TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
November 15, 2016
10:00 a.m.

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

#### **HEARING - TRAFFIC**

To consider Amendments to the Code of the TOWN OF OYSTER BAY, Chapter 233 pertaining to parking, stopping, crossing, loading zones, thru traffic, trucking operations and other traffic regulations throughout the TOWN OF OYSTER BAY. (M.D. 10/18/16~#4).

#### PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

A L S O P R E S E N T:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK
JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES
LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY

(Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Eleun Stover

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

EILEEN STOVER Official Reporter/Notary

# ALSO PRESENT:

THOMAS SABELLICO, SPECIAL COUNSEL, OFFICE OF THE TOWN ATTORNEY DONNA B. SWANSON, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY CHRISTINE M. WISS, DEPUTY COMPTROLLER CAROL FIORENZA, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION BRIAN DEVINE, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS BRIAN VANDER VER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS RICHARD T. BETZ, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES DANIEL PEARL, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY LEONARD SYMONS, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES MAUREEN A. FITZGERALD, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES

(Appearances continued on following page.)

### ALSO PRESENT:

JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION,
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS
COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR,
DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
FRANK GATTO, ASSISTANT TO THE COMMISSIONER OF
GENERAL SERVICES

	4
1	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and
2	gentlemen, if everyone will find seats, we will
3	begin.
4	We'll begin by asking Mr. Altadonna to
5	kindly poll the Board.
6	MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor Venditto?
7	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I am here.
8	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
9	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Here.
10	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
11	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Here.
12	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
13	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Here.
14	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
15	COUNCILMAN PINTO: Here.
16	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
17	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Here.
18	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
19	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Here.
20	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and
21	gentlemen, if everyone would please rise and join
22	in the Pledge of Allegiance to our Flag led by
23	Councilman Chris Coschignano.
24	(Pledge of Allegiance recited.)
25	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Altadonna,

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.0

can you call the first hearing?

MR. ALTADONNA: Yes.

The first hearing is Traffic, to consider the Amendments to the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, Chapter 233, pertaining to parking, stopping, crossing, loading zones, thru traffic, trucking operations and other traffic regulations throughout the Town of Oyster Bay.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: These are matters which come to us in a variety of ways.

As everyone is aware, the Town of

Oyster Bay is responsible for traffic control on

the streets of the entire Town, stop signs, no

parking, this, that and the other thing. Sometimes

it's done by personal observations of elected

officials, of Town personnel and sometimes largely

on the request of residents and I don't think we

have any speakers on this here, I don't see

anything.

Do we have any speakers on this?

MR. ALTADONNA: No.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay.

Then, Mr. Altadonna, do we have any

correspondence?

MR. ALTADONNA: We have affidavits of

6 1 postings of publications. 2 There is no other correspondence. 3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Muscarella, I'll take a motion. 4 5 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I 6 make a motion that this public hearing be closed 7 and the decision be reserved. 8 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 10 11 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 12 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye." 13 COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye." 14 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye." 15 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye." SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All opposed? 16 17 (No response.) SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 18 19 (Time noted: 10:18 a.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
REGULAR MEETING
November 15, 2016
10:19 a.m.

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

#### **HEARING - LOCAL LAW**

To consider a local law entitled "A Local Law to Amend Chapter 209 - Taxation, to add Article X - Override of Real Property Tax Levy to the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, New York to Override the Tax Levy Limit Established in General Municipal Law Section 3-C." Hearing continued from October 18, 2016. (M.D. 10/18/16 #4.)

#### PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

A L S O P R E S E N T:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK
JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES
LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY

(Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Eilen Stover

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

EILEEN STOVER Official Reporter/Notary

# ALSO PRESENT:

THOMAS SABELLICO, SPECIAL COUNSEL, OFFICE OF THE TOWN ATTORNEY DONNA B. SWANSON, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY CHRISTINE M. WISS, DEPUTY COMPTROLLER CAROL FIORENZA, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION BRIAN DEVINE, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS BRIAN VANDER VEER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS RICHARD T. BETZ, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES DANIEL PEARL, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY LEONARD SYMONS, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES MAUREEN A. FITZGERALD, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES

(Appearances continued on following page.)

### ALSO PRESENT:

JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION,
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS
COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR,
DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
FRANK GATTO, ASSISTANT TO THE COMMISSIONER OF
GENERAL SERVICES

2.0

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We will now proceed with Hearing Number 2.

MR. ALTADONNA: Hearing, Local Law; to consider a local law entitled " A Local Law to Amend Chapter 209 - Taxation, to add Article X - Override of Real Property Tax Levy to the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, New York to Override the Tax Levy Limit Established in General Municipal Law Section 3-C." Hearing continued from October 18, 2016.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: In plain English, this is a hearing where the Board is considering piercing the tax cap so that we can raise taxes beyond the limit imposed upon us by Legislations of the State of New York.

Mr. Rozea, what say you?

MR. ROZEA: That's absolutely correct and you essentially, Supervisor, summed up everything that I was going to present to the Board this morning. This is a proposal of the law that would amend the Town Code to allow the Board at it's option and in it's discretion to pierce the tax levy limit, as you mentioned, that is imposed by the State of New York upon local municipalities such as the Town of Oyster Bay.

your wisdom?

1.3

2.0

With that, I'll open it up to any questions.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Well, stand by.

What I would like to do is, where is Mr. Darienzo? Where are you, Rob?

Do you want to give us the benefit of

MR. DARIENZO: Good morning, Supervisor Venditto and Town Board.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good morning.

MR. DARIENZO: The 2017 budget is structurally balanced, but it does nothing to help fix the cumulative deficit that has grown over the years. Piercing the tax cap and increasing the current tax revenues will allow us to generate a sizable surplus in 2017 and we will see that cumulative deficit reduced greatly. There are a number of other items other than piercing the cap that can keep us moving in the right direction. We need to implement this new parking program, we need to implement the new park and field rental program, we need to commit to budget reductions and part-time salaries, commit to budget staff reductions if the Union does not, in fact, agree to a contract, we need to commit to no new hires, we

2.0

need to get certain money due to us from Nassau County, we need to look at the value of naming rights at park fields and we need to keep working hard in other areas to generate revenues and reduce expenses. There are a lot of people working very hard every day, including this Town Board, to make these things happen, but if they don't happen by January 1st we only fall further behind. Piercing the tax cap today allows this Board to breath for a minute while continuing to reach the objectives I just outlined.

By my estimations, by piercing the tax cap today and hitting those other objectives allows the Board to hold the line on taxes next year. The failure to pierce the cap today will only exacerbate the problem now and in 2017 to increased interest costs and will require a significantly higher tax increase in the 2018 budget.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay. It's not a happy message but the only thing I would add to that is -- speaking only for myself -- the Town is in difficult financial strains, that's an understatement, and I said repeatedly to anyone who listens that -- again, speaking only for myself -- I take full responsibility for that problem, and it

2.0

does need to be addressed.

The one thing I would point out though

-- because sometimes there is a lot of confusion in
the public generally about the impact of an
increase in Town taxes -- everybody has a real
property tax bill. If you're like me the total
real property taxes, and now we're talking about
school, County and Town, probably for the typical
tax payer, probably around \$12,000 a year for your
overall tax bill, obviously depending on where you
live.

There are people who have real property taxes maybe in the \$30,000 range and some who have it maybe in the \$8,000 range. Again, it depends on assessed evaluation and so on and so forth.

The one thing I do want to point out, for the longest time this Town had the highest bond rating obtainable, no tax increases and then, of course, trouble began.

Personally, I think it really began somewhere in 2011 or 2012, but it got way out of hand. For the very longest of time, we spent a great deal of money to improve the infrastructure in this Town and we did a good job as evidenced by Money Magazine, who has us in the top 50 finest

1.3

2.0

places to live anywhere in the world, but that was excluding the financial condition, but it's important for everybody to understand the relationship between Town taxes and the overall real property tax bill.

For example, if this Board were to raise taxes in the Town 10 percent, many, many people out there would say, whoa, 10 percent, I already pay \$15,000 a year and 10 percent of that means another \$1,500. It's only on the Town portion of your tax bill which is roughly 10 percent of your overall tax bill, so for example, in using myself, my real property taxes are \$12,000 a year so a 10 percent increase in Town taxes would be \$120 a year, right? Am I correct? Help me with the math, somebody.

MR. DARIENZA: You said overall.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm sorry. I did the exact opposite of what I just said.

My Town taxes are roughly \$1,800 a year so a 10 percent increase would be \$180, okay.

For the very, very longest time, we enjoyed no tax increases, up until recent years obviously, but it's so important to point out that at some point you have to turn off the computers

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

9

and turn off the statistics. Let's say your Town tax bill is \$2,000 a year, that would be roughly how much a month?

