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SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. If I may have your attention, please. Welcome to Oyster Bay Town Hall meeting for March 21, 2023. For those of you who are joining us for the first time, please know you have an opportunity to be heard on all matters brought before the Town Board, as well as during the public comment after the entire meetings has been closed.

As always, we are live streaming this meeting on social media and on the Town's website which is OysterBaytown.com. These proceedings are recorded and later transcribed. And, of course, your voices are very important to us. Statements and comments and input from the public relative to our meetings are always welcomed and respected as part of the record. To submit comments, online if you're not able to be here, go to e-mail publiccomment@OysterBay-ny.gov or you can mail us at the Office of the

Town Attorney, which is, Office of the Town Attorney, 54 Audrey Avenue, Oyster Bay, New York 11771.

Leading us in prayer this evening is District Evangelist Chris Kmiotek, who is the minister of New Apostolic Church in Woodbury. Please join us at the podium. Would everyone please rise?
(Whereupon, all rise.)
EVANGELIST KMIOTEK: Good evening, everyone.

Almighty God, Dear Heavenly Father, we come to you in humbleness and in, God, fear, thankful that we have this privilege to join in this gathering. And we ask, Dear Father, that your grace and your peace and your kindness will overshadow it. That everyone who is here that has something to add to the meeting, that they can all be heard and that, Dear Father, whatever the topics are, some may be difficult, some may be easy.

Dear Heavenly Father, we don't know all the things that are on the ledger for
this evening. But one thing we do know, that we can have your peace and your kindness to overshadow us. That later, when we go upon our homeward way, that you would watch over each and every one, and that they can return home safely to their families and feel that their time that they spent here this evening was worthwhile and that they were heard, and that, Dear Father, that it will serve its purpose to provide whatever decisions need to be made for the future. Bless the leadership that is here with great wisdom and understanding. We're thankful for all that is done for our town, for our community. And we pray that you will be kind and gracious. All these things we leave before you and say thank you. Amen.
(Whereupon, Amen.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you so much. Greatly appreciate it.

How new are you to your congregation?


EVANGELIST KMIOTEK: I've been in involved with the New Apostolic Church -I have multiple congregations that I serve in the district, but the Woodbury Congregation for the last eight years, and I'm an Oyster Bay resident. I live ten minutes from here near the Bailey Arboretum. So I'm very supportive of all of you and what you're trying to accomplish this evening. And I hope that it's a successful evening for you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, thank you so much. We appreciate it. Our best to all of your congregants. I'm sure they're very, very lucky to have your stewardship. And please know you're always welcome to join us in every capacity. God bless you and thank you for leading us today.

EVANGELIST KMIOTEK: God bless you as well. Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Leading us in
the Pledge of Allegiance this evening. Or

our friends, Andrea Nordquist, President of the Blue Star Mothers NY 14 on Long Island; First Vice President, Jackie Redler and two of our Blue Star moms. With that, would you all step forward, please? And if you would all please put your hands to your heart.
(Whereupon, the Pledge of

Allegiance is said.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Ladies, we
thank you very much for your service not only tonight, but each and every day
making a difference and supporting your families and helping us to understand why it's so important to support the families of those who are serving our nation.

Blue Star Mothers of America, Inc.
is a private, non-profit organization
that provides support for mothers who have sons or daughters -- families as well, I'm sure -- who are of active service in the United States Armed Forces.

Why don't each of you tell us where

your adult child, your veteran's name and where they're serving so we can all be so respectful of their efforts.

MS. NORDQUIST: My son, Gregory
Nordquist, is in the Air National Guard out at Gabreski Airport and Air Force Base, and he will also be deployed this July.

MS. ESCOBAR: My daughter, Eliana Wolfen (phonetic), is stationed out in California. She is a US Marine.

MS. REDLER: My son, Oscar Redler, is stationed in Norfolk and he currently is in Newport News and his ship is on dry dock. Makes Momma happy, not him so much.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So let's keep in mind the men and women in our United States Armed Forces serving here in the homeland and abroad, all of the men and women in law enforcement and all of our first responders and, of course, our healthcare heroes. But let's especially pray for your children and all of those serving the United States Of America and
protecting our freedoms. God bless them and may God keep them safe.

Let's hear it for our Blue Star Moms .
(Whereupon, applause.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would everyone
please kindly take a seat?
Before starting business. The Town
of Oyster Bay takes great pleasure in honoring two amazing students from

Jericho High School, Kevin Zhu. Kevin, raise your hand.
(Whereupon, Kevin Zhu raises hand.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please give him a hand.
(Whereupon, applause.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And Emily
Kim. Where are you, Emily?
(Whereupon, Emily raises hand.)
(Whereupon, applause.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Emily and
Kevin have dedicated themselves to
academic pursuits of excellence. And their efforts were recently recognized as they have become finalists in the very prestigious Regeneron Science Talent Competition, which included a \$25,000 prize for each of them.

The Regeneron Science Talent Competition has developed healthy rivalries among high schools across the nation and provided an outlet for academically talented individuals. And we can assure you Emily and Kevin are exceptionally talented. They provide this outlet, and they were selected from 300 semifinalists across the nation and a total applicant pool of nearly 2,000 of the brightest of the bright in America. So it's really special when we have from the Town of Oyster Bay finalists.

Although, no surprise, because the Town of Oyster Bay has the best parents in the world, so it would make perfect sense that they would have the best kids in the world as well.

Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. a biopharmaceutical firm in Tarrytown, New York, and has funded the contest since 2016 and generously provides the prize money. Winners in the competition typically spend weeks in university laboratories working closely with adult faculty to develop complex and meaningful projects. Finalists are considered to be among America's brightest students in science and math and represent some of the area's most outstanding schools and, therefore, the best of the best of students. We would also like to say you continue to prove that some of the very best schools, the best parents and the brightest students reside in the Town of Oyster Bay.
(Whereupon, applause.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. So that was the complicated part. Now, let me give you the easy and simple part. What were their projects all about?

Well, Emily's project was entitled,

The Dual Roles of Activated Carbon As an Absorbent and Photocatalyst For Azo Dye Removal. Quite simple. We could all do that any day (laughter).

Kevin's project was entitled, Recurrent Repeat Contradictions and Micro Changing Short Tandem Repeats Investigating Unrepresented Factors of Polymorphism in Human Cancers.

So you can see these students are exceptional. I don't know what all of you were studying in high school, and I'm not sure I want to admit what $I$ was studying at high school, but the point is that we're so proud of you. You set the bar for all the rest of the students in the country and especially in our town. You show the world how special it is to be a resident of the Town of Oyster Bay, because their students are going to get phenomenal opportunities, because you're going to get some of the highest quality facilities of education, and because you have a whole town that is supportive of
you. And you have something in common with the Blue Star families that are here, because you're incredibly supportive of your children, as are we. We understand that there is an enormous sacrifice to send your loved ones off to war and God knows what might happen. Of course, there are immense dangers associated with that. So there's
commitment among the families and the
parents here in this town. It doesn't
matter if you live in Locust Valley or in
Massapequa or in Hicksville or any other
place, the commitment here is very strong
and we're very proud to be a part of
this.

So my colleagues on the Town Board
and I, our Receiver and Town Clerk, all
of us, are exceedingly proud to have
Kevin and Emily here from Jericho High
School as they're being named finalists
in the Regeneron Science Talent
Competition. This is a towering and
remarkable achievement worthy of the

Town's highest honor. And when we find out more about their projects, we know that we're getting closer to cures for some of the worst ills that we hear about, read about, or unfortunately, we come in contact with, people who have cancer, people who are suffering because of environmental factors in their communities or that they've come in contact with. So your projects are exceptionally meaningful, in addition to all the pride we have because of you're your academic prowess. And that's a big, big deal. We have a very bright future in this nation, in large part because of our incredibly talented finalists, Emily Kim of Jericho High School, and Kevin Zhu, also of Jericho High School.

If the two of you would come forward, I'm going to ask Councilman Lou Imbroto and Councilwoman Laura Maier to assist all of us in these presentations. (Whereupon, Citations presented.)
$\qquad$ $14=$

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Ladies and gentlemen, let's hear from Emily.

MS. KIM: So my project basically looked into activated carbon. And basically what $I$ was trying to tackle was water pollution from the fashion industry. So for like Forever 21 and like H\&M and these are really big fashion brands that contribute a lot to environmental pollution. So I was basically trying to find an efficient, yet inexpensive way to remove this dye pollution that was occurring and especially underdeveloped nations and just finding a treatment for that.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What's very interesting is we are facing lots of environmental clean ups across Long Island, across the state, across the country, and there's more and more focus being put on cleaning up our water. In Long Island, all the water we drink is from the aquifer. So activated carbon is one of the many ways they're utilizing to

> deal with cleaning water to make sure it's always clean at the tap. So not only will your project help with the development of solutions for the fashion industry, but for all water, health and quality of our water overall. So let's hear it again.
(Whereupon, applause.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Kevin?
MR. ZHU: Hi, everyone. First off,
thank you so much for the honor of being here. My project was largely focused on identifying new types of mutations or DNA changes that affect cancer formation. We know that by 2040 , we expect almost 30 million new cancer cases yearly. And the best way to improve cancer survival rate is by identifying cancers.

And so my project was focused on looking these repetitive DNA sequences and then characterizing their association with cancer formation.

Lastly, then experimentally validated them working with primary tumor
samples as well as plasma DNA samples. And to kind of walk you through the implication is, if you were to walk into a clinic and get your blood drawn, that blood is then sent to a laboratory. And then you can look for changes in that DNA, which would allow us to be able to tell you, okay, you may have some sort of predisposition for a particular cancer super early on.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Excellent.
Amazing.
(Whereupon, applause.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO:

Congratulations. Did you get a chance to thank your folks.

MS. KIM: Thank you.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's hear it for their families. You're supporting these wonderful scientists and you already are full blown scientists.

Congratulations. God bless. And thanks for making the Town of Oyster Bay the best town in America. Congratulations.

> (Whereupon, applause.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We have some announcements before we get started with our Town Board meeting. We have a busy week and weekend of very special events and we hope all of you, whether you are here in the room or watching on your screen, will be focused on joining us at one of these events.

This weekend we have Freezin' for a Reason. The annual Polar Bear Plunge benefiting the Special Olympics New York that takes place on Sunday, March 25 th at Tobay Beach. As you head to ToBay, please remember this event. Bring your towels and your warm clothes. I will be leading the charge into the water. And do I have any takers of our elected officials who would like to jump into the cold water with me on Saturday morning? COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Sorry. I'll be at Mason's.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You'll be at Mason's event. Well, that's also a very
good event, but a poor excuse.
With that, Laura, why don't you tell us about the wonderful event planned for Majorie Park this weekend.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Sure. Thank you, Supervisor.

Saturday morning, starting at 10:30 is our second Mighty Mason's Warrior Walk. All money raised is going to go to benefit Leukemia and Lymphoma Society. We have face painters, we have a magician, entertainment, food, tons of raffles. We're raffling off a vacation. So it'll be a fun time. A great place to bring your kids. It's a small walk from Marjorie Post around Burns and yeah and raising money for a really great cause.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That is Saturday the 25 th at what time?

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Saturday the 25th, registration starts at 10:45 and I think we're kicking off around 11:3011: 45.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.

Wonderful.
There is also our Shoreline
Protection Program at our beach at Tobay Beach on the same day, Saturday, March 25th. We have our Dune Stabilization Project. All you have to do is show up and you can help plant stalks of dune grass, which I like to call the rebar for the dunes, holds it together and solidifies it and helps the dunes have structural integrity, especially during storms.

I failed to mention a little earlier with the Freezin' for a reason Polar Plunge, you can sign up to get involved in that to help raise money. Maybe you have a family member who wants to jump in the water with me. To register your team or an individual, visit, Polarplungeny.org/tobay and you can find all the information and I'm sure they'll encourage people even at the last minute to sign up.

I mentioned dune stabilization this

Saturday. Residents interested in seeking additional information about that program can contact our Department of Environmental Resources. The phone number is (516) 677-5943.

We mentioned Mason's Warriors again at Marjorie Post Park. That's a very important program. And thank you,

Councilwoman Laura Maier, for your work and raising funds and awareness for those fighting leukemia and lymphoma.

In an effort to help hospitals
facing a nationwide blood shortage, my colleagues and $I$ invite residents to donate at our next blood drive, which is Tuesday, March 28th, from 1 p.m. to 7 p.m. at the Hicksville Athletic Center, located at 167 South Broadway in Hicksville. Once again, we thank Councilwoman Maier. Your efforts in helping to coordinate this blood drive. Blood is critically needed in our local hospitals to meet the rising demand and continue saving lives. If you'd like to
sign up for the Town of Oyster Bay's
blood drive, you can call the Town's
Office of Public Information at (516)
624-6380. I'll say it again, (516)
624-6380. Or visit our website
Oysterbaytown.com.
In keeping with our commitment to
host diverse cultural events, here in
this town and we've been doing so much.
We celebrating Black history Month in
February. We did a Lunar New Year
celebration here. We've had so many
cultural events recognizing our
diversity. It's absolutely wonderful.
And we continue with that because the
Town will be observing the Iftaar
celebration on March 27th. Iftaar is one
of the religious observances of Ramadan,
and it's often observed as a community
with Muslim people gathering to break
their fast together.

Lastly, the Town will be springing into action during the month of April with a collection drive in support of $a$
local veterans. Supplies such as toiletries, clothing, along with gift cards, will be collected and forwarded to veterans in need through the Northport VA Hospital. As always, the bins are located throughout our town, including here at Town Hall North, at Town Hall South, and at the Bethpage Ice Rink. And those interested in donating can contact public information at (516) 624-6380. With that I'll turn the floor over to our Receiver of Taxes, Jeff Pravato. TAX RECEIVER: Thank you, Supervisor. Tomorrow we have our last tax free tax grievance workshop right here in Town Hall North at 7 p.m. Any questions, please call my office at 624-6400 and you can get us at

Receiveroftaxes@oysterbay-ny.gov. It's a free workshop. We've had some great attendance and it's really helpful.

So if you want to grieve your taxes without going through the firms, here at 7:00, again, right in this boardroom.


It's really good. Very informative. We've got some good feedback from all. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Jeff Pravato? TAX RECEIVER: Yes, sir.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: On behalf of our residents, we all want to thank you. These seminars have been very, very informative. I went to the one at the Community Center on Albany Avenue in North Massapequa. When we say the room was packed, standing room only, that was literally the case. We were trying to find chairs to pull them out of the office just to provide seating for people. You had a translator helping those with hearing deficiencies so they could be involved. Really great job.

One question, If you happen to know what's the last date, what's the deadline for applying for --

TAX RECEIVER: April 3rd this year.

It was extended this year. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So the last
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |






SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So with that, would our clerk, Rich LaMarca, please poll the Board?

TOWN CLERK: Supervisor Saladino? SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Present. TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Johnson, absent.

TOWN CLERK: Councilman Imbroto? COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Present. TOWN CLERK: Councilman Hand? COUNCILMAN HAND: Present. TOWN CLERK: Councilman Labriola, absent.

TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Maier? COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Present.

TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Walsh?
COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Present.
TOWN CLERK: We have a quorum, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So with that, we will call our first hearing, please.

TOWN CLERK: Tonight's first hearing is to consider the application of Vesta Acquisitions LLC, contract vendee, New


York Institute of Technology, lessee, for a Special Use Permit and Modification of Restrictive Covenant for premises located at 120 Jericho Turnpike, Jericho, New York.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Before Counsel begins, this form (indicating) is our "Persons Intending to Speak". And the process is very simple, they're up front. If you would like to be heard following each hearing separately, we'll call you up to be heard. You'll come to the microphone and just state your name and address and tell us what's on your mind. But we ask that you please fill out a form first and they're located right down in front by the by our Town Clerk. That's very, very helpful and we appreciate that.

With that, Counselor, anytime you're ready. Good evening.

MR. FORCHELLI: Good evening, Supervisor Saladino, members of the board. My name is Jeff Forchelli, 333

Earle Ovington Boulevard, Uniondale, New York.

It's nice to see you. And I saw the students. And I just want to let you know, when I went to college, I took up space and I took up a lot of space (laughter).

But anyway, the applicants tonight are Vesta Acquisitions LLC, which is the contract vendee of the property and New York Tech NYT, which is the proposed tenant. The owner of the property is 120 West End LLC. We do not represent the owner of the property, but Howard Avrutine, who is here this evening, represents them and is here on their behalf. He may speak later on this.

The present use of the property is a hotel/motel as per prior Special Use Permits and Site Plan approvals last modified in 2009, and as per the Declaration of Restrictive Covenants, dated May 16th, 2022 by Westland LLC, recorded with a stipulation of settlement
dated May 25th, 2022 and Town of Oyster Bay versus West End, LLC Community

Housing Initiatives. As you all may recall, there was an issue over the use of that property and it was settled by a stipulation of settlement last year.

So just summing that point up, the prior approvals and declarations provide that the hotel/motel use as defined in the Town Code is what is permitted and the 2022 restrictions and stipulation further clarify that.

The premises is in the neighborhood business district and its 1.6394 acres. It's known as 120 Jericho Turnpike, Jericho. It's on the corner of the south side of the Long Island Expressway and the South Service Road and the north side of Jericho Turnpike, it's right at that intersection where Jericho Turnpike comes under the expressway and meets with the South Service Road.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The pizza slice.


MR. FORCHELLI: Pardon me?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The pizza slice.

MR. FORCHELLI: The pizza slice, you got it. It has roads all around it.

The hotel/motel has 80 units in it and it has 81 parking spaces, which complies with the Town of Oyster Bay Code. The surrounding area on the north is the Long Island Expressway and the South Service Road, no houses within foreseeable of it. East of it is the intersection, as I said, of Jericho Turnpike and the South Service Road of the expressway. On the south is incidental retail and a car dealership. And to the west is also a car dealership, fast foods, some offices and Home Depot.

Vista Acquisitions and NYIT are seeking a special use permit and site plan approval to replace the existing approvals and also to provide new covenants and restrictions to permit the use of the property as proposed, which is

a dormitory for students of New York Tech.

In other words, the hotel/motel which is presently there, instead of being used for transient guests, would be used for students of New York Tech. And it will be a regulated facility and it will be, we believe, in the best interests of the Town, as well as New York Tech.

Just to be clear about the approval that we're seeking, the approval would be subject to the revocation by other prior Town Board approvals and determination of those approvals and the restrictive covenants. Determination of those cannot happen without either the consent of the existing owner or the conveyance of the property to the applicant investor, which then, as the owner, would be able to execute the necessary documents. This is consistent with my conversation with Tom Sabellico today.

In other words, what I'm saying is,
we have to close title with the owner of the property and at which point we would execute the Covenants and Restrictions and the zoning would be in place, which is similar to what is often done.

As I said, the proposed use is for dormitory for NYIT. The 80 units will have a controlled entrance. Where you come into the building on the south side, there'd be, just like my dorm in college, where there was a front desk there. And the students, you know, you check in and go by and it regulates what's coming in and out of the building.

