my client is dedicating to the Town on the corner of Round Swamp Road and Old Country Road and it's actually forming a buffer to the ShopRite that I'll be speaking to in a moment.

At the present time, the plans for the shopping center and the condominium community have been approved but the plans for the Golden Age Affordable Housing Section are on hold pending the outcome of the litigation concerning the Fair

2.0

Housing Act requirements. It is also our understanding that the planning for the athletic fields is still in progress and that final plans have not yet been formulated or approved.

In the Spring of 2016, prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Department of Planning and Development requested a performance bond from my client in order to secure its obligations to develop the athletic fields. Our site plan engineer estimated the development cost to be \$662,000 and a performance bond in that amount was submitted to the Department of Planning and Development on July 13, 2016.

In August of 2016, the prior administration requested that we increase the amount of the performance bond to also secure the installation of an irrigation system for the athletic fields, to provide sod in the event that new seed doesn't germinate properly, and to provide connecting roads to existing parking fields.

On August 25, 2016, my client submitted a surety rider to increase the original performance bond to one million dollars and the rider for that bond is attached to my petition as Exhibit E.

The performance bond will be renewed

1.3

2.0

annually until the proposed and athletic fields have been completed in accordance with the plans to be approved by the Town.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Excuse me Counselor, I'm very sorry to interrupt you.

I just -- you keep referring to athletic fields and I just want to reiterate from the Town's perspective, that we haven't necessarily made any decisions that they're going to be athletic fields. This could still be passive park space. It could be a community park. I know you're colloquially referring to it as athletic fields, but I just want to state that and make sure it's in the record.

MR. PIZZULLI: Duly noted.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Thank you.

MR. PIZZULLI: So in accord -- in view of the security that has been posted by my client and the status of the plans for the fields -- I'm going to refer to them as fields now -- we are respectfully requesting that the restriction on the issuance of COs be lifted so long as my client maintains its performance bond to secure the development of the fields.

This will allow ShopRite and several

2.0

other commercial users to open to the general public in the near future and permit purchasers of condominium units to move into their new homes upon completion of construction.

tonight concerns the covenant contained in paragraph one. Paragraph one provides that the commercial area, which is the area on the lower left-hand side of that plan, the ShopRite and the rest of the shopping center stores, will be developed with 118,450 square feet of commercial space, including a 71,450 square foot supermarket, ShopRite, a 3,000 square foot bank and 44,000 square feet of "retail stores."

My client is requesting clarification and modification of the wording in order to make sure the term "retail stores" is not interpreted to preclude other typical as of right uses found in shopping centers throughout the Town of Oyster Bay, such as restaurants, pizzerias, delicatessens, offices, salons and the like.

The Town Board, in its resolution of approval dated May 12, 2015 approved the rezoning of the shopping center area for "commercial uses" in the general business district.

1.3

2.0

The DEIS, the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, that was approved in connection with the Country Pointe application also studied the impacts of supermarket, restaurant and other general mixed retail uses, and the SEQR findings statement adopted on March 24, 2015 spoke to the development of commercial uses in general.

In addition, as if that wasn't enough,
I reviewed the transcripts of the public hearing on
February 4, 2014, and in response to questioning by
the Board, counsel for the applicant at that time
stated that the contemplated mix of commercial uses
would include mixed retail restaurants and small
offices. And some residents present at that
hearing commented on the need and desire to have
restaurants at this location.

So, in conclusion on this point, based upon our review of the record, it is our belief that it was never the intent of the applicants, nor the Town Board to preclude other as of right uses permitted in the general business district.

All successful shopping centers should have complementary permitted uses to meet the needs of the community and we would state that this shopping center should be no different.

2.0

That brings me to my last petition request which concerns Paragraph 10 of the covenants. This paragraph is primarily concerned with the use and operation of the loading docks and particular, ShopRite's loading docks. That's the only portion of the shopping center that has loading docks.

First and foremost, I want to assure everybody here that in order to avoid any confusion or misunderstanding, requested modification of this covenant will not result, will not result in any increase in truck traffic to ShopRite or any increase in traffic, generally.

In our view, this covenant unnecessarily limits loading dock hours of operation to 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on weekdays and 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on weekends and this restriction was not specifically prescribed by the Town Board in its resolution of approval or the SEQR findings.

In fact, in the noise section of the SEQR findings, which is Page 18 -- and I brought copies with me for the Board's reference if you'd like me to submit -- it was concluded that "noise impacts will be minimized by adhering to Town of

2.0

Oyster Bay requirements limiting the permissible hours of construction and the permissible hours of loading activities in the proposed commercial center," which we believe is a reference to what is permitted by the Town Code.

For reasons that will be explained in detail by our traffic engineer in a few moments, we are seeking a relaxation of this provision to be consistent with Section 156-4(5) of the Town's noise ordinance and also the approval conditions of other supermarkets.

Section 156-4(5) prohibits loading and unloading activities in such a manner as to cause noise disruption, noise disruption, across a residential real property boundary from 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. Monday through Saturday and from 10:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m. on Sundays and holidays.

This section affectively permits unrestricted loading and unloading activities between the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday, and 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. on Sundays and holidays, and we are respectfully asking the Board to consider this legislation in connection with its review of this request.

Our traffic engineer will demonstrate

18 1 that field conditions support our request to modify 2 this covenant to what is permitted by the Town Code 3 and the modification will not have any undue noise or visual impacts on any residential properties. 4 5 At this time, I'd like to turn the podium over to Mr. Wayne Muller from RMS 6 7 Engineering. Mr. Muller has appeared before this 8 Board on numerous occasions and been qualified as a 9 traffic engineer and I ask that you accept his 10 testimony tonight. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Counsel, would 12 you just repeat those hours of loading? 1.3 MR. PIZZULLI: The hours of loading 14 permitted by the Town Code, Section 156-4 is 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday, 15 16 and 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. on Sunday and holidays. 17 MR. ALTADONNA: Counsel, for the 18 record, I need the name of your firm. 19 MR. PIZZULLI: Vincent J. Pizzulli, 20 Jr., P.C. 21 MR. MULLER: Good evening, 22 Mr. Supervisor, Members of the Board. 23 My name is Wayne Muller of the firm of 24 RMS Engineering. Our offices are located at 25 355 New York Avenue, Huntington, New York.

2.0

For the record, I'd like to submit -- produce copies of the handouts that I'm going to refer to on the board to my right.

(Handing.)

MR. MULLER: As Mr. Pizzulli has indicated, and due to the fact that the proposed ShopRite and a small portion of development is currently under construction, we performed field observations to determine the potential impact of the changing of the -- or the modification of the loading hours as it would relate to the properties located -- I guess that would be to the east of the subject.

You can see, actually looking to the left when you look at the site plan, the first exhibit, Exhibit EX-1 was a series of photographs which we had taken from the northernmost loading dock in a position where the tractor-trailer truck would be located about 40 feet from the loading dock.

What we did is we utilized a Go Pro and put it on a measuring stick, a vertical measuring stick, so we could figure out at the highest point that the trailer would be, which would be 16 feet in the air, roughly, that if any -- what the view

2.0

would be from the top of the trailer truck, which would be the most visible point for somebody on the other side of the road looking up.

As you could see from the photos, we went from north to south. You really can't see much. All you can see in the distance is the treeline, there's a berm there, an existing hill, which was regraded, a retaining wall, the day that we were there, which was June 14th, last week, they were actually paving the loading area. And as we swung around, you could see off in the distance, there's a stock pile of soil that's off in the distance to -- in the southerly portion of the site and then you could, again, see the loading docks.

The loading docks are not visible to the residents on the other side of Round Swamp Road. We then did the same exercise in this southernmost loading dock, again, using the Go Procamera. And then taking a series of photographs, you can, again, see that what becomes apparent is that the stockpile of soil becomes more visible because we're getting closer to it. But, again, that -- you can't see beyond the tree line on any of the homes because essentially the homes are at a lower elevation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2.1

They're down, and there's a berm and the site's depressed. The stop -- excuse me, the ShopRite was cut out of the sight so the loading areas are depressed.

The third photograph indicates the conditions as viewed from the intersection of Round Swamp Road and Kingswood Drive looking towards the site. The first site was taken in the southwesterly direction. You can see some clearing in that one area. That was as a result of some water main work that was done by the applicant and the Water District. That area will be re-vegetated. Currently, it's soil but it will be re-vegetated. Then you can see as we -- as we look then to the north or at the site, you can barely make out the stockpile of soil that was visible in the other photographs looking towards the homes. And, again, looking north on Round Swamp Road, you can barely the stockpiled soil.

What that indicates -- if we just flip back to, say, the second photograph, you can see how high the elevation of the stockpile was in relationship to the highest point that the tractor-trailer could be. Again, not -- it wouldn't be visible from any of the homes on the

other side of the road.

2.0

And then the last photograph is a combination of the site plan and a Google earth aerial, and what we did is we took photographs -- thank you -- at strategic points looking towards the site as we went on Round Swamp Road to the north.

So that would be the first photograph is at the intersection of Rounds Swamp Road and Kingswood looking towards the site, and then we went to every home between Kingswood Drive and where Round Swamp splits off.

As you can see from the photographs, you can't tell what's beyond the tree line, the site. There's a hill and then the site is elevated. So from a visual perspective, and also from a noise perspective, because of the topography and the existing vegetation, extending the hours of operation of the loading area, in my opinion, will not create any visual impacts, nor will it create any impacts on sound.

Again, as it were the day that we were out there, there was a -- the back area by the loading dock was being paved so there was a lot of truck activity and it was very difficult to discern

2.0

2.3

the noise from the paving that was going on to the ambient road traffic within the area. Of -- the most prevalent would be the beep, beep, beep, as some of the trucks backed up.

what I -- I'm sorry to just jump in, but what I notice is absent from these pictures and what you haven't addressed and what I think is really the concern of most of the residents that I've heard from is really not the deliveries themselves at the loading dock. It's the trucks coming in on Old Country Road and what impact that's going to have to the people that live off of Round Swamp, that traverse back and forth on Old Country Road to get to and from their homes in the morning and in the evening and I don't see anything about that in these photos.

MR. PIZZULLI: Well, I'd like to just interrupt my own expert for one moment and I'll let him continue, but I want to emphasized to the Board and Mr. Muller will also do so, whether or not the loading hours of operation occur between 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. or 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m., it's not going change the number of trucks that come to this site.

2.0

2.4

This has nothing to do and will not increase, as I said at the very opening of my presentation, the modification, this restriction will not generate an increase in truck activity. It will permit ShopRite to accept deliveries, the same number of deliveries, spread out over a longer period of time.

So, in effect, it minimizes the impacts on traffic both on Old Country Road and internally in the site because you don't have truck deliveries compressed into a certain time period.

Having said that, I'll let Mr. Muller continue.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Pizzulli, how many deliveries are anticipated per day?

MR. PIZZULLI: I'll let Mr. Muller answer that.

MR. MULLER: Typically, there would be anywhere between five to seven tractor-trailer deliveries per day. And then there are other smaller vendor trucks, somewhere between ten and 20 on a typical day. The bread truck, the whatever other truck comes to deliver to the site and that's very, very common amongst all the supermarket chains.

25 1 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Do you know 2 whether -- I should look to the Town Attorney 3 first. I don't even know if this is an appropriate 4 question for our consideration, but is this the 5 same amount of deliveries that he's receiving at his current store or are we going to see an 6 7 increase, a decrease? 8 MR. MULLER: I don't know the answer. 9 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: It would be 10 consistent with what's going on at the current 11 Morton Village store? 12 MR. PIZZULLI: It would be consistent 13 with the Morton Village store and also the store in 14 Woodbury. 15 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Well, I'm just 16 thinking, when Morton Village goes out and he opens 17 here, that that truck traffic will be alleviate --18 that portion will be alleviated and brought over to 19 this store. 2.0 MR. MULLER: That's correct. 21 One thing I think is important to 22 realize, if you were to look at the site plan, it's 23 difficult to see --24 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I have the site

plan emblazoned on my brain, thank you.

2.0

2.6

MR. MULLER: All access to and from the commercial areas will be to and from Old Country Road and not the Round Swamp Road so there will be no -- the commercial driveways are all strategically located along Old Country Road.

So, in my profession opinion, the impact of truck traffic on Round Swamp Road would be minimized because all the activity will come from the major arterial road, Old Country Road.

And then also as Round Swamp goes further north towards the LIE, the bulk of the traffic, obviously, will come in those areas and not to and from Round Swamp.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I know, and I do know that that's what the professional opinion would be and it seems like that's what it should be, but I can tell you, because I live there, that we are just, in Plainview, inundated with truck traffic.

I mean, it is insane the amount of trucks that come off the LIE, the 135, hopping back and forth between our residential streets to try to -- that's not -- I'm not saying we need to solve the problems of the world on your back, I'm just saying, those trucks are going to be on Round Swamp

1.3

2.0

Road and they're going to be on our streets.

MR. PIZZULLI: Understood, but as I think as you aptly noted and I hope I clarified your question, this will not be creating additional truck traffic, it will be -- the truck traffic that was going to Morton Village will now come to this site. Most of those trucks, if coming from the Long Island Expressway, will never even enter Round Swamp Road. They will turn right at the intersection and then enter our site through a signalized driveway that has just been approved by the Nassau County Department of Pubic Works.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: They access the Long Island Expressway through Round Swamp Road?

MR. MULLER: Correct.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Okay.

MR. MULLER: But they're not traversing down the residential section of Round Swamp Road.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I understand. So you're position is that by expanding the hours, you're actually going to reducing congestion during some of the more prime hours, the more peak hours?

MR. MULLER: That's absolutely correct.

By spreading it out and having the ability to work in a longer time frame, it does, it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

28 tends to spread out the activity which is better from an overall traffic perspective. MR. PIZZULLI: It also obviates the impacts and eliminates the need for deliveries to occur during peak commuter rush hour periods and also during peak shopping hours. So if a delivery can occur at 8:00 or 9:00, instead of 5:00 or 6:00, it's much more beneficial, obviously, to the driver of the truck, but it's also beneficial to the commuter traffic on the roads at that time. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Yeah, that's sort of a bottleneck in that area that backs up a lot during rush hour. MR. MULLER: Correct. This is essentially my presentation.

If there's anymore questions, I'd be happy to answer them as best as I can.

Thank you.

MR. PIZZULLI: That's all we have to offer on this point at this point in time.

I do want to know, for the Board's edification and anyone else who's attending this meeting tonight, we do have another traffic engineer with us from the VHB who was instrumental

in designing and managing the 239-F, general municipal lot, they're called 239-F plans, for the roadway improvements in connection with this project and he is present to tonight and he is available to testify to all of the traffic mitigation measures that were designed, approved, and currently under construction.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I did note that you said it's going be a signalized intersection. I know that I have received a lot of -- I think Councilman Imbroto probably has as well, a lot of inquiry about whether there was going be a light there.

I wanted to ask you on point Number 1, the performance bond, I don't -- I -- so that is going to be, for now, COs can be granted for everything for the retail component as well as for the residential component?

MR. PIZZULLI: The way the covenant is written, it says, and it is somewhat confusing. I had to read it two or three times. It says one of two alternative events would impact the issuance of a CO.

The approval of all, plans for every section of the project and as I indicated, the

2.0

plans for the condominiums and the shopping center have been approved, but the Golden Age Affordable Housing has not. And also the issuance specifically for ShopRite or another shopping center building would be restricted unless the ball fields -- I'm sorry -- unless the fields were designed, approved and implemented, so it's either/ or. I mean, it's really more important at this point in time to get the commercial.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: The retail.

Okay. So if we wanted to -- and I'm not speaking for anybody else up here -- but if we wanted to constrict it just to the retail, that would be acceptable?

MR. PIZZULLI: It would probably necessitate another application on our part because I should indicate to the Board that we have sold 175 condominiums and we are getting a lot of calls and they're waiting for us to build them and they want to move in and this CO technically would prevent us from delivering a home if the Golden Age Housing Section isn't approved.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Sure, but it doesn't prevent you from building them, from starting to build them?

31 1 MR. PIZZULLI: Correct. 2 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: And one last 3 question. 4 You said retail stores is on the second 5 part of your application. Retail stores, restaurants, salons and offices. 6 7 When we talk about offices, there are a 8 lot of different kinds of offices and the 9 application that was heard way prior to my even 10 coming on the Board was an office building. How do 11 we know that this isn't gonna become an office 12 building? 13 MR. PIZZULLI: Because I'll agree on 14 the record that it won't, okay, and you can 15 stipulate that. 16 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: What is it going 17 to be? 18 MR. PIZZULLI: Well, these are 19 storefront -- we have six storefront buildings at 20 the present time. 21 One of which is planned to be a bank 22 and we have a perspective banking tenant almost 23 ready to sign the lease. 24 Another one of the buildings is being 25 almost exclusively occupied by Trio Hardware, which

2.0

is also moving from Morton Village. So we have four buildings but they're all designed to be storefront buildings.

I will stipulate that they will not become monolithic office buildings.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: That's all I have.

I also just want to state, for the record, then I'll be quiet and let the other Board members have a chance to speak, that I have four -- I told the Town Clerk this before, I have received four e-mails from residents of Plainview. I'm not going to read them all individually because they're -- pretty much say the same thing, but they are requesting that the Counsel deny the application because they feel that the current times of delivery are sufficient and I'm going to hand these over to the Town Clerk.

(Handing.)

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mr. Pizzulli, where did you come up with these new delivery times? Are these specific times that you think are necessary or are these just -- is it just that you're looking for expanded hours or you need these specific times?

33 1 MR. PIZZULLI: I'm reading from the 2 Town Code, Councilman Imbroto. I'm literally 3 reading from the Town Code and asking that you allow this to also be covered by that. 4 5 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Just to be in line with what's allowed for everybody else? 6 7 MR. PIZZULLI: Correct. 8 This governs every other shopping 9 center in the Town of Oyster Bay. 10 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: And currently, 11 the Morton Village, they have those hours, but 12 hours are at Morton Village --1.3 MR. PIZZULLI: I'm not aware of that, 14 no. 15 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Okay. 16 MR. PIZZULLI: I don't believe they do. 17 That was a shopping center -- I'm guessing here, 18 but it is probably 40 to 50 years in origin and it 19 is highly unlikely there's any conditions at all, 20 as an as-of-right shopping center built in '60s. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So what you're 21 22 looking for is parody with the other -- the hours 23 that are allowed for other space in the area? 24 MR. PIZZULLI: Correct. That is 25 absolutely correct.

point is well noted.

34 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any 2 other questions? 3 Counselor, just one question for me, please. 4 5 MR. PIZZULLI: Yes, sir. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Have you met with 6 7 the residents to listen to and address their 8 concerns? 9 MR. PIZZULLI: With regard to this 10 request, no, we have not had a lot of time to do 11 that. We are in contact, though, with many 12 residents who you'll hear from tonight who have 1.3 appeared at hearings on this application before, 14 and you'll have a chance to hear from them tonight 15 but we did not organize any community meetings, if 16 that's your question, sir. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I would just ask 18 that you try your utmost to meet with all of the 19 residents in the community who wish to talk to you 2.0 and address their concerns. 21 MR. PIZZULLI: We did, as you know, do 22 a mailing to everyone within 300 feet as required 23 by Code and we did not get any direct calls or 24 inquiries as a result of that mailing, but your

|    | 35  |
|----|---|
| 1  | Thank you.  |
| 2  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you,                     |
| 3  | Counselor.  |
| 4  | If there are no other questions, we                 |
| 5  | have some public comment.                           |
| 6  | I'll ask our first speaker, James                   |
| 7  | Filonuk.  |
| 8  | Sir, I'll kindly ask you to start by                |
| 9  | stating your name and address for the record.       |
| 10 | MR. FILONUK: Sure.                                  |
| 11 | My name is James Filonuk. I reside at               |
| 12 | 5 Milne, M-I-L-N-E, Place in Plainview.             |
| 13 | I am one of the 175 individuals under               |
| 14 | contract to move into Country Pointe and it's just  |
| 15 | my wife and I's hope that the whole process,        |
| 16 | whereby COs can be issued, goes through in a timely |
| 17 | fashion.  |
| 18 | Thank you.  |
| 19 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.                     |
| 20 | Next speaker, Marsha Elowsky.                       |
| 21 | Hi.   |
| 22 | Would you kindly state your name and                |
| 23 | address for the record?                             |
| 24 | MS. ELOWSKY: Marsha Elowsky,                        |
| 25 | 6 Richfield Court, Plainview, New York.             |

2.0

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

MS. ELOWSKY: My husband and I are going to be living in Country Pointe. We currently, as I just said, live in Plainview and, for the record, we are adamantly in favor of Country Pointe. I cannot believe how long it has been since we first started with all this and I'm anxious to move in.

Some of you know we bought this Indian rug a couple of years ago and its fading. It needs to be placed down on the floor already.

Also, regards to ShopRite. Now, we belong to an Alzheimer's study at North Shore, Northwell, the hospital, and they tell us that to avoid dementia, you have to learn new things all the time and I don't really mean to be funny and I know its going to come out that way, but my husband drives seniors who can no longer drive for our local [inaudible] and he needs to learn the new ShopRite as soon as he possibly can so that he can teach his harem how to get around and be happy with the store, which we know they will be. It will be a beautiful store. We have absolute faith in John Greenfield for providing for the deeds of all our many ethnicities within Plainview.