MR. DARIENZO: \$160.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: \$160, okay. don't know about the rest of you but I suspect that I pay more for my cell phone, probably twice as much as I do -- for a family plan than I do for my Town taxes. I pay more to PSEG, I pay more to heat my home, I pay more for Cablevision, far more for Cablevision than I pay in Town taxes. I don't know, the list goes on, my car, you name it, nothing is as low as the number you pay for Town taxes. So I just ask everyone to keep it in perspective and understand that in the Town of Oyster Bay over the course of many years and right through including today you do get -- and I hate this expression but -- you do get a bang for your buck. You're living in one of the finest towns anywhere in the United States there is to live and while we all hate taxes, this country was born in a tax mode. No one likes to pay taxes at all, let alone pay an increase in taxes, but I just ask everyone to keep it in perspective as to what we're talking about here. If it means the salvation of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

the Town, then I must tell you, right now I think it's down to -- I think it's down to that. I think if we don't act on this tax cap today and we don't ultimately introduce a significant tax increase in your Town taxes I don't know where we'll be a year from now. I'm not sure that we'll be here financially and that is just ridiculous. You know, a lot of people are saying wow, you're like this place and you're like that place, but we're not like those places, we have a financial problem. Ιt is very, very fixable. The Town Board needs to have the -- in the face of people saying please don't raise my taxes, the Town Board has to stand up in the face of that and make the right decision. The people who pass judgment on this Town Board, when the time comes they're the boss. They're the boss and we're the employees. They may very well seek the fire everybody but you still have to do the right thing and again, I ask everybody to keep it in perspective. When I signed on with Cablevision, I was paying \$160 and it's like \$231 a month now. I don't have anything against Cablevision. I love Cablevision. I get my computer, my phone. It's a great deal. I pay \$269 a month to rent a car for my wife. I give PSEG

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 \$190 a month. For heaven's sake, it costs me almost as much to get newspapers delivered to my house as I pay in my Town taxes, so I just ask everybody to keep this in perspective. I don't know if any Board members want to add to this. COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I have a question for Rob. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Sure, go ahead. COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Rob, what is the calculation for each percentage that the taxes are raised to the Town? What does the Town get off a percentage point in the tax increase? MR. DARIENZO: Each percentage in the form of a tax increase is 2 million dollars or so in revenue. You get between 2 and 2.1 million dollars for every tax percentage increase. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So on a 10 percent tax increase what would happen? MR. DARIENZO: We receive roughly between 20 and 21 million dollars. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: How helpful is that in terms of our overall problem? MR. DARIENZO: It will go a long way towards getting us from from the red to the black.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: And righting the

ship.

2.0

MR. DARIENZO: And begin to right the ship, absolutely.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I readily admitted something that probably should have been done in increments over many years and it wasn't. I think I said it at the last meeting, we worked very hard when the sun was shining and we had all those high bond ratings.

We worked very hard to give our residents a Rolls Royce but we only charged Chevrolet prices, not that there's anything wrong with Chevrolet because I love Chevrolet.

Having said that, I think it's time to stand up and do the right thing, and the cutting and pasting doesn't work, raise fees, do this, do that, sell this, sell that and then next year the problem gets that much bigger, so the time has come and I'm ready to do it. The Board members individually will make their own calls, but I'm ready to go. We will have speakers on this hearing.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: I have a question.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yeah, sure.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: When this was first

brought up? Is there anybody else here to speak about this today, of Counsel?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes.

I think we have quite a number of -we've been guided over the course of time by bond
sellers, by our bond counsel, our personal -- when
I say personal, I mean the Town's financial
advisors, but I cannot tell you how heavily they
are in support of this. Do you want to hear from
anyone in particular.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: I thought we would.

I thought the plan was to have those individuals
here to speak today.

MR. DARIENZO: There are certain people here in this building. There not in this room right now. Is there someone in particular you would like me to --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I believe they're going to express the need to do this. I think that's all we're going to hear.

MR. DARIENZO: Absolutely.

Would you like me to get --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Well, we have

other speakers.

Why don't you margin them together and

14 we don't need terribly graphic presentations but we 1 2 do need them to give us the bottom line? I think 3 we know the answer. 4 COUNCILMAN PINTO: One last question. 5 If this does happen today, what does that do to the current Supervisor's budget in 6 7 regards to 10 percent reductions of said staff? 8 MR. DARIENZO: It wouldn't do anything 9 to it. 10 COUNCILMAN PINTO: Would we still need 11 that? 12 MR. DARIENZO: Will we still need it? 13 COUNCILMAN PINTO: Yes. 14 MR. DARIENZO: What do you mean? 15 COUNCILMAN PINTO: Would that remain in 16 the budget? 17 MR. DARIENZO: Absolutely. 18 The only thing that would change in the 19 budget would be an increase in tax revenues. 2.0 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Mr. Darienzo, what would it take that we wouldn't have to cut 21 22 staff by 10 percent or that we wouldn't have to do 23 drastic cuts? 24 MR. DARIENZO: What would it take, well 25 the 10 percent reduction in salaries that are

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

15 1 currently in the budget represents between 6 and 7 2 million dollars so we learn that the tax percent 3 increase is roughly 2 million dollars so if you raise taxes an additional 3 to 3 and a half percent 4 5 on top of what's already being recommended that would allow you potentially to reduce any kind of 6 7 -- any proposed layoffs. With that being said, 8 there would then be no contract in place. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I didn't follow 9 10 you. 11 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Is there a 12 contract in place now? 13 MR. DARIENZO: No. It expires on 14 December 31st. 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So it's subject 16 to renegotiation? 17 MR. DARIENZO: Right. 18 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: So you're saying 19 that would be on top of the 10 percent that we're 20 discussing, an additional 3 or 4 percent? 21 MR. DARIENZO: Yes. 22 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Does that answer 23 your question, Tony, or no? 24 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: It does, but you 25 confused me with the contract discussion, there is

16 1 a contract in place that's due to expire on 2 December 31st? 3 MR. DARIENZO: Correct. 4 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: There is nothing 5 after that? MR. DARIENZO: There is no arrangement 6 7 that I've been made aware of. 8 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Same here. Ι 9 just wanted to clear that up. 10 Thank you. 11 MR. DARIENZO: If the Town Board raised 12 taxes enough where there were no layoffs, I don't 13 know how that would impact negotiations. 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay. 15 You can round up that group together 16 and we'll start with the speakers. 17 Bob Freier, you're first up. 18 MR. FREIER: Good morning. I just have 19 a statement to make. 2.0 Members of the Board, I urge you to 21 vote against piercing the Tax Cap for the 2017 22 budget year. As evidenced by the closeness of the 23 Brooks/Venditto race, the people of the Town and 24 the County know the mess that our Town is in and 25 they're focus is clearly on all of you.

2.0

barely wins, it's clearly because of the federal charges brought against the Supervisor and the financial mess that Supervisor Venditto and this entire Town Board is responsible for. Mr. Venditto said just two days before he was charged with federal crimes that have contributed to the fiscal mess that our Town is in, you mentioned a Rolls Royce, I thought it was a Cadillac, that you were buying like it was a Cadillac when you only had the money for a Chevy, that's reckless, not only on the part of the Supervisor but on the part of every single Town Board member that voted in favor of this reckless spending.

Why should the residents of this Town have to pay for your mismanagement of our Town.

Now, in addition to piercing the property tax cap, you are proposing massive layoffs. This will affect the workers who can least afford it. The members of the CSEA are the ones working hard every day and some of the lowest paid in the Town. They already accepted pay lag a long time ago and they are now being asked to take pay cuts. These are the people that execute the important services of the Town, the street pavers,

2.0

the Sanitation workers and others. Why are they being asked to suffer for the mismanagement by the Supervisor and this Town Board.

Unfortunately, I continue to see more spending in this budget that got us into this financial mess we're in. The same patronage jobs, engineering service contracts, nepotism, expensive outside consultants, outside legal counsel because of laws that were broken by the Supervisor and this Board.

Another example of wasteful spending, in my opinion, is public safety which never existed before 2007 and now has salaries of nearly 3 and a half million dollars. They patrol the same areas and facilities as the Nassau County Police

Department all while crime in Nassau County is at a 40 year low. I believe if you really want to get the Town on track there are options out there. If you move ahead with these layoffs of union workers you will undoubtably affect the Town's ability to deliver the services that they do now.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, Bob.

(Applause.)

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Paul Molinari.

Good morning, Paul.

MR. MOLINARI: Good morning, Supervisor and Town Board.

I'm Paul Molinari.

I haven't really made up my mind on the Tax Cap. I need more information. Like I heard -- I read in the paper it was going to be 2.3 percent and now you're talking about 10 percent. What is it going to be and what would it be on the average homeowner like myself.

I'm retired. I'm on a fixed income now. I don't work anymore and I'm just an average taxpayer here in the Town of Oyster Bay. My house is probably assessed around \$400,000. I enjoy living in Hicksville, but I would like to know what the impact would be on myself before I commit to agree to a tax cap increase. It sounds like -- if it's a reasonable amount I would support it. If it's an unreasonable amount I wouldn't support it. A 2.3 percent, that's probably only like \$30 or \$40 a year more. I would gladly pay that if it helps pull this Town out of the financial mess that it's in.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Don't go away.

1.3

2.0

Rob?

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I had the same question originally, Bob. That was my exact question when I first spoke to Rob, I asked what does this mean to the average household and his answer was that it should be approximately \$130 a year if there were a 10 percent tax increase.

Now, \$130 is not nothing. I know because I do the grocery shopping in my house and that's what it costs me in a week, but like you said, if that's what is going to balance the fate of the Town's budget and our finances, how do you feel about it then.

MR. MOLINARI: I would support it if it's going to help. Actually, it's not really -- what Rob said earlier, that this is really to generate a surplus so I think the budget as proposed has to go forward and it's just not the taxpayers bringing everything together, certain things have to occur. You lay out additional revenue sources, you lay out potential no hiring. I worked for the Federal Government. I went through a few periods like that. I worked as a manager and one year I had 41 people working for me in the beginning of the fiscal year. By the end of

2.0

Union.

2.1

the fiscal year, I was down to 26 because of the budget problems that we had, and I couldn't hire anybody, and I still had to deliver the same products that I had with 40 people versus 26 people. Sometimes we all have to tighten our belts and do what's best for everyone, so if it's \$130 I wouldn't be happy, but if it does what it has to do I would support it.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, Paul.

Jarvis Brown, President of our Local

MR. BROWN: Good morning, Supervisor Venditto and Town Board.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good morning.