Dining will not be done on the facility. The dining will be done at New York Tech and I guess that's Brookville/Old Westbury.

Parking. There will be some resident parking. There will also be parking for a couple of vans that will run back and forth from the facility to New York Tech.

It'll be shuttle service. On
weekdays there'll be two shuttles running
back and forth between 7:00 and 11:00 a.m., and one shuttle between 11:00 a.m. and 11:00 p.m.

On weekends, there'll be various round trips to the campus. They'll also be trips to local shopping for the residents who were there without cars, so they'll be able to get their shopping done.

There are security guards New York Tech will control through the day and evening, seven days a week.

There'll be a full time Residence Hall Director who live there. There'll be an associate director, student residence assistant and staffing at the front desk on a regular basis to, as I said, control the intake into the building.

There will be cameras. So it'll be a very secure facility where our students will be.

The Town of Oyster Bay Department of Environmental Resources declared this as a Type II Action, which indicates that no

further environmental review was
necessary for the existing building.
However, if there are any questions regarding the environment, we have here, Rebecca Goldberg from Cameron

Engineering, who could answer any questions you have.

And that's basically what the application is. It's an existing building which is just getting a change in use, and $I$ think it'll be a much more controlled use than what was there or what was proposed to be there. And I think it will be in the best interest of the Town of Oyster Bay, NYIT, and for the community in general. And we really feel we have very little impact, as there are really no houses anywhere near this.
So that's basically the application.
If you have any questions, I'd be happy
to answer them, or if some of the people we have here we might need to answer them.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Does the Board
have any questions?
COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: How many
students did you say again? How many
students?

MR. FORCHELLI: Eighty.
COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Eighty students. Okay. And the RA or the assistant would be 24 hours, maybe?

MR. FORCHELLI: There's a full time security guard from 11:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. There's cameras throughout. There's not going to be sneaking in here.

COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: No, I don't think so. Thank you.

MR. FORCHELLI: Excuse me, it's 80 rooms.

COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Okay. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And do they expect to have single occupancy or double occupancy?

MR. FORCHELLI: There may be a combination of both.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.

Could you just answer a few questions as it relates to the parking?

MR. FORCHELLI: Sure.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Students will be allowed to have cars, if they have them?

MR. FORCHELLI: They'll be getting decals. There'll be permits. And not everyone will have one because there's 81 parking spaces. So there'll be permits for some of the students and there will be some spaces for the shuttle vans that pull in and so on.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That shuttle
that you spoke to in an effort to
alleviate a parking issue, does that shuttle run seven days a week?

MR. FORCHELLI: Yes.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It will?

MR. FORCHELLI: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And the owners are committed to creating in the covenants and restrictions that they will continue that seven days a week?


MR. FORCHELLI: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.
MR. FORCHELLI: It's a good use.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's it for me. There'll be at least one security guard on the premises at all times, including overnight?

MR. FORCHELLI: Yes. There will be a security guard from 11:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. And then during the day, there'll be the residential director, associate directors and student residence assistants.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And the security cameras will run 24 hours.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's it for me. Any other questions for anyone?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Do you have any other experts that you'd like to present?

MR. FORCHELLI: No. If you don't have any questions. We're happy to rest.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let's see if we have any residents here who would like to be heard. Is there anyone who would like to be heard on this application for this hearing?

I'm assuming, Mr. Avrutine, you're one of them.

MR. AVRUTINE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. Please present.

MR. AVRUTINE: Good evening, Mr. Supervisor. Howard Avrutine, 2116 Merrick Avenue in Merrick.

I am here tonight on behalf of 120 West End LLC. That is the owner of the property under Application and 120 West End LLC has a contract with Vista Acquisitions LLC; whereby, Vista Acquisitions is under contract to purchase the premises. And we would respectfully request that the Board act on this application as quickly as possible. We want to go to closing on this transaction and we believe that the

Board acting on this in an expeditious fashion would move that along and we would appreciate that.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is there anything else, Mr. Avrutine?

MR. AVRUTINE: Nothing else, sir.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very, very much. Does anyone have any questions for Mr. Avrutine?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Again, I'll
ask one last time. Is there anyone here who would like to be heard on this application?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please let the record reflect that no one has signified that they'd like to be heard.

Is our town attorney here. Would you step forward, please?
(Whereupon, brief off the record discussion.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May I have a motion, please? may I have the motion?

COUNCILWOMAN IMBROTO: Supervisor, I move that the public portion of this hearing be closed and the record be kept open for 30 days.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So that means over the next 30 days, anyone who would like to be heard on this, can put in in writing an e-mail, a handwritten letter, whatever they'd like, and it will be part of the record and part of consideration. With that may have a second?

COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And before we go for our vote, is there correspondence?

TOWN CLERK: The attorney for the applicant has filed the Affidavit of Service and Disclosure. Communications are as follows:

We have memos from the Department of Planning and Development, including a review of the required off-street

parking.
The Nassau County Land and Tax map
indicates the property is Section 17, Block 16 and Lot 47.

According to the Town of Oyster Bay zoning maps, the property is located within a Neighborhood Business Zone.

There is an Open Code Enforcement Bureau case as well as a variance in Town Board resolutions on file.

There is no further correspondence.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: A motion has been made and seconded.

All in favor, please signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Town Board respond in favor with, "Aye".)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed:
"Nay".
(Whereupon, no verbal
response from the Town Board.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes"
have it.
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SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please call the next hearing.

TOWN CLERK: Tonight's second hearing is to consider the application of Roundswamp Properties Inc, fee owner, and 732 old Bethpage Road Corp., lessee, for a Special Use Permit and Site Plan Approval on premises located at 728 Old Bethpage Road, Old Bethpage, New York. MR. SNIPAS: Good evening, Mr. Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good evening.
MR. SNIPAS: Good evening, Town Board. My name is Eric Snipas, attorney with the law firm of Forchelli Deegan and Terrana, 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard, Uniondale, New York. Here on behalf of 732 old Bethpage Road Corp., better known as Villa Monte Pizzeria and Restaurant.

The current owner, as previously mentioned, is Roundswamp Properties Inc. A representative from Roundswamp Properties is here tonight, Attorney Jim Clark. 732 Old Bethpage Road is the

lessee. Present with me Present with me tonight is Luca Schiano on behalf of Villa Monte; Doug Castellano from North Coast Civil; and Steve Schneider of Schneider Engineering, our parking engineer.

Our application tonight seeks a Special Use Permit and Site Plan approval to maintain the existing Villa Monte Pizzeria and restaurant with a maximum occupancy greater than 75 persons. Villa Monte has been operating at the premises for over 25 years without issue and is in the middle of a ten year lease that expires in 2030. Luca Schiano took over the business in 2020 and we filed this application in November of 2020.

I apologize if I'm losing my voice. I've been losing it all day, so bear with me.
Villa Monte offers dine-in,
delivery, carry out, and catering services. Their menu includes over 30 different specialty pizzas and provides
numerous options for pasta, sandwiches, wraps and entrees.

Premises is known as 732 Old

Bethpage Road. It's on the Nassau County Tax Map as Section 47, Block 4, Lot 7 . It's approximately six-and-a-half acre parcel of land located in a neighborhood business zoning district, and it fronts on Bethpage Road, Haypath Road and Round Swamp Road. And there is egress and ingress on Round Swamp, as well as Old Bethpage Road.

It is currently improved with a 57,569 square foot shopping center, which is occupied by 21 different tenancies, including Villa Monte. The existing shopping center serves the surrounding residential community. And to the north of the premises are residential properties in the R17 zoning district. Abutting the premises to the south is the 7-Eleven convenience store in the zoning district, with more residential homes and Battle Row County Park Campground further


```
south. To the east is county owned land
```

and restoration farm.

Villa Monte occupies approximately $5400 f^{2}$ of space in the existing shopping center, with seating for 136 people. While the restaurant has seating for 136 people, it's rare that a 136 people at any given time are at the restaurant. The busiest days of the week are typically Fridays and Saturday nights, with the customer base tending to be seniors from the neighborhood. During the week, lunchtime tends to be the busiest, with people stopping in for pizza. But that dramatically tapers off around 2 p.m.

Now, at one parking space for three occupants, Villa Monte is required to provide 47 parking spaces. The total site provided 287 parking spaces and four loading spaces where 353 parking spaces and six loading spaces are required. So we will be seeking a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for a deficiency of 66 parking spaces.


At this point, I would ask that Steve Schneider come before you and present his findings with respect to his parking study. And I will be handing up a copy of the parking study that Mr. Schneider provided (handing).

MR. SCHNEIDER: My name is Stephen Schneider, principal of Schneider Engineering, 43 Seacliff Avenue, Miller Place, New York 11764. I've been before this board for the last 30 or 40 or 50 years, to be honest. But who's counting?

In either case, what $I$ was asked to do was to do a parking study of the lot only. And we had to add the vacant space that was originally a gym for about $7200 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. That gym is vacant at this time. So I added one parking space for every $200 f^{2}$ for that piece of the property.

As was mentioned earlier, there's 287 spaces plus the four loading spaces, for a total of 291 spaces. Using the 7200 square foot vacant property, or portion of the property, and one space for 200 ,
we would require 36 additional parking spaces for that facility that's not being used at this time.

I did take three different counts during peak times and days. There's just one change. The first date was March 1st from 12 noon till 2:00 on a Wednesday. The second was a Wednesday from 4:00 to 6:00. These are peak hours for this type of facility. The third was not on a Friday, but on a Saturday, March 4th from 12:00 till 2:00. So we took the peak
times of the people going to the
facility, even though some of the peak times of this business is later at night.

At that time, the rest of the facility is even less populated.

So basically, I did a chart, as you may be able to see on page Three, showing the days we took the counts, the amount of counts, the amount of parking spaces that were vacant, and we came up with a worst case scenario in any one time that there was 164 parking spaces that would

be available during a peak hour. What that means is if you still require that 36, it will not be a problem, whatsoever.

Fortunately or unfortunately, with today's times, it's somewhere between 40 and 60\% full at any one time. And to add this type of facility, or use this type of facility plus the additional space that might be required, there really is plenty of parking spaces available.

I will also make note that the counts that $I$ took also counted the cars that are really in the post office, which is not part of our 291, but $I$ even included them in our counts to be more conservative.

That's what I have to say.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: As a frequent visitor to the shopping center, I can attest to that. It's rarely even half full. There's a lot of parking.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any questions from the board members? (Whereupon, no verbal

response.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very
much.

MR. SCHNEIDER: This is what I like. Thank you.

MR. SNIPAS: Thank you, Steve.

That concludes my presentation. If the Board has any questions I'd be happy to answer.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Any questions from the board members?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Not at this
time. Thank you.
MR. SNIPAS: Thank you very much.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Next, we would
like to know if there is anyone here who would like to be heard on this application. Anyone at all?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please let the record reflect that no one has indicated
that they'd like to be heard.
Is there any correspondence?
TOWN CLERK: The attorney for the
applicant has filed the Affidavit of
Service and Disclosure. The
communications are as follows:
We have memos from the Department of
Planning and Development, including the
review of the required off street
parking.

The Nassau County Land and Tax Map indicates the property is Section 47, Block 4, Lot 7.

According to the Town of Oyster Bay zoning maps, the property is located within a neighborhood business zone.

There is an open Code Enforcement Bureau case in Town Board Resolutions on file.

There is no further correspondence. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Supervisor, I make a motion that the public portion of this hearing be closed and that the
record be kept open for 30 days.
COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor, please signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Town of Oyster Bay Town Board respond in favor with,
"Aye".)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
"Nay"?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The ayes have it. Thank you.
(Whereupon, above matter concludes, 8:02 p.m.)
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TOBAY TOWN BOARD MTG 03.21.23 HEARING P-10-23
hearing is to consider the application of
321 Partners LLC, fee owner, and
Starbucks Corporation, lessee, for a Special Use Permit and revocation of Declaration of Restrictive Covenants on premises located at 321 Jericho Turnpike.
(Whereupon, Application is
displayed on monitors and
referred to during
presentation.)
MR. AVRUTINE: Good evening, Mr.
Supervisor, members of the board. For the applicant, Howard Avrutine, 2116 Merrick Avenue in Merrick. This is the
application of 321 Partners LLC and
Starbucks Corporation for a Special Use Permit and to revoke a Declaration of Restrictive Covenants in order to operate a fast food restaurant with 88 occupants in a freestanding building with drivethru services.

Premises under application is located at the northwest corner of

Jericho Turnpike, which is New York State Route 25 and Humphrey Drive in Syosset. The premises has a street address of 321 Jericho Turnpike, Syosset. It's also known as Section 15, Block E, Lot 195 on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map.

The premises is located in the NB, neighborhood business district, and is improved with a one story building formerly utilized as a Burger King fast food restaurant with drive-thru window. The Burger King restaurant ceased operation in approximately 2017 after a fire at the property.

The Burger King was originally constructed in approximately 1975. By Resolution 524-85, adopted on June 4th, 1985, the Town Board granted a Special Use Permit authorizing installation of a "drive-up" window, allowing the existing Burger King restaurant to be used as a drive-in restaurant and take out service, and also the approval of authorized construction of a greenhouse extension at

the southeast corner of the restaurant to be used as an additional dining area. In connection with that approval, the Board imposed various Covenants and Restrictions.

By this application, the applicants seek approval of a Special Use Permit to utilize the subject premises owned by 321 Partners LLC and leased to Starbucks Corporation, to be utilized as a fast food restaurant with 88 occupants and a drive-thru window in accordance with the site plan and plans prepared by Catapano Engineering and Architecture PC, which are before the Board.

This application also seeks to revoke the prior Covenants and Restrictions which were part of the 1985 approval, inasmuch as, the Burger King is no longer in operation. And in the event this application is approved, this Board will require the execution and reporting of a new Declaration of Restrictive Covenants.

=TOBAY TOWN BOARD MTG 03.21.23 HEARING P-10-23

The plans before you depict 25 parking spaces on site with 32 spaces required. Therefore, in the event this application is approved, a parking variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals will be required with respect to the deficiency.

A variance will also be required for a deficiency of queuing spaces with six required and three provided. You'll note from reviewing the site plan that we actually have queuing room for ten vehicles, but for purposes of the requirement, the number of required queuing spaces is measured from the order board, not the full length of queuing.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Could you just describe that a little bit better? Where is the order board?

MR. AVRUTINE: What I'll do, I just was describing what that variance was in terms of the deficiency. Our project engineer, Mr. Whitney, will describe the site in detail. We actually put it on a
thumb drive. This is my first attempt at this. We'll see how it goes.

So we're going to go through all of the details of the site plan and answer any questions. So when we get to that point, if that's okay.

In addition, there'll be some dimensional variances they require.

Regarding deliveries, deliveries will be by box truck and they'll be no larger than $24 f t$ long and it's one per day. The hours of operation are the hours permitted by the Town Code. That's 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., seven days a week. And deliveries will take place as will refuse removal during normal business operation hours. Refuse is picked up starting out at the beginning of operation, it will be every two days that refuse is picked up at the premises. If business dictates that more pickups are required, then it'll be adjusted accordingly to make sure that there was no issue on refuse pickup. Deliveries are

typically one per day.

And as far as employees, this
location will employ 25 to 30 employees. There will be a maximum at any given time on premises of eight to 10 employees.

The seating is as depicted in the plans that are before the Board, and Mr. Whitney can bring that up on the screen during his comments.

So unless the Board has questions of me at this point, I'd like to call Tom Whitney, the project engineer, to take us through the site design and answer all the questions.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Can I just ask
that you please cover the drive-thru queuing thoroughly, particularly with respect to the relation of the ordering speaker window and where the cars are going to be queuing up.

MR. AVRUTINE: Sure.

MR. WHITNEY: Thomas Whitney,

Catapano Engineering and Architecture 585 Broad Hollow Road, Melville, New York.


I guess we can start with your direct question. So the site is designed with a ten car queue, and that's counting from the pickup window around to the first car in the designated queuing area. Oyster Bay counts cars in your code from the order point, not from the pickup window. So we have three cars from the order point to the end of the queue and then we have ten cars total within the queue. The reason for the placement of this, which is at car number eight, is that once they get to car number seven or eight with the order point, you're more likely to have your coffee ready at the window when you get there during a full queue. If they start to put it closer, there's more opportunity that you'll be waiting at the window, which causes the queue to have to wait for that car to get served. So there's a timing element as to the placement of the order point and how many cars behind the window it is to minimize the time each car is at the
window.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And what is the typical amount of time that it takes to order the drink and what is the amount of time it typically takes to make the drink and I presume pay for the drink when you pick it up?

MR. WHITNEY: Right. Well, I don't work in their operations team, but $I$ can tell you that the estimated at car seven or eight at the order point is at the designated location as we have it, I believe I have a chart here, the time at the window during a busy time is 54 seconds is what they estimate.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: At the ordering window?

MR. WHITNEY: At the pickup window to have your coffee handed off and pay for the coffee.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Okay. But the cars are going to begin queuing at the ordering window. How long are they going to be there?

MR. WHITNEY: At the ordering speaker?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Yeah.

MR. WHITNEY: I don't have a time. It depends on -- I mean, that's a hard thing to estimate. Some people order real quick. They have their daily thing. Other people --

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Well, there are industry standards for that. Typical is three minutes --

MR. WHITNEY: Right. So most people who are regulars have their order in their minds.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Right. But they vary widely from a Dunkin Donuts versus a Starbucks.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: That's correct. Yes. I think what we're looking for is what is the standard for a typical Starbucks order from order point to pick up and drive off?

MR. WHITNEY: Okay. So I'm a civil engineer that works --

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: If you don't have that, it's fine. Just get it to us, please.

MR. WHITNEY: But we do have people here from the Operations Team that $I$ can bring forward later to discuss more about the operational things. But I'm here -COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: The reason I ask is that there are a number of drive-thru Starbucks. Some of them are problematic, some of them are not. There's one in particular I'm thinking of which has cars queuing up on a main road fairly frequently, almost all the time. And we don't want to have a situation like that here. So we really need that to be addressed properly and thoroughly, so we don't have a situation with cars backing up on Jericho Turnpike when they're trying to get into Starbucks and other cars are trying to get through and get around them during peak Starbucks time, which is when everyone else is trying to get to work.

MR. WHITNEY: Understood. The start of the queue is not at the front of the site. It's kind of midway through. So there is probably additional overage of about 3 to 4 cars before the curb line. So there is a sort of buffer where if that was to happen, if, say, a car delayed at that window, there is a bit of, for lack of a word, pre queue area on the site. So a car could wait there for a second and then move forward. So if you do have someone who's indecisive at the order board.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: But as far as avoiding backup or overflow on the street, it's better to have the ordering speaker at the third car than at the eighth car, for example. Correct?

MR. WHITNEY: I'm not sure if you understanding.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Closer to the entrance than the exit.

MR. WHITNEY: Right. So what would happen then if we move the order board to

the third car from the window, is the person who orders would now be sitting at that order board waiting for the car number one at the window to have their coffee brewed and, therefore, the line wouldn't move. They'd just be sitting there staring at the order board, waiting for the cars ahead of them to get served because there wasn't enough time between the order point and the window to make the coffee.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So based on your experience, it's better to have it closer to the entrance which is where it's situated on this side.