37 And since I didn't write whatever I 1 2 wanted to say, I just say please, please, let's 3 move this along as fast as we can. We all need it. 4 My house needs new young people because it's 5 starting to need a lot of work that I don't want to put in. 6 7 Anyway, I thank you and I hope I can 8 move in very soon. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 10 Ronelle Hershkowitz? Hi, Ronelle. It's good to see you, 11 12 again. 1.3 Could you please state your name and 14 address for the record? 15 MS. HERSHKOWITZ: Yes. 16 Ronelle Hershkowitz, 117 Briarwood 17 Lane, Plainview, New York. 18 I don't have a new rug, but I must tell 19 you that we are very, very excited. Those of us 2.0 who have given deposits, we can't wait for Country 21 Pointe. We are very much in favor of the entire 22 project. We are very much in favor of ShopRite. 23 Yes, John Greenfield is wonderful and 24 will absolutely will do his best to provide 25 everything that the community needs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

38

I do believe the Beechwood project should be moved along as quickly as possible because there are those of us who really, really, really are counting the days for us to get in and begin that lifestyle, so I'm hoping that it's fruitful, and that we can be in as quickly as possible. Thank you so much for your consideration. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. George Rosenbaum? Good evening. Can you please state your name and address for the record? MR. ROSENBAUM: Yes. Good evening. George Rosenbaum, 41 Forest Drive, Plainview.

I've been a resident of Plainview for about forty years. I've chosen to stay in Nassau County and Plainview. I've waited a long time for the project. I've spoken and requested the Board to what it can to move the project along. We're anxiously, you know, waiting to see when to put our

house on the market, when to start the next phase

39 1 of, kind of, downsizing and moving into what will 2 be an outstanding and beautiful place. 3 Beechwood has a history in the area, in Nassau County and Suffolk County. I think the 4 5 Board is all familiar with the work and the reputation of Beechwood. We just ask that you 6 7 consider the accommodations that they feel are 8 needed at this point for ShopRite, and for the 9 project to move along so that all us who have 10 purchased a home in this new community will have 11 the ability to move ahead. 12 And, again, thank you for your time and the support of the project. 13 14 Thank you. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, sir. 16 Susan Naftol? 17 MS. NAFTOL: Okay. 18 Good evening. 19 Susan Naftol, 20 Clearwater Drive, 2.0 Plainview. 21 I have not bought one of the new 22 I am staying in my home so I'm a little 23 different from everybody else, and while I know 24 everyone who has bought is very anxious to move in, 25 I think we all need to take a big breath. Just

take a breather.

2.0

And while I know they're all anxious and I wish them all well, and I have friends who are moving in and they are anxious to get in. I think we all need to breathe and I think we all need to just make sure that when we -- don't move too expeditiously so we don't take a breath and make sure that when we make our decisions, we're making them right.

And I think that we all need to make sure that when we think about ShopRite and changing rules, you know, timings and things, that we make them for the right reasons.

I live on Plainview Road -- I live off of Plainview Road where Plainview Road and Old Bethpage meet and I know that at certain times, I have to wait three and four lights to come out of Plainview Road because where that junction meets, it is crazy busy. I know that I see trucks coming down Old Bethpage Road, trucks coming down Round Swamp Road, trucks all over Old Country Road. I live there.

I stopped taking pictures of these trucks because -- I showed Mr. Venditto before you were here and the laws, you know, they made some

2.0

kind of a new ruling about weights of trucks and they said it was going to change and nothing's changed; it's gotten worse.

So I think that we all need to take a breath and breathe and say, before we change the hours and this other kind of stuff, that this gentleman was lovely and said, I think that the trucks are going to come here, they're not going to go there, we all know that what he thinks is not really what's going to happen and we all need to just breathe and decide that — think about it because once you change things and rules change and laws change and ordinances change, you know, that's it.

So before we just push it along, push it along, push it along, push it along, because all these lovely people really want to get into their new homes, and I get it, we have to just make sure that we're making our choices and changes with a lot of thought because the rest of us are not living behind walls. We're living in the community and they're going to be living in the community and the traffic patterns will change and we all have to live with them.

And I just implore you to be careful

42 1 with what your decisions are going to be because my 2 Town that I grew up in, I grew up there, and I've 3 lived there my whole life and the Town is changing and has changed, and the traffic patterns are not 4 5 like they used to be. Please don't move it along so 6 7 judiciously and so quickly that we're losing sight 8 of the big picture, please. I know they want to 9 get into their new homes but please, okay. Thank you. 10 11 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Mrs. Naftol, could 12 I just ask you. 1.3 As someone who also grew up in 14 Plainview and lived there my whole life, is your 15 concern with the hours or is your concern with 16 increasing truck traffic? 17 MS. NAFTOL: My concern is with 18 everything and I think the more hours they have to 19 come in, the more hours they have to unload, they 2.0 more it lets them bring more trucks in. It is very 21 concerning to me. 22 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So you're concern 23 is more truck traffic? 24 MS. NAFTOL: My concern is more truck 25 traffic.

4.3 1 I mean, look, the project is going to 2 go through, I get it. They want to come in, the 3 more people that -- the more dense housing, there's 4 going to be more traffic on the road. That's a 5 done deal, I get it, but I think the more hours of operation, the more hours to unload means the more 6 7 hours they can bring more trucks in. I think it is 8 just cause and effect. 9 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: But the hours 10 themselves, you don't object to. You just object 11 to the idea that by expanding the hours, it might 12 bring more trucks into the area? 13 MS. NAFTOL: Yes. 14 Like I said, the project itself is a 15 done deal. I'm not going to argue that any more, 16 it's done. So now we have to deal with what we 17 have and how to make this function better in the 18 community that I live in so that's what I'm looking 19 at; how can we make it work for the people who live 20 there now. 21 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: We live there, 22 too. 23 MS. NAFTOL: Exactly. 24 So, you know, think of it -- this is

the big picture. Don't lose sight of the big

2.0

picture. As much as they want to get it, we all are going to live there. They're going to live there, some of these people do live there now, you live there now, I live there now, we have to make it work in the big picture and continue to work.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Can I just ask because I've had -- I have some conflicted feelings about this myself and I've had some people say to me that if the trucks can come later, at like 8:00 p.m. or 9:00 p.m., that it's better because then they won't be there the same time that, like, when my husband's getting home from work or people are commuting.

Do you think there's any merit to that or not really?

MS. NAFTOL: Here's my thinking. And, once a again, you know, this is my thinking.

I'm thinking the trucks are going to come all day long anyway. But now if they're coming all day long and 8:00 and 9:00 and 10:00 at night, I'm not -- it's not going to be an -- not now but then, it's going to be then and now. It is going to be all day. It is not going to be -- we're going to flip flop it and say, well, they're not going to come during the day because they're

45 1 going to come at night, no, no, no, no, no. 2 They're going to come during the day and at night. 3 It's not -- you're not -- you know what I'm saying? You're not giving up this, take this. 4 5 It is going to be this and that. That's my thinking because that's -- I mean, look, if I 6 7 could, I would. Why wouldn't I? You know what I'm 8 saying? 9 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Yeah, I 10 understand. 11 To me, it seems that there might be a 12 finite number of deliveries that are made, so by 13 expanding the hours, it would spread them out. 14 MS. NAFTOL: If they can't unload all 15 night. If they can unload all night, then, of 16 course, the trucks can keep coming. You know, 17 that's my thinking. And the more they can unload, 18 the more they can get. 19 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: And they can 20 always come back and ask for more, but we can't --21 what Susan's saying is you can't undue it once it's 22 done. 23 MS. NAFTOL: Once the genie is out of 24 the bottle, you can't put it back in, so I think

you have to be really careful before you open that

46 1 bottle because once it opens, it's open. Okay? 2 Thank you. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 3 Larry Sklar? 4 5 MR. SKLAR: Good evening, everybody. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good evening. 6 7 MR. SKLAR: I don't hear sometimes too 8 well so I want to ask. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Larry, would you 10 mind just please giving your name and address for 11 the record, please? 12 MR. SKLAR: Yeah. 13 Larry Sklar, Post Office Box 20, 14 Jericho, New York. 15 I'd like to ask Councilwoman Alesia, 16 you were asking a question and I didn't catch the 17 whole question. 18 You said, compared to Morton Village, 19 what was the comparison? I didn't missed what you 20 said. 21 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Currently, John 22 Greenfield owns and operates a ShopRite at Morton 23 Village. That ShopRite will be closing and moving 24 to the new location so I was just asking -- I was 25 looking for a comparison of whether there will be

1.3

2.0

more or less deliveries and truck traffic.

MR. SKLAR: Let me -- I was in this business for forty years so number one, there's going to be a 70,000-foot store. This is going to be the busiest supermarket in Nassau County. Okay.

We've never seen a 70,000-foot store which is kind of a variety of merchandise as a general supermarket. We have Costcos, we have everything else. This place, to stock it, takes 25 tractor-trailers. How many tractor-trailers in a week they'll think it takes to keep stocking it? An awful lot.

They should have a limit on the times when they're open and when they can take deliveries. Now, you know, if you go to his store on Woodbury Road, I was there today, he has, in the back, 200 wagons on one side, 200 wagons on the other side. It was windy, three wagons fell in the middle and nobody can get through. Okay. You go in the front to the store, he's selling as much merchandise outside the store as inside.

I went to Compliance, he says, well, we really, well -- okay. You would think he's running a store outside with all the merchandise he sells. So I think a limit should be on the time on the

48 1 trucks. Okay. 2 What typically happened before the other three chains went out of business was their 3 4 trucks would come during the day, they'd park, 5 they'd unload them during the nighttime. doesn't do this. He unpacks all day long he does 6 7 such a volume. 8 So that's an idea for you. 9 Thank you. 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What do you 11 believe the hours should be? 12 MR. SKLAR: They what? 1.3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What do you 14 believe the hours should be? 15 MR. SKLAR: Normal business hours. 16 6:00, give them an extra two hours, 8:00. Okay. Their biggest problem is that these trailers are 17 18 coming from Jersey and they get stuck on the 19 expressway and it could take them four or five 2.0 hours for one trailer to get here, so we should be 21 able to give them a little leeway to be able to get 22 But after 8:00, no, there shouldn't be another 23 trailer coming in there. 24 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: From your 25 experience in this business --

49 1 MR. SKLAR: What, I'm sorry? 2 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: My voice is a 3 little bit under the weather today. 4 From your experience in that business, 5 does expanding the hours of deliveries lead to increased deliveries? 6 7 MR. SKLAR: Right. 8 I mean, even if they come in at 6:00 9 7:00, they're going to have three, four trailers 10 lined up on the road coming right in at that hour. 11 They get them right in, you know, then take off. 12 But that's what it should be because 1.3 I'm telling you, you're going see something here 14 you never saw. They don't know what traffic is 15 now. You -- in the food industry, we learned one 16 thing, you can stand in front of every other store 17 and give everybody \$10.00 and say, don't go in 18 there, come here. You know what they're going to 19 tell you? I don't want your \$10.00. 2.0 You cannot stop a Grand Opening in the 21 food business. And the way he runs his store, he 22 runs a great operation. He's a great operator. 23 He'll continue on and on and on, business-wise. 24 Thank you. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, Larry.

50 Laurie Starr? 1 2 Good evening. 3 Would you please start of by giving us your names and address for the record? 4 5 MS. STARR: Laurie Starr and Howard We live on 4 Kingswood Drive in Old 6 7 Bethpage. We're right -- our backyard is now 8 backing the new ShopRite. 9 MR. STARR: The docks, the beautiful 10 docks. 11 MS. STARR: And somebody said that from 12 the houses, you can't see to the ShopRite, they 1.3 didn't look in our backyard because where we used 14 to have all those beautiful trees and everything, 15 now we concrete, ShopRite. 16 I know when the people started 17 building, we had issues because they weren't 18 supposed to -- I hate speaking in public. 19 weren't supposed to start working until 7:00 in 2.0 morning. They often started at 6:00. We had to 21 call the Town, have them complain, went on and on. 22 So even though there were codes that 23 were supposed to be followed, they were not 24 followed until we made a lot of phone calls. 25 Traffic, I agree also that it should

51 1 end at 6:00. The traffic in our backyard is awful. 2 Should we wait until he finishes? 3 traffic in our backyard was bad to begin with because we are literally on Round Swamp Road, but 4 5 since they've started with the construction -- one day last week, there was a ShopRite truck that I 6 7 swear was twice the width of this building that was 8 on Round Swamp, right in our backyard. There's 9 supposed to be a weight limit, I believe, on the 10 trucks that are allowed on Round Swamp Road. 11 MR. STARR: It has never been enforced 12 all the years. 13 MS. STARR: Never been enforced. 14 MR. STARR: But I've told that's it's 15 the police, you know, its their situation. They 16 should be taking care of it. 17 MS. STARR: So even though they say 18 that there aren't going to be a lot of trucks going 19 on Round Swamp Road, I don't believe it. 2.0 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Ms. Starr, could I 21 ask you a question? Living in that area, during 22 the construction, did you have a lot of truck 23 traffic in the residential part of Round Swamp 24 Road? 25 MS. STARR: Yes.

1.3

2.0

Our neighbors, we all called the Town a million times. They -- policeman didn't enforce it. They said it was up to the Town. The Town told us to call Judy Jacobs, take pictures of the trucks that were on -- people on our block did that. Nothing ever came of it. They're still -- and like I said, just this past week, there was a truck twice the size -- ShopRite, it said ShopRite, twice the size of the width of this room going down Round Swamp Road.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Were these trucks going on Round Swamp south of Old Country Road?

Like, not between Old Country and the LIE, but the other direction during the construction?

MS. STARR: Between us and the --

MR. STARR: The elementary school?

MS. STARR: Yeah, toward the elementary school. From Old Country Road toward the elementary school, they were going that way.

So event though, like, their entrance -- the entrance to ShopRite is going to be on Old Country Road, all the trucks -- tons of trucks take a shortcut from 110 to Old Country Road on our block and it doesn't ever get enforced.

It's bad enough having the traffic

1.3

2.0

during the day from -- I mean, a couple days ago, my mother and I were sitting in the backyard at 6:00 trying to talk to each other. We couldn't even hear each other because the truck traffic was insane.

So at least if it's to just 6:00, at least the evenings, maybe it will be a little more quiet in our backyard and in our house.

And also, how they said that there aren't going to be more trucks with a bigger store than there were at the Morton Village store, like this gentleman said, the store is going to be tremendous. There'll have to be more trucks coming in. So, I hate the whole thing anyway, but it's a done deal, like the other lady said, but I think 6:00, you know, I think that's certainly late enough.

And I do wish there was some way to enforce the truck -- the weight of the truck on our block, on Round Swamp Road. The other thing was -- oh, yeah, okay. I already said that they didn't take pictures from our backyard when they -- because he said you can't see the store from Round Swamp, but that's not true either.

MR. STARR: Come to our house. We'll

54 1 have you in the backyard and we'll show you. 2 MS. STARR: That's it. Okay. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. Howard Stern? 4 5 MR. STARR: That's me. I'm done. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 6 7 Angel Cepeda? 8 MR. CEPEDA: Supervisor, Council 9 Members, Angel Cepeda, 22 Wilshire Lane, Plainview 10 New York. 11 Let me just say that change is never 12 easy for a family, a community, a region, and this 13 is no different. 14 Having this project on its way and I 15 know that there's a lot of folks that are anxious 16 and envisioning how great the community will be, 17 but it's -- there's also trepidation regarding to 18 the traffic and I've heard this myself as, you 19 know, throughout the community. 2.0 One of the things, though, that I would 21 just have you consider is the fact that, one, other 22 businesses follow the Town of Oyster Bay Code, 23 which is until 10:00. Okay. 24 Why are we compressing here? 25 reason that I'm saying that is because it would

1.3

2.0

seem to me that as you're bringing in all of this merchandise and all these goods, by keeping to the 6 o'clock period, you are compressing the time that these deliveries can be made. Okay.

That is -- Councilman Imbroto's correct, that is a bottleneck area. I live two, three minutes from there. I know. I know. I'm constantly moving through it. That is a bottleneck area. Okay.

If anything, we want to make sure that we can levy that -- alleviate that congestion as much as possible. That's -- that's our goal. That should be the goal. Okay. Because Old Country Road is traversed so much that we want to alleviate that.

So on two aspects, I think I would ask that you consider is one, the fact that we are changing if -- if we're going to stay to the 6:00 p.m. period, we are changing it for this particular project and for this particular business because the rest of the Town -- of the Town abides by the Town of Oyster Bay Code, which would be -- and I've not heard that the petitioner is asking to be different from the Town Code. He's just asking to be permitted to be up to the Town Code.

56 1 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Actually, he's 2 permitting to get a C of O before the entire 3 project is done. That's what he's requesting. 4 MR. CEPEDA: Well, two things. 5 things. One is the CO, you're correct, because --COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: That's what he's 6 7 requesting from us. 8 MR. CEPEDA: And also to change the 9 hours that the delivery can me made, which is what 10 I understood under Paragraph 1, correct? 11 So that's -- you know, so I'm not 12 speaking on the CO; I'm speaking mainly, solely, on 13 the traffic issue. Okay. 14 That we want to make sure that, if 15 anything, we're trying to lessen the congestion and 16 not keep it compressed to 6:00 p.m. where 17 there's -- that's the time that people are coming 18 home, people are wanting to get, you know, through 19 quickly, so they can get to programs with their 2.0 children and with their families. We don't want to do that. If anything, we want to keep the trucks 21 22 off the road at that time, so that's what I would 23 consider. 24 Thank you so much. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

2.0

Are there any other members of the public that wish to be heard on this hearing?

Counsel, would you like to conclude?

MR. PIZZULLI: I'll be brief.

There were several points I just wanted to touch upon and also reiterate.

The first thing I do want to say is that I'm standing before you as a representative of the applicant, but I'm also an eyewitness. I did ask my traffic engineer to study the site and visual and the noise conditions, but I also walked the perimeter of the site with Mr. Muller and I also stood on the Sterns' -- forgive me for trespassing -- but I stood on the corner of their property on Kingswood.

And I want to verify what we were saying in terms of our pictures and assessments. I actually -- well, during -- during the commentary period, I verified the grade dropoff from the highest point on Round Swamp Road to the look -- to the ground level of the loading dock area is 24 feet. I stood on the corner of Kingswood Drive.

 $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$  looked across the street and could I see the loading docks, no.

Could I see the building, yes. I could

2.0

see the roof line and some rooftop equipment through a break and some of the trees, but you could not see the actual loading dock.

Now, I'm not making light of their complaint or objection, I just, you know -- and I sympathize with them. If I -- suppose I might have a similar concern.

I don't believe though that my request on this point on the loading hours, is going to exacerbate any existing conditions beyond that which exist. There will not be any perceptible ambient noise increase.

And for another reason, I now want to delve into in response to another gentleman's comments, I can't stress enough that it defies -- it defies logic to believe that relaxing or applying the Town Code is going to increase truck traffic. That makes no sense, ladies and gentleman.

This is a 70,000-square foot store.

They're going to take deliveries of enough stock to stock their shelves and if the store does well, they'll sell their produce and product and then restock their shelves.

This is not an invitation to -- to

2.0

stock twice as much as the store can handle. This is an opportunity to allow delivery during an off-peak hour where it will have less of an impact to existing road conditions and congestion in general.

It will not increase the number of deliveries. If, hypothetically, we just -hypothetically -- if there are five tractor-trailer deliveries, seven tractor-trailer deliveries, there will still be seven tractor-trailer deliveries if that's what's necessary to stock the store shelves in the store.

The only difference is that last the two trucks might come at 8:00 instead of 5:00 or 10:00 in the morning, whatever the case may be.

That condition is a finite condition.

Councilman Imbroto used the correct word. That is a finite condition. It's not going to change.

I want to also address a comment made that this could perhaps be the busiest store in Nassau County. I'm sure Mr. Greenfield hopes it is the busiest store in Nassau County, but that's not going to change the number of truck deliveries.

You can only stock -- the store can only stock what it can handle. And for the record,

2.0

there are other stores, supermarkets, very
successful in the Nassau County that are as large
or larger than this, one of which is Stop and Shop
in Farmingdale, which this Board approved in 2006
with a condition of loading hours of 7:00 a.m. to
10:00 p.m. within 500 feet of a residential area.

I can't speak for Code Enforcement. I
don't know how many complaints they've been getting
from the residents of Farmingdale, but those are
apples and oranges. Two different communities.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: None came to my
office since 2006.

MR. PIZZULLI: Thank you, sir.

I also, that brings me to my next point.

One of the speakers here tonight said that there were constant noise issues with tractor-trailers and construction trucks coming to our site prior to 7:00 a.m. in the morning.

I do know, and I can attest to you, that there were several complaints. Why do I know that? I got the call from Code Enforcement.

Mr. Esposito called me personally and I invited him to call me if there were any conditions out there that were in violation of the Town's

requirements.

2.0

We verified and we investigated every single complaint and every complaint was addressed and ameliorated, and in 80 percent of those instances, it was not us.

There were other trucks coming up Round Swamp Road at 6:30 in the morning. There were other trucks idling at that morning hour. Any trucks coming to our site, our Project Superintendent, who arrives on site at 6:30 every morning, make sure that nobody is idling before 7:00 and all machines are shut -- are not started up until 7:00 a.m.

I monitored this personally. We have not had a complaint now in at least four or five months and I had three or four during the Summer of 2016.

I want to come back to making sure that we all understand, not the Board, but members of the community.

There are three requests pending here tonight. One is for the CO, which has nothing to do with the loading hours, and we ask for prompt relief on that request because ShopRite is preparing to apply for final inspection for a

2.0

Certificate of Occupancy. They are planning on opening on April -- on August 1st and this is an impediment to them opening their store. I think we've comp -- more than compensated for any risk of my client not doing what it's obligated to do by getting the \$1 million bond. So I want to make sure that that request is treated separately from the loading hour restriction. And I would say you also that if the Board needs more time to evaluate our loading hour request, we have a little bit more time to deal with on that one but we would ask that you, hopefully by the next Town Board meeting, address the CO condition as well as the covenant on the retail stores.