MR. BROWN: I speak on behalf of our union membership. To be exact, we have 970 union members. We've lost a lot of members over time due to incentives and things like that, but today we are here to talk about a tax cap that needs to be pierced. We're here to talk about members who are faced to be laid off. The numbers that we're talking about range from anywhere between 100 to 200. No member in this room, no member in this Town can afford to be laid off. I sit and I talk

2.2

to members every day. I know we have tough times

-- tough financial times here in the Town of Oyster

Bay but we heard everyone say that if we go a

percent above that 10 percent, maybe 12 or 13,

whatever that number was, we could possibly save

every union member. The union members that we have

today -- remember in 2011 you came to the previous

president -- it wasn't me -- and you said you

needed help and every union member in this Township

gave help and that was suppose to keep us employed

and be able to help the Town of Oyster Bay out in

their progressive movement in the Town.

Moving forward in 2016, we're facing the same issues of no money, possible layoffs.

Members are afraid. I'm afraid. I'm the President of this Union and I can be faced with being laid off, too. We have members in this Union, members in the Town of Oyster Bay who give their lives to this Town. When you're calling us at 2:00, 3:00, 4:00 in the morning, whether it's Highway, whether it's Parks, whether it's Sanitation, we do the job that is asked of us and to be put in the position today of the future of us to be laid off, to be sitting at home waiting for the decision to approve whether we have a no layoff clause in effect or not

in effect is pretty much a shame to me today because I know what this Town has been, I know what we continue to be and it's in my heart, you hear the emotion because sometimes I want to ask the same question, how did it get so bad, but you guys always, always always did the right thing by the membership and the residents but by you cutting us you're going to hurt the residents.

By you laying off any Parks people, you're not going to have parks manned, by you laying off Sanitation personnel your garbage is not going to get picked up. By you cutting Public Safety, you're not going to have an extra eye out there to inform the police department about what's going on here in the Town of Oyster Bay.

I urge you, I ask you to think about the decision that has to be made for the Union employees here in the Town of Oyster Bay. There has been a lot of miscommunication from everybody, from everybody. We can point fingers all day but what I'm asking you to do is think about the Union members, think about us. We thought about you in 2012. We thought about our future and now we're facing the same thing.

We all know that people get laid off.

1.3

2.0

It's not going to make a difference in the upcoming years. We can face these same issues in the next two, three, four years, and when we're put in the position where the financial security of the Town is in trouble, the Union has to make some decisions to protect each other, and right now, we are angry, we are scared, we need you to make the correct and right decision to protect the residents of this Town and definitely to protect the Union members that go to work every day and do what exactly what's asked of them. We do the job that no one else really wants to do. We're in the trenches.

I urge you to please, please pierce this tax cap to where it needs to be where layoffs will not be presented to any member here in the Town of Oyster Bay. I look at every last one of you guys and ladies and I'm not going to sit up here and do the things that have been done to you over and over again but you have a responsibility to us too as Union members.

We deserve to have a job. I have a family of four. I have a house, too. I need my health insurance. I have a sick wife. I talk to members every day that are afraid they're going to lose their jobs, I'm a single mother, I'm a single

1.3

2.0

2.5

father. We can't afford to go through this right now. It's not our fault. It's not our fault and we didn't do this.

We are blessed with what you have given us, but we didn't do it to this point and nor do we need or deserve to lose our jobs either. Please think about what I'm saying, make the right decision and that decision is to keep every Union employee employed here in the Town of Oyster Bay.

Layoffs is not the answer. The CSE does not stand for it. We don't believe in it. We do understand when times get tough, that might have to be an issue, but we'll fight 'til the end to make sure that every Union employee here in the Town of Oyster Bay is employed here in the future.

I ask you again and I urge you please make the right decision, a sound decision. You know what we do for you. You know what we've done, you know what we've been and what we continue to be. You've always said, Supervisor Venditto, and I'm looking in your face, we've been the workforce that any supervisor could ever ask for. Every council person has made that same statement. Any time you have called we've been there, and we're asking you to be there for us now. We don't

2.0

2.6

deserve to lose our jobs. We don't deserve to be put in a position where we may be facing outside instead of staying inside because of the things we didn't do here for the Town of Oyster Bay and its residents. Please make the right choice.

Thank you for your time and please make the right choice.

(Applause.)

Supervisor Venditto: Nick LaMorte.

MR. LAMORTE: Good morning, everyone.

Thank you for the opportunity to give my piece of suggestions and comments of what you just heard. Full support of mine for Jarvis T.

Brown, great President here, was out speaking to just about every member he could to explain what exactly was going on, filtering a lot of calls that had reached me.

For those of you who don't know, I am the Long Island Region President. I represent some 50,000 members from the tip of Orient Point to the Nassau/Queens border and right here in Nassau County some 25,000 people. These folks are not only just Union members but these folks are your employees. We have to face each and every one of those members. We both have a responsibility to

2.0

2.7

represent both them and the citizens of this great Town. Having been a resident of this Town for many years before I moved out east -- my mother still lives in North Massapequa with my uncle and my aunts -- I was a custodian from Farmingdale. I actually escorted Nicholas and Michael to their classrooms when a guy named Venditto was then the Town Attorney.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I helped you clean up then, too.

MR. LAMORTE: You absolutely did. The support that we both have together is a testament of the concern that we have for not just Union employees, but also for the residents of this Town.

I rise in support of Jarvis T. Brown in his quest to save jobs, but also to right the ship, get it back on track. He was right, a number of years ago we took furloughs, I was part of that deal, and I promise you the CSEA will continue to be a partner should you pass this cap, right the wrongs, bring it back on an even keel, we will remain a partner with this Board. We will do the right things together.

It has been mentioned about no contract, we will work with you, but you gotta

28 1 pierce this cap, you gotta save those jobs, you 2 gotta do the right thing and I think I know you 3 will. 4 Thank you, John. 5 (Applause.) SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob Darienzo, did 6 7 you manage to marshall everyone together? 8 MR. RIPP: I put my paper up there. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay. Come on 10 up, Rob. 11 MR. RIPP: I'm Robert Ripp. I'm from 12 Massapequa, New York. 13 The first thing I want to say is that I 14 think it's very disrespectful to the residents of the Town of Oyster Bay that you were arrested on 15 16 federal corruption charges on October 20th and you 17 haven't made a statement, you come out here and you 18 share in this meeting as if nothing ever happened. 19 I think the people --2.0 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob, what does 21 that have to do with --22 MR. RIPP: Hold on a second, and I'll 23 say it. I would like to hear your thoughts on your 24 ability to lead this Town. 25 Now, going into the hearing on the

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

29 budget -- I'm not an eloquent speaker or an educated guy, so I'm going to try to put this into perspective that everybody can understand. Today, in your Resolutions, it appears that you're prepared to pay a total of \$100,000 worth of Town money for the holiday concerts, okay. You're looking to take peoples jobs, but yet you're talking about righting the wrongs. You know how I feel about our debt. You increased it. doubled it in the last five years with your improper use of contracts, but will one of you give up your yearly salaries so residents can listen to Christmas music? What are you doing? Mr. Venditto, I sat here for a number of years and listened to you give the same testimony about taxes and how to compare them and don't compare them.

Please, don't piss on my head and tell me it's raining.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob, watch your mouth.

Thank you, Rob.

(Applause.)

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and gentlemen, the only thing I'm going to ask is let's

2.0

keep the discourse civil, please.

You're certainly entitled to your opinions and you can express them as violently as you would like, but let's keep the discourse -- if we have high school children or elementary school children in the room, let's be proud of the way we conduct ourselves.

Okay, Rob, go ahead.

MR. DARIENZO: We have representatives here from our financial advisors, our bond counsel and our bond underwriters.

Do you have specific questions for them or would you like them to make a statement?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think the question is obvious.

The question is, it has been urged upon the Board by some of the people you identified that the Town needs to do this to begin the process of righting the ship. I guess I would like Councilman Pinto -- I won't speak for him, I'll let him speak for himself. But he indicated that he would like to hear that dialog on the record.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: In the issue of fairness, I think that the members and the people who are behind you have tried to reach out to the

1.3

2.0

Board over the last two months or so regarding this issue. Some of us weren't able to meet privately, so I just think this is their opportunity to say what they want to say to us here.

MR. DARIENZO: Okay. We'll do it one at a time.

First up will be Randy Mayer from

Norton Rose Fulbright. He's our bond counsel.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Mayer, what
say you?

MR. MAYER: Good morning, Supervisor and members of the Town Board.

I've worked with the Town for many
years and watched the Town's finances in many
situations. I want to say that we are a law firm.
We are not a financial advisor.

I don't want to give you strictly financial advice. Indeed we are prohibited from doing that by the rules that apply to us, but your financial advisor is here that you can ask questions if you like to. One thing I can advise you about is that the Town has substantial borrowings outstanding that are short-term borrowings of about 130 million dollars on estimation notes that are come due in February.

2.0

The Town has lost market access in a very important way. When those notes were sold last year -- or actually earlier this year, the Town tried to get a couple of underwriters to work with them. The first underwriter that was chosen by the Town refused to do it because they were not satisfied with the Town's finances and they didn't want to underwrite the notes, so the Town found another underwriter who looked at the situation closely and came to the same conclusion and walked away, and that's an extremely unusual event in our business. In fact, I can't recall that happening to another client.

Finally, Oppenheimer and Company stepped forward and was willing to underwrite the notes, but as you know, when you deal with a single supplier in anything, you're at a disadvantage.

So the Town is in a position where it is very weak financially and it's weak as a credit and as a result it faces limited opportunities.

Let me give you the most important one from our perspective. The Town could normally have the option of selling long range debt early next year instead of just renewing notes. We know that the market interest rates may go up and there are

1.3

2.0

some advantages of selling long term debt. You don't have to be forced to go back to the market every year, but under State Law, the Town is obligated to sell that debt at a public sale. It has to auction off the debt using a notice of sale process, but it appears that you would not be able to do that right now because of the lack of market access or that there would be such disadvantages in trying to do it that you may decide it's a practical alternative for you.

You have another alternative of going to the State and declaring that your situation is so dire that they make an exception and allow you to sell the bonds in a private sale but that has the disadvantage of uncertainty, you don't know whether the State is going to grant that privilege and there is some aspects of selling that could be very expensive for the Town.