MR. WHITNEY: Correct. So the
optimal placement for them is car seven or after to get to that minimal timeline at the window. After that, it sort of levels out because people can only get their credit card out, hand it off and hand off the coffee so fast. So it sort of flattens out at a certain amount of time, just because of the time a

transaction takes. And so that is where we're in that zone of their minimal time that they're able to perform that transaction.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Okay. Continue.

MR. WHITNEY: Okay.

So with this site design, we're utilizing the existing two curb cuts on Jericho Turnpike. We've already obtained DOT approval on this site. We have not picked up a permit because we need to get further along in our processes here with Oyster Bay. But we have an approval from DOT. The site is designed as a sort of a horseshoe, one way loop with parking at the entrance curb cut, and then additional parking along the West property line access through a bypass lane that goes around the building.

Currently, we're proposing 25
parking stalls. Very similar to the existing configuration as far as their placement in abutting the street along
$\qquad$

Humphrey. There are four parking spaces and then a large parking row along the western property line, which abuts a commercial parking lot on the other side of that fence.

The lanes, as far as the back of the property, the drive-thru lane and the bypass lane are the existing configuration of the Burger King restaurant with the drive-thru lane by extending the queue further out, we were able to create a gentler turning radius which makes for more site safety. It also allows for more queuing than with the existing Burger King restaurant had functioning prior.

The existing drive-thru window is in the same location as the existing Burger King and that is on the west elevation about 11'2" from the front corner. So the order board and the pickup window do not face the closest house, they face side properties, the sides on either side to try to take that away, which the existing
configurations of Burger King had the order board facing the north property line.

There's a small outside seating area on the concrete walkway in the front and then interior seating for the cafe. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Question:

With so many of these fast food locations, there had, at least in the past, tended to be a blaring speaker that's very loud. Do they propose High tech equipment that will be clear at a lower volume to not need this distorting loudspeaker or a microphone that people don't have to shout at? Is there a higher, better technology that would be utilized at this location?

MR. WHITNEY: Yeah. So we have a speaker where they can have a volume adjustment on it. The speaker also has a feature which they call AVC, which is automatic volume control. So what that does is it allows the speaker, let's say something loud is happening on Jericho

Turnpike. It'll briefly elevate the sound so the person can have that transaction but will drop the sound back down to the minimal level once the ambient sound drops. So, therefore, you don't have to say like, Hey, have my customers can hear the speaker when there's a truck at the traffic light or something like that. We have to turn it up and keep it up. This allows you to set the volume to a lower standard and it would only incidentally go up if there's ambient noise that requires it to be a bit louder for the person to have a transaction. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: I have a question regarding the parking stalls. So right now you're proposing 25 parking stalls. How many of those or are there any that are dedicated to mobile or curbside pickup? Curbside for delivery. We have mobile. We have curbside.

Customers have place to order on the app and then you can park in a stall and wait
to --

MR. WHITNEY: So there are three parking spaces, number 15, 16 and 17, which would be designated as five minute parking. And that's intended for people who use the mobile app who say, I don't want to get on that drive-thru line. I'm going to order ahead. They can pull in and there's a quick turnover in those stalls so they can pull in, run and grab their coffee and run out.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Do you have any that are designated for delivery drivers or do they just pick any spot? Uber, Door Dash, any of those delivery platforms, I know those are becoming a lot more popular.

MR. WHITNEY: We don't have one designated. We do have some Starbucks employee parking at the back corner of the site, stalls one and two. I can talk with Starbucks. If that's something that the Town would like, a designated spot, we can talk with them to see if that's

```
something --
```

    COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Yeah, I just I
    mean, myself owning a couple of
restaurants myself, I'm aware that the
delivery platform is becoming a lot more
popular. Where they used to be only a few
percentage points of your sales. Now
they're upwards of averaging 15 to $20 \%$.
So we have a lot of traffic coming in and
out. Sometimes it's the same driver. A
lot of times it's not. Different drivers
in and out. So if you have one or two
spots that are designated for those
drivers, I think it would probably cause
less incidence of accidents or confusion
for the delivery drivers to know where to
park and be as efficient as possible.
MR. WHITNEY: We could definitely
consider that.
COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Is the other
Starbucks on Jericho, is that closing or
did I miss that part?
MR. WHITNEY: So the existing
Syosset, the closest Starbucks to this,
would be relocated to this.
COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Relocating. Okay.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there other questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please continue.

MR. WHITNEY: I think I'm pretty close to done.

MR. AVRUTINE: I would just like to add a point, if Tom didn't already cover it, and that is there's no access to the premises from Humphrey Drive. So the sole access is from Jericho Turnpike. So if you travel westerly on Jericho Turnpike, make a right hand turn in, either utilize the drive-thru or utilize the passthrough lane to park and go inside. And then exiting the site, there's a curb cut on the westerly side of the premises. Right hand turn only, no left hand turn. So it's a right turn in. Go negotiate the
property, leave the property, right hand, turn lane out, no left turn permitted, no access on property. So this was designed to minimize impacts on the surrounding area to the maximum extent that we could. Also, New York State DOT has reviewed the plan and given conceptual approval, subject obviously to all of the local approvals that are required. So I just wanted to clarify that as well.

At this time. If I may, I'd like to call Barry Nelson to testify regarding the Town's Special Use Permit standards. MR. NELSON: Good evening, Supervisor, members of the board. It's Barry Nelson, 220 Petit Avenue, Bellmore, New York, 11710.

I see you do have screens, so you have my photographs. I handed in a packet of photographs that should be available to you. That includes 35 pictures, I believe 36 pictures of the subject property and the surrounding uses. If the board would like, I can hand
in a hard copy (handing).
The site, in fact, as counsel
mentioned, it's been a Burger King since 1974. In fact, before that it was a drive up Wetson's since the mid 1960s. I think it was 1963 or 4. So it's always been a fast food type operation at that location, at least since the 1960s. In fact, the area along Jericho Turnpike, the subject property, is a neighborhood NB zoning district. That would be, for the most part, along the frontage on the north side of Jericho Turnpike from Humphrey Drive to Burke Lane on the west. Going east, the corner parcel northeast corner is a GB district. Then you go back to the NB district. On the south side, you have the NB districts, and they go further south between to the east of Bruce Street and to the west of Bruce Street. And those are oversized depth parcels.

The development just to the west of the subject property is a multi-tenanted

supermarket, LIDL; retail stores;
Pizzeria; Bagel; Quest Diagnostic; Mavis
Auto Tire Center. As you further go west
is a Bolla/Mobil car wash and C-store. To
the east is a Dunkin Donuts. You have a
restaurant further east and some retail
stores. The south side, southwest corner
of Bruce Street and Jericho Turnpike
would be Celebrity Diner, you have the
North Ritz Club, which is a catering
facility. To the east there's a
substantial shopping center that includes
a Chris and Tony restaurant; pizzeria;
you got cards; boutiques; and Verizon.
Just about all these commercial
developments, they are adjacent to
residential districts. Further north
east, the development to the west goes
approximately $330 f t$, plus or minus north
of Jericho Turnpike. In fact, the well
maintained single family homes on
Humphrey Drive on the westerly side for
the most part, the first six or seven
houses do back up to the supermarket and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { commercial retail to the west. The first } \\
& \text { three houses along Humphrey Drive and } \\
& \text { back in 2013, } 2014 \text { was the single } \\
& \text { dwelling. They were pursuant to a zoning } \\
& \text { variance that enabled to construct three } \\
& \text { single family colonial homes, all well } \\
& \text { maintained on approximately 50 foot } \\
& \text { frontages. They're well maintained in } \\
& \text { good condition, but again, the first } \\
& \text { house is adjacent to the commercial. The } \\
& \text { next five are back up to the commercial } \\
& \text { include a total renovation of the } \\
& \text { district. As is on the easterly side of } \\
& \text { feet of land area. The proposal will } \\
& \text { Humphrey Drive. They back up to the } \\
& \text { commercial development -- I mean side to } \\
& \text { the commercial development for the first } \\
& \text { house. And the rest are single family } \\
& \text { colonials, split levels and cape Cod } \\
& \text { design houses. }
\end{aligned}
$$

be no access from Humphrey Drive. That will strictly be in one side on Jericho Turnpike from the east and the westerly side an exit.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: What would comprise the boundary with Humphrey Humphrey Drive; what would be along that end of the property?

MR. NELSON: There are some
landscaping. There is the relocation of the existing refuse from the northwest corner to the easterly side. But there will be some, as you see, landscaping from the fourth parking space north. Then you've got the corners and along Jericho Turnpike frontage there's more landscaping. There will be some signage for the Starbucks.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: But there will be no landscaping where those four parking spaces are located directly adjacent to Humphrey Drive?

MR. WHITNEY: Correct. On Humphrey Drive there will be no landscape, but
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that's where Burger King has its existing parking right up to the front property lines. So there will be a substantial improvement as you go from the corner and then as you get closer to the resident number seven and nine, Humphrey Drive. COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Quick question for you. Where was the trash enclosure when it was the Burger King?

MR. NELSON: It's in my photographs. It's on the north west corner.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Right. I see where it is on the proposed plan. But where was it when it was a Burger King?

MR. NELSON: As I was informed, parking stalls one and two and it is on my photograph. Photograph page number six.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: So it was on the west side?

MR. NELSON: Northwest corner.
COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Now you're
proposing it on the east?
MR. NELSON: Yes. That's where the

applicant is proposing.
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So you're relocating it next to where the houses are?

MR. NELSON: It would be on the easterly side. It's against the same house, 7 Humphrey. But it's moved up with landscaping surrounded on the easterly side.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: But it was on the westerly side when it was a Burger King?

MR. NELSON: Yes. It abuts the single one house to the north.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What was the need to move that?

MR. WHITNEY: Thomas Whitney of Catapano Engineering.

So when we were working on the site plan in order to -- we have a few locations, obviously we have to choose a location that a truck can easily access the trash enclosure. So when coming up with this site plan and having the queue
we had, we came up with this location as a way to maximize the number of parking stalls. So basically we moved it from the area now which is on our site plan. The proposed site plan would be area parking space one and two. We moved it over to this corner where it's accessible, but it allowed us to turn that other area into parking. So that was the the reason for moving it to this corner.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: We'll ask the residents. But in your opinion, which location has less of an impact on the neighboring residents?

MR. WHITNEY: We're willing to be flexible about the trash location and we can discuss that further. What we were looking here is to try to minimize variances and the scope of the variance for parking. And we were working with planning to come up with what would minimize any sort of relief being sought for parking. We are flexible with what the Board we'd like to see and what we
$\qquad$ $30=$

```
can do to improve that, but we started
trying to minimize that variance and then
we will --
```

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Can you speak
to the efforts that were taken to
minimize the impact on the residential
neighbors?

MR. WHITNEY: Sure. The trash enclosure from the previous one, we went with the masonry solid walled trash enclosure. So that way it's not as open as the chain link one that exists. We moved it to 7'3' off the property line. So there is more of a buffer than the existing unit that is on the site today. We've provided a dense landscape barrier around it to try to minimize also the visibility of it, to soften it, to give it some green around it so it's not as visible outwardly from the street.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I'm not just talking about the trash enclosure. I just mean in general, the impact of the total site on the neighbors.

arborvitae along where we're showing them right now, which is the plant type around the trash enclosure, we can extend those a bit further along the sidewalk to create more of a barrier along that sidewalk. If that's something that the board thinks would help buffer a bit. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: As it relates to the plantings that are taking place on that, we'll call it the northeast portion of the property. How tall would the trees be when -- tree planting detail -- how tall will those trees be when they're planted? What will the distance be between the trunks to give us a sense of density?

MR. WHITNEY: There are several
different plant types in the area. The
largest tree we're looking at is going to be about 5 or 6 ft tall when it's planted. But this is a cypress tree and it'll grow larger in time.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: How many of those plants between 5 to 6ft tall will
$\qquad$ 33
be planted between these four parking spots and the trash can enclosure?

MR. WHITNEY: Okay. So there are junipers, just a three gallon pot. So it starts out as a lower planting and then it goes up to the arborvitae, which are around the trash, are 6 to 8ft tall. But along the sidewalk right now, we have the plantings as lower, like three gallon pot plantings of junipers and other evergreens mixed with some other variable deciduous plants. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So how tall would those be?

MR. WHITNEY: I mean, they vary, but you're talking like three, 3 or $4 f t$. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Three or four feet would be the tallest among? You said a variety of plantings. Would they be the tallest or the average?

MR. WHITNEY: So we have a mix of like Holly and Bayberry and stuff. So these are low growing plants. They'll be planted in a three gallon pot. So they're

not going to be huge plants when they're planted.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So those plants wouldn't be blocking the view --

MR. WHITNEY: No, they'd be adding a green environment.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: When you were inside.

So let's get back to the original question. You mentioned a tree between 5 and $6 f t$ in that strip. How many of those will be planted in there?

MR. WHITNEY: We are proposing only one, the one large cypress tree being planted there.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So we couldn't really consider this a green screen from the community or someone walking along.

MR. WHITNEY: It's a landscape buffer, but it's not a high green screen. No, it's not like a living fence or anything like that. Not until you get closer to the trash enclosure where there's arborvitaes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Would the applicant consider more of those taller trees.

MR. WHITNEY: Yes.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's all flexible depending what the residents may want in terms of a green screen?

MR. WHITNEY: Yeah.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. Thank you.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: I have a couple of questions going back to the trash enclosure. So I'm assuming that you've played around with this and have the trash enclosure moved to the west side of the site. So how many parking stalls would you lose if you moved it from the east side to the west side?

MR. WHITNEY: Approximately three.
COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: You lose three spaces. Have you had any conversations with the neighbor? The adjacent neighbor that that lives there? Because the trash enclosure obviously is much closer to
their house on the east side than it is on the west side. On the west side, it would be in their backyard more. On the east side, it's really in their front yard.

MR. WHITNEY: Right. But we did speak with them briefly today. I think they're interested in talking more about the trash. And we offered to work out any compromises we can. And yeah, I mean, when it comes to this, you know what their preference is. I don't know if they prefer it closer to their yard or closer to their driveway, you know, or what their preference is. But we're open to hearing what their preferences are and seeing what we can do.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: And how many seats did you propose inside?

MR. WHITNEY: There are 14 exterior seats and it calculates out a little weird because there's bench seating and they count seats out by linear. So it's 71 interior and 14 exterior.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Now what type of seating? Because it's the Starbucks where people get coffee. I mean, what is the average length of stay for a person when they go get coffee?

MR. WHITNEY: I don't I don't have that information. But we do have people here from the Operations Team that can speak to that.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Okay. What are some of the other concerns that the residents raised and how did you, or whoever, propose to address them?

MR. AVRUTINE: Okay, I'll go.

Just to amplify what Mr. Whitney indicated regarding a potential relocation of the trash receptacles and enclosure, it would be moved, as was discussed, from its current location to the westerly side of the property and not as far north. So it would take up three spaces roughly in the middle of that westerly row. So that is probably the best alternate location to the one that's

on here, if the Board found the current proposed location unacceptable. And we do lose three spaces, we acknowledge that, but we still also believe that the site will function properly with those three fewer spaces if we relocate the trash enclosure and the trash receptacles, and then that area can be buffered further with additional landscaping material over there.

I'd like to also point out if we can go back to Mr. Nelson's photos. I think it's important if you can go to photo number 16.
(Whereupon, Photo 16 is displayed and referred to.)

MR. AVRUTINE: So you can see there that in between the northerly side of subject property and the residential property directly to the north, there are mature evergreen trees. Most of those trees are within the property line of the subject property and not on the residential property to the north. There

is fencing -- and can you please go to 17? There's another view of that same situation. Now what I'd like you to do if we can go to another picture in a moment. Just find the picture, 14 (referring). So there is a guardrail, which you can see in this photo, and there's a fence beyond that guardrail. That is not the property line. The property line extends another six feet north. So all of that dense, mature, evergreen shrubbery there is on our property, we intend to maintain
it. There's nothing more effective at
buffering than many, many years old
evergreens that took years and years to
get to this state of visual barrier. So,
yes, we can certainly plant other things
along the property with colors and make
it attractive. As we all know, to get
this type of screening takes some time.
Fortunately, it's already here, it's
already being maintained and will
continue to be maintained.
To follow up on another question
that was posed about other things that we spoke to the residents about, and they'll speak for themselves, $I$ won't purport to characterize their comments, but they're concerned about the drive-thru itself, the very existence of it on this plan. And that is really the centerpiece of this application as far as the applicant is concerned. And we bring that here and we present that to this Board with a history. There's been a drive-thru at this location and roughly this similar configuration for 50 years. And yes, it ceased in 2017 and it's back for a new approval. But it's not as if there hasn't been a drive-thru at this location in a substantially similar, as I indicated, configuration for decades. Also, we're not working from a clean slate here. We're not demolishing the building. We don't own the property. We're leasing it from the property owner. The building is there. It is being rebuilt. It is not being demolished and a

[^0]new building going in its place. So considering the fact that the building is in its current location, it's going to be totally rehabilitated, but the drive-thru the shape of the the property, the location of the building, the size of the building dictates where the drive-thru window goes. And it's roughly in the same place that the existing one is.

And I'll point out that the 1999
Site Plan that was approved in connection with some changes that took place at the Burger King had the northerly driving lane, the passthrough lane, not the drive-thru, the one adjacent to it that allowed vehicles to go past the drive-thru vehicles and either find a parking space or exit the site went right to the property line. So that's been modified to shift a little bit further south, maintain the existing landscape, and there'll be new fencing. The guardrail will remain so that there is no concern about an errant vehicle leaving
the site. There's going to be a guardrail there, which is going to serve to make sure that nothing like that can possibly happen.

So as I said, you'll hear from the neighbors, they raised those sorts of concerns. They raised issues of sound. It's an issue that we are dealing with to the maximum extent that we can with a sound system, as in response to the supervisor's question, which it does raise to a level of 15 dB , maximum above ambient sound when necessary to ensure that a customer can be heard, but then automatically lowers to a lower decibel level when there's not ambient sound, which is what you're going to likely get in many instances, just from your proximity to Jericho Turnpike with the number of vehicles, the size of the vehicles, sadly, the potholes the people hit and those types of sounds that will might make placing an order a little bit more difficult. So the volume is raised
in that context, but then again lowered. We put the order area as far away from the residents to the north as we could. The Burger King had it much, much further north.

So within the confines of what we have, we've taken the steps that we can take to minimize the impact and try to do as best as we can for the neighbors, but recognizing that there's always this bit of a conflict when you have commercial abutting residential to do the best you can to mitigate, but still be able to function in a way that makes your property investment realistic and the ability to do business. So we're trying to do all of those things. Whether we can satisfy everyone, that's a tougher, a taller order, but we do the best we can with it.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So if your presentation is complete -SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I have a little bit more. I'm sorry, Mr.

Supervisor, I'm not like the first two cases that went too fast.