If you want to come and visit the site, evaluate it yourself, assess the actual field condition concerning the hours of -- loading hours of operation, we welcome you to do that and we would hope you would do that and reach your own conclusions. I will finish. I will conclude. I promise, right now.

Councilwoman Alesia brought up a very good point about the CO restriction as it would affect the closings of sale for new homes in the condominium section.

1.3

2.0

I would ask and suggest, respectfully, that the Board may discuss that or consider that with Counsel. I don't that this restriction was intended to prevent the closings of condominium units.

It was specifically written to prevent the issuance of the CO for the shopping center, but if we lift the restriction, if the Board sees fit to lift the restriction for the shopping center building, it would make sense to also eliminate that restriction so long as the bond remained in place, and on Day One of a notice to proceed with whatever the Town determines it needs to do with those fields, we'll be there to do it.

So, that's all I have to say, and I ask you one more time that, hopefully, at your next Town Board meeting, at least consider granting us partial relief with regard to the questions concerning the CO for the ShopRite building.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Can I just ask the Town Attorney, is it possible for us to bifurcate, Matt, the portions of the hearing dealing with the CO and the definition of retail space from the operating hours?

MR. ROZEA: I think the way the

64 1 petition is presented, it may present some 2 impediments if we were to try to single it out. 3 The hearing is on the application as a whole. Something we can look into, but I think it 4 5 will present some impediment. MR. PIZZULLI: I would suggest that it 6 7 be explored and researched in the nature of 8 provisional relief given the circumstances of the 9 request. 10 The petition is -- it is a single 11 petition, no one would argue with that, but there 12 are three specific components of relief being 1.3 requested, and, in my humble professional opinion, 14 there could be a way to do that. 15 We'll explore it. MR. ROZEA: 16 MR. PIZZULLI: Thank you. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Town Clerk, is 18 there any correspondence? 19 MR. ALTADONNA: The attorney for the 2.0 applicant has filed his Affidavits of Service and 21 Disclosure. 22 The communications are as follows: 23 have a memo from the Department of Planning and 24 Development. 25 The Nassau County Land and Tax Map

|    | 65  |
|----|---|
| 1  | indicates the property is Section 47, Block E, Lots |
| 2  | 752A and 752B. According to Town of Oyster Bay      |
| 3  | zoning maps, the property is located within a GB,   |
| 4  | General Business zone. There are no open or prior   |
| 5  | code enforcement bureau cases; however, there are   |
| 6  | Town Board Resolutions and Zoning Boards of Appeals |
| 7  | decisions on file.                                  |
| 8  | There are further correspondence                    |
| 9  | submitted by Councilwoman Alesia.                   |
| 10 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.                     |
| 11 | May we have a motion to close this                  |
| 12 | hearing?  |
| 13 | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I                |
| 14 | make a motion that this public hearing be closed    |
| 15 | and the decision be reserved.                       |
| 16 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.                       |
| 17 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,                  |
| 18 | signify by saying aye.                              |
| 19 | ALL: "Aye."   |
| 20 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Nay"?                         |
| 21 | (No verbal response given.)                         |
| 22 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have                |
| 23 | it.   |
| 24 | MR. ALTADONNA: Ready for the next                   |
| 25 | hearing?  |

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
JUNE 20, 2017
8:34 P.M.

## **HEARING** - Local Law

To consider a Local Law to add Chapter 242 of the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay entitled, "Wireless Telecommunications Facilities" and to Revise Sections of Chapter 246-5 of the Code. (M.D. 5/16/17 #22).

## JOSEPH SALADINO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON COUNCILMAN THOMAS HAND

ALSO PRESENT:

TOWN CLERK JAMES ALTADONNA JR. RECEIVER OF TAXES JAMES STEFANICH

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

KRISTINA TRNKA Reporter/Notary

2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Ladies and 1 gentlemen, if you'd all please take a seat, we'll 2 3 get going and continue our Town Board hearing. 4 Thank you. 5 The Town Clerk will now call the hearing on wireless telecommunications and a 6 7 revision to sections of the Town Code. 8 MR. ALTADONNA: Yes. 9 Hearing, Local Law to consider a local 10 law to add Chapter 242 of the Code of the Town of 11 Oyster Bay entitled "Wireless Communication 12 Facilities" and to revise Section of Chapters 246-5 13 of the Code. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Counselor, please 15 state your presence. 16 MR. ROZEA: Good evening. 17 Matthew Rozea, the Office of the Town 18 Attorney. 19 Supervisor and Members of the Board, 2.0 we're here today to consider a local law to add a 21 new Chapter 242 to the Town Code of the Town of 22 Oyster Bay in order to regulate the growth and 23 installation of wireless telecommunications 24 facilities throughout the Town. 25 In recent months, we've seen new growth

2.0

of certain types of facilities which have had an impact on our residents and their quality of life.

At the Supervisor's direction, we swiftly moved into action in order to implement regulations in the form of this local law that will regulate the applications for the review of and ultimately the installation and maintenance of those facilities.

Our goal here today is twofold. First, to consider the local law, but, more importantly, to consider and adopt, where appropriate, the input of the community so that we are most responsive to the needs and the desires of the community.

The proposed local law was drafted by the Office of the Town Attorney with the assistance of expert counsel that was retained at the approval of this Town Board a short time ago.

And I think it's worth emphasizing the quick and swift pace in which we've moved to implement these regulations in a way that will responsibly, lawfully and appropriately regulate wireless telecommunications facilities.

We first learned of this issue within the year, and the truth is, it was only within, let's say, the last month and a half to two

2.0

months -- excuse me -- that the first installations began to pop up throughout the community.

And so what we've done was to retain expert counsel to notice a public hearing to consider this ordinance and ultimately to bring it on for hearing.

The features of this ordinance will require building permit applications from the Department of Planning and Development, which will be subject to intensive review by an outside consultant who is expert in the area of telecommunications to be paid for, not by the taxpayer of the Town of Oyster Bay, but by the applicant, the telecommunication provider.

Those funds will be held in escrow by the Department of Planning and Development and charged against the work done by that consultant to assist us and the staff at the Town of Oyster Bay in reviewing each application for new or -- new wireless telecommunication facilities or for modifications to existing facilities.

Again, the procedures that were developed by the Office of the Town Attorney and our expert outside counsel have been developed in a way that will conform to the provisions of the

2.0

Federal Telecommunications Act, which is the genesis of the issue that is now before us.

To be clear, the federal government and the federal legislation, in the form of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, have restricted and handcuffed in many instances, the ability of local municipalities, such as the Town of Oyster Bay to regulate the installation of these facilities that have popped up throughout our -- our communities.

But we are being proactive in adopting what will be the most stringent and the most appropriate regulations in order to control the growth of these facilities in order to restore to the extent possible, the quality of life that has been enjoyed by the residents of the Town of Oyster Bay.

It's also important to note that the new ordinance will require, in certain instances, public hearings with respect to the installation of these facilities so that members of the community will have a full and fair opportunity, as they will tonight, to voice their concerns with respect to wireless communication facilities.

In closing, before we hear from the community, I want to emphasize, it's been a two-

6 1 month window from the moment that the Supervisor 2 held his press conference in May in the Woodbury 3 community to the point where we're here tonight to consider this ordinance. 4 5 I believe, in my opinion, that these restrictions fall within the guidelines of the 6 7 federal law and will allow us, as the Town, to 8 safeguard and protect the residents and their 9 quality of life. 10 And with that, if there are any 11 questions, I'll consider them. 12 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Matt, is there 13 any other community that has such safeguards? 14 MR. ROZEA: The Town of Hempstead has a 15 code similar to ours. There are several others 16 throughout the United States. 17 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Thank you. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Matt, once you've 19 had an opportunity to review our code as well as 2.0 the codes in other municipalities, would you 21 categorize ours as the most stringent? 22 MR. ROZEA: Stronger. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Stronger than any 24 other municipal?

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

MR. ROZEA: Certainly stronger than the

7 1 code in the Town of Hempstead, and as far as the 2 codes that I've seen on the eCodes, library online, 3 it would be the strongest that I've come across in 4 my research. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In the nation? MR. ROZEA: Yes. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 8 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I'm very glad to 9 hear that we're pursuing this aggressively and that 10 we're going to have a stringent -- or that we're 11 considering the most stringent code in the nation. 12 My concern is with the federal law. 13 You -- you mentioned that this will be in 14 compliance with federal law. 15 So when this was drafted, you looked at 16 that very carefully? This would withstand a 17 challenge under the federal laws that govern this? 18 MR. ROZEA: Councilman, let's not fool 19 ourselves. 2.0 The telecommunication providers will do 21 what they feel fit in order to preserve their 22 interests, and so you cannot ultimately predict the 23 outcome of any litigation, but I do believe that 24 this ordinance, if adopted by this Board, would

comply with the contours of the 1996 Federal Act

8 1 along with any State regulations or laws that may 2 come into play. 3 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So it was drafted to withstand such a challenge? 4 5 MR. ROZEA: That's correct. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: 6 Thank you. 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: After our 8 conversations, you know that I'm 100 percent in 9 lockstep with our residents. 10 Does this code represent the best we 11 can do to protect our residents and to protect all 12 of the many issues that have come up? 1.3 MR. ROZEA: Under the existing federal 14 law, it does. 15 Of course, if the federal 16 representatives want to act to amend that federal 17 law, we can do more, but under the existing 18 framework and under the existing federal statute, 19 this is the best we can do. 2.0 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It's important to 21 point out, as you know and may -- some of the 22 residents know, that we have written and contacted 23 our federal Senator's offices to implore them to 24 amend the law to give us the rights and to give all 25 local municipalities more rights when it comes to

9 1 the placement of these. 2 MR. ROZEA: That's correct, and, 3 certainly, in any contact that I've had with any 4 resident, I've emphasized the point that we need to 5 reach out to the federal representatives because, ultimately, they can change that law, but until 6 7 that to happens, this is the course of action and 8 this is what we need to do. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any 10 other questions? 11 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Matt, have we 12 reached out to our federal representatives? 13 MR. ROZEA: We have, yes. 14 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: And response? MR. ROZEA: 15 Tepid. 16 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Thank you. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I have letters on 17 18 file from my office where we've written them and 19 I've shared them with some of the residents. 2.0 Thank you, Matt. 21 MR. ROZEA: Okay. Very good. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We have speakers 23 on this legislation starting with Dr. David Burg. 24 Thank you, Dr. Burg. Thank you for 2.5 joining us this evening. We've had multiple

10 1 conversations on this very important subject and 2 we're happy to have you here. 3 DR. BURG: Dr. David Burg, Syosset, New York. 4 5 Town of Oyster Bay Supervisor Saladino, and Council Members, my name, as you all know, is 6 7 Dr. David Burg, and my wife and I have been Town of 8 Oyster Bay residents for twenty-seven years. 9 I have come here this evening to 10 discuss some important amendments to the new 11 proposed Wireless Telecommunications Facilities 12 Ordinance that the residents of Tobay are 13 demanding. 14 Specifically, in Section 242-5(i)(3) 15 states with regards to the balloon test, it dates 16 including a second date in case of poor visibility 17 on the initial date, times and location of this 18 balloon test shall be advertised by the applicant 19 between 7 and 14 days in advance of the first test 2.0 date in a newspaper with a general circulation in 21 the general vicinity. 22 Well, I had a professor once who said when a sentence has the word "general," in it more 23 24 than once, that's a little too general.

This method of informing residents for

2.0

such an important issue as visual impact of a proposed cell tower is woefully inadequate. One line could be posted in a local newspaper, like the Syosset Advance or the Syosset Jericho Tribune, you know, between the articles of the Intel Science winners and the ribbon cutting for a new restroom in a Jericho public school.

In the Town of Oyster Bay, if Bob needs a variance for his tool shed, Bob must notify all homeowners and residents within 100 feet of his property line by mail using a radius map.

Well, the residents of the Town of Oyster Bay are demanding the following be added to Section 242-5(i)(3) of proposed new ordinance.

The applicant shall notify by certified mail, return receipt requested, all homeowners and residents within a 500-foot radius of the proposed wireless communications facility and notify by first class mail, all homeowners and residents within 1,000-foot radius of a proposed wireless communications facility of the date and times of this balloon test 14 days in advance of the balloon test.

Visual impact of these towers is too important to just generally state in a local

newspaper.

2.0

The following should also be added to Section 242-22 of this new ordinance which is titled permits for micro wireless facilities in a public street or rights of way.

No additional antenna are raised other than the original one proposed should be affixed to the pole from micro wireless facilities, nor should other micro wireless facilities or wireless telecommunications facilities be permitted within 300 feet of the original facility.

These micro wireless facilities or DAS Systems are small poles, basically regular utility poles, placed 20 to 25 feet away from children's bedrooms within our community.

It's bad enough that one antenna is on these poles. Are we going to allow telecommunications companies to come along and put three or four of them on? This -- we're not talking about 150-foot monolithic pole off of the Long Island Expressway where sometimes you see 30 clusters of these things.

We have to -- we have to have extremely strict ordinances. This is a chance, this is an opportunity for the Town of Oyster Bay to show the

13 1 country, to show the nation, okay, how we can 2 operate and how we conduct business. 3 (Applause.) 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's exactly 5 our intention, Dr. Burg. DR. BURG: Thank you. 6 7 The residents of the Town of Oyster Bay 8 are demanding a new section be added to the 9 proposed ordinance. 10 By the way, this ordinance is very, 11 very similar to the Hempstead ordinance, and I do 12 applaud the Town for handling it in an expeditious 13 fashion and getting that out there for the 14 residents to review. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 16 DR. BURG: But there has to be some 17 tweaking to it. 18 Again, we have the opportunity of 19 making this the strongest, hardest ordinance in the 2.0 country. Why shouldn't we? Don't our residents 21 deserve it? 22 This new section should be titled FCC 23 Compliance. Now, the background for this new 24 section is that the Telecommunications Act of 1996 25 states that wireless telecommunications facilities

2.0

must be in compliance with the FCC's regulations concerning RF emissions.

It's written right into the
Telecommunications Act. These facilities emit RF
radiation. That's an undeniable fact. Even Crown
Castle says they emit radiation. These devices are
not in a climate controlled computer lab at IBM.
They are outdoors, subject to the harsh weather and
climactic elements and changes of Long Island, like
snow, ice, hail, sleet, rain, hurricane force
winds, like Hurricane Sandy, Nor'easters, changes
in temperature between Zero degrees and 100 degrees
Fahrenheit.

These are all reasonable things that happen here on Long Island, right? We've all suffered through Hurricane Sandy.

Just last week, we went from a temperature of about 45 degrees at night, and a couple of days later, it was 95 degrees.

So, how do we, the residents of the Town of Oyster Bay, how do we know for certain that these wireless telecommunication and wireless micro facilities are in FCC compliance? How do we know this? The only way to ensure FCC compliance of the guidelines is to perform on an ongoing basis,

2.0

2.5

mandatory testing of these wireless telecommunication and micro wireless facilities.

Testing, no different than the ongoing testing of X ray equipment that has to be performed, your automobile emissions get tested on a yearly basis when you bring your automobile in for an inspection, and the water supply we drink gets tested on a weekly basis, and these are just a few examples to name.

I believe, and so do the residents of the Town of Oyster Bay that the FCC compliance section, we have to have a separate section regarding FCC compliance, should read as follows:

All wireless telecommunication

facilities and micro wireless facilities installed

by the applicant must be mandatory tested

unannounced on or about a quarterly basis. This

testing will be at the applicant's expense and

performed by an independent testing company hired

and reimbursed by an escrow account held by the

Town of Oyster Bay, funded by the applicant, just

like the Town Attorney was mentioning, an escrow

account for the consultant.

This ongoing testing is to ensure FCC compliance with FCC guidelines pertaining to RF

emissions.

2.0

Another section which needs to be added should be titled Radiation Compliance Officer.

This section should read:

The Town of Oyster Bay requires

applicants for wireless telecommunication or micro

wireless facilities provide a radiation compliance

officer who must be fully aware of his or her

responsibilities to oversee the compliance of

mandated FCC guidelines pertaining to RF emissions.

I don't know if anyone here has ever tried to call Crown Castle, but I have. It's basically like calling a customer service department. They give you a ticket number and that's the end of that. They don't call you back. They don't reach out to you. They don't respond to you. It's done. It's like -- it's like computer tech service over in India. It's -- this is not the way it's supposed to be handled.

Again, this equipment emits radiation.

That's a fact. Even Crown Castle admits it emits radiation. There needs to be a Compliance Officer, just like radiological facilities have a Compliance Officer.

That concludes the amendments to the

2.0

new wireless telecommunications facilities ordinance, but I would like to take a moment, if you will, Supervisor, to allow me to discuss the pole installed by the side of my home on Renee Road in Syosset.

Well, according to the position and placement of the wireless telecom facilities sheet that was issued by the Town of Oyster Bay, the pole on Renee was supposed to be dropped 92 feet west of Narcissus Drive on the north side of Renee Dr -- I'm sorry, Renee Road.

Well, there seems to be a big mistake because the current pole, which is on the side of my home, was dropped 244 west of Narcissus Drive.

That's over 150 feet away from where it was supposed to be placed. With lot sizes of approximately a 100 x 100, that's one and a half lots away from where it was supposed to be located.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Excuse me,
Dr. Burg, will you be presenting this information,
this documentation you have been announcing to us?

DR. BURG: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you also make sure that you touch base with Commissioner Lenz?

18 1 Commissioner Lenz, would you raise your 2 hand, please? We want to get that information and 3 check on that location immediately. Dr. BURG: Okay. 4 5 Town Supervisor, Council Members, this pole -- and I haven't gone through the list or 6 7 measured out others, I just don't have time. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We are doing that 8 9 in the Town. 10 Dr. BURG: But I measure mine three 11 times with my 25-foot tape measure. Okay. 12 This pole is in the wrong location. 1.3 I am requesting of the Town of Oyster 14 Bay, tonight, please, their immediate removal of 15 this pole which was placed in the wrong location. 16 Thank you. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Dr. Burg, we'll 18 need you to give that information to Commissioner 19 Lenz. Thank you very much. 2.0 DR. BURG: I would like to pass to 21 Council, okay -- I made about eight copies or so --22 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Doctor, does this 23 also include your suggestions for the amendments to 24 the Code? 25 Dr. BURG: I will pass a copy of that

|    | 19   |
|----|--|
| 1  | to the Town Attorney.                              |
| 2  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.                    |
| 3  | You've done a lot of work. We                      |
| 4  | appreciate your presence. We appreciate all the    |
| 5  | long hours and effort you've put into this. It's   |
| 6  | very helpful.                                      |
| 7  | DR. BURG: I'd also like to present a               |
| 8  | map to the Town. I made two X's with a circle.     |
| 9  | This is Renee Drive (indicating). This             |
| 10 | is Narcissus (indicating). This is where the pole  |
| 11 | should have placed, the X, the circle to the right |
| 12 | and all the way to left, the circle (indicating).  |
| 13 | Like I said, it is approximately                   |
| 14 | 150 feet away. That's no 3 foot, 4 foot, 2 foot    |
| 15 | boo-boo.   |
| 16 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you,                    |
| 17 | Dr. Burg.  |
| 18 | Dr. BURG: Thank you very much.                     |
| 19 | I will hand a copy of this to the Town             |
| 20 | Attorney and speak to Mr. Lenz.                    |
| 21 | Thank you very much.                               |
| 22 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.                    |
| 23 | We appreciate all of your efforts, all             |
| 24 | of your information. Well done.                    |
| 25 | (Applause.)  |

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.0 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Our next speaker 2 is Jack Ostrick. 3 Good evening. Thank you for joining 4 11 S . 5 MR. OSTRICK: Good evening, everyone. My name is Jack Ostrick, One Elm 6 7 Street, Woodbury, New York is my address. 8 I'm the President of the Hunting Hill Civic Association, one of the areas that first 9 10 brought this issue to the attention of the Council. 11 I'm here to commend our Supervisory 12 Board for their work to properly update our Town 1.3 Code to keep up with the changing nature of 14 telecommunications structures that proliferating 15 our --16 (Applause.) 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 18 MR. OSTRICK: While I recognize the 19 rights of carriers to install equipment structures in line with the Telecommunications Act of 1996, it 2.0 21 seems to me that the explosion of technology in 22 this area has resulted in increasing choices 23 available to these companies for install -- for 24 installation of equipment, and our codes, which 25 have covered these structures as they were

2.0

2.1

originally written, have now moved out of date.

It's, therefore, timely and appropriate that our Town update our Code to keep up with their advances in technology.

Despite objections that I anticipate from industry representatives, I believe that there is nothing but good intentions in the Board decision to update our Code at this time to more closely align our regulations with the changing nature of these wireless infrastructure structures.

Residents in the Hunting Hill area of Woodbury were notably distressed about the undisclosed, and, therefore, unexpected appearance of these structures near their homes.

This, it seems to me, could have been easily avoided had the equipment installers had the simple courtesy to reach out to residents in advance of the construction of these structures.

The members of my community are intelligent, educated and commercially reasonable people who would have engaged in constructive dialogue if given a choice.

In this vein, I think it would be highly desirable to add to the Code a required process of prehearing meetings between the Town,

1.3

2.0

2.2

wireless communication companies, and either a few residents directly affected or their local Civic Association in the area where the prospective equipment structures are contemplated to be installed.

What I mean by a prehearing meeting is as simple as creating a requirement that during the permitting process, that the applicants be required to alert and meet with the residents and/or their local civics that represent them prior to the completed application for a permanent structure that directly affects them.

The discussion would seek to balance the concerns of residents, local officials, and company representatives in an effort to find a compromised solution in advance of a public hearing on permit applications. This would -- this would serve to keep temperature of residents, elected officials, and communication companies down by speaking privately first before coming to a public hearing.