So, for those reasons, because it seems to be a very unusual situation, it would be risky to proceed as you have before this time and the reason is that you've worked yourself into creating a cumulative deficit that is substantial and there are some things that may help alleviate it but they're uncertain. There is some things that may

34 1 make it worse or things that might make it better. 2 It's not our place to comment on your decisions as 3 to how to cure the problem, but we would be failing 4 in our role as an advisor if we didn't share with 5 you the difficulty that you're in from a market standpoint and the legal constraints you face. 6 7 if you have any questions I would be happy to give 8 you more details. The reason we wanted to be here 9 today was to give everyone a chance to ask 10 questions but also to make clear the extreme 11 situation that the Town is in. 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, 13 Mr. Mayer. 14 (Applause.) 15 MR. DARIENZO: Next up will be 16 Christine Crowley. She works for our financial 17 advisors, fiscal advisors and marketing based up in 18 Syracuse. 19 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good morning, 2.0 Christine. 21 MS. CROWLEY: Good morning, Supervisor. 22 Good morning, Town Board. 23 First of all, thank you very much for 24 inviting us today. 25 We have been working with the Town for

2.0

a very long time and we've seen the Town's finances deteriorate over the recent years. From our perspective, it's part of our rule, we are actually handling the sale and going out and finding an investor to purchase the Town's debt. In the financial condition that the Town is currently in, we've had an extreme difficult time finding someone to work with the Town and to be able to find investors willing to work.

As you know, the bond rating was down rated earlier this year for the Town, that in conjunction with the finances not moving in a positive direction has been unfortunate for the Town in raising debt. So while we certainly can't make the decision for you, we can tell you the possible consequences of not righting the financial condition that you're currently in. As your bond rating goes down, you have a harder time getting investors as we said. If that should happen, we don't have market access on a competitive basis anymore.

Back in December of '15, we issued some notes and there was one bidder. Prior to that time period, you were having ten, twelve bidders.

That's a very apparent reaction from the market.

2.0

So if the Town can't get the finances back moving in the right direction, there is fear that the market access will not be available or it would be even more expensive than what you're experiencing right now. The Board -- and I know you are all very well educated, but as your bond rating goes down your interest rates goes up. It's a higher risk for the investors so as the bond rating goes down, your interest expense is really a financial snowball affect.

It's becoming more expensive for the Town, so we fully support any action that can be taken to help break that financial position, to help you become more efficient in your borrowing efforts and get you to a place where you can regain that market access on a competitive basis and realize there are more advantageous interest rates on a lower level.

Are there any questions?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Any questions for Christine?

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I just wanted to comment because you said we're all very well educated. Thank you for making yourself available.

At least for me, I was not fully

2.0

educated on all of this up until the last month or so and I really appreciate you, and Randy, and the other gentlemen making themselves available to explain and answer questions to help us -- me.

I don't want to speak for anybody else, but it was very nice to meet with you, and to speak with you, and I appreciate your time.

MS. CROWLEY: We're happy to help in any way we can.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, Christine.

Rob?

MR. DARIENZO: Finally, we have Al Fleitas. He is with Oppenheimer and Company. They were the bond underwriters on our last debt issues.

MR. FLEITAS: Good morning, Supervisor.

Good morning, Town Board.

My name is Al Fleitas. I'm the
Managing Director with Oppenheimer and Company,
Municipal Capital Markets. We were the underwriter
on your last note issue in June.

We were recommended by fiscal advisors, your municipal advisory firm and we brought your issue to market and at first, in taking everything that we were presented -- and once again, we don't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2.5

offer advise. We take what the Town presents us and the advisor presents us to the investor community. We initially received a very weak response and certainly it was at a time when the money markets were changing, the investment criteria was changing and so here we have a very large entity with a 54 billion dollar assessed evaluation, certainly substantial, but with a BB +/- outlook and this was a great concern to the investor community so we were not at this point dealing with money market funds. We were now dealing with municipal bond funds looking for an edge. When we first went into it, it was really quite difficult. We didn't have a very good reception. People were concerned about the trend. We organized an investor conference with Supervisor Venditto and CFO Darienzo and at which time various questions were asked. And the one overriding concern was would the Town address the trend; would they do what was necessary to reverse matters, establish an operating -- a positive operating balance and do what they could to address S&P's comments. We had two conferences. The first was with Supervisor Venditto.

It was very good. We addressed mostly the

1.3

2.0

demographics of the Town. Then we had the second with CFO Darienzo. It went very well. Investors believed that the Town, with 54 billion dollars in property values, would do what was necessary to restore itself to being the Crown Jewel of Long Island. It went so well that we were able to get very good rates for considering what it was and then to be oversubscribed by more than three times and having allocation problems and to be able to bump the press so people believe in the ability of the Town to pay it's bills; they just want to see that it can do it. I was very gratified by it.

I think that they are all looking to this upcoming issue to see, did the Town do what was necessary to change the trend and then if so, I think that we can get back to much lower rates and a very much broader investors reception.

Are there any questions?

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Al, just to be clear, what you're saying when you say that the Town can reverse the trend, you're talking about us raising taxes?

MR. FLEITAS: Yes.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The question as I recall and I'll strip it down to laymen's terms, I

gentleman here.

40 1 think the most probative questions asked that day 2 of the comments that you referred to, Al, was 3 whether or not the Town had the will to raise taxes, and I think we even said the political will 4 5 to raise taxes. Everybody does understand that it's 6 7 toxic and that is why I remember the question being 8 posed and I think we represented that if necessary we would. 9 10 MR. FLEITAS: That's correct. 11 I think we discussed something in the 12 neighborhood that it might come to about \$140 per 13 household and the numbers were certainly dramatic 14 enough that that would definitely do it. 15 I feel that armed with this, I could go 16 back and do a very good job, again, for the Town, 17 and once again, I would be very pleased to be your 18 underwriter. 19 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Al, thank you 20 very much. 21 MR. RIPP: I have a question. 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Not right now. 23 You had your time. 24 MR. RIPP: I have a question for this

41 1 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You had your 2 time, Rob. Don't make trouble today. 3 MR. RIPP: I have a question now. 4 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I have no other 5 forms from others who -- I'll tell you what, what's your question? Make it quick. 6 7 MR. RIPP: I would just like to know if 8 you proposed any other suggestions besides raising taxes? 9 10 MR. FLEITAS: Did I propose? 11 MR. RIPP: Yes. 12 In your endeavors, were there any other 13 suggestions besides raising taxes? 14 MR. FLEITAS: Basically, what I do is 15 -- I'm not the advisor. I -- once again, I take 16 what I'm given and I present that to the investors. 17 If they have questions or things that they would like to see, that would then go back. It's not my 18 19 place to advise. 2.0 MR. RIPP: Thank you, sir. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, Rob. 21 22 COUNCILMAN PINTO: Supervisor? 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes. 24 COUNCILMAN PINTO: I just want to go 25 back to the last question we had.

2.0

I'm still having a difficult time with the fact that between 2016 and 2017 we have a 25 million dollar reduction in expenses or an increase in revenues and that that wouldn't be enough to get us through '17 even without laying anybody off.

I'm still having a hard time with that.

I'm having a real difficult time understanding that. I understand that the future will be difficult, but I'm still -- there is 25 million dollars that between '16 and '17 that there's a difference in either an increase in revenues or a decrease in expenses, plus there are some other items that, as everybody knows, we've been working diligently on trying to put in to help the situation.

I just -- I'm just having a hard time because I don't -- I think if we push hard and work as hard as we worked in the last month and a half, we'll find other options, or revenues, or reductions in expenses other than layoffs. I think we can do it.

MR. DARIENZO: The first thing I would say, and let the record reflect, nobody wants there to be any layoffs. The Union contract negotiations are ongoing.

2.0

2.5

4.3

Again, we're operating on a worse case scenario. We will, in order to achieve the savings that we're projecting, will need to have layoffs if there is no contract. We would like there to be a contract.

With that being said, yes, we have increased certain revenues, we've decreased certain expenses but the tax increase of 2.3 percent is strictly balancing the budget. It's does not do anything to the long term cumulative deficit; it just doesn't. Those are the numbers. I know that some of them have gone up in terms of revenues and some of them have been reduced also so when you say 25 million dollars I don't know exactly what you're referring to, but I imagine what you're referring to are all the positive changes in the 2017 budget. Some of the expense lines have increased and some have decreased accordingly.

The overall budget went from 289 million to 284 million. It was decreased by 5 million dollars. So, yes, there are some ups and downs, some of them quite drastic, but the overall effect was a 5 million dollar reduction.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Anything further from any of the Board members for Rob?

2.0

just such a difficult, difficult situation. I
don't know the solution myself. We worry about our
residents. I'll speak for myself, but I wake up
every day worrying our residents. Some of us with
long family histories of CSEA, me, my two parents
both in CSEA. There's not a day in the last
fifteen years that I've been on the Board that I
don't think not only of the residents, but of the
employees, and I know so many of them personally.
I don't want to see layoffs. This is very painful.

Can say that's going to make it better, but I just think -- I came here today and I don't want to deal with piercing the tax cap, but we have to deal with this scenario. To find out that that not only doesn't get us all the way to save these people is just really doubly painful. I don't know what we have to do, but we need to do everything we possible cannot only to right the ship, but to save as many people as we possibly can because the president of the Union is correct, and I know the Supervisor and other Board members agree, they have done everything they have been called to do for us and I hope we have done everything we can for them

2.0

and whatever we have to do, whatever days remain left we have to strike whatever balance we cannot only for our residents who will have to pay more but for these people that really keep this Town together. It's not just us. It's them. I don't know what else to say. I don't know the solution and I don't want to sound like I'm grandstanding. There's younger members on this Board and they'll be here far longer than me. I have whatever time I have left on the Board, so I want to do whatever I cannot only for our residents, but for the people in the audience that are looking at us to save their jobs, whatever it takes. I just don't know what else to say.