I have my next witness is Sean Mulryan. Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Nelson was not done. My apologies. He's just going through and finish his analysis of the code.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please, Mr. Nelson, continue.

MR. NELSON: Thank you. I'll be brief.

Actually, the reason we're here is it's a permitted accessory use after a Town Board hearing for the drive-thru and for the restaurant. Interestingly, this site pays one of the highest per square foot for real estate taxes because it's a fast food drive-thru. Typically you find your banks and these type of uses developments at the highest level for real estate taxes, and that site generates approximately $\$ 71,000$ in real estate taxes.

The Special Use Permit would be
246-9, and the standards are 9.41 through
9.41.15. And $I$ can read each one of them,
but I think the Board is very familiar
with those standards. And how I can face
my opinion as far as fast food
restaurants, drive-thrus and Starbucks
with drive-thrus, I've testified on many
of these throughout Nassau County, Town
of Hempstead, and I looked at some
Starbucks drive-thrus where they're
adjacent to residential like the subject
property, on main arterial roads, and
that would be including on Hempstead
Turnpike in East Meadow and in Levittown.
And they're all within the last ten years
developed. You have them on the South
Shore in Town of Hempstead, Sunrise
Highway, Baldwin, Merrick. Recently, I
worked on one in Bellmore. You have them
going east into Seaford. And I've looked
at others and they're just about every
one of them are standalone, like the
applicant's proposed today. They are on
main arterial roads and they are adjacent
residential communities. I looked at the pattern of development in the area. Like the subject property, is a substantial retail development to the west, similar to what you find in Baldwin, southeast corner of Sunrise Highway and Edna Avenue, Merrick, Bellmore. Merrick has at the southeast corner of Hewlett Avenue and Sunrise Highway, a Dunkin' Donut with a drive-thru adjacent to residential next to a auto repair center.

So with that said, I looked at property values and quality of the maintenance of these facilities. I've worked on Burger King's, McDonald's, etcetera. I looked at the hours of operations on other uses surrounding the subject property, and in my opinion, the applicant will meet all of the standards in the Town of Oyster Bay Code. That relates to location.

Physical characteristics. Nothing. No new curb cuts will be added to the site. Nothing on Humphrey. Whereas, the
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other uses, some of them have access in front of residential homes next to them.

As far as the site, one of the largest sites in the area for a standalone Starbucks at 24,000ft².

As far as the performance requirements, as you heard earlier from the engineer, the sound monitoring for the speakers and the ordering. The building itself as a Burger King had the pickup and the drive-thru window on the northerly side. This will have the menu board on the easterly side pick up on the westerly side.

Other than some possibly minor
variations as far as the location of the dumpster, as far as the possibly increasing landscaping, and as counsel mentioned, the northerly side of the site, that landscaping is part of the site with the fencing on the inside versus on the property line, I believe the applicant meets the requirements for the special use permit and should be
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granted as requested. Again, with some minor modifications. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Nelson?
MR. NELSON: Yes, sir. One quick
question. Absolutely. How many years have you been presenting this type of information to municipalities?

MR. NELSON: Probably going on my 41st.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Quite impressive, sir.

MR. NELSON: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: I do have a question. I'm not sure that's probably more appropriate for you regarding the fence. Currently, the fence that is there has definitely seen better days.

MR. AVRUTINE: A new Fence is proposed and a new wooden stockade fence with the good side facing the -COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Will they be open to PVC?

MR. AVRUTINE: Yes.


COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: What is the height? Is it an eight foot six?

MR. AVRUTINE: Six is what's shown on the site plan. If the Board were to require eight.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Would they be open to eight foot? It will provide a little bit more privacy.

MR. AVRUTINE: Eight foot fence will be fine.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Okay.
MR. AVRUTINE: At this time, unless the Board has questions of Mr. Nelson, I'd like to call Sean Mulryan to testify regarding traffic and parking.

MR. MULRYAN: Good evening. Sean Mulryan, 1225 Franklin Avenue, Garden City, New York. I've handed up eight copies of a traffic engineering report that were prepared for this application (handing) .

So many of the issues have been touched on already, but I'll try to go over the highlights. It's a very detailed
report. Obviously, if we move forward from this hearing, we will need to go before the Zoning Board as it relates to the queuing and the parking. So this report is prepared for tonight's hearing, but also in anticipation of going before the Zoning Board. So it also addresses those issues.

So once again, my name is Sean Mulryan of Mulryan Engineering. Our office has been asked to review the proposed Starbucks application with respect to the requested Special Use Permit.

The subject site is located at 321

Jericho Turnpike in Syosset. For many years, the subject site was operated as a Burger King with drive-thru service. The Burger King with drive-thru service closed approximately five years ago and has since been vacant.

The applicant is seeking to redevelop the site with a Starbucks store. The Starbucks will remain with the

existing drive-thru service. Although the Starbucks will replace the existing location at 390 Jericho Turnpike in Syosset, the existing store does not provide drive-thru service. That store is located approximately $1000 f t$ to the east on the southeast corner of Jericho Turnpike and South Oyster Bay Road. That particular store was opened in 2003.

According to the Institute of Transportation Engineers and a study conducted by our firm, the proposed development will experience peak demand during the weekday mornings. At all other times during the day, the proposed development will generate less traffic than the previous Burger King restaurant or other fast food restaurants that may look to occupy the existing building, if for some reason this application does not move forward.

The proposed development will maintain the existing site access, which is limited to Jericho Turnpike. No access

is provided along Humphrey Drive. Egress from the site is restricted to right turns only as required by the New York State Department of Transportation and is consistent with the restrictions for the Burger King restaurant.

The subject site is located on the northwest corner of Jericho Turnpike and Healthy Drive. Humphrey Drive is offset to the east of Bruce Street. The intersection is controlled by traffic signal under the jurisdiction of the New York State Department of Transportation. The proposed application and site access design have been reviewed and approved by the New York State Department of Transportation.

Our analysis of the subject property
and the proposed development indicate that the intersection will operate at acceptable levels of service upon completion of this project. The highway capacity analysis shows that the proposed development will have no significant
impact on the level of service on the surrounding road network.

The New York State Department of Transportation typically requires developers to mitigate potential impacts to the level of service and training roadway networks. No such mitigation was required by the New York State Department of Transportation with respect to this project.

Parking calculations prepared for this project speak to the variance that would be requested from the Zoning Board. The subject site requires 32 parking spaces where 25 are provided. The Institute of Transportation Engineers estimates that 15 parking spaces would be sufficient to satisfy the needs of the Starbucks restaurant. The site provides 25 and we believe that is ample to provide adequate parking for the proposed use.

The proposed drive-thru lane is required by code to provide five queuing

positions. In total, 11 vehicles can be accommodated in drive-thru lane without interfering with onsite circulation. That includes three in advance of the order point canopy, seven between the order point canopy and the pickup window, and one exiting the drive-thru lane prior to entering the parkin area.

One of the questions that was asked before was about potential backups on the Jericho Turnpike. Obviously, the applicant is concerned about this and the New York State Department of Transportation has particular interest in reviewing such matters. In looking at the Site Plan, three to four additional vehicles can be queued which would interfere with parking spaces in the beginning of the subject site, but would not back up onto Jericho Turnpike. Those three or four cars would actually extend beyond what is required by the Town Code, and the site provides a bypass lane so that anyone entering the site
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encountering such a queue would be able to drive to the other side of the site where the majority of parking is provided. So meaning that there is a majority of parking on the easterly side or excuse me, the westerly side of the building, if there was a large drive-thru queue, someone to enter the subject site, go through the bypass lane and go to the other side of the site and park their vehicle.

Again, Starbucks is a large
operator. They currently operate 47 drive-thru facilities in Nassau and Suffolk County. Based on our counts and observations at existing locations, the proposed drive-thru system is more than adequate to accommodate the anticipated demand associated with the proposed Starbucks. The number of queuing spaces is in keeping with numerous other Starbucks locations throughout the island.

Our analysis indicates that a

requested Special Use Permit will provide adequate and appropriate parking, queuing and the proposed development and the proposed drive-thru will not create an impact to the surrounding roadway network.

That is a very brief review of the study that was submitted, but if the Board has any questions, I'd be happy to try to answer them.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I know we have residents who would like to be heard, so thank you very much. Is there anyone else you have to --

MR. AVRUTINE: We do have some folks here from Starbucks Operations. If you want those questions that were raised before about some of those operational issues answered, we can do that now or we can wait till after the residents.


SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I believe there were two questions, Councilwoman. Moving the trash--

COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Yeah, that's a concern of mine, too, because we do have even though you would lose some parking, that side would make better sense, I feel, because we're not up against the homes. We're next to the supermarket.

MR. AVRUTINE: Understood. I think that since we heard that concern from the residents, before the hearing started, we did have an opportunity to discuss with the Operations folks who were here. And we do believe that that will be a viable thing that would be feasible to do, which is to relocate the receptacles and the enclosure to the westerly side. We would lose three spaces. But Mr. Mulryan's analysis is that a total of 15 spaces would be adequate. So we would still have. We would be reduced from 25 to 22 and we would still be at a level that would be appropriate for the site.
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SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Also, Mr. Avrutine, is it correct for us to assume that your client has confirmed that they will install a decorative vinyl fence rather than a wooden stockade fence?

MR. AVRUTINE: Yes. And eight foot vinyl fence, PVC fence, if that's what the Board prefers?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In terms of the height, I would like to speak to the adjacent neighbor, if that neighbor is here this evening.

MR. AVRUTINE: Councilwoman Maier asked about eight versus six. We're amenable to either one.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Any other questions before we move on to the --

MR. AVRUTINE: I think there was some questions about the the the window and how it operates and the time frames. Was that something?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Perhaps Lou asked that. We'll see when he comes back. We can always ask those questions
after.

Thank you.
MR. AVRUTINE: All right. So thank you. At this point, I'd like to entertain our residents who would like to be heard at this hearing with Laraine Fitterman as the first speaker.

We have three slips. If others who haven't put a slip in yet would like to be heard, please hand your slip to the Town Clerk.

Good evening. Thanks for your patience. Would you kindly start off with your full name and your address for the record?

MS. FITTERMAN: Laraine Fitterman, 10 Humphrey Drive, Syosset.

I live adjacent, behind the Dunkin' Donuts across on the west side from the property, the Burger King. Been there for 30 something years; 34 years. I'm really nervous, so bear with me.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: There's
nothing to be nervous about. Take your
time.

MS. FITTERMAN: Well, it's upsetting.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I understand.

MS. FITTERMAN: I just want to read something that $I$ found online. It has to do with drive-thru sound levels. Just bear with me.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Certainly.
MS. FITTERMAN: "Drive-thru is
viewed as a source of noise. The noise originates both from the vehicles themselves and from the drive-thru communication system. The outbound audio is delivered by the speaker and must be loud enough to be clearly heard by the customer over the noise of the customer's vehicle, any local traffic, and other ambient background noises in the area. However, if it is too loud, the sound can be objectionable to neighbors or even violate specific regulations."

That's my main concern. Other than the dumpster being moved in front of my
my house; across the street, but in view. Other concerns for my neighbors who are up against that property.

Been living there long enough. I endured Burger King's horrendous, horrendous loudspeaker for years. Windows closed in my home. Air conditioners running. I could repeat orders. I could tell you what people spent. Back up at drive-thru, it happens, especially at a Starbucks. Everybody's ordering fancy coffees. It's not that fast, you know? And it seems that there's more concern about people getting a warm coffee than the neighbors.

So a few things:

6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m. I know there's standard hours, but that gives us seven hours of quiet time.

The screening that was brought up with the trees that are on the property that I guess the fence is on the Burger King property somehow, that screening was never done by Burger King. The house
builder put those those bushes up and those trees. There was no landscaping. And as well as on my side by Dunkin' Donuts, nobody landscapes. And it's never enforced. Okay. Every bush and tree is mine or my neighbors. And that was done by them, not by the property owners. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Including the trees and bushes that are on the property owned by this property owner?

MS. FITTERMAN: When the houses were built, the builder did the landscaping. That wasn't done by Burger King ever. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.

MS. FITTERMAN: There were never any
bushes. And my neighbor who lived there previously, that was a single home dwelling on a very large piece of property, those were all her bushes. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So we're talking about the neighbor immediately adjacent to the site on the north side, whose house faces out onto Humphrey Drive?

MS. FITTERMAN: Right.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.
MS. FITTERMAN: Okay. My house is across the street.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Let me ask you a question. You've lived there a long time. You're very observant and you appear to be extremely bright and engaged--

MS. FITTERMAN: Thank you.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: In things on
on this block. Now that these trees and
shrubs have grown over the years, do they provide a substantial buffer from view? You made it clear about the sound, but if we put the sound aside for a moment, in terms of the view, do they provide superior screening from the sight?

MS. FITTERMAN: From my home? No.
From my neighbors across the street?

Possibly. I think she'll speak. She can
answer herself. They're tall. They're
big. I don't know how dense they are.
They don't appear to be that dense. But
from my side, I had to plant my own
bushes. I do have some coverage, but
certainly if I'm upstairs in my home, I
will have now a view of a dumpster, not
to mention the loudspeaker on my side. I
don't care how low it is, you're still
going to hear it. It's just human nature.
People yell into a speaker because they
want to make sure that they're heard. But
okay.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm going to
ask this question of everyone who comes
up to speak on this site. If the
dumpsters were moved to the northwest
corner instead of the northeast corner,
would that provide a reasonable
compromise as to where they're currently
proposed?
MS. FITTERMAN: The north west would
then be in my neighbor's corner.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Back to where
they originally were when it was a Burger
King.
MS. FITTERMAN: I think it should be

on the south towards Jericho Turnpike, away from the residents. Right now, there's some grass area there. I don't know if that is cutting into the parking or whatever. But again -- and what is the rule for having a dumpster up against residential property? I know the dumpster is in the back because I also border commercial in the back, had to be moved because they were right on the property line. So $I$ don't know what the rule is. I don't remember what happened, but they ended up getting moved.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. Please proceed.

MS. FITTERMAN: Okay. The other
thing is the delivery. I know there's going to be more of a curb for the trucks to go through and make the turn. Been there long enough to see many trucks get stuck and not make the turn because all of a sudden, a bigger truck comes and so they start dumping the skids and the whatever right there, and then they carry

it in. It happens. It's something that makes more noise. It's not always at the times that they're supposed to come. I know that from just living next to Dunkin' Donuts, they come whenever. The dumpsters empty the garbage trash dumping them it could be 2:00 in the morning, could be 4:00 in the morning; all hours. So it's never a schedule that is stuck to.

Landscapers coming with the blowers. Saturday, Sundays, it's just another landscaper that will come now, which it was there before with Burger King. But complaints just kind of fell upon deaf ears.

Many times my husband would go over to Burger King and appeal to them for the drive-thru. Please lower it, please. It's loud. It's nothing happened. Nothing, does it? As a matter of fact, I think it went to the owner at one point who got angry at us for not like letting him know because we went to Corporate. It's just

an ongoing battle. It's noisy, it's upsetting, and it just seems that there's no resolution. There's just going to be more noise. No matter where you put the drive-thru, whether it's in the back, on the side. We have -- I should say, I have no opposition to a Starbucks in that location. The drive-thru is the issue. The noise is an issue. I've seen cars jumping the curb to get into Burger King when it was open because they didn't want to wait at the light and go around and make the turn into the driveway.

So there's a lot of things that have happened over the years. Just knowing what a fast food franchise will bring and just trying to voice my opinion and my concerns over what could possibly happen and just try to avoid the situation and have some modifications made. I don't know how much can be done, but I think it's reasonable to ask. And I think at this point, I mean, as a resident, shouldn't the concern be for the
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residents and not how hot somebody's coffee is going to be when they make the turn and they drive through and how many minutes?

What else? Um, I don't know.

Parking. If there's eight to 10
employees, where are they parking? Aren't they going to take up parking spaces? I mean, I don't know. I'm just trying to do the math. I don't know where they would park. I mean, other businesses, I know people park everywhere. You know, are they going to park down Humphrey Drive and park in front of people's homes because they can't take up spaces in the parking lot? Just another concern. What else? Um, I don't know. I could go on. Just. But it's just a personal, you know, issue $I$ have with the noise that I've endured. Going forward, I know it's different as far as what, you know, might change. But, you know, just historically, for me, it's a nightmare. I could see what will happen on the block.


There's Variety Preschool with the school buses. That's another issue.

People dart out when they don't want to wait and go into the Dunkin' Donuts. They'll probably do the same for Starbucks. I don't know. But it's just another potential for more traffic and more accidents. And again, just more noise.

But the dumpster, you know, across the street from me in eyesight plus the the loudspeaker is just like a double whammy and an insult at this point. I guess that's it.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.
Well, first of all I am going to direct the counsel for this application to meet with you this evening. And if today doesn't work for you to meet with you at any opportunity that is convenient for you.

MS. FITTERMAN: We spoke earlier.

He's aware.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Have they been

providing compromises or solutions?