Second, I note, with some disappointment, that there are no provisions within the Code specific to requiring any minimum distance of these structures from residences, hospitals,

1.3

2.0

health care facilities or places of worship.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Or schools.

MR. OSTRICK: Or schools.

There is some flexibility written into the Code for approval by the varying administrative departments which may be sufficient with the current administration for the protection of the residents, but future administrations could potentially have different points of view.

Lastly, there isn't any specific provisions for the testing and ongoing maintenance of these facilities, as Dr. Burg pointed out.

The willingness of, or rather the inability, for us to enforce code protections regarding the proper wattage or outputs of these facilities, I believe should be specifically enumerated in the Code and penalties as well commensurate with the failures of those testing results should also be specified.

Thank for the opportunity to receive community feedback today. I appreciate your time.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And we appreciate your input and your being here and helping us throughout the process.

I only have two slips.

2.4 1 Is there anyone else who would like to 2 be heard on this issue? 3 Yes. Please come forward. Hi. Could you please state your name 4 5 and address for the record please? MS. FULLER: Geri Fuller, 14 Calvert 6 7 Drive, Syosset, New York. 8 I was born in Seaford, raised my kids 9 in Woodbury, and last twenty-five years, living in 10 Syosset. 11 All of the sudden, on our street, seven 12 houses, Calvert Drive, there's a cell tower on one end and a cell tower on the other end, just that 13 14 little strip. 15 I wrote a very short paragraph so I 16 would remember what to say. I'm concerned that in 17 a few short months the cellar pole has been in 18 place on my block, on the corner of my property, 19 the tree that is within near feet of the pole is 20 dead. I have a picture for anyone which I would love to submit. 21 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Certainly. 23 MS. FULLER: In Law and Order, they 24 submit their exhibits. These are my exhibits. 25 That's my son looking up at the dead tree. Here's

2.0

a picture of the street with the tree that is a Town tree is that now dead. Here, you go.

(Handing.)

MS. FULLER: And I'd like to continue quickly.

And now the bush that is across from the tree approximately 10, 15 feet away is showing signs of fatigue. It's a bush on our property that my husband and I just recently trimmed and it was fine, and now it is turning brown.

I can't prove a direct relationship, but this certainly gives me reason for pause. I can state with certainty that the cellular poles devalue our homes, are aesthetically insulting, if that's acceptable to say, they create noise pollution, they're -- it's buzzing, and they are becoming eyesores because what's happening -- and here's some more pictures for you. I have three. They are all the same.

This is one end of the block. People are beginning now to staple solicitations and postings over and over on the poles so the whole look of the neighborhood is changing.

The Town approved the permits to allow these towers to be installed. We had no clue and

welcome.

26 1 we're devastated. Frankly, devastated. 2 Should I give you my words here, too? 3 I'm happy to. 4 (Handing.) 5 MS. FULLER: And not only would I like there to be changes made to the Codes, as Dr. Burg 6 7 explained, I would like these poles yanked out of 8 there and relocated. I don't where, but I don't 9 feel there should be these poles right when we walk 10 out of the house. And I will tell you that when 11 the pole first went up and we were so upset by it, 12 I said to my husband as we were driving down -- our 13 neighborhood is across from Stillwell Woods and it 14 backs up the high school. 15 I said, well, at least there's that 16 beautiful tree that has been there all these years 17 so that you see this green tree, at least in the 18 Spring. What happened? The tree is dead. 19 So, I'm imploring you, please do 20 something. It is bad. 21 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's the 22 purpose of this evening's hearing. 23 MS. FULLER: Yes. Thank you. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're very

27 1 (Applause.) 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes, please step 3 forward. Thank you for joining us. 4 Could you please start off by giving 5 your name and address for the record? MS. KRAMER: Sure. 6 7 Vicki Kramer, 15 Shadow Lane, Woodbury, 8 New York, in The Gates. 9 On October 5th, I noticed workmen 10 outside my house doing something to the pole. 11 had a brand-new car that was sitting right 12 underneath where they were doing the work. 13 was considerate enough to ring my bell to ask me to 14 even move the car. 15 I went out because I didn't want my car 16 damaged. I said, "What exactly are you doing here?" 17 18 They said, "Oh, we're putting in a cell 19 phone tower." 2.0 I said, "well, I don't want that on my 21 property." 22 They said, "You don't have a choice. 23 This is approved by the Town." They said, "This is 24 not your pole and we can do whatever we want with it." 25

2.0

2.8

Well, now that thing is sitting at the end of my driveway, and let me tell you, I have two kids and my block probably has 19 kids on it ranging in the age from one-year old to 15-year old.

These things cause radiation. Trees are possibly dying. I don't know what it's going to do to my kid or any of these children between now and ten years from now and 15 years from now when they find out what these things really do.

People are upset about eyesores.

Listen, I don't like the eyesore, I don't like the devaluation of property value, but what I don't like more is that my children can get sick from this.

Forget me getting sick from this, my children, my pets. I don't know what's going to happen to them. I want the thing removed. It doesn't belong there. My cell service is fine. I don't need the next G or the next wave of whatever it is, not at the risk of the health of my children.

They want to put a cell phone tower in some kind of commercial area, fine, where the traffic of the people going all day long. This is

near my children's bedrooms, this is within 100 feet of my entire house, so we're getting all the radiation that comes from it all day long. All day, all night.

When we're not feeling well and under the weather, maybe it affects us more. I don't know. I don't know what to expect from it, but I don't want to be the guinea pig. I want it removed.

I don't know what else to say that -(Applause.)

MS. KRAMER: No one notified me it was going in. I didn't read anything in any newspaper. I didn't see anything on the news. They showed up at my house on October 5th.

And not only that, the amount of them they are putting in the neighborhood is ridiculous. There's a newborn baby in the beginning of my street on Shadow Lane, opposite side of the street as me when you pull in off of Plainview Road. The baby was born and moved into that house the day that cell phone tower was put there. Now, that's at one end of the street. I'm about three-quarters on the side of the street. There's one there.

There's an intersecting street called DeBray,

1.3

2.0

there's one there. You make a right on Orchard, there's one there. They're everywhere.

How many do you need of these things?

It's ridiculous. And let me tell you, if one's emitting rays, they're all emitting rays.

What is the group conglomerate of all of these rays and how it affects us? So, as much as I like to keep my property value up, yeah, that'd be great. As much as I'd like to not have it because it looks ugly, fantastic, but what I care about is health. That's what I care about most, and I think you should care about that most because when residents around here start getting sick, who's going to bear the brunt of that, the people who run this Town.

Thank you for your time.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mrs. Kramer, can we assume you are in favor of today's proposed legislation?

MS. KRAMER: I am in favor of it and if it helps and prevents anyone from getting one in the future, absolutely, but whatever goes on here today, has to also take into consent the ideas that there's people living with them now.

I think that if anything, until we

1.3

2.0

figure out what kind of radiation is coming from this, if they've been installed properly, are proper distances from people's houses, someone should come around and see if they're even in the places that they're supposed to be.

I don't know how Dr. Burg found out that his wasn't even in the right place, but who knows if mine's in the right place. I have no idea. I don't know how to go about doing something like that.

But the bottom line is, if -- like what he said, if weather comes around and disrupts it, maybe more radiation is going to start to leak from it. I don't know. I don't want to be the guinea pig of it. I really don't.

So something needs to be done about the fact that they're there now and until you guys can figure out what's going on with them really and truly, they should not be allowed to be turned on.

If they are currently on, they should be turned off until you guys can figure out what the true real deal is with these things.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just so you know, we're going through that process right now.

32 1 (Applause.) 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes, sir. 3 This gentleman with the beard. 4 MR. RIPP: Hello. My name is Robert 5 I live at 77 Sunset Road in Massapequa. 6 It just came to me, I was just thinking 7 about Mr. Burg's situation. It might be a good 8 idea to have the location of all the installed cell 9 phone towers checked because if they are all 10 incorrect, you might have some sort of legal 11 precedence to get them removed. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We are already 13 going through that process. 14 MR. RIPP: Oh, I didn't know because no 15 one mentioned it. Thanks for telling me. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're welcome. 17 Sir? 18 MR. COYNE: Ryan Coyne, 87 Twinlawns in 19 Hicksville. 2.0 Members of the Council, I wasn't 21 prepared to speak on this issue, planning on it 22 anyway, but I happen to know a lot about 23 electromagnetic radiation, microwave radiation, 24 technology in general. I travel the country 25 speaking about technology.

2.0

Most recently, last week at SUNY's all of the universities of SUNY, at their marketing convention on practically applied virtual reality in higher education space. I'm somewhat of an authority on how these things work.

Eyesores, completely agree. Proper placement, completely agree. Just want to make sure that the Council and some members of the public understand that not all radiation is the same.

A pack of cigarettes has more radiation than what a cell phone tower emits. With a Geiger counter, you can measure that. So most radioactive place on the planet is actually not Fukushima; it's actually a smoker's lungs. You can actually use a Geiger counter and somebody's chest and observe that.

Microwave radiation, which was first pioneered by Faraday, over 100 years ago, has a longer wavelength than human DNA has to be compromised.

So if you ever take a piece of cheese or two pieces of cheese, put it in the microwave without the spinner in there, run it for ten seconds or five seconds, it's a fun experiment to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

34

do on a rainy day with the kids, you can actually, with a ruler, measure two inches in between every wavelength of the microwave radiation which is 2.4 gigahertz as per the FCC. All the equipment that's installed in the towers is governed to only use certain frequencies within a very narrow spectrum.

If you remember back in 2009 when they made us do the digital converter boxes for TV because they were turning off the analog, they were auctioning off from 670 megahertz to 912 megahertz of spectrum to Verizon, T Mobile, Sprint, Google, and a host of others nationwide in different regions. All of the science has been being done since the '40s. We do know what this does and doesn't do to certain people cells; however, when it comes to the environmental impacts of electromagnetic radiation, a pole being right up against a tree, the alkalinity of the soil changing due to the pole itself, the materials used to treat the pole to make it stronger against the elements, to make it less resistant to rot, and different things, that can absolutely poison the soil and kill a tree nearby and eventually a bush and I would recommend to anybody experiencing that to have your soil tested, absolutely; however --

1.3

2.0

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Coyne.

Mr. Coyne, if you could address your comments to the Board, please.

MR. COYNE: Yeah, yeah.

I recommend, you know, soil testing to make sure that the companies, when they are installing the poles, are making sure the PH of the soil is not been radically changed over a course of, you know, three months, six months or a year.

But the actual radiation itself, radiation comes from the sun. You get sunburned, sunburn is actually your cells killing themselves on purpose to avoid making bad copies of themselves because your DNA gets damaged by UVB rays, but not in microwave radiation, which is what the cell phone towers are.

All the cell phone towers are ground to ground. They do adjust themselves when they detect other towers that are closeby, the power is reduced so that they're not overshadowing each other so the more towers, the lower power they need to get the signal further. So that's also of merit.

As long as they were strategically placed, research going back to the '40s, totally independent studies, ones that I've been really

36 1 interested in as a super techie geek since, you 2 know, forever, I was born in '86 so I'm living 3 through all this stuff. I can tell you with a very 4 high degree of certainty, that it does not alter 5 human DNA or cells. The EMF radiation electrically, like, 6 7 the buzzing that you hear from stuff, electricity 8 in high amounts and high voltage absolutely does 9 have a biological impact on plants and plant life, 10 but not the radiation itself. It's not cancer 11 causing. 12 Just wanted to express the science that 13 I am very intimately familiar with to the Council 14 and be on the record. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. 16 Please come on up. 17 MS. TUFANO: I wasn't planning on 18 speaking again. 19 First of all, it's not EMF, it's RF. 20 Okay. EMF is different. Okay. 21 It's radio frequency, so everything you 22 spoke about is different. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's hear your 24 comment, please. Let's start off by giving your 25 name and address for the record.

37 1 MS. TUFANO: Sure. 2 Denise Tufano, 26 Elm Street, Woodbury, 3 New York. 4 I've been up here before, I didn't plan 5 on speaking. The only issue I have and I appreciate 6 7 the ordinance and I hope that everything works out 8 as we all hope. The only question I have to you, 9 or maybe the attorneys, is a lot of the ordinance 10 that I read talks about from now and going forward. 11 A lot of us here have these poles on 12 our property. Where do we stand? Do you have a 13 provision that I didn't understand correctly that 14 they're going to be removed? Is that part of the 15 ordinance or your plan? 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Matt, would you 17 please step up to the microphone and describe the 18 process? 19 It's a very reasonable question. 2.0 MR. ROZEA: It is. 21 And the ordinance does speak to 22 applications moving forward. As the Board knows, 23 and maybe some members of the public, Crown Castle 24 recently commenced certain piece of litigation 25 regarding the installations that have already gone

2.0

into place along with the Town's actions in rescinding or vacating or otherwise affecting the right-of-way permits that were issued early on this year.

That litigation is where the issue of existing poles will be resolved, and given the ongoing nature of it and the pendency of the litigation, I don't think it would be proper to comment much on the merits of that litigation at this point.

councilman improto: Without commenting on the merits of litigation, what you're saying is that that lit -- the purpose of that litigation is to get the poles off of the existing locations or perhaps rescind the permits?

MR. ROZEA: Crown Castle brought up the litigation against us.

Now, of course, in the context of litigation, it can be any number of results, but I don't want to speculate as to what those results may be.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: By passing this Resolution into the Town Code, does it give the Town more of a hand in negotiation with Crown Castle as it relates to those that -- those poles

39 1 that have already been put up? 2 MR. ROZEA: To answer that would 3 require me to get into the mind of Crown Castle and I'm not sure that I can get myself to that place. 4 5 I think it would be in everyone's interest, however, that we sit down, as we have 6 7 recently, to discuss these issues and see what 8 resolution, if any, can be reached. 9 MS. TUFANO: That's disappointing. 10 I was hoping for a better answer. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We -- because we 12 are under litigation and because of the complexity 1.3 of the federal law and what will take place in 14 court, we have been told by Counsel to limit 15 comments to a very narrow point --16 MS. TUFANO: Okay. It's in litigation 17 so it's not up for complete discussion. I get it. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We have to limit 19 our comments as to not hurt the Town's position. 2.0 MS. TUFANO: Okay. I understand. 21 As you said before, you're looking 22 into, I guess, the permits and locations and to 23 seeing if everything was done properly. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Changing 25 dramatically. Yes.

25

investigations?

40 1 MS. TUFANO: Because ours -- mine was a 2 completely new pole that was installed. This is 3 not something that was there. I lost my thought. 4 Okay. 5 I just wanted to make sure that you were looking into -- oh, my other question was, I 6 7 never got an answer if mine is actually working. I know some people hear humming, I don't think I hear 8 9 humming, so I don't think it's on, but I would love 10 to know. 11 Being that they cease and desist, does 12 that mean mine is going to stay the way it is now 13 and I shouldn't -- one day wake up to find out that 14 thing is on? 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Bishop, would 16 you please step forward for -- to answer a few 17 questions? 18 Mr. Bishop, we've had some discussion 19 and one of the directions I've given you is to go 20 look very carefully at these permits to ensure that 21 all of them have been put where they are supposed 22 to be, and if not, to report to us immediately. 23 Can you give us the status of your

MR. BISHOP: Currently, the engineering

41 1 division is going through the map as to what was 2 originally submitted and determining where the 3 locations are. When that's compiled, then we'll 4 make that public. 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And are they also going out in the field to make specific 6 7 measurements --8 MR. BISHOP: Yes. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- to ensure that 10 we know exactly where they are and if there's a 11 differential? 12 MR. BISHOP: Yes. Where they are and 13 then where the difference is now. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And can you 15 please check on this particular box equipment outside of Ms. Tufano's house to find out if that's 16 17 on? 18 MR. BISHOP: Yes. 19 We have a list of the locations so 20 we're trying to get the information from Crown 21 Castle or Verizon as to all of them, as to if 22 they're operating or not operating. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 24 I'm going ask you to share that 25 information with Mr. Rozea so that he can get to

42 all of the residents, because I'm sure everyone 1 2 wants to know if their equipment is operating or 3 not, and I think it is most appropriate that we're doing that through the Town Attorney's Office. 4 5 MR. BISHOP: Yes. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Ms. Tufano, I 6 7 want to thank you. 8 You have you been a true leader on this 9 issue, you have worked with me, you have conducted 10 yourself with professionalism and decorum and your 11 family and your community should be very, very 12 proud of you. 1.3 It's been a pleasure to work with you 14 on this. (Applause.) 15 16 MS. TUFANO: Thank you. 17 I appreciate your kind words. I really 18 wish I wasn't in this position, but I appreciate 19 your help. Good night. 2.0 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I understand. 21 Thank you. 22 Mr. McKenna? 23 MR. McKENNA: Good evening. 24 Kevin McKenna, Syosset, New York. 25 I've been at the last few meetings

2.0

4.3

where this has been discussed and my thinking was along the lines of Denise Tufano's a little while ago, and I just want to expand upon that a little bit.

You know, a lot of times, things are said that they're going to be done and this issue about whether they were active or not, that was a discussion before, and it appears that -- appears that although it might have been done, it's not communicated to these people behind that have these -- these poles in front of their homes or wherever.

And, you know, on the Town's website and Facebook page, there's certainly so much information about pictures being taken and awards being given, I can go on and on, and this particular topic, you have it on the Town's website, you have a copy of the Ordinance that you read today, I don't understand why -- first off, this should be -- these residents should have a single point of contact that when somebody shows up, which they could, somebody could show up tomorrow to put a new pole, they should have somebody that they can call.

Just like earlier, the ShopRite,

2.0

Mr. Esposito was calling the attorney from -- the people who did the presentation, Crowne Points [sic] or whatever it was. So they should have somebody that tomorrow morning at 8:00, somebody shows up, they're going to be, like, what do I do? You heard somebody say that you call Crown Castle and you get a recording. They need to have a point of contact.

You know, what really dismays me is that I've read the lawsuit that was served upon the Town from Crown Castle and it says in the lawsuit that the Town went -- before they issued these right-of-way permits, it says in the lawsuit -- and again, this is what Crown Castle says, I doubt they're going to be lying in a lawsuit, that somebody from the Town physically went to the locations and walked the sites before issuing the permits.

I hope that the person who walked -well, first of all, whoever walked that site should
be, right now, calling up each resident near the
site and they should be making sure that they went
in the site, that they met with Crown Castle to see
if they did what they were supposed to do.

It just seems like there's too many

2.0

4.5

people involved in this issue and if we truly had somebody out there who met with Crown Castle before they gave the permits, then that person, right now, should be meeting, again, with Crown Castle.

I mean, obviously, we have a contact at Crown Castle. You know, I spent twenty years -- you asked me two times if I've been in the insurance agency -- in the insurance business. I spent twenty-five years in the telecommunications industry and I worked for a major, major company.

And every company, although it's hard to get the people at the top, there is somebody that's in charge of this project, and if we don't know who that is at this point right now, then shame on us, and I believe that we do know who it is and we should be meeting with that person and saying to them, let's fix this problem, which apparently has not been done up until now.

We're dealing with a lawsuit against us, okay, which is mainly having to do with health issues which, you know, good luck with that.

That's already been tried, proven and lost, and it's not going to be -- it's not going to be won.

Somebody needs to talk to Crown Castle and say, hey, you know, we don't need to sue each

2.0

other, you don't need to sue us.

They said they're reasonable people.

Okay. I spoke to an executive at Verizon Wireless last week and they said, Kevin, I wish that you would have called sooner. It's too late. A lawsuit's already filed and shame on whoever for not calling up somebody at Crown Castle before they served the lawsuit and working this out.

These residents need a point of contact and I don't want to hear at the next meeting somebody come up again and say, I still don't know whether or not my tower's turned on or not. You know, do what you say you're going to do. There's too many people involved. You need a single point of contact.

Thank you.

(Applause.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna, I'm assuming that means you're in favor of this legislation?

MR. McKENNA: I'm in favor of the legislation, but the legislation is a day late and a dollar short. Okay. It's great going forward.

You just actually reminded me of a question I forgot to ask.

2.0

What's the purpose of the Town of

Oyster Bay giving out permits in the first place?

Is it to just make money or is it to make money on
a permit fee as well as make sure that it's not
below the FCC law of 1996 says the towers are not
supposed to be below 50 feet.

Has anybody checked these towers to make sure? The law says -- the law -- the law, okay. You can call them up and say, guys, you're not abiding by the law if the repeater tower is below 50 feet. That has not been done.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's why we are in the process and that's why we do have the law firm in place, but I thank you for your comments.

MR. McKENNA: Say you're in process but this should have been -- this should have been the first thing -- well, going back to --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna, we are doing the things that you are suggesting.

MR. McKENNA: When you rescind a contract, what you say -- what you have, because that's why you're being sued, what happens with the rescinded permit for that pole?

Does that give the Town the ability to go and say -- what happens when you rescind a

48 1 permit? Do you just rescind it, get sued and now say, okay, now what do we do? What happens? 2 3 That's a question. 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna, this 5 is -- thank you. MR. McKENNA: You don't have the answer 6 7 the question, I understand, but they have the 8 answer to the question and for you to not want them 9 to answer it means that you're rescinding a 10 permit --11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, 12 Mr. McKenna. 13 MR. MCKENNA: What's the purpose of 14 rescinding a permit? 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna, 16 thank you for your comments. 17 MR. McKENNA: What's the purpose? Τо 18 get sued? 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, 20 Mr. McKenna. 21 Yes. Would you like to step forward 22 Just to alert you to what is going on, please? 23 some of that information was not accurate. 24 We have put in place one of the top law 25 firms in the nation and we are in the process of

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

49 1 going through all of our legal channels to protect 2 our residents, to stop this process, and we are in 3 the process of asking them to remove these repeaters on a number of grounds. 4 5 (Applause.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 6 7 Can you please give us your name and 8 address for the record? 9 MS. YORK: Joan and Herb York, Calvert 10 Drive, Syosset. 11 I do agree that there should be a point 12 person because since this whole thing started, and 13 for us, it's been a couple of months, we've been 14 told we don't know what the Town has told us, we don't know what the poles are, call PSE&G, the 15 16 electric company. We've been told to call Crown 17 Castle directly. We've been told the former 18 administration approved them. We've just been 19 given the runaround. 2.0 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. We have a 21 point person and he's right here. His name is Matt 22 Rozea, and I'd like you to please --23 MS. YORK: Well, the point person who 24 called me and reached out to me, which I

appreciated, was Mr. Scaglia.