MR. DARIENZO: I agree.

It's certainly not my decision, but I don't think there is anybody here in the Town that wants there to be layoffs. No one wants layoffs, negotiations are ongoing but we're going to do what needs to be done though. We need to also rectify the financial situation of the Town, and doing what we're posing today is only one step of that.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: If we're going to pierce this cap, and I don't know that we are, we have to save those jobs. That's just me.

2.0

(Applause.)

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Altadonna?

MR. ALTADONNA: I have a question for

4 the entire financial team.

We pierce the cap collectively; what does that do to the outlook? Can we go back to market and rebond and reorganize, and maybe save some additional moneys in debt? I would like some answers on that, please -- the word is refinance.

MS. CROWLEY: Taking the step today to pierce the cap will help rectify the financial situation. As Rob said, that's one step. That is going to get you one step closer.

We need -- if this occurs we need to get financial statements, we need to go back to the ratings and have them reevaluated on where the Town is financially to see what the outlook is.

MR. ALTADONNA: What's the timeframe on that?

MS. CROWLEY: The timeframe, once the '15 audit is out I would say within a few months. The rating agencies do like to see audit and financial statements so while the '15 audit and financial statements are out '16 will have just ended and they're probably going to want to see an

2.0

audit of '16 and they're not as apt to make a rating adjustment unless they see a trend of improvement in the financial condition, so this is step one, and we'll continue to work towards step two and step three, but we can have informal conversations with them just to say, with this going on what would you see as far as where we might be able to land with this.

MR. ALTADONNA: And you can share that with the Board?

MS. CROWLEY: Absolutely, yes. Rob can relay that information on to you.

As far as restructuring and refinancing right now, we have looked at refinancing some of the Town's debt.

The financial condition you're in right now, they would consider advanced refinancing.

With the advanced refinancing and your financial condition right now, if there is hope that you can get that financial condition turned around and to wait for the call date we can do a sensitivity analysis. If you were to do it now with your financial conditional the way it is, what flexibility would we have if we wait a little bit longer until the Town's finances become more

stable, so it certainly is something that we will work continuously with Rob and if we can alleviate your interest expenses for the long term, then absolutely. That's something that the Town has done in the past and will continue to do in the future but, again, you want to make sure you're doing it at the right time and not too early, especially if you're remediating your conditions.

MR. ALTADONNA: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you,

Christine.

2.0

What is the procedure now once we close this hearing? What are we doing? Are we voting now? Are we voting downtown? What's happening?

MR. STEFANICH: Well, I think just on the tax cap.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We're voting now.

Before we close the hearing, Mr.

Altadonna, is there any correspondence?

MR. ALTADONNA: We have affidavits and postings and publications. There are no other correspondence.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Before we close out the hearing, everything has been said and everything has been said quite well.

2.0

I have heard the refrain countless times that no one wants to see layoffs occur in the Town of Oyster Bay. It was very well addressed by Jarvis and by Nick, and I call them by their first names because we go back a long way. This isn't our first rodeo, as they say. We've been through some great times and and we've been through some tough times.

The only thing I would add to anything that has been said today, everybody here today has said they don't want to see layoffs in this Town.

That's not the issue this morning.

The issue is, of course, the tax cap but if everybody is safe, they don't want layoffs in this Town. I look out to my friends and I say to them where there's a will, there's a way. We have always done it before and it's time to do it again, and the road to perdition, if you will, is that everybody plays the blame game, it's that person's fault, that hasn't happened yet, and I would have thought if it was going to happen it would have happened in this room this morning.

It proves once again that we are a family and family works together to do the right thing. You give a little; you take a little;

50 1 you're a little happy; you're a little sad, but 2 where there is a will, there is a way, and over the 3 next, whatever it is, 45 days or if it takes a 4 little longer, we need to get it done. Where there 5 is a will, there is a way, and we need to find a 6 way. 7 On that note, Mr. Muscarella, I'll take 8 a motion. 9 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I 10 make a motion that this public hearing be closed 11 and the decision be voted on today. 12 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 13 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 14 All: "Aye." 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 16 (No response.) 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 18 Mr. Altadonna, if you would call the 19 role. 20 MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor Venditto? 21 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye." 22 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella? 23 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: I vote "Aye" on 24 a matter of principal because we have a judiciary 25 responsibility to our residents and to everybody

51 1 here. I am hoping that the Board understands the 2 ramifications of their vote. 3 UNKNOWN: We just need the procedure to be called a Walk-On Resolution. 4 5 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's fine. Apparently, the procedure needs to be 6 7 called a Walk-On Resolution relative to the 8 decision on whether or not to pierce the tax cap. 9 MR. ALTADONNA: Do we have a Resolution 10 number? 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We need a number. 12 MS. SWANSON: I believe Carol has that. 13 MR. ALTADONNA: Would you like me to 14 read the Resolution? 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes, you can read 16 the Resolution -- hold on. Do we need a motion to suspend? What 17 18 do we need here? 19 MR. ALTADONNA: I'll suspend. 20 I have a motion to suspend the rules 21 and add the following Walk-On Resolution: 22 Resolution No. 644-2016 which is a 23 Resolution relating to the decision on a local law 24 entitled "A Local Law to Amend Chapter 209 -25 Taxation, to add Article X - Override of Real

	52
1	Property Tax Levy to the Code of the Town of Oyster
2	Bay, New York to Override the Tax Levy Limit
3	Established in General Municipal Law Section 3-C."
4	On the motion?
5	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.
6	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
7	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
8	MR. ALTADONNA: I was going to call the
9	role.
10	Motion to suspend the rules of
11	procedure and add Walk-On Resolution No. 644.
12	On the motion?
13	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Call the role on
14	the suspend; then we'll do the Resolution.
15	MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor Venditto?
16	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: "Aye."
17	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
18	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
19	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
20	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
21	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
22	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
23	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
24	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
25	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?

53 1 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye." 2 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson? COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye." 3 4 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to suspend the 5 rules and add Walk-On Resolution No. 644 passes with seven "Ayes." 6 7 May I have a motion to adopt 8 Resolution No. 644? COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 9 10 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 11 MR. ALTADONNA: Now, would you like me 12 to read the Resolution? 1.3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes. 14 MR. ALTADONNA: Whereas, the Town Board 15 of the Town of Oyster Bay has reviewed a proposed 16 Local Law entitled "A Local Law to Amend Chapter 17 209 - Taxation, to add Article X - Override of Real 18 Property Tax Levy to the Code of the Town of Oyster 19 Bay, New York to Override the Tax Levy Limit 2.0 Established in General Municipal Law Section 3-C. 21 "Whereas, a duly advertised Public 22 Hearing on said legislation was held by the Town 23 Board of the Town of Oyster Bay on November 15, 24 2016, at which hearing all parties interested in 25 the subject matter and desiring to be heard were

heard; and

2.0

2.5

"Whereas, the Town of Oyster Bay
Department of Environmental Resources, Town
Environmental Quality Review Division, by
memorandum dated October 13, 2016, recommends a
Town Board determination that the subject
legislation is deemed to fall under the New York
State Environmental Quality Review Act, 6 NYCRR,
Part 617, Section 617.5, Type II Actions List, as
Item No. 20, relative to "routine or continuing
agency administration and management, not including
new programs or major reordering of priorities that
may affect the environment," and as such, does not
require completion of an Environmental Assessment
Form, Environmental Impact Statement or other
environmental consideration,

"Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, That the Town Board of the Town of Oyster Bay declares that such Local Law to amend the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay is a Type II Action, pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (6 NYCRR, Part 617, Section 617.5), Type II Actions List, Item No. 20; and it be further

"Resolved, that said Local Law - 2016, entitled "A Local Law to Amend Chapter 209 -

55 1 Taxation, to add Article X - Override of Real 2 Property Tax Levy to the Code of the Town of Oyster 3 Bay, New York to Override the Tax Levy Limit 4 Established in General Municipal Law Section 3-C," 5 is hereby adopted without change and shall take 6 effect immediately upon filing with the 7 Secretary of State; and it be further 8 "Resolved, That the Town Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to file this Local 9 10 Law with the Secretary of State. 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Well done. 12 MR. ALTADONNA: Do you want to ask for 13 anybody to speak? 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: No. I think it's 15 covered. 16 MR. ALRADONNA: On the vote. 17 Supervisor Venditto? 18 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye." 19 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella? 2.0 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 21 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone? 22 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: We owe it to our 23 residents and our workers to pierce this cap, but 24 I'm going to ask that we reach out to the Governor

### ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

and the Comptroller's Office to have a Financial

56 1 Oversight Board in the Town. 2 I vote "Aye." 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano? 4 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I vote "Aye" 5 and I hope and I pray that the money is used not 6 only to help right the finances, but to save as 7 many jobs and hopefully every job as possible. 8 "Aye." 9 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto? 10 COUNCILMAN PINTO: Whereas I respect 11 the position of my fellow Town Board members, I 12 still believe there are things we can do to 1.3 generate more revenue. Since the budget is 14 balanced right now and we're looking at about 15 5 million dollars to save jobs, I think we should 16 be working harder to do that rather than burden the 17 taxpayers. 18 "Nay." 19 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia? 2.0 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Hoping that this 21 is the first step in the road to financial recovery 22 I vote "Aye." 23 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson? 24 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: I don't think 25 that in the short term we can do what Councilman

57 1 Pinto is hoping to do and I hope to continue to 2 work towards everything, but in order to fully 3 serve our residents and our workers, I vote "Aye." 4 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt 5 Resolution No. 644 passes with six "Ayes" and one "Nay." 6 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That completes 8 the call of the Hearing Calendar. 9 The Board will stand in recess for a 10 little bit with the call the Regular Action 11 Calendar. 12 (Whereupon, the public hearing ended at 13 11:20 a.m.) SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and 14 15 gentlemen, if everyone would remain in place. 16 Board -- I guess under the heading, Strike While the Iron is Hot, the Board needs to go into 17 18 Executive Session right now. 19 Councilman Muscarella, if you would 20 make the motion. 21 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I 22 make the motion that this Town Board go into 23 Executive Session to discuss layoff negotiations. 24 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 25 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?