MS. FITTERMAN: Not yet.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: To any of the issues. Not for the dumpster --

MS. FITTERMAN: Receptive to hearing it. And then you brought up some points that $I$ think were valid as far as and then they, I think, were agreeable that they would try to work with us. But it's just a concern that it's -- I consider it a tight space -- too close to my neighbors across the street with those cars turning. And I understand the eight foot fence, but maybe there should be a brick retaining wall and then the eight foot fence. That's what I have in the back of my home. So it basically becomes like a barricade of $12 f t$, which helps.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: If I understand the application, correctly, there is currently a metal barrier and that's going to stay. And then beyond that would be an eight foot vinyl fence if the neighbor agrees to it. The
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```
applicant has agreed to it. And then
beyond that, in that six foot setback, I
was told the plantings are relatively
dense. Is that the case? The trees that
have grown out in that six foot setback,
are they?
```

MS. FITTERMAN: I don't know how dense they are. My neighbor will speak. She can speak to that.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. We look forward to that.

You heard that $I$ brought up and and my colleagues have been talking about sight lines and plantings. And I asked about plantings on the east side along Humphrey Drive and heard there was only one tall tree. Is this an area that would be helpful to you if the plantings were made very dense with either arborvitae or some sort of evergreen that would stay full all year round?

MS. FITTERMAN: I'm going to say no.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That would not be helpful to you? Any kind of change to

the planting?

MS. FITTERMAN: Trees around a dumpster or really not going to be helpful.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, the dumpster we're talking about and they have expressed that they would possibly move it. This is one of the things that I would like a conversation to go on with the immediate neighbors of the area in terms of where the best spot for that is, not just looking at one location to the old spot, but considering -- we'll have to ask our our town experts to find out if the setback from Jericho Turnpike is appropriate. So that would be one thing that that I'm asking the applicant to have conversations with you and the rest of the neighbors.

But you're saying there is no density of planting along Humphrey Drive that would provide a compromise or that would address your issues in terms of what you're looking out at?

MS. FITTERMAN: It's hard to visualize that, to be honest with you. I don't have faith in what's planted at times, you know, a few shrubs that die and then they're left that way.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, we can address that through this process. That's part of the hearing process. To ensure that there is a density to those plantings, how tall they will be at the day they're planted, and what they will have to do in terms of replacing them if they die. Some of these issues we can address in the Covenants and

Restrictions. That's why I bring this up to you and to the neighbors so people understand what they could be asking for.

MS. FITTERMAN: I understand what you're saying, and I appreciate that.

This is off this topic a little, but I'm living next to a buffer zone that has has not one planting in 34 years and tried to get plantings and there are none. They're all my plantings on my side

to protect myself. So if I'm a little skeptical, you can understand. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I can; we can. MS. FITTERMAN: Because it was never enforced, you know, and a curb cut came closer to my house, a number of things. So I'm just very concerned that what is my recourse when there is a loud speaker on the east side of the building that is louder than it should be at times. And I can hear inside my home, outside my home, what's my recourse then? I complain and nothing gets done. And $I$ don't mean by the Town so much. But I always go to the establishment and speak to the manager. I do it for Dunkin' Donuts. I go first. I don't run and make trouble. I try to get things resolved. And unfortunately, I'm, you know, a woman by myself and it's like, yeah, okay, you know what? I just want to know what would I do other than put my house up for sale? It's just I feel like it's a losing battle. And maybe I'm too emotional right now, but

it's how I feel and it's the facts. I'm not making things up and embellishing. It's what it is and it's been this way a long time and that it's coming again it's disturbing.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We don't believe even for a moment that you're embellishing in any way, shape or form.

MS. FITTERMAN: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We have the applicant and their experts here. But you need to know that we work for you, the residents of the community. So we're here to ask questions of them to see what -for instance, I asked about what technologies I would hope they've improved over these 30 or 40 years that fast food has been around, including getting involved in the direction of the speaker. What direction does the speaker have to be? Does it have to point toward the perimeter of the property or can it point back, for instance, and what kind of relief that gets you. And you can be
sure when they come back up to conclude after everyone has asked their questions and made their comments, I will be asking those questions. Including what will the recourse be if the neighbors are still complaining, if indeed we choose to grant this application, which we haven't decided.

MS. FITTERMAN: Exactly. And another concern is the cars going into the property, pulling up to the window and someone taking longer than they should, you know, what happens then? And then there's a backup of cars. Even at the window, orders are incorrect. This isn't my order. You know, they have to do it again. These are all variables that I'm not sure have been taken into consideration other than, you know, getting your coffee in two minutes. Um, I don't. I don't know. I just find a lot of people drink a lot of fancy drinks at Starbucks that take more than two minutes to put together. But I'm not the expert.


I'm just putting it out there.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: But you've
lived there for how long now?
MS. FITTERMAN: Thirty four years, I think.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You've experienced quite a bit in those 34 years. We can tell.

MS. FITTERMAN: Yeah. It's a
challenge. It's always been a challenge. But, you know, we got the reprieve when it went on fire. Now it's back again. So not looking forward to it. Hopefully, we can make some compromises. And again, not adverse to Starbucks. The drive-thru and the dumpster are concerns.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.
We're going to be leaving the record open at the end of this hearing for 30 days.

MS. FITTERMAN: Okay.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: If more things
come to mind, please feel free to contact the town, but also contact the counsel,

Howard Avrutine.

MS. FITTERMAN: Yes, he gave me his card. I appreciate that.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And let us know how those communications, those those conversations go. We would be very, very interested.

MS. FITTERMAN: Yeah, I appreciate that. And my fear is that it will happen, um, because it usually does. And again, you know, where's the justice? What's my recourse? Just having to put up with it? It's become very difficult. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You can be sure I'll be asking that question this evening.

MS. FITTERMAN: I appreciate that. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're welcome. And thank you for coming here this evening and providing us this very important information.

MS. FITTERMAN: Thank you.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. You did
a great job.

Serena Sun?

MS. SUN: Good evening, Supervisor and board member. My name is Chin Chao Sun (phonetic). That's my legal name. Serena Sun it's easier to put and pronounce so I put it there. I'm the current owner of 7 Humphrey Drive.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So you are immediately adjacent to this property on the north side?

MS. SUN: Yeah. So before this,

I thought I'm lucky. And now I feel like I'm not the lucky one (crying). Sorry.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It's okay.

We're here to listen. You're among
friends. Please don't be nervous. It's very important what you want to tell us. And it's very important for us to hear you. So relax and know you're among friends and we are here to listen.

MS. SUN: Okay. So forgive me for this, but I'm just being a little emotional and upset.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It's okay.

MS. SUN: So we move into this house beginning of 2022 because we expanding our family. We have a new baby who is 13 months now. So we have a new baby who is like 13 months right now living with us and then another four years old and with my parents also, who are over sixty years old. Our property is right next to the Burger King location right now. And we even measure, it's about like 17 or $18 f t$, roughly $18 f t$ from the fence to the Burger King property right now. And so I actually echo Laraine. Like I'm not against the Starbucks myself, but it really just a drive-thru is the deal breaker here. Like, I cannot imagine when the drive-thru got set up there. My normal life, my normal life will get ruined. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Tell us about the sound from the drive-thru when you're living there. Burger King was opened? MS. SUN: No. So when I moved in,
the Burger King is already closed. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Already closed.

MS. SUN: So we move in last year. And then so right now, first of all, the drive-thru is right in front of my kitchen window. So I always open up the window and $I$ can literally see everything from the Burger King. So the trees are actually filled or planted by the prior owner, which $I$ just ask. It's not built by Burger King property owner. It's built by the prior owner from his house, like my house. So it's our property.

MS. SUN: It's not their property, that six foot setback; it's your property.

MS. SUN: So they have a six foot fence there, but the tree within the fence is our property.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Do you believe the fence is on your property line, or do you believe the fence is set back toward their building on their property? Do you
believe the fence is on the property
line?

MS. SUN: I think the fence is on the property line.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You believe the fence is on the property. You've seen your survey and it would appear that way from your survey that the fence is on the property line and that setback is your property, not theirs.

MS. SUN: Yeah, but $I$ just know that the trees is definitely ours, but I'm not sure if the fence, to be honest. I know the tree is definitely ours.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All of those trees.

MS. SUN: Right. Because the prior owner of this property plant this tree themselves. And then $I$ just confirmed with them. So right now, from my window, kitchen window, I can literally see the Burger King window. And then so the tree is not dense. To answer your prior question, the tree is not dense and I can