50 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Ron Scaglia of my 2 office. 3 MS. YORK: Is he here? 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm not positive 5 that he's in the room, but yes, he represents me in --6 7 MS. YORK: And then a Mr. Sheehan called, is he here? 8 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes, he is. 10 MS. YORK: You know, then I was told 11 the Highway Department chose the location, so 12 reading through most of the ordinance -- I'm sorry, 13 I'll give you a minute. You know, I didn't read 14 verbatim, but I got the gist of it and I think it 15 was Page 6 and 7 that said any existing towers, any 16 approved permits, they are exempt from this, so that was a major blow to people who have this right 17 18 in front of their front windows. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We are, just so 20 you understand, one of the asks that we have in 21 this process is to remove those poles that have 22 been put in residential neighborhoods. 23 MS. YORK: I'm just -- I'm not seeing 24 any of that in writing and that's concerning. 25 I do feel, and maybe it was before your

1.3

2.0

administration, with all due respect, I feel the ball was dropped, and I feel that in order -- you want to say get reelected, but in order to have faith in this administration, maybe in good faith you should negotiate with Crown Castle and give them a little extra money, they'll have work and they'll relocate them.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We are in the process of negotiating with them. We have hired one of the best law firms in the country to assist in this process so we can be successful. They have been successful in the past.

The Town did have a lawsuit which they lost many years ago, but we're not taking that lightly, and we certainly have picked up the ball.

MS. YORK: Maybe you'll have a better success rate.

And one of the other things the ordinance said was something about location away from mishaps. It mentioned the word "collision." It's just ironic. I don't know if we can see how these locations were determined.

Did you say the Highway person is here, Mr. Lenz, is it?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's have

25

52 1 Mr. Rozea come up and address some of your 2 questions because he's in thick of this. 3 Would you step forward, please? MS. YORK: How were the locations 4 5 chosen because --MR. ROZEA: One on one end of the block 6 7 and one at the end --8 MS. YORK: And I just want to say that 9 on the corner of Calvert and Cold Spring Road, 10 there was a fatality. It's a speed trap. 11 was a fatality where high school student was killed 12 on Halloween. It was where Lindsey Lohan's father 13 crashed into a pole and we all lost power for a 14 couple of days. It was where recently the airplane 15 just came down and knocked everything down. 16 It's -- it's not a friendly corner for 17 that kind of, you know, potentially very harmful 18 equipment to be coming down as has been -- it 19 happened in the past. 2.0 So, how was it chosen? 21 MR. ROZEA: Supervisor, Members of the 22 Board, allegations were made within the context of 23 the litigation with respect to the selection of the

ON TIME COURT REPORTING

516-535-3939

sites that are now at issue or the preexisting

sites, and so once again, I'm going to return to

53 1 the position so as not to compromise the Town's 2 position with the litigation to comment on how 3 anything was selected with respect to location and permitting. 4 5 Then why did we get the MR. YORK: poles so close together? 6 7 MR. ROZEA: Again, to not compromise 8 the Town's position in the litigation, I don't 9 think it would be proper or prudent to comment on 10 how anything was selected or permitted prior to 11 today. 12 MS. YORK: Well, I just want to say 13 that when we asked some of these questions at the 14 May 23rd hearing, there was no litigation and we 15 got the same, we can't answer that, so I don't 16 think it's because of the recent litigation. 17 (Applause.) 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It's important 19 here --2.0 MS. YORK: It's frustrating that we see 21 our Supervisor call you up and then you're saying I 22 can't answer your questions; like, it's 23 frustrating. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Matt, what 25 information can we give that does not compromise

our case?

MR. ROZEA: We know where the -- where the poles are and we know the locations that Crown Castle represented those poles should be.

We'll be more than happy to investigate as we have been whether or not Crown Castle did, in fact, place those poles in the locations that they indicated that they would.

But, again, I'm an attorney for the Town, and it's my job to protect the Town's interests and I don't want to say anything on the record that would be used against the Town in the litigation. I can't do that in good conscience.

MS. YORK: Again, I just -- if Crown Castle said, we'd like to put one here and there, was there anyone from the Town who questioned the location, who said, is there a need?

According to the Ordinance, there's supposed to be all kinds of scientific -- scientific or engineering information showing that there's a need for it, and it's not just a profit making, we'll put that here and, you know, we'll give you your 5 percent.

Might not sound like a lot for the Town, but I'm sure 5 percent of billions of dollars

55 1 adds up so we see that it -- there's a motive for 2 the Town to approve them but, you know, where is 3 the quality control where this is --4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No financial 5 motive to our Town. We do not side with this company. We side with our residents. 6 7 MS. YORK: Someone approved them 8 without --9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I realize that. 10 MS. YORK: -- any kind of transparency. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I understand --12 MR. ROZEA: If I may, Supervisor. 1.3 What has taken place up until today 14 was, in part, the genesis of this hearing and this 15 proposed ordinance. 16 But that's why we're here, to address 17 these types of concerns so that we're not in the 18 same type of position as we were up until today. 19 Each of the concerns that have been raised by the residents are addressed within the context of the 2.0 21 proposed ordinance, and so it is in the best 22 interests of the residents for those -- for those 23 exact reasons that the Board consider the ordinance 24 as drafted and as presented. 25 MR. YORK: Again, it was after the

56 1 fact. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, I can 3 address that. 4 I came here -- I came here on the 31st 5 of January, is when I began as the Supervisor. Not long after that, I learned of the 6 7 poles going in. We immediately took action. 8 denied any more of these permits. We hired a law 9 firm that would help us craft the best legislation. 10 We're going to be fighting them in court and every 11 other way negotiating with them. 12 But the important thing for you to 1.3 understand is we've had these conversations with 14 all of the neighbors, we've been to the Civic 15 Association Meeting, I've met with Ms. Tufano on a 16 number of occasions, we've been on the phone --17 MS. YORK: Don't forget about Syosset. 18 That's Woodbury. 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We're not 20 forgetting about any location. There are two of 21 these on my block where I live. Not directly in 22 front of my house, but on the block, so I 23 understand your concerns and I understand what you 24 and all the residents are going through. 25 I want you to know, we are your

|    | 57  |
|----|---|
| 1  | partners in this.                                 |
| 2  | MR. YORK: Bottom line, we want them               |
| 3  | down.   |
| 4  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We understand                |
| 5  | that, and we are                                  |
| 6  | MR. YORK: You can't beat a dead horse             |
| 7  | and have meetings after meetings. We just want    |
| 8  | them down.  |
| 9  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We have to follow            |
| 10 | the laws, you would want us to. And there's a law |
| 11 | that says you have to go through a process.       |
| 12 | One part of that process is the public hearing.   |
| 13 | Part of the process is to advertise the public    |
| 14 | hearing.  |
| 15 | MR. YORK: It can take years.                      |
| 16 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No, no, no. The              |
| 17 | public hearing is today.                          |
| 18 | MR. YORK: No. I'm talking about the               |
| 19 | lawsuits and everything else.                     |
| 20 | That could take years before these                |
| 21 | poles come down, right?                           |
| 22 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We're going to do            |
| 23 | everything we can. We can't go out there with a   |
| 24 | chain saw and cut the pole down.                  |
| 25 | MS YORK: Dr Bura mentioned adding                 |

some ordinances.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Could there be something added about grandfathering in the ones that were put in without any notification that it was some kind of neglectful process that went on?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's the purpose of this hearing. To learn more from what the resident wants, to discuss this with our Town Attorney, our Council team --

That would be wonderful. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: -- and these attorneys that we've brought in who specialize in this very specific area of the law.

MS. YORK:

MS. YORK: I mean, I also didn't plan on speaking and I know you have every word that we said but maybe you could make sure you get in that I'm asking to put in writing, in the ordinance, something about the existing towers within -- maybe you want to say the last year or, you know, we'll include the ones on your block, too, You know, to put that in writing so that it's not just, well, he said at one meeting and --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We understand, and I'm going to direct Mr. Rozea right now and our Town Attorney, Mr. Nocella, to please speak with

59 1 our counsel that we have hired for this very 2 purpose to bring these issues up and to have them 3 strongly consider this. We'll not -- we will not 4 be voting on this tonight because we want the 5 opportunity for people to give us more input, we want the opportunity to get any amendments in that 6 7 will make this the very best legislation in the 8 country and we are on your side. 9 MR. YORK: And I did examine that tree, 10 on the block. I did examine it. It was a perfect 11 healthy tree and it's just white. 12 MS. YORK: It was. 1.3 MR. YORK: The leaves are soft. Ιt 14 just went fast. 15 I mean, you're talking like a month and 16 that tree went down real quick. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. MR. YORK: A nice sized tree. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 19 2.0 MR. YORK: It's a shame. 21 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Freier? 22 How are you this evening? 23 MR. FREIER: Hi. I'm fine and hope you 24 enjoyed the concert the other night. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes.

60 You did, too. 1 2 MR. FREIER: It was great. 3 I, just for clarity, you mentioned you coming on board at the end of January and then 4 5 finding out about this, but weren't the permits approved in April of 2017? 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'd have to check 8 and see when they were approved, but as soon as I 9 found out about the issue, we immediately sprung 10 into action. 11 We -- I directed the department to pull 12 the permits. We went through the process of 13 vetting out and finding the best law firm to help 14 us with this and we've been going through the 15 process, as you know from being at our Town Board 16 meetings, that you've seen we've been going through 17 the process of public notification and now here at the hearing and then the decision, and I know how 18 19 I'll be voting on this. MR. FREIER: Could someone confirm when 2.0 21 the permits were approved because I thought I read 22 April of 2017. 23 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Sure. 24 We'll find that out for you. 25 (Inaudible chatter.)

61 1 MR. FREIER: There must have been 2 permits granted prior to that. 3 (Inaudible chatter.) 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We will look into 5 that Mr. Freier. Thank you. 6 7 MR. FREIER: Someone can't answer that 8 here now. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We will 10 absolutely look into that. 11 Thank you. 12 Are there any -- yes, ma'am. Hi. 13 MS. MENDUNI: 14 Rosalie Menduni from 2 Calvert Drive. 15 I just want to thank you so much for 16 all of your efforts. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're welcome. 18 MS. MENDUNI: It's good to be heard. 19 I'm happy that everybody is pulling together. I 20 don't want to repeat everything, everything was 21 pretty much was said, but I just wanted to know, 22 what productive steps can we do as a community to 23 work together to get things moving more? 24 Like, do we have to go federal 25 government level? How can this happen? I'm afraid

1.3

2.0

that it's going to take years.

I live at 2 Calvert Drive, it's affecting my own health, regardless of what other people say, I know my head. I have autoimmune disease. I am struggling stress wise, probably just because it's outside my bedroom window.

I also have a private practice where I see pediatric patients. Just buried a ten-year-old boy with brain tumor from cancer. I work with children who are very compromised that come to me, going through radiation and all kinds of other things and it is literally 25 feet from my house and I have a home office right there. It's impacting my personal life, my business.

I really want to move and I can't. My son is still in school. This has been a very big hardship. I know that it is not personal, it's a much bigger issue than me, but all I can speak to is myself and if we can do anything as a community on a bigger level -- I mean, I'm understanding that you have a limitation in what you can do because of the laws and everything and I don't know what else can be done so that it doesn't take years because I feel like every week, I'm losing business over this whole thing.

1.3

2.0

6.3

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I have a couple of suggestions for your question.

One is that everyone can be writing letters to our Federal Senators, Senator Gillibrand and Senator Schumer asking them to amend the law immediately to give Towns and Villages and Counties, local municipalities, the right to decide where these repeaters are placed.

In addition, if you would like to write a note or a letter in favor of this legislation.

You've told us that you are, and we can also include that in the file.

MS. MENDUNI: Okay. And the ones
that -- let's say they say, okay, we looked into it
and they're exactly where it's supposed to be.

It's supposed to be outside your bedroom window.

What do I do then? And the other one on the other
side of the block and another two blocks away right
by Berry Hill Elementary School. I went to the
elementary school to talk to the people. They said
you're not allowed to solicit here. It seems that
people are not concerned enough about our children,
you know?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We are.

MS. MENDUNI: They're saying that we

64 1 can't talk about health. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I want to make it 3 clear that we are very concerned for all the 4 reasons. 5 MS. MENDUNI: I do appreciate it. just felt like I had to say something. 6 7 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Thank you. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 8 9 MS. MENDUNI: Thank you very much. 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. 11 Hi. Good evening. 12 MS. RYDZEWSKI: Good evening. 1.3 My name Margie Rydzewski and I traveled 14 here from Garden City. Thank you, Supervisor Saladino, for 15 16 allowing me to come tonight and to the Council and to all the residents here. 17 18 I want you to know that I feel your 19 pain and so does another resident from Garden City 2.0 that is here tonight because we were put into this 21 situation seven years ago in Garden City. 22 We felt extremely violated and I think 23 that's how everybody really feels tonight and 24 they're expressing their emotions very eloquently. 25 You know, it takes a lot of years to

2.0

save all your money and buy the home of your dreams, and when you buy the home of your dreams to raise your family in and it doesn't have this kind of atrocity, the cell antenna, repeaters, whether it be in your backyard or your frontyard.

It's the worst feeling in the world to come home and see that your value of your home has diminished greatly because of the actions of big business and, of course, the worry of our health, that's -- that's paramount and I personally have to just say that I think it's quite immoral and unethical of our federal government to not even consider that, and that's another story. We'll have to fight that issue next.

But I would like to say that I'm extremely impressed, extremely impressed with Supervisor Saladino and the Council for taking the very strong stance that you are taking for your residents.

I sat here on May 23rd with my neighbor Mary Timmons and we did everything we could to hold ourselves back from standing up and applauding because you hired the best law -- the best lawyers that you could hire and you are creating an ordinance to protect your residents and that's

1.3

2.0

fabulous. We think that's terrific.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

MS. RYDZEWSKI: But Villages need your protection.

I have a concern because we're in an incorporated village in Garden City, and I don't know how it works in the Town of Oyster Bay, but I know that the Town of Hempstead ordinance didn't protect us because we are a Village. And it took our village to make their own ordinance to protect the residents in Garden City.

I don't -- I'm not sure how Oyster Bay is with the villages, but I know there are about 18 of them, so I urge you to work with the villages so that they can also be brought up to par with an ordinance as soon as possible if that's necessary.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Certainly.

MS. RYDZEWSKI: As far as the emitting radiation, we had an instance when I was home -- and I don't have one of these in my backyard, but I am a very concerned resident that lives across the street from one, and for me to have to see a worker from Crown Castle come and put on some sort of protective gear to open up the fan that everybody hears, all the buzzing noise coming from, because

1.3

2.0

there is radioactive waste -- radioactive waste being emitted from that was a sheer terror for me and I just wanted to bring that to your attention.

I think that what Dr. Burg suggested, and the other residents suggested about testing anything that does go up, it's extremely important that things -- that these are tested to make sure that they are within the standards allowable by the government, which should be reevaluated, of course, 1996 is quite a number of years ago so...but I would like to just go on to just say that there are things that all of these residents here can do.

And, Rosalie, you asked how to be productive and I'm going to ask everybody to please get a piece of pen and paper and write down that you can contact your New York State Senator.

Senator -- well, our Senator Hannan and Senator Flanagan and Senator Marcellino and Senator Phillips, and urge them to please pass a bill that has been presented and that's Bill No. S6687 that was presented by Kemp Hannon and Assembly Bill by Senator Flanagan, Bill No. A8476.

This will help to protect all New York State residents. All of you, us, and it has to do with specifically I can read it briefly, I -- do I

have the time for that?

1.3

2.0

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, there is something in the sponsor's memo that gives a synopsis of what the Bill does and perhaps that would be the most appropriate way.

MS. RYDZEWSKI: Here we go.

The title of the Bill, "An Act to Amend the Public Service Law in Relation to Prohibiting the Attachment of Wireless Equipment and Other Like Attachments to Existing Utility Poles in Certain Circumstances."

And, basically, to give you a justification, "Many New York residents are complaining about attachments being installed on existing utility poles. Residents argue that not only are their rights as property owners being violated, but that the attachment of wireless broadcasts or relay equipment or any other attachments to utility poles could result in potential health problems as they are unaware of the levels of radiation such attachments in emit.

"Moreover, many New York residents are concerned about the effect on their property values as a result of attachments to utility poles.

"The Bill would require an existing

69 1 easement to be explicitly amended in writing and 2 then file to include wireless equipment or a new easement be written and filed to allow the 3 4 attachment of wireless broadcasts or relay 5 equipment or any other like attachment to utility pole in order to protect property rights of 6 7 New Yorkers." 8 Basically, what it comes down to is 9 we're all in this together and that's why we're 10 here tonight. I think we need to join our forces. 11 I think we need to be strong across Long Island and 12 New York State and bring this Bill after the 13 New York level to the federal level because I think 14 people deserve a right of protection of their 15 private property and to live without worry and to 16 live with a better quality of life. 17 So we stand with you all tonight and we 18 ask you to please contact these senators as soon as 19 possible, because they're supposed to vote 2.0 tomorrow, so urge them to pass this crucial 21 legislation, please. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 23 much. 24 MS. RYDZEWSKI: Thank you. 25 (Applause.)

70 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any 2 other speakers? Yes. 3 MS. TIMMONS: Good evening. 4 My name is Mary Timmons and I'm from 5 Garden City, New York. It is a true pleasure to be here. 6 7 In Garden City, we've been dealing with 8 this for seven years, and actually, it was just 9 last year that we had a telecommunications 10 ordinance passed and so, you know, we were six 11 years. How long are you guys? About four weeks. 12 So, I mean, I congratulate this Board 13 to the nth degree. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 15 MS. TIMMONS: I know that the 16 residents --17 (Applause.) 18 MS. TIMMONS: -- I am in the same spot 19 as the resident sitting behind me and it is 20 painful. I ditto Margie's words there. 21 Every day we live in fear, for seven 22 years, that the truck is going to pull up. 23 block has changed tremendously, but Garden City has 24 it in ten spots throughout Garden City. I don't 25 exactly know what the configuration is here, but I

2.0

wanted to say that I think that -- I think it was Dr. Burg that said that the Town of Oyster Bay, you know, they want you to make a statement for the, at least New York, at least Long Island, and Dr. Burg, they have made a statement.

Everybody on Long Island is watching the Town of Oyster Bay and Supervisor Saladino on the appropriate placement. First, the removal; then appropriate replacement away from homes. It has been a hot topic anywhere I go, so I think this is wonderful news for this community to be the first.

You don't know this, but we happened to tap the shoulder of the woman sitting in front of us. Guess where she's from? She's not from here. She's from the Town of Huntington, looking to the Town of Oyster Bay for their intelligent and compassionate ways to handle a very, very painful -- I don't know, it's sort of like something is stolen from you, it's your life, so we are looking at you.

Now to the gentleman who was talking about the safety of RF radiation, I want to say, I don't smoke. I chose not to smoke, so I don't get those chemicals. I don't lie in the sun. I don't

1.3

2.0

want that exposure either and I did not want to be exposed to the RF radiation.

So, Garden City, right after they were put in seven years ago, we asked them to have a company come in and measure the emissions. I can get you a copy of at least a couple of houses that they were measured.

But it's frightening to be in your house with a gentleman testing, and it was independent, and he says okay, here is your cell phone emissions, and it's around a Number 1 and here's your wi-fi, and it's around a Number 5.

Now, you go to the Next -- well, we called it NextG because NextG is now bought by Crown Castle. But he said, this is the NextG emissions. And you go -- I'm talking about houses that are more affected than mine. I'm almost umbrella'd over but you go from a 1 to a 5 and then you're almost further away and you're at 500, 600.

Now, to me, you can't tell me that that is close to cutting a piece of cheese, et cetera.

That was very upsetting to see. So let's see.

I wanted to say that first and foremost, they're ugly. They are a hideous looking piece of equipment and industrial. That, by

2.0

itself, should be the way that these things get moved.

If I asked you as a group, there's a house for sale on Elm Street. I don't even know what's on Elm Street and a house on Prince Street. They're identical. The blocks are the same.

One of them has a cell antenna in front of it; the other one doesn't. Which one are you going to buy? You're going to buy the one with the cell antenna? Raise your hand if you're going to buy the one with a cell antenna. Anybody back there want the house with the cell antenna?

No, okay. All right. So, there we go.

Somebody wants it? No, no. Okay.

All right. So we have 100 percent no sale antenna. Now, if you were going to buy the one with the cell antenna, what's the first thing you're thinking, everybody? You're gonna get a break on the price. Property values. We have 100 percent here saying that they want the house without the cell antenna and most people wouldn't even want to buy the one with the break. Okay.

I think that there's two points here, ugly and that. I don't know what else to say.