	58
1	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
2	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
3	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
4	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
5	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
6	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
7	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
8	(No response.)
9	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
10	Jarvis, if you and your entourage would
11	standby; hopefully, it will be a productive half
12	hour or so. We'll see what happens. Okay?
13	MR. BROWN: Thank you.
14	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: As I said, where
15	there's a will, there's a way.
16	(Whereupon, the Executive Session began
17	at 11:25 a.m. and ended at 12:46 p.m.)
18	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right.
19	Ladies and gentlemen, if everyone would
20	find seats, we will continue.
21	I think, Mr. Muscarella, you have to
22	get us out of Executive Session.
23	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I
24	make a motion to end the Executive Session.
25	No action was taken and we will discuss

	59
1	out of order the Resolution
2	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Relating to
3	passing the Town Budget for 2017.
4	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
5	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
6	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
7	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
8	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
9	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
10	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
11	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
12	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
13	(No response.)
14	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
15	All right.
16	Mr. Darienzo?
17	MR. DARIENZO: Yes, sir.
18	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The last thing I
19	said here on the public record was where there's a
20	will, there's a way.
21	Now, I'm going to modify that and say,
22	where there's a will, maybe there's a half way.
23	Hint, hint.
24	MR. DARIENZO: Hint, hint.
25	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right.

2.0

What say you?

MR. DARIENZO: The proposed tax increase was in the neighborhood of 9.4 and 9.5 percent. At the dais, it was mentioned that there was a possibility of trying to save every Town job possible and I also mention that to counteract or counterbalance the salary reductions that show in the 2017 budget, we need to raise taxes approximately another 4 percent.

So I'm assuming, and maybe I'm wrong to assume, that if you want to meet them halfway, you would take that 9.4 percent and tack on another 2 percent to get in the neighborhood of 11.4 percent.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Well, that's certainly a thought. Where do we go from here? Do we need Jarvis? Do we need any other input?

Well, I would like to get a reaction if I could. I don't want to put you on the spot,

Jarvis, if you're not prepared to deal with this.

MR. BROWN: I'm never bothered being put on the spot, Supervisor, and Board. I do understand the focus of meeting us halfway. Some of us in tough positions of possible layoffs, if we were to meet halfway, would layoffs still be a factor for this Township? That's my question.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Councilman

Macagnone was rather instrumental in doing this,

Councilman Pinto and Councilman Muscarella kind of

chipped in and they were good --

MR. BROWN: I just want to say I do understand and appreciate that you are thinking of meeting us halfway and to go further above than what you already anticipated to do. I don't want you to think we don't appreciate that. I just want you to now where we stand.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Well, hopefully, we'll be able to keep a good productive dialogue of communication to see where we can make some savings to meet that number.

This way we're meeting you halfway and we're trying our best to help keep as many people as we can employed and keep the services where they are.

MR. BROWN: I appreciate that statement. That means we have to get back to the table.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think it makes sense to send everybody back to the table with -- remember what I said earlier about you give a little, you take a little, you're happy, you're

62 1 sad, it sends everybody back to the table in that 2 mode and, clearly, it's evidenced that we want to 3 work together to solve the overall problems. 4 think it's a significant step towards preserving a 5 lot of jobs. MR. BROWN: I agree. Let's get back to 6 7 the table and do a better job so we can save Union 8 jobs. 9 Thank you very much. 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, 11 Jarvis. 12 Then, Rob, I guess you need to do a 13 little work? 14 MR. DARIENZO: Yes. In order to 15 facilitate a tax increase. 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: What I would like 17 to do -- there are many people in this room hanging 18 on this vote, so what I would like to do, if 19 possible, is call the Resolution on the budget out 20 of order, get it before the Board now and dispatch 21 Rob quickly -- as quick as he can -- to adjust the 22 numbers and bring him back to us at 11 and a half 23 and see what the Board says. 24 MR. DARIENZO: So 11 and a half is the 25 number?

	63
1	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: 11 and a half is
2	the number.
3	MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to
4	adopt Resolution No. 642A and 642B?
5	Resolution No. 642A-2016; Resolution
6	pertaining to the decision on the Budget for the
7	Year 2017 for the Town of Oyster Bay. Hearing
8	held: October 18, 2016. (M.D. 10/18/16 #5).
9	Resolution No. 642B-2016: Resolution
10	pertaining to the decision on the Budget for the
11	Year 2017 for the Town of Oyster Bay Special
12	Districts. Hearing held: October 18, 2016. (M.D.
13	10/18/16 #5).
14	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.
15	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
16	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by
17	Councilman Muscarella and seconded by Councilman
18	Macagnone.
19	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So now we'll stop
20	there and take a brief recess and come back with a
21	new budget, so as soon as Rob comes back, we'll
22	reconvene.
23	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken at
24	12:52 p.m. and the record reconvened at 1:03 p.m.)
25	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and

2.0

gentlemen, if everyone would find seats, we will continue.

Mr. Darienzo, what do we have?

MR. DARIENZO: So I went upstairs and I changed the total Tax Levies from a -- to a tax increase of 11.5 percent. You can count that to a partial offset to potential layoffs in all the departments. I had to get this done in five minutes. I had to apportion all the tax increases to one fund. The truth of the matter is, to figure out each exact number of all the funds will take me hours, so in the interest of getting this done today, I went ahead and put it all in the general fund. It will require me to do -- after further discussions, to make sure they are going to offset against salary changes.

I'll propose an appropriate Resolution that will be on the next Town Board calendar to amend the 2017 budget. It wouldn't change any overall dollars, it would just reapportion what you have.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You're comfortable with that; it's not wrongful or anything of the sort?

MR. DARIENZO: Not at all. We do

65 1 budget transfers all the time. 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay, very good. 3 Then I guess we have the Resolution 4 before us. 5 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion was made by 6 Councilman Muscarella and seconded by Councilman 7 Macagnone. 8 On the vote, Supervisor Venditto? 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: "Aye." MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella? 10 11 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 12 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone? 13 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 14 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano? 15 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: This is for A and B? 16 17 MR. ALTADONNA: This is A and B. 18 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye" on A and 19 I abstain on B, which is the Special District 20 Budget. 21 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto? 22 COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Nay" on A and I 23 abstain on B. MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia? 24 25 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye" to both.

66 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson? 1 2 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye" on both. 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt 4 Resolution No. 642 passes with six "Ayes" and one "Nay." 5 6 Motion to adopt Resolution No. 642A 7 passes with five "Ayes" and two abstentions. 8 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you. 9 We are prepared to proceed with the 10 call of the Regular Action Calendar; am I correct? 11 MR. ALTADONNA: That's correct, 12 Supervisor. 1.3 We're going to call -- the first one is 14 going to be, may I have a motion to adopt 15 Resolution No. P 12 - 2016? 16 Personnel Resolution No. P 12-16; 17 Resolutions related to personnel of various 18 departments within the Town of Oyster Bay. 19 MR. ALTADONNA: Are we not doing that? 2.0 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right. 21 We need to go back into Executive 22 Session. 23 (Laughter.) 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: What's funny 25 about going back into Executive Session? Am I

67 1 missing something? We need to go back into 2 Executive Session. 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Do you want to call for the motion first and then go to Executive Session? 4 5 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We'll just go right into Executive Session on a personnel matter. 6 7 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I 8 make a motion that we go into Executive Session to 9 discuss personnel. 10 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 12 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 13 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 14 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye." 15 COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye." 16 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye." 17 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye." SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed. 18 19 (No response.) 2.0 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 21 (Whereupon, the Executive Session began 22 at 1:06 p.m. and ended at 1:21 p.m.) 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and 24 gentlemen, if everyone would find seats, we will 25 continue, hopefully without further interruption.

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

68 1 All right. 2 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I make a motion to end the Executive Session. We 3 discussed appointing the Deputy Supervisor and two 4 5 people to be acting Commissioners. 6 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 8 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 9 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 10 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye." 11 COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye." 12 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye." 13 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye." SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 14 15 (No response.) SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 16 We are now out of Executive Session. 17 18 We are now back to Mr. Altadonna with 19 the call of the Regular Action Calendar. 2.0 MR. ALTADONNA: We still did not vote 21 on the personnel. We still have to vote on the 22 personnel. We have a motion and we have a 23 Seconded. 24 Do we have a decision? 25 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We're going to be

	69
1	adding to the Personnel Resolution with the matters
2	we just discussed, the appointment of a Deputy
3	Supervisor and two acting Commissioners.
4	MR. ALTADONNA: So let me call the
5	vote.
6	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So we can vote on
7	that one first and then move on.
8	MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor Venditto?
9	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: "Aye."
10	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
11	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
12	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
13	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
14	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
15	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
16	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
17	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
18	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
19	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
20	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
21	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
22	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt
23	Resolution No. P 12-16 passes with seven "Ayes."
24	Now, what we're going to be doing going
25	forward is we're going to have our Regular Action

70 Calendar from 608 to --1 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Before we do that 3 we're going to add all the motions to -- not add 4 all the motions, we're going to make all the 5 motions regarding personnel changes. 6 MR. ALTADONNA: 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'll go first. 8 Pursuant to the authority granted to me 9 under the New York State Town Law I'm going to 10 appoint Councilman Joe Muscarella as the Deputy 11 Supervisor. 12 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 13 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I need a motion 14 first. 15 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I make the 16 motion to appoint Councilman Muscarella as Deputy 17 Supervisor. 18 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Seconded. 19 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 20 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 21 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 22 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Ave." 23 COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye." 24 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye." 25 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."

## ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

		71
1		SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
2		(No response.)
3		SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
4		Do you want to call the role?
5		MR. ALTADONNA: Okay.
6		SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: On the motion?
7		MR. ALTADONNA: On the vote.
8		Supervisor Venditto?
9		SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: "Aye."
10		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
11		COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: I abstain.
12		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
13		COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
14		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
15		COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
16		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
17		COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
18		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
19		COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
20		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
21		COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
22		COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Thank you to
23	the Board.	
24		SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Do a good job.
25		That's all we ask.

# ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

72 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Thank you, Joe. 1 2 MR. ALTADONNA: Now, we're going to go 3 to the Regular Action Calendar. 4 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: No. We have 5 further motion by Councilman Coschignano. COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Supervisor, I 6 7 have a motion where I would like to -- as liaison 8 to the Department of Planning I would like to recommend and make a motion that Tim Zike be 9 10 appointed Acting Commissioner of the Department for 11 the orderly operation of the department and proper 12 administration of the department. I feel he has 13 done a fine job. I have enjoyed working with him 14 and I think the residents have as well. Things are 15 going along very well, therefore, I would like to 16 make a motion that he be made Acting Commissioner. 17 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: I seconded the 18 motion. MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 19

Councilman Coschignano and seconded by Councilman Muscarella.

> On the vote, Supervisor Venditto? SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: "Aye."

MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."

### ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

7.3 1 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone? 2 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano? 4 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Ave." 5 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto? 6 COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye." 7 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia? 8 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I know that soon 9 to be Acting Commissioner, Tim Zike, is out there 10 and I just want you to know you're doing an amazing 11 job. I happily vote "Aye." 12 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson? 1.3 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye." 14 MR. ALTADONNA: Now, before I say 15 anything, is there anything else? SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes. 16 17 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I would like to 18 make a motion to appoint John Bishop, Acting 19 Commissioner in the Department of Highway for the 2.0 orderly operation and administration of the department. John has been doing an excellent job 21 22 since Kevin Hanifan retired. 23 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: I'll second. 24 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 2.5 Councilman Macagnone and seconded by Councilman

		74
1	Muscarella.	
2		On the vote, Supervisor Venditto?
3		SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: "Aye."
4		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
5		COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
6		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
7		COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
8		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
9		COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
10		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
11		COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
12		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
13		COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
14		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
15		COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
16		(Time noted: 1:27 p.m.)
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
ACTION CALENDAR
November 15, 2016
1:28 p.m.

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK
JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES
LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY
THOMAS SABELLICO, SPECIAL COUNSEL,
OFFICE OF THE TOWN ATTORNEY
DONNA B. SWANSON, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY
CHRISTINE M. WISS, DEPUTY COMPTROLLER
CAROL FIORENZA, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK
RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK
RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK
ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS,
OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR
RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR,
OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR

(Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Edux Stover

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

EILEEN STOVER
Official Reporter/Notary

#### ALSO PRESENT:

CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION BRIAN DEVINE, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS BRIAN VANDER never, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS RICHARD T. BETZ, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES DANIEL PEARL, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY LEONARD SYMONS, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES MAUREEN A. FITZGERALD, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS FRANK GATTO, ASSISTANT TO THE COMMISSIONER OF GENERAL SERVICES

3 1 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Now, I think you 2 can proceed with the call of the Regular Action 3 Calendar. 4 MR. ALTADONNA: Thank you. 5 May I have a motion to adopt Resolution No. 608 --6 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You know what --8 sorry to interrupt you, Jim -- wouldn't it be 9 better to get the whole calendar before the Board 10 because there really are virtually speakers on 11 every Resolution? 12 MR. ALTADONNA: Well you had said that 13 you wanted to carve out the 639, which was the Sikh 14 vote, so that's why I was going to go up to 638, 15 let us vote on that, 693 separately because that 16 may be something else and then the balance of the 17 calendar. 18 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Can we call the 19 whole calendar except the two Resolutions that need 20 separate treatment; can we do that? 21 MR. ALTADONNA: Well, I had just been 22 told that the transfer that I had not on is on. 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: What's the 24 transfer? 25 MS. FIORENZA: TF 18.

1 MR. ALTADONNA: Okay, I had it crossed off here. 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Does this need 3 some special singular attention so if we bring 4 5 before the Board all the Resolutions on the Regular 6 Action Calendar with the exception of what is 7 commonly referred to as the Sikh Temple; is there a 8 way to do that; because that's going to require a 9 separate discussion and motion? 10 MR. ALTADONNA: I don't think you can 11 because if you bring them all before the Board, 12 then how are they carving out the one? 1.3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Let's just bring 14 them all before the Board, but we're not going to 15 vote until we're done with all the discussion. 16 MR. ALTADONNA: Okay. 17 May a have a motion to adopt Resolution 18 No. TF 18-16 and 608 through 643? 19 Transfer of Funds Resolution No. 2.0 TF 18-16; Resolution relating to Transfer of Funds 21 within various departments accounts for the Year 22 2016. 23 Transfer of Capital Funds Resolution 24 CF-16; Resolution relating to Transfer of 25 Capital Funds within various departments accounts

for the Year 2016.

2.0

Resolution No. 608-2016; Resolution scheduling the Town Board meetings for the year 2017. (M.D. 10/18/16 #6).

Resolution No. 609-2016; Resolution authorizing the employment of the services of a performer to provide entertainment for GAP Program participants for a performance scheduled for December 2, 2016 at Syosset Woodbury Community Center. Account No. CYS A 7020 47660 000 0000.

(M.D. 10/25/16 #4).

Resolution No. 610-2016; Resolution authorizing the property demolition of a garage at 47 Cleveland Place, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 10/15/16 #7).

Resolution No. 611-2016; Resolution authorizing a one-year extension of Contract No. ERR 14-121, Requirements Contract for Old Bethpage Solid Waste Disposal Complex Treatment System Equipment. (M.D. 10/25/16 #8).

Resolution No. 612-2016; Resolution granting request from Gary P. Weissman to donate a plaque to be placed on the 6th hole tee box at the Honorable Joseph Colby Town of Oyster Bay Golf

2.0

Course in memory of Philip Glazer. (M.D. 10/25/16 #9).

Resolution No. 613-2016; Resolution authorizing the Department of Community and Youth Services to sponsor the Town's 33rd Annual Holiday Concert Series on December 5, 6 & 7, 2016 at the Tilles Center, Long Island University's C.W. Post Campus, Brookville, New York. Account No. CYS A 7020 47660 000 0000. (M.D. 11/1/16 #4).

Resolution No. 614-2016; Resolution authorizing payment for the services of the Old Brookville Police Department for their traffic control assistance during the Town of Oyster Bay Annual Holiday Concert Series. Account No. CYS A 7020 41800 000 0000. (M.D. 11/1/16 #5).

Resolution No. 615-2016; Resolution authorizing the Commissioner of Community & Youth Services to sign a Licensing Agreement and Letter of Self Insured for the use of the Tilles Center for the Town's Annual Concert Series on December 5,6 & 7, 2016. Account No. CYS A 7020 47660 000 0000. (M.D. 11/1/16 #6).

Resolution No. 616-2016; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor to enter into a Contract Agreement for the Forty-second Program Year

2.0

(2016-2017) with Nassau County relative to the Community Development Block Grant Program. (M.D. 11/1/16 #8).

Resolution No. 617-2016; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor to execute a grant agreement with the Local Initiatives Support Corporation relative to the Zombie and Vacant Properties Remediation and Prevention Initiative and to authorize the Supervisor or his designee to sign additional related paperwork. (M.D. 11/1/16 #9).

Resolution No. 618-2016; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor and Commissioner of Parks, to sign an Award Letter to receive a grant from the National Hockey League, for the purchase of Hockey equipment to be utilized by the Town's Youth Ice Hockey Program, and C. Bell of IGA, to execute all additional related paperwork. Account No. TWN TA 0000-0085 444 0000 (for receipt and expenditure of said grant funding). (M.D. 11/1/16 #10).

Resolution No. 619-2016; Resolution authorizing the Department of Intergovernmental Affairs to pursue funding provided by NYS

Department of Transportation's Consolidated Local

2.0

Street and Highway Improvement Program and Extreme Winter Recovery Appropriation in reimbursement of Town expenditures incurred toward reconstruction work completed on specified roadways and to authorize the Supervisor and Commission of Public Works and C. Bell of IGA as designated appointees to execute related paperwork. (M.D. 11/1/16 #11).

Resolution No. 620-2016; Resolution authorizing payment for the shared cost of catering of the regional Workforce Development Board Meeting held on October 28, 2016 at SUNY Farmingdale.

Account No. IGA CD 6293 47900 000 CW15. (M.D. 11/1/16 #12).

Resolution No. 621-2016; Resolution authorizing the extension of retainage of outside counsel for Zoning Board of Appeals from January 1, 2016 through April 17, 2016. Account No. PAD B 8010 44800 000 0000. (M.D. 11/1/16 #14).

Resolution No. 622-2016; Resolution authorizing the award of outside counsel for Zoning Board of Appeals for the period commencing April 18, 2016 and terminating on December 31, 2016.

Account No. PAD B 8010 44800 000 0000. (M.D. 11/1/16 #15).

Resolution No. 623-2016; Resolution

2.0

authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building permit fee to V. Struchkouskaya. Account No. PAD B 0001 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 11/1/16 #16).

Resolution No. 624-2016; Resolution pertaining to amended site plan for 300 Duffy Avenue, Hicksville, NY. (M.D. 11/1/16 #17).

Resolution No. 625-2016; Resolution amending Resolution No. 725-2015 pertaining to Contract No. PWC 035-13, On-Call Accounting Services. Account No. CMP A 1315 44800 000 0000. (M.D. 11/1/16 #18).