```
literally see everything. And then so I
think the future drive-thru, if really
gets put in it, will create really a real
issue to my family.
    And then I appreciate all the
```

questions the Board are asking because
that's the question $I$ want to ask. The
noise pollution, the air pollution,
because there will be a lot of car idling
there waiting for the order, and that
will create air pollution from the car.
And then our window -- I cannot just keep
my window closing 24/7. I have to enjoy
the fresh air. So that will be an issue
for us with the noise, with the air
pollution because of the drive-thru.
And then it's just because the
Burger King property is so close to our
property. Like even though they're saying
the speaker will be on the east side and
they're saying it's probably like a 40ft,
but still that's really, really close.
And I have a kid, I have two kids, two
younger kids, two older peoples in my
family. I hope they can enjoy at least a little bit quieter space living space here. That's the reason I moved to syosset.

COUNCILMAN HAND: Would you be in favor of the PVC fence at whatever height we would agree to, six foot or eight foot, would that be amenable to you?

MS. SUN: So regarding about the fence, obviously, I prefer the higher the better. And $I$ even prefer if they really wanted to do something, $I$ even prefer a concrete wall if that's possible, because that will be possibly helping us with the noise or air pollution. But still, I
don't think drive-thru is reasonable here for that whole setting, the whole zoning setting here right now with the single family of my house so close to this property, $I$ just don't think the drive-thru is reasonable.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So we'd like to talk to you about and ask you some questions about what preferences you
would have. In terms of the dumpsters, we truly believe it's important for you to speak to the attorney for this company and work out what would make this better for you and tell them your whole list. That's important because I want them to come up with solutions to the problems that you have with this application. So let me ask you about this.

Currently, the dumpsters have been in the northwest corner of the property, which is immediately adjacent to your property. They have now proposed to move them with a wall around them. Is that wall made of concrete?

MR. AVRUTINE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. With the concrete wall around the dumpsters. Did you say seven feet tall?

MR. AVRUTINE: Yeah.

MR. WHITNEY: It's 7'6"

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Seven foot six
inches tall. And it will be on three sides of the dumpsters.

MR. WHITNEY: Correct.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. So
they're right now talking about a seven foot, six inch high enclosure. Three walls around the dumpster, no roof over it, I assume.

MR. WHITNEY: No.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. So
around three sides including the side that faces your property.

We have talked about a fence along that entire property line that you share with them to be eight foot tall. How do you feel about having the dumpsters moved to this new location that they're proposing?

MS. SUN: So the new location is going to be on the east side, right?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Northeast from the northwest.

MS. SUN: Which is close to my driveway enter?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. So the apron of your driveway as opposed to the

very back of the corner of your property.

MS. SUN: I don't think that's a good place either.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: If you were to propose a compromise --

MS. SUN: I would imagine it would be moved to the closer to Jericho Turnpike and then closer to the whatever, supermarket.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That's
southwest corner of the property, right on Jericho Turnpike. The supermarket is on the west side. Jericho Turnpike is the south. So that corner is the southwest.

MS. SUN: Yeah.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: How would you
feel if they proposed to move it back to the northwest corner?

MS. SUN: That's not possible because it's close to my backyard and my kids always play in the backyard. Okay. I just don't want to see rodents or anything running over and then they got scared.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. So
that's why we ask the questions.
So you would like to see an amendment to the plan that has the dumpsters surrounded by an enclosure on the southwest corner of the property. That's something you could live with.

MS. SUN: Yeah.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Now, let's
talk about the fence. You like the idea of the fence going and stopping at a point before the curb line. If that fence went around that corner and then started coming south up until a point where those four spots are, would that address your concerns on Humphrey Road or does that not make a difference to you on Humphrey, it's your property line that you're concerned about?

MS. SUN: I mean, the fence, for the fence that close to my property, I would just prefer as tall as possible.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: As high as possible with plantings perhaps on your
side of that fence. Additional plantings.
MS. SUN: Yes.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm going to be asking to see their survey. So we'll determine from that survey whether that's your property or the applicant's property.

MS. SUN: But again, I really want to emphasize, even though with the fence, I don't think I can accept the drive-thru.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Just to be complete with my questions, is there a speaker direction? Away from your home? Is there a, some sort of a redesign of this that would turn it into a compromise for you?

MS. SUN: I don't think so. Based on my neighbor's experience, I'm worried and I'm concerned too. Quite concerned about that. No matter what kind of technology put on, it's always going to be some cases happen. Like in the summer when the car pass by waiting for their order, they
lower down their window and listening to music. That's not because of the speaker. That's because of the customer. And then because of the drive-thru, you cannot really control the customer what they are doing. So that would definitely create a lot of noise there. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.

MS. SUN: And then our window is --

I can even take the order from the customer if they're driving through my window.

And then the air pollution from the moving vehicles, that's a real issue. And I just did a little research myself or homework. I think now a lot of states and places, including even some towns in New York, they're trying to ban the drive-thru because they start to realize the drive-thru is creating health issue because of the pollution. And my mom had historical (sic) cancer, and this is something I definitely want to avoid. And that's the reason we're moving into such
a nice neighborhood. But, yeah.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. Is there anything else you'd like us to know?

MS. SUN: I just know that's my two concerns. First concern drive-thru is creating air pollution, noise pollution. And second thing is dumpster location. Those two are the major issues that I have.

And like Laraine said, I think all the board members understand that. I understand the business is for the community, but I also hope you understand that no matter what kind of service is served to the community, our neighbors should be heard for all this kind of issues, so that we are not getting hurt in different aspects.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All right. Thank you very, very much. We're not deciding on this this evening. We're leaving the record open. If you think of other things you may contact us by

e-mail, by regular mail too. That will also be considered in the record, if other thoughts come to mind.

Thank you very much for joining us this evening. We really appreciate it.

MS. SUN: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The next speaker will be Charles Hearon. Mr. Hearon, please.

MR. HEARON: Charles Hearon, 10

Fireplace Lane, Hicksville.

So I'm kind of using this as a
learning experience because you do a lot of building in Hicksville, right? Which is a good thing. Don't get nervous. If you could bring up that one picture that kind of threw me off. The hotel I mean, the Starbucks with the house.
(Whereupon, Slide is
displayed and referred to.)

MR. HEARON: With the -- Yeah, right
there. The house. Right there. See what
they did? For the house, they cut all
your shrubbery that you're asking these
guys to fill in for, in my book, you know, to hide something. Now, this is on Jericho Turnpike, right? Am I confused of what it is? And it used to be a Burger King. A Wetson's years ago.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It is on Jericho Turnpike, yes.

MR. HEARON: So it's not something new.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It's been
there for a long time.

MR. HEARON: A long time. So before the residents moved in there. And I'm not knocking them, you know, you buy a house where you buy a house, but then you don't ask -- you're on Jericho Turnpike. And I know the pollution and everything like that. Because I'm thinking about these apartments that you're building in Hicksville. I was thinking about moving over there. But then the light went on. It's an industrial park over there, kind of like. There's a transmission place, there's a gas station across the street,
$\qquad$ $94=$
and I wouldn't expect them to move. I think you guys listed shopping center next door. There's a hotel, there's gas stations. In fact, I go to the Syosset guy over there who's been great and he does a good business. Terrible to pull in and out. I have to wait in traffic.

Underhill Boulevard is right over there I think. Traffic is horrible. Breathing is -- tractor trailers. I feel bad if someone's got cancer or something like that, but this is not the location for them.

And I don't see anything. You guys are very generous with the time and everything. I don't see there's anything possible for you guys to make that resident happy or the person across the street who's been dealing with it for 40 years. You know, Jericho Turnpike, commercial zone. I don't see how it would be fair to them. I don't expect an answer. You're looking at me like you fell asleep.
=TOBAY TOWN BOARD MTG 03.21.23 HEARING P-10-23

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No, not at all. We're listening to every word you're saying. Every resident may come forward and speak.

MR. HEARON: And like I said, I'm using this as a tool for me moving in Hicksville or something. Is there a way that you could change that? You think you have an idea for them that I could maybe think of the same thing if $I$ move into those apartment buildings now.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: The subject of this is just this application.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yeah, we're going to listen to everyone. We're going to listen to all the ideas and the concerns and everything that's being presented to us. And everything will be taken into consideration in making a decision.

MR. HEARON: Because to me, I'm looking at it. That's like impossible. If you didn't see it, you're not going to change that at all.
you know, it's like, you know, when you move into an airport, you want them to stop landing airplanes over there because you just bought your house. It's too noisy; ain't going to happen. I don't think so.

I mean, I guess I'll read about it if this doesn't go through. I'll read about it and find out what they did wrong. Right. So, I can learn from it.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: We can have a conversation about a different place, a different location, another time. But this is a hearing just on this application.

MR. HEARON: Okay.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: But we understand what you've come here for. To learn more about the process in the different decision making you're making in Hicksville.

MR. HEARON: Right.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. We
understand what you've come here to tell us. And we thank you very much.

MR. HEARON: Okay. That to me is a commercial and where he cut the tree to benefit probably more space and everything for them. And Burger King did nothing. They left it all up there nice. I don't know. Did you guys do a survey? SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Sir, if you could direct your questions this way or your comments this way.

MR. HEARON: Okay. They did a lousy job with the survey.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So what you do is you stay after the hearing, and speak to their representatives and ask them your questions. That goes for everyone. Everyone is welcome to come up to be heard, whether you live in the neighborhood or not. We want to hear from anyone who would like to be heard. And we will ask the representatives to stay because we want the dialogue. That's always helpful.

## COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Charles, you

 know, you're always welcome to talk to me. We talk at the civic meetings.MR. HEARON: I love the Town of Oyster Bay.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.
MR. HEARON: Thank you.
COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Charles, I
would like to thank you too. Because when there's little things that happen in the neighborhood, you're always e-mailing us to let us know.

MR. HEARON: I try to get my money's worth out of my taxes.

COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Thank you.
MR. HEARON: Thank you. You reminded me of it. Thank you for Hicksville, Gregory Museum. My job.

My question is, are you going to -because I asked the president, he said he's not even asking you, are you going to put a lease, give us a ten year lease on that thing? Because I'm nervous. After you fix it up you're going to make
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a Starbucks over there.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We're not gong
to get Starbucks there.
MR. HEARON: Will you give us a
lease?
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We'll discuss
that with counsel.

MR. HEARON: I got 20 minutes left, so don't cut me short.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You don't have 20 minutes left. Thank you. Mr. McKenna's patiently waiting now, and we don't want you to take up his time.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: At the end of the meeting, we have what's called public comment. That's on any subject on the face of the earth.

MR. HEARON: One other thing.
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: But right now, this is their application.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: One other thing as it relates to this application. All right.

MR. HEARON: Starbucks costs a lot
of money. But you know what? They have great coffee and I like it hot.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You like your coffee hot. Okay. Thank you.

MR. HEARON: I might be selfish.

But I want it hot. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very.

MR. HEARON: Thank you, sir. Thank you all. Thank you all. Also and all you secretaries to do a fine job putting up with me.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Kevin, come on up.

Hi, Kevin. How are you?
MR. MCKENNA: Tough act to follow.
Kevin McKenna. I live in Syosset at
night. I live pretty close to the site.
I'm very familiar with the site. I
actually used to many, many years ago, actually worked at the Burger King in that drive-thru window.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: For how long, Kevin? How long were you working the
drive-thru window or working for Burger
King?

MR. MCKENNA: About a year. Okay. And when it was a Burger King, it was extremely, extremely busy. Big problem with the drive-thru and the people trying to -- Burger King, you have a lot of people going to the drive-thru and at the same time, especially lunch time, dinner time, you have a lot of people wanting to go through the drive-thru and park their car at the same time.

Listen, I'm a fan of Starbucks,
okay? I go to the Starbucks on the other side of the street down the block. The reason I'm sure that Starbucks wants to move here is because in the mornings people are going westbound to go to work and they're going to get a lot more business in this site than they get down the block, which I frequent and that's very, very busy.

What has been failed to be mentioned here is that and I'm hoping that you guys
take this into consideration; first off, you've already set a precedent, a precedent by declining a drive-thru not too far from this site. On the other side of the street about a year ago, you declined the drive-thru at the proposed Taco Bell that they wanted to put where the in-between was. Rightfully so. You declined that drive-thru because of almost identical concerns from the neighbors in the backyard. Okay.
Aside from that, what's failed to be
mentioned here in the so-called traffic
analysis is that they haven't brought up
the fact that there is a Dunkin' Donuts
right next to where the Starbucks is
proposed. I'm not a lawyer, but if I'm
Starbucks and you guys approve a
drive-thru for this site, then $I$ would
think that that Dunkin' Donuts right next
door, they're also going to want to have
a drive-thru and then you're going to
have a double problem right next to each
other. I don't see how you could. If you
approve this drive-thru, I don't see how you could decline Dunkin' Donuts from wanting to have a drive-thru. Because they will want to have a drive-thru to compete with Starbucks right next door. Right.

The big problem that $I$ see is that I can foresee this location the amount of people that are going to want -- listen, all you have to do -- tomorrow, I promise you tomorrow, I am going to go tomorrow to show you. I'm going to live stream tomorrow. The Hicksville drive-thru near the Broadway Mall. I'm going to show you tomorrow on a live stream the problem where people are coming to the drive-thru, as well as people who are wanting to walk into the restaurant where there are almost near-miss accidents with people backing out at the same time the people -- they have the statistics. They know how many people, what percent of business goes to the drive-thru, what percent goes to the restaurant.

Hicksville, you have a lot of young kids that sit at the tables on their computers and they make it their office. I do it myself sometimes as well. So not only do you have, as the neighbor said, you know, you're going to have employees, six or eight employees taking up spots. You could have during the daytime, kids get out of school, they're going there. Starbucks is known for they want people to sit there and hang out. Right.

I would love to be able to see a drive-thru at this location. I can't see how you could even consider having a drive-thru at this location with the amount of backup that's going to -- it's going to happen onto Jericho Turnpike, especially in the morning. I know the site. I know.

And the other big thing is, is that as far as the sound; the speaker. There are places where you pull in and there's a sign that says Tune to Channel AM 660 and they talk to the speaker inside the
car. I don't know if Starbucks has ever done that to eliminate the speaker on the outside of the building.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Kevin, we're sorry to interrupt you. Where did you see that? I want to take note.

MR. MCKENNA: Well, you go into a you go into a drive-in movie, right?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, that's
the way we did our drive in concerts during the pandemic, that very way.

MR. MCKENNA: So I don't see why
they have to have a speaker on the outside of a board. No matter where it is, those neighbors, I feel bad for those neighbors. Because not only are they going to hear the speaker for ordering, but these kids, a lot of kids go to Starbucks and when they pull in there, the speakers on their car radios are going to be blaring at the same time they're trying to order on the speaker.

Lastly, with the amount of cars and people that are going to want to go to
that Starbucks. It's going to be a busy location. Great for Starbucks. I can't see how you could allow people when they're pulling out of that lot to make a left turn. I would hope that the Department of Transportation has a plan to not allow a left turn coming out of that parking lot.

But the big thing is I don't think that you want to set a precedent and put a drive-thru here because then Dunkin' Donuts is going to want one right next door and you can have a big problem. Thank you very much.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Kevin, thank
you. You apparently have experience at this location. You claimed you had worked there for a year. But $I$ want to thank you in the way in which you presented your information. Personally, from me to you.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you. I'm getting older, I'm getting a little older.
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SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Starting to show.

MR. MCKENNA: Thank you. I haven't been here in a while.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The next speaker is Michael Blaymore.

MR. BLAYMORE: Supervisor Saladino, members of the board, my name is Michael Blaymore. I'm an attorney with Salamon Gruber Blakemore \& Strenger, 97 Powerhouse Road, Roslyn Heights, New York 11577.

We represent 321 Partners LLC. They're the owner. They're the landlord. I have two of the principals back there. Mary De Aquilla and Terry De Aquilla. Their families have owned this property for over 60 years. And unfortunately, as you know, over the past five or six years, the property has been vacant. And that was during COVID, too. So it's caused a severe hardship on their families that they haven't been receiving any rent at all. They're carrying the
property.
Despite that, they have been very
determined to get a highly reputable and
experienced operator, which we found with
Starbucks. So we are wholeheartedly in
favor of this application, which will be
a big benefit to my clients and we think
to the community at large. Certainly you
take into account what you've heard, but
I just want to put a face on the fact
that this is a family-owned property and
they've been hurting. They've been
hurting. So we urge that the Board grant
the relief subject to the things we're
talking about.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Counsel, may I
ask you a question?

MR. BLAYMORE: Sure.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Has this
family ever been the operators of any of the fast food at this location? They have been solely landlords?

MR. BLAYMORE: No, no. They're the owners, they have not been operating a
restaurant.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We've heard a
lot of consternation as it specifically
applies to the drive-in. If they were
able to proceed without the drive-in,
would there still be a contract? Is this
something that's up for discussion?
MR. BLAYMORE: I can't speak for
Starbucks. I mean, this is a joint
application.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Of course you
can't. In terms of your clients.

MR. BLAYMORE: Well, I think they want to have Starbucks as a tenant and it would depend on Starbucks' position under the permitting provisions of the lease. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: But that would be something you would negotiate for?

MR. BLAYMORE: I would listen to

Starbucks. I would need input from Starbucks and speak to my clients. But that was not something that we considered when we went into the lease.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. Thank
you, sir.

MR. BLAYMORE: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Much
appreciate it.
Our next speaker will be Patrick Dillon. Hi, Mr. Dillon. How are you?

MR. DILLON: Okay. How are you?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Could you
please start off by giving your full name and your full address for the record?

MR. DILLON: Patrick Dillon, Syosset, New York 11791.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Where in Syosset do you reside?

MR. DILLON: 18 Winthrop Avenue.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please proceed, sir.

MR. DILLON: So I live in the area and you have to look at it -- I've been in the area since 2010, and when you buy a house -- when $I$ was looking at properties, one of the things that $I$ would say is if it's right next to a food establishment or a vacant building, I'd
say, are they going to be good tenants? Are they going to keep it neat? So that's something, you know, I'm not knocking these people out there. You have to, you know, choosing to come in to the area, you have to say, you know, there's going to be people there. It's a food establishment is people coming and going. So one of the things is since the property has been vacant, I've called when it said for sale or for lease, the big sign. And I said, listen, you better get over there and maintain your property. I said, because it's looking a little bit run down and dumpy. Oh yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. And I've called numerous times. They've addressed it, whatever.

But as far as a drive-thru, my daughter is a senior in the high school. My son is a junior. Let me tell you. That's now the chatter of the Syosset High School. We can't wait. We hear Starbucks is coming in. Kids are all
excited. The seniors and even juniors that drive. It's going to back up traffic. Let's face it.

But you have to remember, whatever goes in there, it's a commercial area. It's a major road. So if it's not Starbucks, it'll be something else.

And one of the things that they were discussing, as far as the plantings by the people's house where they said I understood it to be, it was either going to be on their property or the owner's property of the site, if you're going to plant trees, the bushes there, you may want to consider an irrigation system. Because if you don't have an irrigation system, and I've seen it where I used to work, stuff will die if you don't get adequate rainfall. So that's something to consider.

And one other side note is and I'm not bashing any is up there, I happen to notice the sign on the door, no food or drinks. So $I$ think what applies to all
should apply to you too, personally. Everybody up on the Town Board up here with open drinks. So if $I$ can't drink, bring a drink in. No offense, you know, it doesn't make sense. Thank you. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you, sir. We appreciate you coming in. Are there any other speakers that would like to be heard on this application? Please step forward. MS. ARDOLINO COMERFORD:

My name is Rita Ardolino Comerford. I am a longtime resident of Syosset. I live at 22 Edward Avenue, which is one block north of the current location that we're speaking of. So $I$ am on the corner of Edward and Humphrey.

The concern $I$ have is about the traffic. I mean, I happen to be a Starbucks Starbucks purist. I love Starbucks. And I was very happy to hear that there might be one up the block from my house. The concern $I$ have is, number one, the drive-thru. And number two, the
fact that my street from Jackson Avenue
goes -- I'm on the corner -- is a cut
through from Jackson Avenue up to Jericho
Turnpike already as it is. And we also
live down the block from Variety Child
Learning Center. So there's more traffic
there as a result of that.
So my concern is that the people
want to avoid the light on Jackson Avenue
and Jericho Turnpike that want to go to
Starbucks are going to come up Edward
Avenue and then up Humphrey Drive to go
to Starbucks. And I can tell you as it is
right now even, the fact that Dunkin'
Donuts is across the street from a
proposed Starbucks, it just seems there's
already difficulty with people turning
into Dunkin' Donuts. I can't imagine
people turning into Dunkin' Donuts and
Starbucks in the morning when you're
trying to drive west. It's just very
difficult. I perceive this as an accident
waiting to happen.
The other thing is the turning
lanes. Like if you're going east on Jericho Turnpike and you want to make a left onto Humphrey Drive, there's a turning lane. And the people going into Starbucks are going to be in that turning lane. So if I want to get to my house, I have to be behind the people that are going into Starbucks and wait for them to go into Starbucks. And then that turning lane with the turning lane going west is difficult enough because it's just it's just awkward, so to add this on top of it.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May I ask you a question. I'm sorry to interrupt you.

MS. ARDOLINO COMERFORD: That's all right.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. So this is currently zoned commercial and there's been a commercial business here for a long time and it's reasonable, I assume that you feel it's reasonable that another commercial establishment will apply to be here.

MS. ARDOLINO COMERFORD: Absolutely. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Don't we have the problems that you're referring to no matter who goes in there, there'll always be cars entering the site and leaving the site?

MS. ARDOLINO COMERFORD: If there's a drive-thru. Yes.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Even if there's not a drive-thru or it's not a fast food place. Is it reasonable to assume that no matter what business goes into this location, there'll be cars with within some parameters, there'll be cars that will be entering, perhaps parking, and there'll be cars that will leave the site. Therefore, you'll have cars turning onto Jericho Turnpike from this site.

MS. ARDOLINO COMERFORD: Right. But maybe not with the frequency that they would with a drive-thru.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: With a drive-thru, you feel increases the frequency.

MS. ARDOLINO COMERFORD: Yeah. Definitely. And with the popularity of Starbucks is much more, in my opinion, than a Burger King. I mean, I've lived there when it was Wetson's. I've lived there when it was an Italian restaurant way back before that. I'm a lollypop farm girl, you know, I've been around a long time. I understand that there has to be an establishment, and $I$ would be happy if it were a Starbucks. It's just that I think the drive-thru is going to be problematic with traffic.

I had to put signs up around my house when Kashi opened because they were valet parking all around my house to the point where no one could park on the street. So I had signs. And there are signs all the way down Humphrey Drive, no parking signs. So the point that Laraine brought up about the parking for the employees, where else are they going to park? You can't park on Humphrey Drive. You can't even technically park on
certain spots on Edward Avenue, a lot of spots. You know, if somebody parks, we'd have to call the police and they would get ticketed. I don't really want to do that, you know.

So there's some of the points that from my point of view, on the corner of, of Edward Avenue and Humphrey Drive, I just think it would be make it more problematic.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So what you told us is that you've lived here for a long time. You're very familiar with the site. I want to make sure we have your statement correct. That you would be in favor of this application if it didn't have a drive-thru, but opposed to this application if it does have a drive-thru.

MS. ARDOLINO COMERFORD: Correct.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very much. Very helpful.

MS. ARDOLINO COMERFORD: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is is there anyone else who would like to be heard?

Please, sir, come up. When you're all done speaking, if you fill out that form so we can keep track of all those speakers. And if you'd kindly begin with your full name and address.

MR. LI: Yeah. My name is Shao Li. I'm currently 9 Humphrey Drive, Syosset, New York 11791. I'm the previous owner of 7 Humphrey Drive. I was there from 2015 to 2022. So like almost seven years. So I echo a lot of the points that Serena and Laraine were making.

I just have two quick points to add to the discussion. One would be, I know we're talking about like, oh, Burger King used to be there and why can't there be a Starbucks now? But I don't think we mentioned the two businesses have very different operating models. Right? Like for Burger King, it gets busy around noon. I was there. I can put up with the noise at noon. It doesn't mean like I want to put up with the noise at like 6 a.m. in the morning where, like,

Starbucks will get busy. Um, that's my first point.

And my second would be, you know, good for a Starbucks. Like, they're a lot more popular than Burger King. So, obviously, there's going to be like a lot more traffic, compared to Burger King. So that kind of amplifies the noise and the pollution, all of the issues that, you know, we used to have.

That's my kind of two quick additions to the discussion.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very much, sir. It's very, very helpful.

Would you please give the resident a form? Why don't you fill that form out? Would be very helpful for us to keep track of everyone who has spoken here.

Now, who else would like to be heard on this application?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is there anyone here for the application?.
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: No. Okay. Please let the record reflect that no one else has identified that they'd like to be here.

Mr. Avrutine?

MR. AVRUTINE: We certainly heard what the community has had to say and the suggestions from board members.

So we can certainly relocate the dumpster. We've talked about that already. The question is how far south of the northerly property line on that westerly side do we consider putting it? I don't know whether the Board wants to see it very apparent from Jericho Turnpike or somewhat set back.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We're under the belief that you wouldn't see the dumpsters because it would be surrounded by a decorative three sided structure. MR. AVRUTINE: Well, it would be, but you'll see the structure as opposed
to.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The structure. MR. AVRUTINE: Right. You'd see it, it's there. And there's the fourth side has to be visible because that's how the garbage trucks -- so in theory, cars traveling from the east west will see that fourth side.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Unless that
opening is in a northerly direction.

MR. AVRUTINE: I'm not an engineer.
I couldn't tell you how that would work because then they'd have to access it from parking spaces.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Right.

MR. AVRUTINE: But then you'd need more than the three spaces.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Don't they come overnight to empty the dumpsters or the very early hours?

MR. AVRUTINE: I didn't think that's
what we wanted. We don't want that. We want them coming during business hours to avoid --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The sound.

MR. AVRUTINE: But I think we can come up with a location and a configuration that is satisfactory. I don't know if it's satisfactory to everyone because someone may have a different opinion about exactly where it should go. But I think the general feeling, if I'm not mistaken, is westerly side, not in the corner, not in the northwest corner, but further south somewhere in that area. And we can certainly explore that and figure that out. If that's going to happen, then clearly we can put more landscaping in the area where the enclosure and the containers was originally planned to be, so there could be more landscaping there.

The other thing we talked about during the time that some of the folks were speaking is that we can extend fencing from the northerly area to the easterly property line and then south along Humphrey. So we can put a barrier,
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it's going to be a barrier of some sort
of -- it's not going to be pretty, but at
least it will provide a visual --
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So that we're
clear on this, are you suggesting a
barrier which is a combination of a
continued vinyl fence and then plantings
out in front of it on the east easterly
side of that fence to shield? So, for
instance, when Mrs. Fitterman looks out
her window across the street, she sees
more plantings, more green than she sees
the vinyl wall.
MR. WHITNEY: Yeah. And it will also
help buffer sound.
MR. AVRUTINE: And in theory,
depending upon the height of it, it
should also help diminish sound
emanation. If we went six feet, I don't
know. I don't know if the board would
want to see an eight feet fence along
that area on the residential street. But
that, again, is something that they'll
certainly work with the Board on in terms
of the height that it would want. But
it'll certainly provide a visual
improvement and sound reduction
improvement if we did that. So we would
certainly agree to that. We would agree
to whatever height of fence that the
Board wants. We'll certainly agree to
additional landscaping along the
northerly border. Certainly agree to
additional landscaping on the northerly
neighbor's property.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And the
easterly border as well.
MR. AVRUTINE: Yes, absolutely. In
that area, that whole area, we can put
additional plantings there.
So we think that those things will
help alleviate the concerns that we've
heard along in terms of what the real
problems are while still preserving the
application and preserving the relief
that the applicants are seeking, which is
the drive-thru.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I don't know
if $I$ heard a single resident speaker come
here and not complain about the
drive-thru. The folks who are the
residents of that area. I don't believe
there was one who didn't mention the
biggest problem they have is the noise
and the other issues associated with the
drive-in. So how what are you proposing
as a compromise to recognize and respect
the wishes of the neighbors in the
immediate area?

MR. AVRUTINE: All we can do, Mr. Saladino, is to try to mitigate those concerns by reducing visual impact and reducing sound impact.

Eliminating the drive-thru is not an option here. Starbucks exists right down the road. The whole purpose of this endeavor was to have a drive-thru facility for Starbucks in Syosset. If if this was just going to be a Starbucks store, there's no impetus for them to move from their current location. That's why they're --
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SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I don't know how the residents feel about it, we didn't discuss it when they were up here, but I haven't heard you talking about moving the window, the speaker, all the accoutrements to the far west side, furthest away from their homes.

MR. AVRUTINE: I don't -- I can't speak to that. Maybe you can. I don't know that that from a functionality level that works.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And I don't know if that's something the community would --

I would hope we are looking at every single option to be respectful of the residents who would have to deal with the hardship.

MR. WHITNEY: So to answer your question, the drive-thru window is on the west side of the building. The pick up and the speaker ar on the east side.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So talk to us about if it's possible to reverse that.

MR. WHITNEY: We can't reverse it because if you were to reverse it, you'd be handing coffee through the passenger seat of a car, which isn't a very easy task for a driver to do, to grab a coffee in that way. So we're forced with a certain -- the way our cars are, we drive on the right, so we have to orientate the site for the driver to be able to reach the coffee.

As far as the spacing between it, as I talked earlier, that has to do with the timing to prepare an order and when the queue is full. So the closer we get to the window, the more time you're going to be stuck waiting for them to finish preparing your order at the window, which causes the queue to be more likely to back up. So there's a science within fast food industry, which is how long does our orders take and how do we space that menu board, that order point from the window so that the handoff is as quick as possible, because that's what keeps the
line moving.
So that is where we place this, at
the eighth car to be in their optimal
zone for that timing. This project takes
the existing menu board, which is on the
north elevation that the prior tenant
used and relocates it to the east
elevation, taking it away from the
closest residents further than the
existing condition. So that is an upside
to the location that we have chosen,
whether it makes everyone happy, I can't
speak to that. But that is why we chose.
As Howard said, we are willing to
extend plantings along Humphrey and
extend the fencing along Humphrey to help
screen the operations of the drive-thru
from Humphrey and the neighbor across the
street. So there is at least some barrier
there, more than just some shrubs.
Especially if we're moving that trash
that takes away that solid wall as well.
So this would be a compromise to help
mediate what's going on visually and