I can tell you that in the Village of

74 Garden City, they're on private homeowner's 1 2 property. They are not on public rights of way, 3 and I can tell you that I already know one person 4 who's moving from one house to another in Garden 5 City. And the one question she's saying is, I don't want a house with an easement in the back. 6 7 Real estate says why? 8 Well, because I don't want anybody 9 coming in here and planting a cell antenna. 10 That said, thank you for listening. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 12 MS. TIMMONS: And I applaud everything 13 you're doing, and I'm going to watch you moving 14 forward. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very 16 much. Appreciate it. Thank you. 17 Sir? 18 MR. CAMHI: Hello. 19 My name is Mitchell Camhi. I live on 6 Stafford Avenue, Woodbury. 20 21 I've heard we're not supposed to be 22 concerned about health issues; however, I have a 23 pacemaker. Original was put in, in 2008, and I'm 24 just about ready to have it replaced Thursday, 25 okay. I'm going for an operation.

75 1 The electrophysiologist told me, don't 2 put earbuds near the pacemaker. 3 Oh, we all know what earbuds are, things you put in your ear, listen to music from 4 5 your phone or whatever. The force of an earbud, how much could that be? Probably not much at all. 6 7 Okay. 8 I'm sure these repeaters have a lot 9 more frequency or electromagnetic response, okay, 10 so I'm very concerned having a pacemaker that this 11 is 25 feet from where I'm out of my yard. 12 So, I just want to let the Board know 13 that. 14 Thank you. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 16 MR. CAMHI: Also, at the meeting when 17 we were out at the Civic Association, I asked 18 whether or not the repeater or cell phone tower was 19 on and someone was supposed to get back to me. 2.0 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Could you please touch base with Mr. Rozea? 21 22 We will get that answer to you. 23 Yeah, I want to know MR. CAMHI: 24 whether that's on at 6 Stafford Avenue on Magnolia. 25 I was told I was going to get a call

76 1 after the meeting on Monday. 2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We have been 3 contracting Crown Castle. Sometimes they have given us information, sometimes not, but if you 4 5 touch base with our legal team, we'll try our best. MR. CAMHI: Okay. Thank you. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Certainly. 8 Mr. Rozea, what's your phone number? MR. ROZEA: 624-6150. 9 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Did everybody 11 hear that? Certainly, you can give them now. 12 Okay. Thank you. Seems though 13 everybody has -- would you like to come forward? 14 Thank you. 15 MS. DECKER: Good evening. 16 My name is Sandra Decker. I'm at 169 Cold Spring Road in Syosset. 17 18 My tower was mentioned. It is the one 19 near Berry Hill Elementary School. It is in front 2.0 of my property and it is definitely on because the 21 green light is on. And, previously, when some 22 workers were there working on it, I went out and I 23 looked and the green light was off, and after they 24 left, the green light is on, so I believe that my 25 tower is on.

2.0

I know they say there's no health issue. I am concerned. It is about 20 feet outside of my son's bedroom window. It's about 25 feet up in the air. It's definitely nowhere near 50 feet, which is where it's supposed to be.

My issue is I live on a County road, so Town of Oyster Bay says we didn't issue the permit for that. Nassau County says it is an existing pole and it is on a public right of way and we didn't issue a permit for that either. It was either Verizon or PSE&G or Crown Castle, whoever owns the pole basically has the right to put the tower up.

So, I contacted Verizon, Verizon said PSE&G owns it, I contact PSE&G, they said Verizon owns it. Wow, what do you know, so I'm just getting the runaround all the way.

I feel like the Town can't help me.

I've contacted the County, the Highway Department,

again, it's a right of way, so they're saying they

have -- they don't have anything to do with it. I

really can't get anywhere and I am concerned. I'm

truly concerned.

My son has been having headaches, but again, I can't prove that it is because of this

78 1 cell tower that's so close to our home. 2 One question that I do have is, I just 3 found out about the litigation on the existing 4 poles. 5 Now, does this cover my pole because that pole is on a County road? We're talking about 6 7 Cold Spring Road here. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's get that 9 answer for you. 10 Mr. Rozea. 11 MR. ROZEA: Well --12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: If you'd step 13 up, please. 14 MR. ROZEA: County road, like Cold 15 Spring, Cold Spring Road, is indeed under the 16 jurisdiction of Nassau County, so I don't believe 17 that the Town of Oyster Bay would have had any say 18 in what goes or what does not go within the right 19 of way that's maintained by the County. 20 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 21 We need to --22 MR. ROZEA: So in short, no. 23 MS. DECKER: So, again, I'm just left 24 out in the cold. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We will contact

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

79 1 Nassau County and make sure that they hear of your 2 complaint so that we can forward them all to the 3 County. 4 MS. DECKER: Yes. I've spoken to 5 people there and I have names, and it's, sorry, we can't help you. 6 7 Literally, that's what I have gotten. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We are going to look in to that. You're still a resident of the 9 10 Town of Oyster Bay, so we represent you. 11 MS. DECKER: Yes, I am. 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So we will look 13 into that and we will put some pressure on them on 14 your behalf. 15 MS. DECKER: Okay. 16 (Applause.) 17 MS. DECKER: Thank you very much. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Everyone has 19 spoken, I believe, who wanted to speak. 2.0 Dr. Burg, since you spoke already, if 21 you could just make it very brief, please. 22 DR. BURG: I will try. I know it's 23 getting late. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And we still have 25 our calendar to get to.

### ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

1.3

2.0

DR. BURG: I understand, I'm sorry.

I just wanted to make a brief comment.

Even though the Telecommunications Act of 1996 states that no local government may regulate the placement, construction, modification of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of environmental effects of radiofrequency emissions, it also states to the extent that such facilities, and I'm reading directly from it, by the way, "extent that such facilities comply with the Commission's regulations concerning such emissions."

That's why I spoke earlier about compliance. Okay.

Real quick, and I'll make this real brief. I'll summarize this.

The U.S. National Toxicology Program
the NTB -- NTP, basically, did a \$25 million study
and the study showed that the intensity of
radiation increased, so did the incidence of cancer
among rats. There's a significant dose response
relationship. It showed that these rats got both
gliomas, which is a tumor of the brain and
schwannomas, which is a tumor of the heart.

Importantly, the exposed rats were

1.3

found to have higher rates of the two types of cancers. Okay. A very rare tumor. None of the unexposed control rats developed either tumor. Okay.

And, again, I want to summarize because I know we're on a time constraint here, scientists have said that because of this new study, this NTP study, it shows that the data redefines the cell phone radiation controversy.

The safety of cell phones has been debated over twenty years. Okay. And the International Agency for Research on Cancer classified RF radiation as a possible human carcinogen in 2011.

One of the scientists stated, and I quote, "This is a major public health concern because the cells which became cancerous in the rats were the same types of cells as those found that have been reported to develop into tumors in cell phone epidemiological studies."

For this to be the chance coincidence would be truly amazing.

Okay. Everyone expected the study to be negative but, in fact, the study was positive. One scientist wrote, "This study is a game changer.

82 1 There is no question." 2 The Director of the Institute for 3 Health and the Environment at the University of 4 Albany stated, "It confirms that we've been seeing 5 for many years though now we have evidence in animals as well as in humans." Okay. 6 7 There is a relationship between RF 8 radiation and producing cancer. 9 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Dr. Burg, would 10 you like to present this information to our Town 11 Clerk? 12 DR. BURG: I will. 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you like to 14 give that and we will follow up? 15 DR. BURG: Yes. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We will get all 17 this information. I understand you'd like to talk 18 about health issues. 19 DR. BURG: I just want to leave at that 20 because a gentleman came up and basically was 21 trying to say they're healthy. 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes, but this is 23 probably not the appropriate place to get into it. 24 DR. BURG: I'm done with the health 25 topic.

1.3

2.0

I just wanted to add something to my conversation regarding a lot of the residents tonight spoke about devaluation of their homes and they're just saying in general terms, they, you know, it devalues your home.

I would like to state, for the record, here tonight, the Town of Oyster Bay, some facts.

Real brief, it will take two minutes. Okay.

There was the bond and use study conducted in 2004 involved the analysis of 9,514 residential homes sales in ten suburbs. The study reflected that close proximity to a cell tower reduced the price of their homes by 15 percent on average. There was the bond and Wang study. Okay. Which the analysis of 4,283 residential home sales in four suburbs between 1984 and 2002.

The study reflected that close proximity to a cell tower reduced price between 20.7 percent and 21 percent. Okay.

There is also an opinion survey that showed that 24 percent of people said they reduced their sale price by 10 to 19 percent.

I just wanted to state some devaluation facts for the record some devaluation facts for the record.

2.0

Thank you very much. I know it's getting late.

Again, thank you, Supervisor Saladino, for the time to speak.

Thank you, Council Members.

(Applause.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

I would just like to finish up by stating this is an extremely important issue to our Town. We are working on this diligently. We are taking every step to address our residents and protect our residents and proof of that is the fact that we have pulled these permits. Proof of that is the fact that Crown Castle is now suing us because we are taking a very firm stand on this.

We have worked diligently to select on one of the top legal firms in the area, if not the country, to represent the Town on this issue. I'm extremely confident in this firm as well as their expertise and their core understanding and quite frankly, they match our passion to fix this problem. So without going into further information because, obviously, we're in litigation, I will ask for a motion to close this hearing.

MR. MUSCARELLA: Need correspondence?