```
sonically that way.
```

    SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Is there a
    technology that has a shape of a tunnel?
Maybe it's made of lucite or plastic,
like the screen that's between us as you
speak and we listen to you? Is there a
technology that would allow a car and the
microphone and speaker to be enclosed in
an area? Obviously, you'd have to be open
on two sides. Do you know of anything in
the industry, maybe a cutting edge
technology, maybe a newer invention that
would provide a dome of quiet and buffer
sounds from escaping out of that
structure?

MR. WHITNEY: I have not come across
that in any trade journals or anything
that $I$ read up on this industry. It's
something that $I$ know Starbucks does not
have in their kit of parts for stores and
operations. Operations takes time to get
in place and approved. So something like
that, even if it did exist, would have to
go through internal testing and several
years of planning before they would actually put something into a test mode.

So I don't know of that technology existing, and if it did exist, it would take a long time for them to get through a Corporate approval process to institute some sort of new technology. They are constantly upgrading their systems. We used to place the menu board at the fifth car that it was the sixth car, and now we know it's the seventh car. These things evolve over time. They evolve as the chain changes their menu and their equipment. And all these things are constantly being balanced to try to deliver the optimal service time that they can provide. And we'll do things like move menu boards to a new location at an old location just to help bring things up. And here we're instituting their most current standards to have as quick service as possible. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I'm not trying to paraphrase you, but your statement
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leads us to believe corporate is very
slow to embrace newer or better
technologies. I sense that that was part
of what you were saying to us. Having
said that --
MR. WHITNEY: I wouldn't say it's
very slow, there's there's a process.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You told us
years to --

MR. WHITNEY: It's not one person's decision. It takes a long time for a corporation --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: However it has to happen, I suspect that.

MR. WHITNEY: It goes through a testing process before it would ever be able to be implemented.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So how are we, this board and the residents, to feel confident that their speaker is a much newer technology which broadcasts in a much shorter distance than the old technology that Burger King utilized?

MR. WHITNEY: I can provide a cut
sheet of the current speaker they're
using.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And do you
know what Burger King used decades ago?

MR. WHITNEY: Well, I don't know the model or make or what Burger King's equipment was at the time.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Do you have some sense that this is a cutting edge technology that reduces that broadcast offsite of sound?

MR. WHITNEY: Correct. What I spoke of earlier is it has a volume control that they can set to an appropriate decibel level for whatever the Town standards are or what what needs to be at the site to keep it. At a minimum, it has an automatic adjusting for ambient sound. So if, let's say, someone's using a jackhammer on on the road, it would raise while it's --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It would have to get very, very loud.

MR. WHITNEY: I'm just using an
extreme example. But if there was a loud ambient sound, let's say there's a loud truck idling at the traffic light, it would raise it so that the person could have a smooth transaction, but it would drop it back down to the low setting. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: What does that specifically mean to residents who live next door or across the street or diagonally, as compared to the audio that they have heard for years and have told us about in their most ardent complaints tonight?

MR. WHITNEY: So in an older technology where you just have a volume dial, let's say you would set it probably higher because you want all your transactions to go smoothly. So you're going to set that speaker in an older model at a louder sound level. So you have a continuous flow of people even if there's ambient noise. Because the person's not going to be sitting there with the dial up and down, up and down.

With the passive system, it allows them to keep the sound at a lower level and it would only raise automatically if it's needed. So, therefore, they can set the default level at a lower decibel sound than people would have 20 years ago. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We do appreciate your explaining that to us. But in addition to that feature there, is there anything else built into this microphones have direct directional microphones, omni directional microphones? Speaker Technology has grown. We go through a whole list of brand names of the amazing things that speaker companies are coming up with. Has the technology and speakers changed since the Burger King speaker system to not allow that sound to pass, to go beyond the confines of the property?

MR. WHITNEY: We have someone from Operations here that we can have speak more about the operations. Maybe that would be good, better.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The speaker is what we're concerned most concerned about.

Can you start off by giving us your name and address and your company status?

MS. FITZSIMMONS: Sure. Eileen Fitzsimmons, 35 Meadow Lane, Levittown, New York 11756. Currently, I'm a district manager at Starbucks.

What $I$ was sharing with them is that in my drive-thru stores during peak times, which is several hours a day, we eliminate the use of the speaker by using a handheld order device, similar to Chic-fil-A. So we have an employee outside with an iPad that takes the customer's order right at their car window. So there is then, at that point, no speaker noise or any noise pertaining to the speaker. And this increases the efficiencies and the speed at which the customers move through and prevent back up onto the road, if that makes sense. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: It does make
sense. It makes a lot of sense. During
what hours will this employee be at the
window, in essence, of the customers
taking their order so there is no use of
a speaker? During what hours?

MS. FITZSIMMONS: So, typically, it's from 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. and on weekends it's usually in a bulk of the afternoon. Because the busier times on weekends shift.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Only 7:00 to 10:00 a.m., and what are your hours of operation proposed?

MS. FITZSIMMONS: It's from 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: So from 6:00 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. for the residents who are looking to sleep, you're using the speaker and then you shut it off at 7:00 until a minute after ten, and then we're back to the speaker. And then what about in the evening hours?

MS. FITZSIMMONS: Well, in the rest of the hours that fall outside of that,
we don't have as much of a customer flow as we do during the 7:00 to 10:00 day part, which is why we currently use it during that day part, but we can implement it in other parts of the day. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Perhaps this is a question for counsel, would you consider in your restrictions agreeing to not using the speaker from the time you open to a time that could be mutually agreed upon with the residents? Maybe noon?

MS. FITZSIMMONS: Yeah. Yeah, absolutely.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And that would be that would be part of the Covenants and Restrictions of this application. MR. AVRUTINE: Yes. MS. FITZSIMMONS: I was just going to add that it's dependent on also the weather. We don't expect an employee to be out there when it's zero degrees out or when it's 100 degrees out. So we do take that into consideration just for,
you know, work safety issues. And then we wouldn't use it after dark either.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Well, thank you very much for your honesty. It's greatly appreciated.

MS. FITZSIMMONS: Okay. You're wel come.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay.
Counselor?

MR. AVRUTINE: So we'll certainly
keep the dialogue open, both with the liaison to the Board and with the community for the 30 day period to see what the reaction is from the residents. But we are, as you can see, we're willing to work toward a solution that works for the for the Board, for the community and for us.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. Avrutine, it is critical for all of us on this Town Board for you to continue that dialogue in a very meaningful way with these residents. They have been respectful, they have been open minded, but they have
communicated their feelings, I thought, exceptionally well in a way that was very direct, polite and understanding of the needs of business, but also respectful of their own needs. So it is critical to us that this communications continue in a very meaningful way with not only the residents here tonight, but all the residents of that immediate area.

MR. AVRUTINE: Sure. I agree. Unfortunately, $I$ don't think we ever can satisfy everyone on every point, but we can do the best we can.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I heard -- not to complicate what you'd like to tell us, but I heard something loud and clear from these residents that sounds like that would satisfy each and every one of the people we listened to tonight; $100 \%$ of them.

MR. AVRUTINE: Well, I'm not sure that -- if you're thinking it's the same thing I'm thinking, which is to eliminate the drive-thru. That's not something that
my client can go forward with on this project. The drive-thru is essential to this this application. It's the centerpiece of it as far as they're concerned.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Maybe the applicant with your guidance should take a very long, hard look at whether or not there's an absolute necessity for that speaker at all.

MR. AVRUTINE: Well, I can't speak to the operational ability to take orders without any sort of speaker system.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: There's a lot of new technology out there. And I'm sure someone as sharp as you, and you're one of the best attorneys we've seen come before us, one of the echelons, you and your team, can provide can provide them some guidance as to what is available in cutting edge technologies.

We've heard this evening, as a matter of fact, that many people place their orders before they even hit the
curb cut of the facility that they're utilizing for their food and they order online. That's one of numerous ways. So sharpen your pencil and let's see what you and they can come up with.

MR. AVRUTINE: Thank you, Mr.

Supervisor.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: And given the breadth of the issues that need to be discussed, I think we should leave the record open for 45 days instead of the typical 30. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I would agree. Is there anything else, Counselor? MR. AVRUTINE: No, sir.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you for
all you've presented this evening, and I'd like to thank the residents for doing an exceptionally incredible job. Very, very professional. And for us, this, obviously, is a difficult decision. But it makes it so much easier when you all conduct yourselves the way you have. We're exceptionally proud of each and
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every one of you.
With that, is there any
correspondence?

TOWN CLERK: The attorney for the applicant has filed the Affidavit of Service and Disclosure the communications as follows:

We have memos from the Department of Planning and Development, including a review of the required off street parking.

The Nassau County Land and Tax Map indicates the property is Section 15 Block E, Lot 195.

According to the Town of Oyster Bay zoning maps, the property is located within a NB neighborhood business zone.

There is an open prior Code
Enforcement Bureau case in Town Board Resolutions on file.

There is one letter of correspondence.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you very much, Mr. LaMarca. With that, may we
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have a motion, please?
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Supervisor,
move to close the public portion of the
Hearing and keep the record open for 45
days.
COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor.
Please signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Town Board respond in favor
with, "Aye".)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed,
"Nay".
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The ayes have
it.
Thank you very much, everyone.
(Whereupon, above matter
concludes, 10:24 p.m.)
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SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. LaMarca, would you please call the next hearing?

TOWN CLERK: The final hearing tonight is to Consider the 2023 Fire Protection Agreements with the Roslyn Highlands Hook \& Ladder, Engine and Hose Company, Inc., and the Rescue Company Hook and Ladder Company No. 1. (Aka Roslyn Rescue), as well as the 2023-2025 Fire Protection Agreement with Bayville Fire Company No. 1, Inc.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good evening. DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY: Good evening, Supervisor, members of the Town Board. Elizabeth Faughnan, Deputy Town Attorney, Office of the Town Attorney.

I'll try to be painlessly brief, but also try and get everything in for purposes of the record.

As you all very well know, New York State Town Law Section 184 that requires in an area where there is a Fire Protection District and not a Fire District with elected commissioners, the

Town Board sits in the role as a fire
commissioner to ensure there is fire
protection for the residents in that
area.

To that end, each year the Town enters into agreements with numerous different fire companies. In this case, the Town Attorney's Office is requesting approval for agreements with the Roslyn Highlands Hook and Ladder Engine and Hose Company, Inc and the Rescue Company Hook and Ladder Company No. 1; otherwise, known as Roslyn Rescue. Both of those companies provide protection in the Greenvale Fire Protection area, which is a very small area in the Town of Oyster Bay, as you know, on the western end on the boarder of Northern Boulevard and Glen Cove Road, northeastern corner.

The Town of Oyster Bay is less than 1\% of the total area protected by the Roslyn Fire Company. As a result, our proportionate share of their cost is in relationship to that $1 \%$ cost.


The Town Attorney's Office would like to request contracts with those two fire companies for the calendar year 2023 with Roslyn Highlands in a contract in an amount not to exceed $\$ 11,300$, and a contract with Rescue Hook and Ladder, a contract not to exceed $\$ 11,556$.

With respect to the Bayville Fire Company, the Town has traditionally had two different contracts. One for the Bayville Fire Protection District one For Certain Other Territory, which encompasses the difference between the high water mark and the low water mark up on the north shore.

The Fire Company has approached the Town Attorney's Office and has requested a three year contract in order to better plan for their financial needs, and also for the Town to be able to plan accordingly and project what the costs would be over a three year term rather than suffer a spike.

So the Town Attorney's Office would

like to request a contract with the Bayville Fire Company for the Bayville Fire Protection District for calendar year 2023 in a total amount $\$ 36,452$; for Calendar year 2024 for the Bayville Fire Protection District, an amount not to exceed $\$ 38,276$; and a contract for calendar year 2025 for the Bayville Fire Protection District in a total amount not to exceed \$40,190.

With respect to the smaller contract for Certain Territory, the Town Attorney's Office would like to request a contract for '23 for a total amount of \$7,922; for calendar year 2024; a total amount not to exceed \$8,318; and for calendar year 2025, a total amount not to exceed \$8,734.

In addition to the Fire Protection costs, the Town is also responsible as a member of the Joint Sponsoring Board for the Roslyn Fire Company's LOSAP program, the Length of Service Award Program. The Town of Oyster Bay as a joint sponsor has
a responsibility to help fund the LOSAP program. As a result, the Town

Attorney's Office is asking also for permission to pay the 2023 costs of the Town's share of the Roslyn LOSAP program in an amount not to exceed $\$ 25,000$. Obviously, if our proportionate cost is less, we will not be spending. That is just a maximum number.

In addition, just as the Town acquires Volunteer Firefighter Benefit Law Coverage for the firefighters in the Town of Oyster Bay, in Glenwood, in Oyster Bay, in East Norwich, because those fire houses are contained within the boundaries of the Town of Oyster Bay, the Village of Roslyn, or sometimes the Town of North Hempstead, will procure the Volunteer Firefighters Benefit Coverage for the Roslyn Fire Companies and the Town is required to pay its proportionate share. So we are requesting permission for the Town to pay its proportional share of the VFBL for the Roslyn Fire

Companies. I apologize.
With respect to Bayville, the Village of Bayville procures the

Volunteer Firefighter Benefit Coverage because the Bayville Firehouse is in the village of Bayville. We are requesting permission for three years; '23, '24, '25 to be able to reimburse the Village of Bayville for our proportionate share of the Bayville VFBL Coverage, Volunteer Firefighter Benefit Law Coverage, which is required by law. For lack of a better term, it's like a worker's comp for volunteer firemen if they're injured while they're performing their duties. We are requesting an amount not to exceed $\$ 30,000$ for all three years for Bayville. So it will be $\$ 30,000$ cumulative for '23, '24, '25.

Then we are just requesting permission for the contracts. I apologize. I know that was kind of staccato and brief, but I'm trying to hit all the points and not give unnecessary
information.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Counselor, for
the purposes of the public who may be
suffering from insomnia right now, can
you please tell us why this is needed to
come before the Oyster Bay Town Board.
DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY: Because New
York State Town Law Section 184 says, in
Syosset there is a Fire District with
elected commissioners, in the Greenvale
Fire Protection District, there are no
elected commissioners; it's a fire
Protection District, not a Fire District.
As a result, the town board members serve
as, for lack of a better term,
commissioners and are tasked with the
responsibility of ensuring there is fire
protection coverage for the residents in
that area. So Section 184 of New York
State Law requires this.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And if we
passed this ask, who would be responsible
for paying for it?
DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY: I apologize.


The residents of each fire protection district bear the cost of the fire protection in there area. For instance, Greenvale fire protection costs are paid for by residents of Greenvale, Bayville are paid by Bayville. Massapequa has nothing to do with it because they have their own fire district.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I will re-ask
almost the same question for everybody who is listening. So the taxpayers at large are not going to be paying any portion of these costs. It is singled out to the residents who receive that service in that specific outlined area.

DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY: Absolutely correct, Supervisor.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY: Thank you. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: One last question. Is this something we have continually done for decades? DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY: Yes, Supervisor. Every year there is a fire

protection agreement with each one of the fire companies to ensure there is no lapse in coverage.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: And this has been going on since they were created? DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY: Since they were created. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you. DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY: Thank you, Supervisor.

I would just ask the Board that this decision on the hearing is on tonight's agenda as proposed Resolution 211. Obviously, we hope that you would approve that Resolution this evening.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Are there any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May I have a motion, please?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Motion to close the public portion of the hearing and to vote on this tonight.


COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor, please signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Town Board respond in favor with, "Aye".) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed, Nay.
(Whereupon, no verbal
response from the Town Board.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The "Ayes" have it.
(Whereupon, above matter concludes.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: That concludes our work on the public hearings. We still have our Resolution Calendar, but we will require Executive Session. For that, may I have a motion?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Supervisor, I make a motion that we enter Executive Session for the purpose of discussion pending litigation.

COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.



| \$ | agreement ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-11: 2$ | $\text { boundaries }_{[1]}-\text { /:1/ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Coverage }_{[5]}-7: 13,7: 20,8: 5, \\ & 8: 11,8: 12 \\ & \text { created }_{[2]}-11: 6,11: 8 \\ & \text { cumulative }_{[11]}-8: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 3 0 0}{ }_{[1]}-5: 6 \\ & \$ 11,556_{[1]}-5: 8 \\ & \mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0} \\ & {[11]} \end{aligned}-7: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Agreements }_{[1]]}-3: 6 \\ & \text { agreements } \\ & \text { aka }_{[2]}-4: 7,4: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { brief }_{[2]}-3: 18,8: 24 \\ & \text { BY }_{[1]}-1: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\$ 30,000_{[2]}-8: 18,8: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { amount }_{(9]}-5: 6,6: 5,6: 7, \\ & 6: 10,6: 15,6: 17,6: 18,7: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { calendar }_{{ }_{551}}-5: 4,6: 4,6: 9, \\ & 6: 16,6: 18 \end{aligned}$ | D |
|  |  |  | decades ${ }_{\text {H1 }}$ - 10:23 |
|  | 8:17 | Calendar ${ }_{[2]}-6: 6,12: 18$ | decision ${ }_{[1]}-11: 13$ |
| \$40,190 ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-6: 11$ | ANTETOMASO ${ }_{[1]}-2: 15$ <br> apologize $_{[3]}-8: 2,8: 23,9: 25$ | case $_{[1]}-4: 8$ | DEPUTY $_{[10]}-2: 15,2: 19$, |
| \$7,922 ${ }_{[1]}-6: 16$ |  |  |  |
| \$8,318 ${ }_{[1]}-6: 17$ | APPEARANCES $_{[1]}-2: 18$ | Certain $_{[2]}-5: 13,6: 13$ certify | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 14,9: 8,9: 25,10: 17 \\ & 10: 20,10: 24,11: 7,11: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| \$8,734 ${ }_{[1]}-6: 19$ | approached $_{[1]}-5: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLERK }_{[4]}-1: 16,2: 14,2: 15, \\ & 3: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Deputy }_{[1]}-3: 16$ |
|  | approval ${ }_{[11}-4: 10$ |  |  |
|  | $\text { area }_{[8]]}-3: 23,4: 5,4: 16,4: 17,$ | close $_{\text {[1] }}-11: 23$ | different ${ }_{[2]}$-4:8, 5:11 |
| '23 ${ }_{[3]}-6: 15,8: 8,8: 19$ |  | commissioner ${ }_{[1]}-4: 3$ | discussion ${ }_{[1]}-12: 23$ |
| ${ }^{2} 24_{[2]}-8: 8,8: 20$ | 4:22, 9:20, 10:4, 10:16 | commissioners ${ }_{[4]}-3: 25$, | district $_{[2]}-10: 3,10: 9$ |