|    | 85  |
|----|---|
| 1  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is there                     |
| 2  | correspondence? Sorry.                            |
| 3  | MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor, you had                |
| 4  | stated that you received e-mails?                 |
| 5  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No, I did not                |
| 6  | state that.                                       |
| 7  | MR. ALTADONNA: Any communications?                |
| 8  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We have some                 |
| 9  | communications in the office, but I'm sure you've |
| 10 | gotten that.                                      |
| 11 | MR. ALTADONNA: They're going to go                |
| 12 | onto the record like Councilwoman Alesia.         |
| 13 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.                        |
| 14 | MR. ALTADONNA: Okay.                              |
| 15 | We have Affidavits of Postings and                |
| 16 | Publications. We have letters of opposition from  |
| 17 | residents. There are no other correspondence.     |
| 18 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May I have a                 |
| 19 | motion?   |
| 20 | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I              |
| 21 | make a motion that this public hearing be closed  |
| 22 | and the decision be reserved.                     |
| 23 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.                     |
| 24 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,                |
| 25 | signify by saying "Aye."                          |

```
86
                   ALL: "Aye."
1
2
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Nay"?
3
                   (No verbal response given.)
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
4
5
      it.
                   Thank you. We will be taking
6
7
      approximately a ten-minute break to give a break to
8
      our team.
9
                   (Whereupon, a recess was taken at
10
      10:09 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
ACTION CALENDAR
JUNE 20, 2017
10:20 p.m.

JOSEPH SALADINO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR.
TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOSEPH S. SALADINO COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE COUNCILMAN LOUIS B. IMBROTO COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON COUNCILMAN THOMAS HAND

ALSO PRESENT:

TOWN CLERK JAMES ALTADONNA JR. RECEIVER OF TAXES JAMES STEFANICH

Minutes of the meeting taken by:

KRISTINA TRNKA Reporter/Notary

2 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Ladies and 1 2 gentlemen, if you don't mind taking your seats so 3 we can proceed with our Calendar? 4 Is our Town Attorney nearby? Would 5 someone bring our Town Attorney in, please? I'm going to ask the Town Clerk to 6 7 untable a previously tabled Resolution 294. 8 MR. ALTADONNA: Remove from the table. 9 We have a motion to remove from the 10 table, Resolution No. 294-2017, which was removed 11 from the table on May 23, 2017. 12 Resolution No. 294-2017, tabled on 13 May 23, 2017; Resolution to amend Resolution No. 14 83-2016 in connection with Contract No. PWC 005-16, Historic Preservation and Special Events Consultant 15 16 Services. (M.D. 5/16/17 #26). 17 On the motion? 18 MR. MUSCARELLA: So moved. 19 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second. 2.0 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 21 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 22 Macagnone. 23 Now, this is just to remove from the 24 table. 25 On the vote?

|    | 3  |
|----|--|
| 1  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."                          |
| 2  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?                |
| 3  | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."                        |
| 4  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?                 |
| 5  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."                         |
| 6  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?                  |
| 7  | COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."                          |
| 8  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?                 |
| 9  | COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."                         |
| 10 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?                   |
| 11 | COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."                           |
| 12 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?                      |
| 13 | COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."                              |
| 14 | MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to remove                      |
| 15 | Resolution No. 294-2017 passes with seven "Ayes."    |
| 16 | Now, may I have a motion to adopt                    |
| 17 | Resolution No. 294-2017?                             |
| 18 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, before we                 |
| 19 | do that, I'm going to ask for an amendment.          |
| 20 | Due to recent information received from              |
| 21 | my staff today, I propose to amend Resolution 294    |
| 22 | to be amended to limiting payment for the services   |
| 23 | already rendered from vendors from January 1, 2017,  |
| 24 | and to this day, June 20, 2017. And that total       |
| 25 | payment for both vendors will not exceed \$19,625 in |

4 1 total of all. 2 Of the \$19,625, only \$17,000 is the 3 additional encumbrance being proposed to be 4 authorized tonight. 5 And I am proposing, directing the Town to discontinue the funding of these services from 6 7 these vendors as we change to provide services at 8 The Farm at Oyster Bay to in-house Town employees 9 in furtherance of cost savings in the Town of 10 Oyster Bay. 11 MR. MUSCARELLA: I'll move that motion. 12 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I'll second that 13 motion. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you take a 15 vote? 16 MR. ALTADONNA: We have speakers on 17 this one. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We do have 19 speakers, okay. 20 In the meantime, I am directing the 21 Town Attorney to prepare this Amended Resolution. 22 MR. ROZEA: It will take a few minutes, 23 Supervisor. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The first speaker 25 is -- on 294, Kevin McKenna.

|    | 5  |
|----|--|
| 1  | MR. McKENNA: Good evening, again.                  |
| 2  | Kevin McKenna, Syosset, New York.                  |
| 3  | Am I only speaking just on this?                   |
| 4  | MR. ALTADONNA: Yes.                                |
| 5  | MR. McKENNA: Okay.                                 |
| 6  | Did I just hear you say that basically             |
| 7  | you're cancelling the agreement that you have with |
| 8  | these consultants? Is that what                    |
| 9  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. We will no               |
| 10 | longer be funding.                                 |
| 11 | MR. McKENNA: So they're not going to               |
| 12 | be going forward?                                  |
| 13 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's correct.               |
| 14 | MR. McKENNA: Good job, guys.                       |
| 15 | Thank you very much. Thank you.                    |
| 16 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Our next speaker              |
| 17 | is Bob Freier.                                     |
| 18 | MR. FREIER: Nothing.                               |
| 19 | Thank you.   |
| 20 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. And I                   |
| 21 | believe, lastly Robert Ripp.                       |
| 22 | MR. RIPP: Hi.                                      |
| 23 | My name is Robert Ripp. I'm from                   |
| 24 | 77 Sunset Road in Massapequa.                      |
| 25 | Congratulations on taking action on                |

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

6 1 this. It is long overdue. 2 I'd like to know if -- I'd asked a 3 while back and I never got an answer on it, but the not-for-profit that was set up, The Farm at Oyster 4 5 Bay, I'd like to know if that's going to be -- if that was -- my questions were never answered 6 7 whether or not that was actually instigated by 8 Linda Mondello in her capacities as the Historical Preservation Consultant, but I'd like to know if 9 10 that not-for-profit is still in existence, and if 11 it is, if it's going to be terminated, if the Town 12 has anything to do with it. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Our Town Attorney 1.3 14 is currently in the process of preparing this 15 Amended Resolution. 16 When he comes back, we will ask him. 17 MR. RIPP: Thank you very much. 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any 19 other speakers on this item? 2.0 (No verbal response given.) 21 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No. 22 Being no speakers, we will wait for our 23 Amended Resolution and then we'll take a vote. 24 Does the Town Attorney have the Amended 2.5 Resolution?

7 1 MR. ROZEA: Yes. 2 I just gave it to Legislative Affairs. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. MR. ALTADONNA: "WHEREAS, the Town 4 5 Board adopted Resolution No. 83-2016 on February 23, 2016, authorizing the Supervisor to 6 7 enter into contracts for historical preservation 8 and special events consulting services under Contract No. PWC-005-16, effective March 1, 2016 9 10 through December 31, 2018; and 11 "WHEREAS, Resolution No. 83-2016 stated 12 that the amount of the two contracts to provide the 13 required services were to be in the amount not to 14 exceed \$75,000; and 15 "WHEREAS, Joseph G. Pinto, 16 Commissioner, Department of Parks, by Memorandum 17 dated May 19, 2017 has advised that the intention 18 of the parties was at the maximum expenditure of 19 the Town for services to be performed under 2.0 Contract No. PWC 005-16 would be \$75,000 per year 21 total between the two consultants; and 22 "WHEREAS, Linda Mondello has performed 23 services under Contract No. PWC-005-16 since 24 January 1, 2017; and 25 "WHEREAS, PR, Inc. has performed

8 services under Contract No. PWC 005-16 since 1 2 January 1, 2017. 3 "NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Office of the Comptroller is hereby authorized 4 5 to encumber the sum of \$17,000 and directed to make payments to Linda E. Mondello and to PR, Inc. for 6 7 consultant services rendered under Contract No. 8 PWC-005-16 for the calendar year 2017 in an amount not to exceed \$19,625 upon presentation of duly 9 10 certified claims after audit, and 11 "IT BE FURTHER RESOLVED, that the 12 Office of the Comptroller is hereby authorized and 13 directed to make payments for said services under 14 Contract No. PWC-005-16 from account number 15 PKS A 71104490000000000, and be it further resolved 16 that no further consulting services shall be 17 performed under Contract PWC-005-16 unless 18 specifically authorized by the Town Board." 19 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: If there are no 20 other speakers, is there any correspondence? 21 (No response.) 22 MR. MUSCARELLA: So moved. 23 MR. ALTADONNA: No, no. 24 Motion was made by Councilman 25 Muscarella, seconded by Councilman Macagnone.

|    | 9  |
|----|--|
| 1  | On the vote?                                       |
| 2  | Supervisor Saladino?                               |
| 3  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."                        |
| 4  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?              |
| 5  | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."                      |
| 6  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?               |
| 7  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."                       |
| 8  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?                |
| 9  | COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."                        |
| 10 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?               |
| 11 | COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."                       |
| 12 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?                 |
| 13 | COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."                         |
| 14 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?                    |
| 15 | COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."                            |
| 16 | MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt                     |
| 17 | Resolution 294-2017 passes with seven "Ayes."      |
| 18 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'd to like to                |
| 19 | comment.   |
| 20 | As we continue to review personal                  |
| 21 | service contracts within the Town of Oyster Bay as |
| 22 | well as all lines of our budget, we have concluded |
| 23 | that it is time to eliminate some of these         |
| 24 | particular contracts.                              |
| 25 | As of tonight, we will no longer be                |

2.5

10 1 funding these contracts and any ongoing programs 2 will continue to run with existing Town personnel. 3 We will be revisiting how all programming is done at this facility and will 4 5 continue to look at the most efficient and cost effective ways to provide positive and enriching 6 7 services to our residents. 8 Having said that, I do feel it is 9 important to recognize that the woman who provided 10 these services to the Town, Linda Mondello, was a 11 consummate professional who was very knowledgeable 12 in her field. 1.3 We have been very fortunate to have the 14 beneficiaries of her expertise and assistance in 15 creating many of the positive programs offered at 16 The Farm today, and we thank her. 17 MR. ALTADONNA: Next? 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. 19 MR. ALTADONNA: We also have another 2.0 removed from the table. 21 May I have a motion to remove from the 22 table, Resolution 237 which was tabled on May 9, 23 2017?

### ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

May 9, 2017; Resolution pertaining to expenditures

Resolution No. 237-2017, tabled on

|    | 11   |
|----|--|
| 1  | for the Planning Advisory Board meetings for the |
| 2  | 2017 calendar year. Account No. PAD B 8020 44800 |
| 3  | 000 0000. (M.D. 4/25/17 #11).                    |
| 4  | On the motion?                                   |
| 5  | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.                 |
| 6  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.                    |
| 7  | MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by                    |
| 8  | Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman    |
| 9  | Macagnone.                                       |
| 10 | On the vote?                                     |
| 11 | Supervisor Saladino?                             |
| 12 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."                      |
| 13 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?            |
| 14 | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."                    |
| 15 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?             |
| 16 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."                     |
| 17 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?              |
| 18 | COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."                      |
| 19 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?             |
| 20 | COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."                     |
| 21 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?               |
| 22 | COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."                       |
| 23 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?                  |
| 24 | COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."                          |
| 25 | MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to remove from             |

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

|    | 12   |
|----|--|
| 1  | the table passes with seven "Ayes."                |
| 2  | Now, we also have a speaker on 237, but            |
| 3  | let me get the motions.                            |
| 4  | May I have a motion to adopt Resolution            |
| 5  | No. 237?   |
| 6  | On the motion?                                     |
| 7  | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.                   |
| 8  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.                      |
| 9  | MR. ALTADONNA: Okay. You have a                    |
| 10 | speaker on 237.                                    |
| 11 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I am looking                  |
| 12 | through the slips right now on that.               |
| 13 | Is the speaker on motion 237 in the                |
| 14 | room? Mr. McKenna?                                 |
| 15 | MR. McKENNA: Kevin McKenna again.                  |
| 16 | Syosset, New York.                                 |
| 17 | Seems like it was just the other day               |
| 18 | when I guess it's a year, a year ago. I'll         |
| 19 | guess I'll say the same thing now that I said a    |
| 20 | year ago.  |
| 21 | This is the Resolution with Michael                |
| 22 | Spinelli is one of the people to be paid \$150? Am |
| 23 | I on the right Resolution, the Planning Advisory   |
| 24 | Board? Michael Spinelli is                         |
| 25 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's call up                 |

13 1 our Town Attorney, Mr. Nocella to address this 2 question. 3 MR. McKENNA: My statement is that I 4 strongly suggest that because Michael Spinelli is a 5 paid contractor for the Town of Oyster Bay, I don't 6 think that it's ethically appropriate for him to be 7 paid \$150 on this Resolution and to my recollection 8 a year ago -- a year ago, you guys had agreed with 9 me a year ago. 10 MR. NOCELLA: Supervisor, Town Board, 11 I'm not familiar with what happened a year ago, but 12 I do believe this matter was vetted and it was 13 concluded that there is no conflict. 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 15 May I have motion? 16 MR. ALTADONNA: I'll call for the vote. 17 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes, if there 18 are no other speakers. 19 Thank you. 20 MR. ALTADONNA: Okay. 21 Motion made Councilman Muscarella, 22 seconded by Councilman Macagnone. 23 On the vote? 24 Supervisor Saladino? 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."

|    | 14  |
|----|---|
| 1  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?             |
| 2  | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."                     |
| 3  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?              |
| 4  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."                      |
| 5  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?               |
| 6  | COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."                       |
| 7  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?              |
| 8  | COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."                      |
| 9  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?                |
| 10 | COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."                        |
| 11 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?                   |
| 12 | COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."                           |
| 13 | MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt                    |
| 14 | Resolution No. 237-2017 passes with seven "Ayes." |
| 15 | May I have a motion to table Resolution           |
| 16 | P-16-17?  |
| 17 | Personnel Resolution No. P-16-17;                 |
| 18 | Resolution pertaining to personnel of various     |
| 19 | departments within the Town of Oyster Bay.        |
| 20 | On the motion?                                    |
| 21 | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.                  |
| 22 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.                     |
| 23 | MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by                     |
| 24 | Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman     |
| 25 | Macagnone.  |

|    | 15  |
|----|---|
| 1  | On the vote?  |
| 2  | Supervisor Saladino?                                |
| 3  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."                         |
| 4  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?               |
| 5  | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."                       |
| 6  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?                |
| 7  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."                        |
| 8  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?                 |
| 9  | COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."                         |
| 10 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?                |
| 11 | COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."                        |
| 12 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?                  |
| 13 | COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."                          |
| 14 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?                     |
| 15 | COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."                             |
| 16 | MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to table                      |
| 17 | Resolution P-16-2017 passes with seven "Ayes."      |
| 18 | We also have Supervisor, we have a                  |
| 19 | Walk-On, so I'm going to ask to have the rules      |
| 20 | suspended to add the Walk-On so we can add it to    |
| 21 | the regular Action Calendar so everyone could speak |
| 22 | at once.  |
| 23 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.                     |
| 24 | MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to               |
| 25 | suspend the rules and add the following Walk-On     |

|    | 16   |
|----|--|
| 1  | Resolution Nos. 371 through 373-2017?              |
| 2  | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.                   |
| 3  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Mr. Clerk, walk              |
| 4  | on 373 is not in the calendar.                     |
| 5  | Do you want to read it off before?                 |
| 6  | MR. ALTADONNA: Yes, I can read that if             |
| 7  | I can find it first.                               |
| 8  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: There's a copy               |
| 9  | of it right here if you need it.                   |
| 10 | MR. ALTADONNA: Okay. Thank you.                    |
| 11 | Just so you know, this is just to                  |
| 12 | suspend. I was going to read it before the vote if |
| 13 | it passes.   |
| 14 | Okay.  |
| 15 | On the motion?                                     |
| 16 | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.                   |
| 17 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.                      |
| 18 | MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by                      |
| 19 | Councilman Muscarella, seconded my Councilman      |
| 20 | Macagnone.   |
| 21 | On the vote?                                       |
| 22 | Supervisor Saladino?                               |
| 23 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."                        |
| 24 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?              |
| 25 | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."                      |

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

17 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone? 1 2 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia? COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Ave." 4 5 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson? COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye." 6 7 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto? 8 COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Ave." 9 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand? 10 COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye." 11 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to suspend the 12 rules and add Resolutions Nos. 371 through 373-2017 13 passes with seven "Ayes." 14 Resolution No. 371-2017; Resolution 15 granting request from the Bethpage Fire Department 16 for Town assistance in hosting the 2017 Nassau 17 County Fire Parade & Drill from July 6-9, 2017, to 18 have Town ordinance Ch. 82-3, 168-22 and 168-2419 waived as well as the use of Town equipment and 2.0 Public Safety personnel for the event. (M.D. 21 6/13/17 #26). 22 Resolution No. 372-2017; Resolution 23 directing the Town Clerk to advertise a Notice of 24 Hearing to consider a Local Law to Amend Local Law 25 No. 1-2016, as previously amended by Local Law

18 1 2-2017, Creating a Building Permit Amnesty Program 2 for Residential Homeowners within the Town of 3 Oyster Bay. Hearing date: July 11, 2017. 6/13/17 #16). 4 5 Now, I'd like to read 373-2017 into the record. 6 7 "WHEREAS, pursuant to public notice, 8 one bid was duly and regularly received by the 9 Department of Public Works for the reconstruction 10 of the North Massapequa Community Center, North 11 Albany Avenue, North Massapequa, New York in 12 accordance with the specifications contained in 13 Contract No. DPW 17-148; and 14 "WHEREAS, Richard W. Lenz, PE, 15 Commissioner of the Department of Public Works by 16 Memorandum dated June 20, 2017 recommended that the 17 aforesaid solo bid for Contract No. DPW-17-148 be 18 rejected and further recommended that the Division 19 of Purchasing Department of general Services be 2.0 authorized to proceed with setting a bid date to receive new bids for said Contract. 21 22 "NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that 23 upon the recommendation as herein above set forth 24 the sole bid received in connection with Contract

No. DPW-17-48 is hereby rejected and that the

19 1 Division of Purchasing Department of General 2 Services is hereby authorized to proceed with a said bid date for receiving bids for the 3 4 aforementioned Contract. 5 Now, may I have a motion to adopt Walk-On Resolutions 371 through 373-2017? 6 7 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 8 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second. 9 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 10 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 11 Macagnone. 12 Supervisor, I think you may have some speakers on these items here. 13 14 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I believe 15 Mr. McKenna is our first speaker. 16 MR. ALTADONNA: We are just going to do 17 this because I can't go back and forth. 18 Then I'll do the calendar. 19 MR. McKENNA: Kevin McKenna, Syosset, 2.0 New York. 21 On 372, I think I read in the backup 22 that there's a certain date that -- if you were to 23 pass this Resolution, does that mean -- does the 24 amnesty start from a few months ago or does it 25 start from today?

2.0 1 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: The hearing will 2 be July 11th, Mr. McKenna. 3 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Commissioner, would you come forward, please? 4 5 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: We are voting now to have a hearing to continue the amnesty. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Commissioner, 8 would you kindly step up to the microphone? 9 MR. McKENNA: In other words, if you 10 pass this, does that mean that it's only people who 11 had applied before a certain date or --12 COMMISSIONER MACCARONE: No. 13 I believe back in January, when you had 14 the last hearing, it was retroactive to January 1st 15 so the hearing will take place on July 11th, and it 16 will up to the Board if you want to nunc pro tunc it back to July 1st through December 31st. 17 18 And anybody who applies during those 19 six months would have the benefit of the amnesty 20 program. 21 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Has it been 22 successful and well accepted by the residents 23 still? 24 COMMISSIONER MACCARONE: We are 25 inundated with applications.

|    | 21  |
|----|---|
| 1  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Thank you.                    |
| 2  | MR. McKENNA: Thank you.                             |
| 3  | And just hearing about 373, could                   |
| 4  | you can you tell us who the bidder was that was     |
| 5  | rejected and why?                                   |
| 6  | COMMISSIONER LENZ: It was Built Well.               |
| 7  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Commissioner,                  |
| 8  | please come up to the microphone.                   |
| 9  | COMMISSIONER LENZ: I'm sorry. I was                 |
| 10 | yelling from the back. It's Built Well              |
| 11 | Construction Company, and I just felt the bids were |
| 12 | too high.   |
| 13 | And as I said, it was only one bid, so              |
| 14 | I felt it was best to rebid. We're going to         |
| 15 | repackage and rebid.                                |
| 16 | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Commissioner,                |
| 17 | the insurance company gave us how much money?       |
| 18 | COMMISSIONER LENZ: 850,000.                         |
| 19 | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: And the bid                  |
| 20 | that came in?                                       |
| 21 | COMMISSIONER LENZ: 1.2 million.                     |
| 22 | MR. MUSCARELLA: Okay. That answers                  |
| 23 | that.   |
| 24 | COMMISSIONER LENZ: That was the main                |
| 25 | reason.   |

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

|    | 22   |
|----|--|
| 1  | MR. McKENNA: Thank you.                          |
| 2  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Bob Freier?                 |
| 3  | MR. FREIER: Good evening.                        |
| 4  | Question on the amnesty program.                 |
| 5  | I know the Commissioner just said that           |
| 6  | you've been inundated with applications with     |
| 7  | permit applications. How much even a good        |
| 8  | estimated how much has that brought in, in terms |
| 9  | of revenue to the Town by having the amnesty     |
| 10 | program in effect?                               |
| 11 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Sir, would you              |
| 12 | like to come                                     |
| 13 | MR. FREIER: Is it still in effect now            |
| 14 | or is it expired?                                |
| 15 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: It will expire             |
| 16 | June 30th.                                       |
| 17 | MR. FREIER: It will expire June 30th,            |
| 18 | okay.  |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER MACCARONE: I do not have            |
| 20 | the figures because it started last              |
| 21 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Why don't you               |
| 22 | step up to the microphone, please, if you don't  |
| 23 | mind.  |
| 24 | Thank you.                                       |
| 25 | COMMISSIONER MACCARONE: I do not have            |

2.0

the figures as to, you know, how much we've generated in revenue. It did start prior to my tenure over in Planning and Development, but I will provide, you know, the Board members with that information.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Can you speak to the pattern of the numbers of applications that are coming in?

COMMISSIONER MACCARONE: Well, I believe last year, for the first six months, Deputy Commissioner Zike had spoken before this Board and there was an increase, but I don't remember that number. We do have a lot of applications that have been submitted. One of the things that we are struggling with is people have submitted their applications, we've processed them, but they are not coming in to pay for them, so we are sending notices to them; first notice, second notices, then we go to out to verify, so we've been ramping up that portion of it to get the people to submit their final payments.

So -- and that has been successful in the last few months that we've, you know, changed the process, but in terms of the amounts, I don't have that information.

|    | 24  |
|----|---|
| 1  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you,                     |
| 2  | Commissioner.                                       |
| 3  | MR. FREIER: Thank you.                              |
| 4  | And also, have you brought your                     |
| 5  | building file up to date with from your past        |
| 6  | construction?                                       |
| 7  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, I think                  |
| 8  | this is a great opportunity for you to use your     |
| 9  | time to make any comments you'd like and we'll take |
| 10 | your comments under consideration.                  |
| 11 | As you know, this is a process where we             |
| 12 | hear from the public before we vote, but if you'd   |
| 13 | like to send a letter in, we'd be happy to address  |
| 14 | that.   |
| 15 | MR. FREIER: Thank you.                              |
| 16 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any                  |
| 17 | other speakers on this?                             |
| 18 | (No response.)                                      |
| 19 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Call for a vote?               |
| 20 | MR. ALTADONNA: Yes.                                 |
| 21 | Motion was made by Councilman                       |
| 22 | Muscarella, seconded by Councilman Macagnone.       |
| 23 | On the vote?  |
| 24 | Supervisor Saladino?                                |
| 25 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."                         |

|    | 25  |
|----|---|
| 1  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?                 |
| 2  | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."                         |
| 3  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?                  |
| 4  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye" on 371 and                |
| 5  | 372, but I'm going to have to abstain on 373.         |
| 6  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?                   |
| 7  | COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."                           |
| 8  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?                  |
| 9  | COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."                          |
| 10 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?                    |
| 11 | COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."                            |
| 12 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?                       |
| 13 | COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."                               |
| 14 | MR. ALTADONNA: Okay.                                  |
| 15 | 371 and 372 pass with seven "Ayes."                   |
| 16 | 373 passes with six "Ayes" and one                    |
| 17 | "Abstention."   |
| 18 | Now, we are going to go to the regular                |
| 19 | Action Calendar?                                      |
| 20 | May I have a motion to adopt Resolution               |
| 21 | Nos. P-15-17 through $370-B-17$ , noting that P-16-17 |
| 22 | has already been tabled.                              |
| 23 | Personnel Resolution No. P-15-17;                     |
| 24 | Resolution pertaining to personnel of various         |
| 25 | departments within the Town of Oyster Bay.            |

2.5

26 Transfer of Funds Resolution No. 1 2 TF-9-17; Resolution pertaining to Transfer of Funds 3 within various departments accounts for the Year 4 2017. 5 Resolution No. 327-2017; Resolution 6 amending Resolution No. 259-2017 relative to the 7 list of performers for the 2017 Music Under the 8 Stars concert series. (M.D. 5/30/17 #4). 9 Resolution No. 328-2017; Resolution 10 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 11 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit #R14003630. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 12 13 (M.D. 5/30/17 #9).14 Resolution No. 329-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 15 16 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit #R14004007. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 17 18 (M.D. 5/30/17 #10).Resolution No. 330-2017; Resolution 19 2.0 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 21 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 22 #R14005074. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 23 (M.D. 5/30/17 #11).24 Resolution No. 331-2017; Resolution

authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building