| '25 ${ }_{[2]}-8: 8,8: 20$ | ATTORNEY ${ }_{\text {[9] }}-2: 19,3: 14$, | 9:11, 9:13, 9:17 | District ${ }_{\text {[10 }}-3: 24,3: 25,5: 12$ |
| 1 | 9:25, 10:17, 10:20, | comp $_{[1]}-8: 14$ | 6:4, 6:7, 6:10, 9:10, 9:12 |
| $\mathbf{1}_{[3]}-3: 9,3: 12,4: 13$ | Attorney $^{[2]}$ - $3: 16,3: 1$ | $5: 4,11: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { done }_{[1]}-10: 23 \\ & \text { DONNA }_{[1]}-2: 15 \\ & \text { duties }_{[1]}-8: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1\% ${ }_{\text {[2] }}-4: 22,4: 25$ | Attorney's ${ }_{[6]}-4: 9,5: 2,5: 18$, | Companies $_{[2]}-7: 21,8: 2$ |  |
| 11:24 ${ }_{[1]}-1: 7$ | 5:25, 6:14, 7:4 | Company $_{[11]}-3: 8,3: 9,3: 12$, |  |
| 11:34 ${ }_{[1]}-13: 14$ | Award $_{[1]}-6: 24$ <br> Aye" - 12:4, 12:7, 13:3, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:12, 4:13, 4:23, 5:10, 5:17, } \\ & 6: 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 12:16 ${ }_{[1]}-13: 14$ |  |  | E |
| 184 ${ }_{[3]}-3: 22,9: 9,9: 20$ | 13:6 <br> Ayes $_{[2]}$ - 12:12, 13:11 | Company's ${ }_{[1]}-6: 23$ <br> concludes $_{[2]}-12: 15,12: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East }_{{ }_{113}-7: 15} \\ & \text { elect }_{[3]}-3: 25,9: 11,9: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2023 }{ }_{\text {[6] }}-1: 6,3: 5,5: 4,6: 5, \\ & 7: 5,14: 13 \end{aligned}$ | B | Consider $_{[1]}-3: 5$ <br> contained ${ }_{11}-7: 16$ | Elizabeth $_{[1]}-3: 16$ |
|  | Bay $_{\text {[7] }}-4: 18,4: 21,6: 25$, $7: 14,7: 15,7: 17.9 .7$ | continually $_{[1]}-10: 23$ | $\text { encompasses }_{[1]}-5: 14$ |
| 2023-2025 ${ }_{[1]}$ - 3:10 | $7: 14,7: 15,7: 17,9: 7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { contract }_{[8]}-5: 5,5: 7,5: 8 \\ 5: 19,6: 2,6: 8,6: 12,6: 15 \end{gathered}$ | end $_{[2]}-4: 6,4: 18$ |
| 2024 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 6,6: 16$ | $\text { BAY }_{[1]}-1: 4$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Engine }_{[2]}-3: 7,4: 11 \\ & \text { ensure }_{[2]}-4: 3,11: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2025 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 9,6: 18$ | Bayville $_{[16]}-3: 11,5: 9,5: 12$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Contracts }_{[1]}-1: 11 \\ & \text { contracts }_{[3]}-5: 3,5: 11,8: 22 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 21 ${ }_{[1]}-1: 6$ | $6: 3,6: 6,6: 9,8: 3,8: 4,8: 6,$ |  | ensuring ${ }_{[1]}-9: 18$ |
| 211 ${ }_{[1]}-11: 14$ | 10:7 | contracts $_{[3]}-5: 3,5: 11,8: 22$ $\text { corner }_{[1]}-4: 20$ | enter ${ }_{[1]}-12: 22$ |
| 21st ${ }_{[1]}-14: 13$ |  | $\text { correct }_{[2]}-10: 18,14: 10$ |  |
|  | bear $_{[1]}-10: 3$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESQ }_{[11}-2: 19 \\ & \text { evening }_{[3]}-3: 13,3: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| A | Benefit ${ }_{[4]}-7: 12,7: 20,8: 5$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cost }_{[44]}-4: 24,4: 25,7: 8,10: 3 \\ & \text { costs }_{[55]}-5: 22,6: 21,7: 5, \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { a.m }_{[1]}-13: 15 \\ & \text { able }_{[2]}-5: 21,8: 9 \\ & \text { Absent }_{[2]}-2: 6,2: 9 \\ & \text { Absolutely }_{[1]}-10: 17 \\ & \text { accordingly }_{[1]}-5: 22 \\ & \text { acquires }_{[1]}-7: 12 \\ & \text { addition }_{[2]}-6: 20,7: 11 \\ & \text { agenda }_{[1]}-11: 14 \\ & \text { Agreement }_{[1]}-3: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { better }_{[3]}-5: 19,8: 13,9: 16 \\ & \text { between }_{[1]}-5: 14 \\ & \text { Board }_{[8]}-3: 15,4: 2,6: 22, \\ & 9: 7,11: 12,12: 6,12: 11, \\ & 13: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 10:5, 10:14 | 11:16 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { COUNCILMAN }_{[7]}-2: 7,2: 8, \\ 2: 9,11: 23,12: 2,12: 21, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { exceed }^{[8]}-5: 6,5: 8,6: 8 \\ & 6: 11,6: 17,6: 19,7: 7,8: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Executive }_{[3]}-12: 19,12: 22 \text {, } \\ & 13: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNCILWOMAN }_{[3]}-2: 6, \\ & 2: 10,2: 11 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BOARD }_{[11}-1: 3 \\ & \text { board }_{111}-9: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Counselor }_{[1]}-9: 3$ | F |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | board ${ }_{[17}-9: 15$ boarder | $\text { COUNTY }_{[1]}-14: 5$ | FAUGHNAN $_{[1]}-2: 19$ <br> Faughnan $_{[11}-3: 16$ |
|  | Boulevard $_{[11]}-4: 19$ | Cove $_{\text {[1] }}-4: 20$ |  |
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SUPERVISOR SALADINO: May I have a motion, please?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Supervisor,
I'll make a motion to close the Executive Session. No action being taken.

COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor, please signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Town Board respond in favor with, "Aye".)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed, "Nay".
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: They "Ayes"
have it.
With that, here is the Town Clerk and we will call for the regular Action Calendar to be brought to the table. Clerk LaMarca, would you call the regular Action Calendar?

TOWN CLERK: May have a motion to suspend the rules and add Resolution 212
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and 213-2023. On the motion motion? COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So moved. COUNCILMAN HAND: Second. TOWN ATTORNEY: Hold on. Rich, one second.
(Whereupon, a brief pause.) TOWN ATTORNEY: Sorry, Supervisor.

All good.
TOWN CLERK: On the vote, Supervisor
Saladino?
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilman Imbroto?
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilman Hand?
COUNCILMAN HAND: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Maier?
COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Walsh?
COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Motion to suspend the
rules and add Resolution 212 and 213-2023
passes with five "Ayes".
May I have a motion to table Resolution 206-2023?
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On the motion.
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So moved.

COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.
TOWN CLERK: Motion made by

Councilman Imbroto and seconded by Councilman Hand.

On the vote, Supervisor Saladino?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilman Imbroto?
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilman Hand?

COUNCILMAN HAND: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Maier?

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Aye.

TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Walsh?

COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Aye.

TOWN CLERK: Motion to table

Resolution 206-2023 passes with five
"Ayes".
May have a motion to resuspend the rules and walk on Resolution

214-217-2023. Resolution 214 is a
Resolution appointing Supervisor Joseph
S. Saladino as a marriage officer to

perform a wedding on April 14th, 2023.
Resolution 215 is a Resolution
authorizing award of a contract number
DPW23-264 Soil Investigation at Bethpage Community Park, Account Number

PKSH7197200000002302001.
Resolution $216-2023$ is a Resolution
authorizing the supervisor to acknowledge
and consent to the proposed tax
exemptions extension agreement between
Nassau County and Central Park Estates.
And Resolution $217-2023$ is a
resolution authorizing a License
Agreement to operate the Harry Tappen
Beach food concession services for a
three year period beginning April 1st,
2023 through December 31st, 2025, with a
provision for three one year extensions.
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So moved.
COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.
TOWN CLERK: Motion made by
Councilman Imbroto and seconded by Councilman Hand.

On the vote, Supervisor Saladino?
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SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I recuse myself on Resolution 214 and vote Aye on all the rest.

TOWN CLERK: Councilman Imbroto?
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilman Hand?
COUNCILMAN HAND: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Maier?
COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Walsh?
COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Motion to resuspend the rules of procedure and add walk on Resolutions 214 passes with four "Ayes" and one recusal. Motion to resuspend the rules of procedure and add walk on Resolution 215-217-2023 passes with five "Ayes".

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: We did 212 and 213, correct?

TOWN CLERK: Yes.
May have a motion to adopt
Resolution number P-7-23 through to 217-2023, noting that Resolution 206-2023
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has been tabled.

On the motion?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So moved.
COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.

TOWN CLERK: Motion made by
Councilman Imbroto and seconded by
Councilman Hand. And $I$ think we have a couple of speakers.
(Whereupon, Supervisor
Saladino steps off the podium
for a brief off the record
discussion.)
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Supervisor, should we have the speakers while you are --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yeah. You can begin.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: We have a quorum. The first speaker is Arthur Adelman.

MR. ADELMAN: My name is Arthur Adelman.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: How many resolutions are you speaking on?


MR. ADELMAN: Three. You've tabled one. Net two.

My name is Arthur Adelman, 110 Dubois Avenue Sea Cliff, New York.

First order of business is the draft for the transfer of funds. Shows the number 01123 Department for an increase of $\$ 2$ million and then followed by an increase of $\$ 2$ Million. I think that's a typo and it should be corrected before it goes in to final resolution. It should be a decrease in Town $A$ and an increase in department of the Attorney General. What's it called? Inspector General.

Anyway, the transfer of funds
resolution to transfer $\$ 2$ million increase into the Department of the Inspector General should be voted down in light of the fact that 207 has been lifted from the calendar, that $\$ 2$ Million movement of funds was to fund a contract for a company named Enterprise Mutual Sorry, 206, which is tabled. So we're tabling the resolution and the $\$ 2$ million

supposed to fund that. So, number one, it should be removed from the transfer list. The Inspector General doesn't need the funds right now. That's number one.

And number two, I was going to speak on that anyway. The $\$ 2$ million being the fact that the first quarter of the year has already passed us by. The amount needed for funding in this year, this fiscal year, should only be $\$ 1.5$ million. The first quarter is gone. So if you need \$2 million, you don't need \$2 million for nine month's work, only 1.5 million. So even if you decide to pass that
resolution and the transfer of funds to move funds from Town $A$ to the Inspector General, I beseech you to decrease it from $\$ 2$ million to $\$ 1.5$ million, even though you're moving funds when we don't have a contract.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Arthur, It
wasn't for a calendar year. It was for a period of one year starting March 21st. COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: He's talking
about the transfer of funds.

MR. ADELMAN: The transfer of funds is for the fiscal year.

COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Okay. I thought you were referring to the Resolution 206. MR. ADELMAN: The $\$ 2$ million that we would have to fund the first quarter of next year for next year's budget.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Arthur, we'll take it under advisement. We'll make any necessary amendments to the transfer.

MR. ADELMAN: Number two. I think we mentioned Enterprise Security Solutions. Is it possible that this company that this resolution was tabled because back six months ago or so when we signed a previous contract with this company under resolution --

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Arthur, that resolution has been tabled tonight.

MR. ADELMAN: Anyway, we signed a contract with them last August. I had made note at that meeting that this company was not a registered company in

New York State, and Mr. Scalera had stated at that time, before any contract was signed, he would make sure that this company, Enterprise Security Solutions, did get themselves registered as a foreign company, in fact, they are in New Jersey LLC, that they would be registered in New York State. As of this morning, they still were not registered in New York State.

MR. ADELMAN: Arthur, Resolution 206
has been tabled. This is the time to talk about the resolutions on the Calendar.

MR. ADELMAN: If it gets untabled with the same party, we should make sure that company is a registered entity in New York State.

The last thing I wanted to talk about was number 205. This has to do with De Bruin getting ready for construction and reconstruction of tennis courts. And now it seems that that was the original reference resolution when De Bruin got
the contract, 939-2022. De Bruin was to construct and reconstruct tennis courts only. No mention of pickleball courts. Now we're talking about adding pickleball courts to Anchor Park.

I'm curious to know a couple of things. Number one, why are the planning of tennis and pickleball courts the purview of DPW and not the Parks Department? It seems that it would be a Parks Department project. And why are all the pickleball courts -- I don't know how many they plan to do for Anchor, if it's going to be 1 or 2 , but we already have ten plan in the Town of Oyster Bay. All ten of them are south of Jericho

Turnpike. There are none planned for either Tappen or Gaynor Park. The people of Glen Head, Glenwood Landing, play pickleball. And we are a little taken back that Parks and DPW are treating us like Cinderella, you know, no respect. Just no respect. All the pickleball courts will be south of Jericho Turnpike.

At least 10. Ten without the addition of an Anchor Park project.

So with 206 eliminated. Really taken back that I waited five hours to speak on it and then it's tabled. As I said for last meeting, when an item was tabled that I wanted to chat about, I want to make notice that should this item come up again and be untabled that the public should be forewarned and told that such and such a resolution, in this case, 206-22 will be taken off the table and voted on at a specific meeting. It should not just be thrown at it. That's it.

I think I would appreciate it if you would correct the transfer of funds of the $\$ 2$ million, bring it down to \$1.5 million, or eliminate it completely as there is no outstanding contract to spend that money on. Thank you.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: All right,

Arthur. Thank you very much.

MR. ADELMAN: And good morning.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Anything else,

Arthur?

MR. ADELMAN: No. No public comment tonight.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You're okay. You have nothing else to speak to us about. Do you have time left? I just want to make sure, respectfully.

MR. ADELMAN: No. We stopped when you walked out. No.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Do you want to finish your comment?

MR. ADELMAN: I'm done. Oh, one more thing.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: You do have public comments.

MR. ADELMAN: As you can see from the empty room, night meetings don't bring out a bigger crowd. So we can have our meetings during the day. But what's nice about the night meetings is the availability of parking.

So what are we going to do about the transfer of funds?

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I have the
counsel looking at it right now. We will be reporting back momentarily. Thank you for your patience.

MR. ADELMAN: How come DPW takes care of pickleball and tennis courts and not Parks?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Because they're dealing with engineering firms.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yeah, engineering is part of the Department of Public Works. All right.

So just give us a moment and then we'll be hearing from Kevin. Did Kevin speak yet?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: No.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Okay. How are you this evening, Kevin?

MR. MCKENNA: Well, I'm just dandy.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Good.
MR. MCKENNA: Kevin McKenna,
Syosset, New York.
I want to first talk about Personnel
Resolution $P-7-23$. I want to point out that you, once again, you're violating

New York State Open Meetings law by not making the public available of the names of the person on the Resolution that you left on the table over there. And now I understand why you don't put the names on that sheet on the table. Because you don't want the public to see some of the percent increases that are being given to top people in the Town. For instance,
last year you gave almost $12 \%$ pay increase to Vicki Spinelli in order to prevent her from going to Nassau County. Even though she had a going away party here in the town, you then gave her almost a 12\% pay increase. And in if her name would have been on that that sheet that once again you don't have the names, the public wouldn't be able to voice their opinion about somebody getting an 11 to 12\% pay increase.

And I'm also told and I don't know if there's any truth to it, but I'm also told that the Town, in addition to giving her an almost $12 \%$ pay increase, they also
assigned her a Town-owned truck. And I'd
like to know if that's true and if she
does have a truck, I'd like to know if
she does moving at night. I want to know
what she uses that taxpayer provided
truck for.

But why don't you put the names? I just told you why you don't put the names on the on the resolution. Because you don't want the taxpayers to know who you're giving pay increases to. And that violates New York State Open Meetings Law. And I would think that council folks like Councilwoman Walsh, like Councilman Hand, like Councilwoman Maier, I would think that you would voice your opinion and also want the Town to follow the New York State Open Meetings Law instead of sitting there like puppets.

Now we're going to talk about the $\$ 2$ million. Since you tabled Resolution 206, like Arthur said, it's important that you go on the record tonight and you do on the record correct -- you should remove
the $\$ 2$ Million because you pulled Resolution 206.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna, if you'd be kind enough to wait a few minutes, we're going to get all that resolved.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: We're going to amend the transfer of funds.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: We're getting all this resolved, and we're going to report to the public.

MR. MCKENNA: Okay. So let me finish. You can do that when I'm done.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Yes. But we are pulling 206, so if you would like to speak about that at the meeting where we bring that up.

MR. MCKENNA: I'm not talking about 206. I'm talking about the $\$ 2$ Million that right now is still -COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: You're talking about the transfer of funds resolution.

MR. MCKENNA: It's still on the, it's still on the -- -
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SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna. We understand.

MR. MCKENNA: No, I'm talking about the $\$ 2$ million. When $I$ get done then you can --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna, we are going to resolve that.

MR. MCKENNA: I want to put the Town Board on notice right now.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna, I
know what you --
MR. MCKENNA: Built into that \$2 Million is a kickback.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna.
MR. MCKENNA: It's a kickback
that --
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna,
if you're going to start --
MR. MCKENNA: I spoke to Michael --
(Whereupon, court reporter
asks for one speaker at time.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna, if
you're going to go on and not listen to the rules --
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MR. MCKENNA: I spoke to Michael
Esposito today, who is a representative from Enterprise --

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna.

MR. MCKENNA: He admitted to me on audio. I haven't it recorded.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: If you're going to continue on with this nonsense. (Whereupon, Mr. McKenna
ignores request, crosstalk.)
MR. MCKENNA: You can't allow a company to build in a kickback in the $\$ 2$ million bid. Thank you very.

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Mr. McKenna, none of that is true.
(Whereupon, Mr. McKenna
continues dialogue while
walking out.)
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: At this time,
I'm going to ask for two motions
separately. Starting with the first motion from Councilman Imbroto.

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Supervisor, I make a motion to amend Resolution

211-2023 to approve the contract term and expenses for only calendar year 2023.

COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor, please signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Board respond in favor with, "Aye".)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed, "Nay".
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The ayes have it.

Mr. Imbroto, would you please bring the next motion?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: Supervisor, I make a motion to amend Resolution TF-4-23 to delete proposed Item 11-23, the Transfer of Funds. COUNCILMAN HAND: Second. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor, please signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Board respond in favor with, "Aye".)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed, "Nay".
(Whereupon, no verbal response.) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: The ayes have it.

Is there anyone else who would like to be heard on these resolutions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Please let the record reflect that no one has indicated they'd like to be heard.

With that, Mr. LaMarca, would you please take a vote?

TOWN CLERK: I would like to just clarify the the call on this, please. I'm going to ask for a motion to adopt Resolution $\mathrm{P}-7-23$ through 217-2023, noting that Resolution 206-2023 has been tabled, that resolution TF-4-23 has been amended, and that Resolution 211-2023 has
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also been amended.
On the motion?
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So moved. COUNCILMAN HAND: Second.

TOWN CLERK: Motion made by
Councilman Imbroto and seconded by
Councilman Hand.
On the vote, Supervisor Saladino?
SUPERVISOR SALADINO: I recuse
myself on Resolution number 214 and vote Aye on all the rest.

TOWN CLERK: Councilman Imbroto?
COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: I vote Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilman Hand?
COUNCILMAN HAND: Aye.
TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Aye?
COUNCILWOMAN MAIER: Aye on all.
TOWN CLERK: Councilwoman Walsh?
COUNCILWOMAN WALSH: Aye on all.
TOWN CLERK: Resolution $\mathrm{P}-7-23$.
Passes with five "Ayes". Resolution
TF-4-23 has been amended and passes with
five "Ayes'. Resolution 191 through 205-2023 passes with five "Ayes".

Resolution 206-2023 has been tabled.

Resolution 207 through 210-2023 passes with five "Ayes". Resolution 211-2023 as amended, passes with five "Ayes".

Resolution 212 and 213 passes with five
"Ayes". Resolution 214 passes with four
"Ayes" and one recusal; and Resolution 215 through 217 passes with five "Ayes". The Calendar is complete. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Thank you.

Thank you so much.
May we have a motion to close the meeting?

COUNCILMAN IMBROTO: So moved. COUNCILMAN HAND: Second. SUPERVISOR SALADINO: All in favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Town Board respond in favor with, "Aye".) SUPERVISOR SALADINO: Those opposed, "Nay"?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
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