27 1 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 2 #R14005220. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 3 (M.D. 5/30/17 #12).4 Resolution No. 332-2017; Resolution 5 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 6 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 7 #R14001877. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 8 (M.D. 5/30/17 #13).9 Resolution No. 333-2017; Resolution 10 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 11 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 12 #R14004046. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 13 (M.D. 5/30/17 #14).14 Resolution No. 334-2017; Resolution 15 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 16 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit #R14003627. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 17 18 (M.D. 5/30/17 #15).19 Resolution No. 335-2017; Resolution 2.0 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 21 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 22 #R14003622. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 23 (M.D. 5/30/17 #16).24 Resolution No. 336-2017; Resolution 25 authorizing the property cleanup assessment of

2.0

89A Ocean Avenue, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 5/30/17 #17).

Resolution No. 337-2017; Resolution granting request from St. Edward the Confessor Church for Town assistance in hosting a festival from August 17-20, 2017, to use the northeast portion of Municipal Parking Field S-1 in Syosset, Municipal Parking Field S-2 on August 19, 2017 and to have a waiver of Town Ordinance Chapter 82-3, 168-20, 168-22 and 168-24, a waiver of parking restrictions on several area roadways and to use various Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 5/30/17 #18).

Resolution No. 338-2017; Resolution authorizing the acceptance of a donation of a plaque and bench from Jane Murray, to be placed at Harry Tappen Beach in memory of Cuddy Murray.

(M.D. 5/30/17 #19).

Resolution No. 339-2017; Resolution authorizing the acceptance of a donation of a plaque from Rochelle Martin, to be placed at Harry Tappen Beach in memory of James Lockwood Martin.

(M.D. 6/6/17 #9).

Resolution No. 340-2017; Resolution

2.0

2.5

authorizing the acceptance of a donation of a plaque and tree from Ethel Meade, to be placed at Marjorie R. Post Community Park in memory of John Joseph Sutton. (M.D. 6/6/17 #10).

Resolution No. 341-2017; Resolution authorizing the second amendment to Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Subrecipient Agreement with the Housing Trust Fund Corporation and for the Supervisor or his designee to execute said agreement and for C. Bell to execute documents in connection with the New York Rising Community Reconstruction Program. (M.D. 6/6/17 #15).

Resolution No. 342-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit #R16001666. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 6/6/17 #16).

Resolution No. 343-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit #R15000829. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 6/6/17 #17).

Resolution No. 344-2017; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building

30 1 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 2 #R13004839. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 6/6/17 #18).3 4 Resolution No. 345-2017; Resolution 5 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 6 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 7 #R14003418. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 8 (M.D. 6/6/17 #19).9 Resolution No. 346-2017; Resolution 10 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 11 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 12 #R13005522. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 13 (M.D. 6/6/17 #20).14 Resolution No. 347-2017; Resolution 15 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 16 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit #R15005331. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 17 18 (M.D. 6/6/17 #21).Resolution No. 348-2017; Resolution 19 2.0 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 21 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 22 #R16000030. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 23 (M.D. 6/6/17 #22).24 Resolution No. 349-2017; Resolution 25 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building

31 1 permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 2 #R15005440. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 6/6/17 #23).3 4 Resolution No. 350-2017; Resolution 5 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building permit fee to SolarCity Corp. For Permit 6 7 #R14005514. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. 8 (M.D. 6/6/17 #24).9 Resolution No. 351-2017; Resolution 10 authorizing the Supervisor to execute a Letter of 11 Agreement for Employee Physical Examination 12 Program, effective January 1, 2017 through December 13 31, 2017. Account No. DHR A 1430 44130 000 0000. 14 (M.D 6/6/17 #25).15 Resolution No. 352-2017; Resolution 16 amending Resolution 626-2016 relative to Contract 17 No. PWC 035-16, On-Call Accounting Services. Account No. 1508TWNTWN-02. (M.D. 6/6/17 #26). 18 19 Resolution No. 353-2017; Resolution 20 authorizing the assessment of securing property at 21 89A Ocean Avenue, Massapequa, NY, be referred to 22 the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau 23 County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 6/6/17 #27). 24 Resolution No. 354-2017; Resolution 25 authorizing the property cleanup assessment of

32 1 18A Park Lane Place, Massapequa, NY, be referred to 2 the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau 3 County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 6/6/17 #28). 4 Resolution No. 355-2017; Resolution 5 authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 18 Sherman Avenue, Plainview, NY, be referred to 6 7 the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau 8 County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 6/6/17 #29). 9 Resolution No. 356-2017; Resolution 10 authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 11 103 Melrose Avenue, Massapequa, NY, be referred to 12 the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 6/6/17 #30). 13 14 Resolution No. 357-2017; Resolution 15 pertaining to special counsel services to the Town 16 Attorney. (JWR) Account No. OTA A 1420 44110 000 17 0000. (M.D. 6/6/17 #32).18 Resolution No. 358-2017; Resolution 19 pertaining to special counsel services to the Town 2.0 Attorney. (MSE&K) Account No. OTA A 1420 44110 000 (M.D. 6/6/17 #33).21 0000. 22 Resolution No. 359-2017; Resolution 23 pertaining to the renewal of an Inter-municipal 24 Agreement with the Incorporated Village of Laurel 25 Hollow for highway cleaning services through

1.3

2.0

June 30, 2018. (M.D. 6/6/17 #34).

Resolution No. 360-2017; Resolution granting request from the Waterfront Center to use one roll-off container at their Bay Day event at Beekman Beach from June 2-5, 2017. (M.D. 6/6/17 #35).

Resolution No. 361-2017; Resolution authorizing the acceptance of the design and entrance into the bid and construction phases for Contract No. DPW 15-124 - Installation of permanent generators at critical facilities in Massapequa and Massapequa Park. (M.D. 6/6/17 #36).

Resolution No. 362-2017; Resolution pertaining to Home Rule Request to grant a retroactive membership date in the NYS Retirement System to D. Galasso. (M.D. 6/6/17 #37).

Resolution No. 363-2017; Resolution granting request from Maria Regina R.C. Church for Town assistance in conducting their annual family carnival from June 22-25, 2017 and to use various Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 6/6/17 #38).

Resolution No. 364-2017; Resolution authorizing a one-year extension of a Youth Science Enrichment Camp Program from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. (RLE) (M.D. 6/6/17 #11 & 6/13/17

34 1 #7). 2 Resolution No. 365-2017; Resolution 3 authorizing a one-year extension of a Youth 4 Athletic Activities Camp Program from July 1, 2017 5 through June 30, 2018. (M.D. 6/6/17 #12 & 6/13/17)6 #4). 7 Resolution No. 366-2017; Resolution 8 authorizing a one-year extension of a Youth Science 9 Enrichment Program from July 1, 2017 through June 10 30, 2018. (Zoda) (M.D. 6/6/17 #13 & 6/13/17 #5).11 Resolution No. 367-2017; Resolution 12 authorizing a second four-year extension of a 13 contract for the operation of a pro shop at the 14 Town of Oyster Bay Ice Skating Center at Bethpage, 15 effective July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2021. (M.D. 6/6/17 #14 & 6/13/17 #6).16 Resolution No. 368-2017; Resolution 17 18 amending Resolution No. 220-2017 relative to the 19 2017 Summer Beach, Ramp and Joseph J. Saladino 2.0 Memorial Marina at Tobay Operation and Fee 21 Schedule. (M.D. 6/6/17 #39). 22 Resolution No. 369-2017; Resolution 23 authorizing an agreement for the Workforce 24 Innovation and Opportunity Act One Stop Operator 25 services for the period July 1, 2017 through

35 1 June 30, 2018. (M.D. 5/23/17 #13). 2 Resolution No. 370A-2017; Resolution/ 3 Order pertaining to the decision on the application 4 of the Jericho Water District for Bond Financing to 5 pay the cost of improvements to the Water District. Hearing held: June 6, 2017. (M.D. 6/6/17 #6). 6 7 Resolution No. 370B-2017; Resolution 8 authorizing the issuance of serial bonds to pay the 9 cost of improvements to the Jericho Water District. 10 Hearing held: June 6, 2017. (M.D. 6/6/17 #6). 11 On the motion? 12 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 1.3 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second. 14 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made Councilman 15 Muscarella, seconded my Councilman Macagnone. 16 Supervisor, you have a lot of speakers 17 so... 18 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We have three 19 speakers on this, my information. 2.0 Bob Freier? 21 MR. FREIER: Bob Freier, 33 Chestnut 22 Lane in Woodbury. 23 P-15-17, I would ask after the vote if 24 you could please announce who is moving on the 25 salary scale and how much salary changes,

et cetera.

2.0

Just kind of a question, I noticed on the agenda, there ARE actually 18 -- oh, I'm sorry -- 16 refunds to Solar City for -- you know, going back, it looks like as far as, like, 2014, and I'm just curious what that is all about.

And also just a question, is there like a time limit if someone gets a permit for something, you know, in this case, 2014, now being 2017, there's no limit if they don't move forward with that they can get a refund? And then just, you know, question about what this, you know, why are there 16 refunds or discontinuances of installation of solar panels from -- specifically from Solar City? I know they're a company that was not too long acquired by Tesla, so I'm just curious about that.

Okay. Resolution 352, this is for about 300K to I'm assuming an accounting firm for the financial -- audited financial statements for 2016.

My understanding, and please correct me if I'm mistaken, was that those documents, the audited financials are due 120 days after the calendar year and this tells me that they're still

37 1 not done yet; is that correct? 2 And then just clarification on 370-A, 3 you didn't call 370-B, did you? Oh, you did. Okay. 4 5 370-A and 370-B, it appears -- from here it looks like this is all just bonding or 6 7 financing for the Water District, but isn't some of 8 it for the Town itself as opposed to the Water 9 District, so I'll sit down and let you answer the 10 rest. 11 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 12 We're going to start with 352, which 13 was -- is something that is a mandated independent 14 audit, is mandated by the State. 15 We have chosen AVZ and is someone from 16 the Comptroller's office here? 17 Rob Darienzo, would you please step 18 forward? 19 MR. DARIENZO: Good evening, Supervisor 20 and Town Board. 21 I don't actually work in the 22 Comptroller's office, but Deputy Comptroller 23 Kristine Wiss could not be here tonight, so I'm 24 here to speak on this Resolution. 25 AVZ provides accounting services that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

38

allow us to turn over our books to our auditors to perform the audit. The audit is due by June 30th each year, not 120 days at the end of the calendar year. What's called the annual update document is due by April 30th to New York State.

The annual update document is, in fact, still going to be late this year. We filed an extension with the State and I know it's coming soon after June 30th. Our audit is due every year on June 30th, and it will be done and complete and out by June 30th.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

Now, on the question of the bonding, can you speak to that?

MR. DARIENZO: Resolution 370-A and B is strictly for Jericho Water District. It has nothing to do with the Town issuing debt other than the fact that we're issuing it on behalf of a Water District.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And can you confirm that that is mandated by the State, mandated that they do their bonding through the Town and any municipality across the state in this scenario?

> MR. DARIENZO: That is correct.

39 1 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okav. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. DARIENZO: Okay. 4 MR. FREIER: Is the Town not doing 5 financing for itself in this whole agenda? 6 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would you please 7 step up, Mr. Darienzo, and answer that question? 8 MR. DARIENZO: The Resolution only 9 authorizes us to issue debt for this water district 10 at any period during the period of probable 11 usefulness of this authorization, which is 12 forty years. 1.3 So, because the Resolution says a 14 certain dollar amount does not mean we run out 15 tomorrow and borrow that dollar amount. 16 District can choose to do this project any time 17 over the course of the next forty years and we will 18 borrow money as necessary when they're performing 19 this project. 2.0 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 21 That's all of those questions. 22 Our last speaker is Mr. Robert Ripp. 23 MR. RIPP: Hello. 24 My name is Robert Ripp, 77 Sunset Road, 25 Massapequa, New York.

40 1 I just want to bring your attention, 2 you never answered my question on 294-2017 about 3 the not-for-profit, The Farm at Oyster Bay and I'm 4 just curious a couple things, Mr. Darienzo -- I'm 5 sure I'm not pronouncing his name correctly, but do we have a Comptroller in the Town of Oyster Bay 6 7 right now? 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'd ask our Town 9 Attorney to step forward, please. 10 MR. NOCELLA: It is my understanding 11 that at the moment, there is no Comptroller. 12 Deputy Comptroller Kristine Wiss is 1.3 currently in charge of the Comptroller's 14 Department. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Now, the 16 question was asked about a foundation as associated 17 with The Farm at Oyster Bay. 18 Are you aware of a foundation? 19 MR. NOCELLA: No, I'm sorry. I 20 wouldn't be able to address that. 21 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All right. 22 Is there another one of our staff who 23 is aware of a foundation associated with The Farm 24 at Oyster Bay? 25 Would you step forward, please?

41 1 MR. ROTHSTEIN: There was a foundation 2 that was set up, a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit set up 3 through The Farm. 4 I don't know to this point if it's been 5 used or it's been utilized at any point in time. We can certainly check and get back to Mr. Ripp 6 7 with that question. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 9 Much appreciated. MR. RIPP: Was that set up by 10 11 Ms. Mondello independently or was that set up by 12 the Town of Oyster Bay? 1.3 MR. ROTHSTEIN: I'll check into it for 14 you and I'll let you know. 15 MR. RIPP: Thank you very much. 16 MR. ROTHSTEIN: My pleasure. 17 MR. RIPP: Just to move on to 18 Resolution 368, please. 19 This Resolution reached Joe Pinto, the 20 Commissioner, Department of Parks is -- is 21 requesting that the Board authorize -- that you 22 reduce senior Monday through Friday including 23 holidays rate at the Joseph A. Saladino Memorial 24 Marina for vessels 31 feet and longer from \$20.00 a 25 day to \$12.50 a day, and I'm sorry, I can't help

2.0

laughing about this, but I'm not -- first of all,
I'm not really sure how old you have to be to be a
senior in the Town, but what this is saying is if
you own a boat 31 feet or longer, and I imagine
you're going to use the mooring of the dock at this
marina, they're going to pay, like, almost half as
much as everyone else.

And, I mean, if you have a 31 foot boat, it costs you more than \$20 to turn the key on it. It's just ridiculous that -- what about everybody that has a boat smaller than 31 feet, they have to pay full boat? It -- this Resolution almost screams -- who knows somebody with a 31-foot boat that keeps it in this marina? All right?

Resolution 341. There's a couple of things about this I'm concerned with. The Town, it looks like we're going to get an increase in the Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery Funding \$9,945,814.50 and there's a couple of things with this Resolution that I'm concerned about. One is the federal -- excuse me -- one is the civil rights and diversity provisions that are involved with this because this is a federal grant, and in the backup, there was some specific requirements listed.

1.3

2.0

For instance, dividing total requirements when economically feasible into smaller tasks or quantities to permit maximum participation by small minority businesses and women's businesses and enterprises.

Basically, what the federal government is saying is that if we accept this grant, there's very stringent requirements. Placing small and minority business and women's business enterprises at the top of a list to do this work, so I'm just -- I'm just hopeful that we maintain this so we don't wind up in any more federal lawsuits.

What also brings my attention to this
Resolution is the \$4 million that's being allocated
for the street lighting. And some of you may
remember that back in 2012, the Town of Oyster Bay
had a federal grant at the Littauer Estate and
because the money wasn't being used for what it was
requested for, historical preservation, the Town
then diverted \$1.9 million to street lighting.

And what I -- what I want to bring to your attention is Newsday did a story on January 12th and when we initially did the street lighting, according to the Town records, we did about half of the street lights. It cost us

44 1 \$1.9 million and the Town reported to Newsday on 2 January 14th of this year that we averaged 3 approximately \$83,000 per year in savings. But in 4 the same story, Hempstead installed 50,000 LED 5 street lights, spent the same \$1.9 million, so they did for four or five times as many street lights. 6 7 Also, their projected cost savings were 8 \$43 million over twenty years and ours was one 1.6 9 over twenty years. What -- what I'm concerned 10 about is that if we did -- if we already spent 11 \$2 million to do half of our street lights and now 12 we're getting another \$4 million, if it -- if it 13 costs us another \$2 million to do the other half, 14 what do we intend to do with the excess -- the 15 excess \$4 million, and there is a -- some 16 information on here on a solar -- solar -- I don't 17 want to say re-powering, but capabilities on the 18 street lights. 19 So, I'm curious, do we intend on 2.0 updating all of our street lights, not only to be

LED, but to be solar rechargeable also?

Thank you very much on that.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I don't have any

other slips.

21

22

23

24

25

Is there another speaker?

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

45 Mr. McKenna, I believe you went 1 2 already. 3 Yes, sir. MR. VERSOCKI: Good evening, 4 5 Mr. Saladino, Members of the Board, James Versocki, 40 Lafayette Avenue in Sea Cliff. 6 7 I would just ask as a member of the 8 public, if you would please post the 2015/2016 9 financials when they are completed. 10 One of the things I'd requested many 11 months ago is that be done so concerned citizens 12 can see, in a transparent manner, what's been going 1.3 I hope that's the intention of the Board, and 14 I hope that the Board will make that gets done. 15 Thank you very much. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. 17 It is and we have worked very hard on 18 bringing about the highest level of transparency in 19 this municipality to date. We Claim New York as 2.0 one of the many organizations vetted us and they 21 named us the most transparent municipality in the 22 state of all those who responded. 23 MR. VERSOCKI: If I could follow up 24 with you on that, Mr. Supervisor, because that's a 25 different group and I understand that.

2.0

I just want to make sure that for the audited financials, not some group that has an agenda. I don't care what We Claim New York says, what I care about is asking that this Board post the audited financials.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The answer to your question is that is something that we are going to do. We appreciate your coming here.

MR. VERSOCKI: Thank you.

MR. McKENNA: Supervisor, I spoke --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Come on up,

Mr. McKenna.

MR. McKENNA: Thank you.

Kevin McKenna again, Syosset, New York.

On P-15-17, which has to do with the -- it's not the one you tabled.

Personnel, pertaining to personnel in various departments. That's still here, right? That's P-15-17?

What I want to ask or bring to your attention is -- or ask is -- and the reason I ask this is because I had a complaint in to the Ethics Board -- or, not necessarily a complaint, my request of the Ethics Board was -- which I brought up numerous times here, has to do with the nepotism

47 1 chapter in the -- in the Ethics Policy. 2 And as it relates to this Resolution, 3 is it the policy of the Town of Oyster Bay to have 4 individuals -- like, for instance, you have an 5 individual and I'm not even going to mention his name, but you have an individual who's listed on 6 7 the -- as a Town Board employee and he doesn't --8 he has nothing to do with Town Board; he works in 9 the Parks Department. 10 My question is, are you trying to -- is 11 that okay or are you trying to fix that? 12 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Vicki, would you 13 please come forward? 14 MR. McKENNA: And if you'd like me to 15 mention the individual's name, I'd be happy to 16 mention it, but I'm not trying to embarrass 17 anybody, but I can give you a few different people, 18 if you'd like, that are listed and I'm wondering if 19 it's for pay purposes in order to give them a 2.0 higher pay grade because you can't give it to them 21 in the department that they really work in? 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No. 23 Just to answer your question, it's not. 24 MR. McKENNA: Thank you very much. 25 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.

2.0

Vicki, would you please address some of the changes?

You know, every week, we've been making changes to bring corrections to our workforce to adhere to Civil Service laws, to adhere to our contract, and we've been very dedicated to fixing and reorganizing the titles in our Town so that we're adhering to those.

Could you speak to -- to this issue?

MS. SPINELLI: Yes.

There are subtitles. There are
Assistants to the Town Supervisor, they are
Assistants to the Town Board who have been utilized
in other departments.

Most of them have been in these places, if they've been here for a while, for a period of time, so they were put there to review procedures that are going on in other places and to report back to the Supervisor, typically. It's nothing uncommon.

They're -- they're assistants to either the Board or the Supervisor. They can be utilized anywhere, basically, for research and for -- if we're trying to rearrange something. If we're trying to fix something, if we're researching

49 1 something that these people can lead that to better suit for the Supervisor. I'm not exactly saying it 2 3 very well but... 4 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. 5 Thank you. COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: 6 Hang on a 7 second. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Certainly. 9 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: While you're up 10 here, item numbers, there are two 133s. One is 11 supposed to be 131. 12 MS. SPINELLI: Yes, when I come up 13 later, I was going to amend that. 14 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Okay. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. MS. SPINELLI: Sorry. 16 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is there anything 17 18 else? 19 MR. McKENNA: If anybody here is 20 interested in making sure that the individual or 21 individuals that I'm talking about -- maybe you're 22 not even aware of the situation. 23 Maybe it is something that is within 24 the Department that maybe you all are not aware of 25 somebody who is being paid in the wrong department

50 1 when they clearly work in Purchasing. And I have a 2 couple others as well. 3 If you'd like to speak to me privately, I'd be happy to do that. 4 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Why don't you step up to the podium, please? 6 7 MS. SPINELLI: I'm assuming, and 8 correct me if I'm wrong, that you are referring to 9 the end deletes that are being presented here, 10 whether it's now or previous ones that you may have 11 looked at. 12 Is that fair enough? 13 MR. McKENNA: I'm not referring to -- I 14 don't know what you have here because I haven't 15 seen the back of it. 16 MS. SPINELLI: This is for today. This 17 is something different, but if you're referring 18 to --19 MR. McKENNA: It's on the same copy. 20 MS. SPINELLI: When you're looking at 21 the add and delete sheets that you all have that 22 are the backup for these Resolutions, that is not 23 the action that is being taken for these 24 individuals. 25 So you may see an add and delete on one

2.0

line for a slot number. That doesn't necessarily mean that the person who is -- that we're doing for the add was the person on the delete.

So while the person may have been a Town -- in the Parks Department, we may have been transferring funds, we may be changing titles, but it may not be the same person on the add and on delete.

It is not the action for the appointment that's being taken there. It's just creating the budget slot.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And can you tell us the reason for an action like that?

MS. SPINELLI: Well, because the -well, when we makes these changes and we're either
giving someone a promotion or moving people or
changing a title, a grade, grade and step or
salary, on any line, it's a budget item because the
Town Board approves the budget, we have to request
from the Board that we can make changes to those
items. The individuals and staff are not part of
the budget.

It's the slots, the titles, the salaries, and the grades, and that's all. The add and delete is strictly to make corrections to our

budget and requesting you to make the changes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Did you have a

question?

1.3

2.0

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Are you referring to that if a person is paid under the Parks Department and is now in another department, like Highway, is that what you're referring to?

MR. McKENNA: I'm referring to somebody who is listed and paid under a Town Board slot that works in Purchasing in the Parks Department, and I'm trying to be -- I'm trying to --

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Be delicate.

MR. McKENNA: I'm trying not to be negative. I can give you a few people that maybe because in past, favors were done by people who knew people and they're paid here, but they really work over here, and I just want to make sure that -- you know, I see some changes happening.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Positive changes.

MR. McKENNA: And I know it takes time, and you might not be aware. I know certain people are aware of this, because I've spoken to people in the department about it, but I don't know that you guys are aware of it, and I have actually tried to reach you a couple times.

2.0

I would be happy to speak to you directly on the phone and give you this information and if you choose to respond -- maybe you're not aware of it.

MS. SPINELLI: When -- in the process that we've been going through, you've been getting massive add/deletes for the last few meetings and we have been trying to rectify a lot of situations that have been problematic. We have had record retirements in the Town over the last four or five years. A lot of the time, we weren't replacing people, so departments, to get the jobs done, have shifted people around.

We're now trying to fix that. We are working with Civil Service to reorganize several departments so that we can better utilize the people in the proper positions that they are supposed to be. We have been doing that with the people we have so far and what we can do and the rest is a little -- takes a little bit more time because now it's a little more of a process.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In an effort to bring more clarity to the residents, in the case of tonight's meeting, we have a little over two and a half pages of changes. We have a little bit of an

54 unusual situation with Sanitation and Sort. 1 2 Can you talk to us about the purpose 3 for these changes so that everyone will understand what's going on? 4 5 MR. McKENNA: I'm going to sit down. Thank you very much. 6 7 I'm going try to reach you. 8 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, 9 Mr. McKenna. 10 MS. SPINELLI: Okay. I'll give you my 11 number when we're done. 12 The add and delete, the majority of 13 what's on the add and delete for tonight is -- we 14 are working to restructure the Sanitation and Sort 15 Divisions. 16 Civil Service, going back a ways, 17 eliminated one of the titles. They eliminated the 18 recycling titles. To that end, now we moved 19 forward to move them into the same department so 20 that we can kind of get rid of some of the 21 redundancy. But we -- what this is, is creating 22 the slots for the recycling workers in the Division 23 of Sanitation -- in the Department of Sanitation. 24 So we're moving recycling workers who 25 are a Grade 14, who have driving titles and CDLs to

55 1 Sanitation worker IIs, which the equivalent 2 Sanitation title so there is no change in salary. 3 There is no change other than the title and the department they're being paid from. 4 5 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So we're making these changes to correct our situation with Civil 6 7 Service to properly align the employees? 8 MS. SPINELLI: It's the biggest problem 9 is -- well, not the problem. But the bigger 10 situation right now is that part of our union 11 contract is the consolidation of these two 12 divisions and that's something that we need to get 13 going on because we need to get that ready for 14 contract. 15 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And for the 16 purposes of informing the public, when we see these 17 changes, do they represent a raise or these people 18 get the same amount of salary? 19 MS. SPINELLI: They're recycling workers and Sanitation workers. There is no change 2.0 in salaries. 21 22 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So on all this 23 list of changes, none of these employees are 24 receiving an additional salary; they're getting the 25 same amount and it's a title change?

56 1 MS. SPINELLI: Yes, not on the 2 recycling. 3 There are just a couple of other ones. 4 There's a title in P & D, again, there is no change 5 in title -- there's not change in salary, he's just a getting a change in title. 6 7 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Change in title. 8 MS. SPINELLI: And things of that 9 nature. 10 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In my office, 11 there is someone where there is a change in salary. 12 MS. SPINELLI: Yes, sir. 13 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And talk to us 14 about that difference in salary. 15 MS. SPINELLI: Yes, absolutely. 16 It is actually Secretary to the 17 Supervisor. Previous employee has retired. 18 was making a salary at the time of \$112,304, but 19 the title was -- when she left, it was a Legal 20 Secretary III so I had to correct the title back to 21 Secretary to the Supervisor and the salary is being 22 reduced -- that budget line is being reduced to 23 \$78,500. 24 SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Being reduced by 25 \$34,000?

|    | 57   |
|----|--|
| 1  | MS. SPINELLI: 33,800, yes.                 |
| 2  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very        |
| 3  | much.                                      |
| 4  | Are there any other speakers?              |
| 5  | (No response.)                             |
| 6  | SUPERVISOR SALADINO:                       |
| 7  | Any correspondence?                        |
| 8  | MR. ALTADONNA: No.                         |
| 9  | I'll call for the vote now.                |
| 10 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.            |
| 11 | MR. ALTADONNA: Motion was made by          |
| 12 | Councilman Muscarella, seconded Councilman |
| 13 | Macagnone.                                 |
| 14 | On the vote?                               |
| 15 | Supervisor Saladino?                       |
| 16 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: "Aye."                |
| 17 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?      |
| 18 | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."              |
| 19 | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?       |
| 20 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: On P-15 I'll         |
| 21 | just make it easy.                         |
| 22 | "Aye" on all except 130 Item 134 and       |
| 23 | 130.                                       |
| 24 | MR. ALTADONNA: Wait, "Aye"?                |
| 25 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Excuse me. 135       |

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

|    | 58                                       |
|----|--|
| 1  | and 136, I am no on.                     |
| 2  | I am "Aye" on all the rest.              |
| 3  | On P-15-16                               |
| 4  | MR. ALTADONNA: P-15-16 16 was            |
| 5  | tabled.                                  |
| 6  | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I'm sorry.         |
| 7  | P-15-17.                                 |
| 8  | I am no on 135 and 136.                  |
| 9  | MR. ALTADONNA: On 335                    |
| 10 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: On item numbers.   |
| 11 | MR. ALTADONNA: Oh, I don't have that.    |
| 12 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Okay.              |
| 13 | MR. ALTADONNA: I can't. I don't have     |
| 14 | that.                                    |
| 15 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: All right. I'm     |
| 16 | sorry. I thought you had the capability. |
| 17 | MR. ALTADONNA: Excuse me?                |
| 18 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I thought you had  |
| 19 | the capability to do that.               |
| 20 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: To cross            |
| 21 | reference.                               |
| 22 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Okay. I            |
| 23 | apologize. All right.                    |
| 24 | On P-15, I vote "Aye."                   |
| 25 | On 327, I am no.                         |

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

|    | 59  |
|----|---|
| 1  | I am "Aye" on all the rest.                         |
| 2  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?                 |
| 3  | COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."                         |
| 4  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?                |
| 5  | COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."                        |
| 6  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Imbroto?                  |
| 7  | COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: "Aye."                          |
| 8  | MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Hand?                     |
| 9  | COUNCILMAN HAND: "Aye."                             |
| 10 | MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt                      |
| 11 | Resolutions No. P-15-17 through TF-9-17 passes with |
| 12 | six I'm sorry passes with seven "Ayes."             |
| 13 | Resolution No. 327 passes with six                  |
| 14 | "Ayes" and one "No."                                |
| 15 | Resolutions No. 328 through 370-B                   |
| 16 | passes with seven "Ayes."                           |
| 17 | Supervisor, the calendar is complete                |
| 18 | and now we can go on to public comment.             |
| 19 | SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.                     |
| 20 | Our first speaker                                   |
| 21 | COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Hang on.                      |
| 22 | MR. ALTADONNA: Oh, yeah. That's right.              |
| 23 | Motion?   |
| 24 | COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor,                  |
| 25 | I'll make a motion to close the meeting.            |

```
60
                   COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Second.
1
2
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor,
3
      signify by saying "Aye."
                   ALL: "Aye."
4
5
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Opposed, "Nay."
 6
                   (No verbal response given.)
7
                   SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have
8
      it.
9
                   Thank you.
10
                   (TIME NOTED: 11:14 P.M.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```