Resolution No. 626-2016; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor to enter into a one-year financial period agreement with an option for two one-year renewals/extension, for On-Call Account Services from December 1, 2016 through November 30, 2017. (M.D. 11/1/16 #19).

Resolution No. 627-2016; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor to enter into a one-year financial period agreement with an option for two one-year renewals/extension, for Workers'

Compensation valuation services from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017. (M.D. 11/1/16 #20).

Resolution No. 628-2016; Resolution

25

(M.D. 11/1/16 #25).

10 1 authorizing the Supervisor to enter into a one-year 2 financial period agreement with an option for two 3 one-year renewals/extension, for On-Call 4 Professional Auditing Services from December 1, 5 2016 through November 30, 2017. (M.D. 11/1/16 #21). 6 7 Resolution No. 629-2016; Resolution 8 authorizing the Supervisor to issue Tax 9 Anticipation Notes in December, 2016. (M.D. 10 11/1/16 #22). 11 Resolution No. 630-2016; Resolution 12 authorizing Hull and Machinery Insurance Coverage 13 be obtained to cover the Town's boats, outboard 14 motors, aquatic harvesters and barges for the 15 period February 1, 2016 through February 1, 2017. 16 Account No. TWN AMS 1910 43020 601 0000 000. 11/1/16 #24). 17 18 Resolution No. 631-2016; Resolution 19 authorizing a License and Permit Bond to ensure the 2.0 Town's performance of its obligation during highway 21 repair and/or construction required by the New York 22 State Department of Transportation (Highway Work 23 Permit). Account No. HWY DB 5110 47900 000 0000.

Resolution No. 632-2016; Resolution

2.0

authorizing the list of outstanding sidewalk repairs, annexed by the Office of the Comptroller, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 11/1/16 #26).

Resolution No. 633-2016; Resolution authorizing a third one-year extension of Contract No. RIC 13-080, Requirements Contract for Coating Systems Repairs and Quality Control for the period of September 17, 2016 through September 16, 2017.

(M.D. 11/1/16 #27).

Resolution No. 634-2016; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC 31-16, On-Call Engineering Services relative to Operation and Development of the Old Bethpage Solid Waste Disposal Complex. Account No. DER SR 05 8160 44800 000 0000. (M.D. 11/1/16 #28).

Resolution No. 635-2016; Resolution authorizing the Office of the Comptroller to designate funds to make payment to the United States Environmental Protection Agency for reimbursement of oversight costs relative to the Syosset Landfill Superfund Site. Contract No. DPW 91-560A. Account No. HWY H 5197 20000 000 1503 008. (M.D. 11/1/16 #29).

2.0

Resolution No. 636-2016; Resolution authorizing the acceptance of a donation of approximately 500 winter coats from the Knights of Pythias Mid-Island Lodge 828 to assist the Town of Oyster Bay's Coats for Kids program. (M.D. 11/1/16 #31).

Resolution No. 637-2016; Resolution granting request from Delta Well and Pump Co.,

Inc., on behalf of the U.S. Navy to access of the Town of Oyster Bay Right-of-Way for installation of temporary and permanent test wells at the east side of Plainedge Drive, south of the intersection with Dennis Lane, Bethpage, NY. (M.D. 11/1/16 #30 & 11/7/16 #14).

Resolution No. 638-2016; Resolution pertaining to New York Rising Community Reconstruction Program Massapequa Flood Diversion and Control Project; Project Management Agreement.

(M.D. 11/1/16 #13 & 11/7/16 #7).

Resolution No. 639-2016; Resolution pertaining to settlement of litigation; Guru Gobind Singh Sikh Center, Inc. v. Town of Oyster Bay, et al. (M.D. 11/1/16 #23 & 11/7/16 #12).

Resolution No. 640-2016; Resolution pertaining to fees for legal services rendered to

1.3 1 the Town of Oyster Bay. (SKM). (M.D. 11/1/16 #32)2 & 11/7/16 #16).Resolution No. 641-2016; Resolution 3 4 pertaining to fees for legal services rendered to 5 the Town of Oyster Bay. (QEUS). (M.D. 11/1/16 #33)6 & 11/7/16 #15).7 Resolution No. 642A-2016; Resolution 8 pertaining to the decision on the Budget for the 9 Year 2017 for the Town of Oyster Bay. Hearing 10 held: October 18, 2016. (M.D. 10/18/16 #5). 11 Resolution No. 642B-2016; Resolution 12 pertaining to the decision on the Budget for the 13 Year 2017 for the Town of Oyster Bay Special 14 Districts. Hearing held: October 18, 2016. (M.D. 15 10/18/16 #5). 16 Resolution No. 643-2016; Resolution 17 pertaining to the decision on a Local Law entitled: 18 "A Local Law to Amend Chapter 30, Ethics and 19 replacing with a New Chapter 30, Ethics. Hearing 2.0 held: June 21, 2016. (M.D. 6/21/16 #4). 21 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 22 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 23 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 24 Councilman Muscarella and seconded by Councilman 25 Macagnone.

2.0

Supervisor, you have speakers on 608, 611, 613, it's mostly --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Speakers on quite a bit of -- why don't we do this, Jarvis spoke already. Bob Freier.

MR. FREIER: Just some questions on Resolutions.

I noticed on 608 you made two night meetings not counting the budget meeting for next year. I guess that's a step in the right direction, but why not more night meetings? I guess I'll address this more to the Board and not the Supervisor.

Share a personal observation, the one thing that happened to me about night meetings. When we held the meeting about the recreational land donated to us and the Country Pointe Project I held the meeting or we called the meeting for 7:00, and I was very surprised by multiple people getting up and saying how 7:00 is an inconvenient time for them because they're just getting home from work and they have to give their children dinner, baths, et cetera and sometimes we have people come in saying a 10:00 meeting is inconvenient in the

2.0

morning, then I just heard that the Water District had a meeting at 5:30 and people said that was inconvenient. So I think it's very difficult -- and these are just my observations and comments -- to find any time that's mutually convenient for many people, and I haven't seen a huge increase in attendance in night meetings personally. I don't know if anyone else feels differently. That's just my comments in answer to your question.

thought last year we were looking to do one per quarter, and I thought we were kind of on track for that for scheduling, as needed, additional night meetings. I would be in favor of something to that effect, at least one per quarter.

MR. FREIER: It would certainly be a step in the right direction.

Do any other Board members have a comment on that?

COUNCILMAN PINTO: If you do want the quarters, the only quarter you're missing is the third quarter.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Do you want to add one more week to --

COUNCILMAN PINTO: We can make the

2.0

September 12th meeting a --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: By the way, I know you don't want me involved in this, Bob, for whatever reason, but keep in mind that the public can always demand a night meeting if there's a need for it but you want to add one and make it four quarters, that's fine.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I think you only have to change one, right?

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: No. I think it would have to be in that April, May, June.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: September 12th,
I think.

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Yes,
September 12th.

MR. FREIER: Thank you.

Going on, Resolution 613, this is -and really goes down to 615 and 6 -- this goes back
to spending -- back to the comment about spending,
whether it be a Rolls Royce or a Cadillac versus a
Chevy, we're spending so much money on these
holiday performances and those are obviously
something that people enjoy a great deal when we
can afford it. You're also paying \$30,000 just to
rent the Tilles Center.

2.0

There have been discussions about downsizing this. What has happened here, where the cost is relatively high, is unfortunately the police department is charging us money that we never were charged before. Last year was the first taken off at all. I think it's \$6400 if I'm not mistaken.

The other thing, I believe that the Friends of Community Services, which is a separate entity that does have it's own books and records and does do it's own tax filing, they're helping I think in the \$30,000 range in this situation as well as what they do in the Music Under the Stars, so we are looking into that, Bob, to try and reduce the expenses. We're still trying to provide a service for the residents.

MR. FREIER: This is something that's

2.0

happening in a few weeks from now.

If this was a contract that was signed last year, why is it being voted on now for something a couple of weeks from now?

COUNCILMAN PINTO: The contract was for the facility. We had the facility and now we're just authorizing I believe it's just the Town that we're hiring to perform.

MR. FREIER: So the 30K was signed last year but we're still voting on it now, that's the rent for the Tilles Center but the holiday concerts, I mean that's in excess of -- one show I think I read was over \$100,000 or is \$100,000.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: Not one show. I think it's combined. The whole thing in combination might be a little in excess of \$100,000 but with the Community Services Friends of helping us we're well under that.

Maureen, you want to help us with this?

MS. FITZGERALD: No contract has been signed yet for the facility. The dates were reserved last year. Obviously, we cannot have a contract signed until the Board does vote on this, so the dates are reserved with the Tilles Center. The musicians and the artists are reserved but no

1.3

2.0

formal contracts have been signed until after the Board does vote on it.

As Joe had said, the Friends of
Community Services is a separate entity that does
help out a lot of our cultural events and they have
helped out at least probably to this event probably
over \$40,000. We have sponsors from Roslyn Savings
Bank. Division of New York Community Bank is one
of our major sponsors for this event, so we do have
sponsorships that do help us out.

MR. FREIER: So we're still spending at least \$60,000 plus 30K for the Tilles Center.

MS. FITZGERALD: Well, it's a feeling that the arts are important to the community and it's well within the budget that's been allocated to our department to put on this as a service to the residents of the Town.

MR. FREIER: If the contract wasn't signed yet to me, that sounds like there's no liability to the Town, and in times like this -- I'm a big supporter of the arts, I believe in the arts, I think they're very important, but we have no money.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Bob, I guess the Board is going to be voting on this shortly.

2.0

2.0

I think the question becomes -- I have to ask Rob Darienzo what impact this expenditure would have on our overall finances. I know every little bit helps. I'm not an idiot. I don't know what impact this really has on our financial circumstances on the one hand and as the counterbalance, I mean the amount of -- and I'm not being coy -- but the amount of joy, the amount of sense of community, the amount of goodwill, how much people enjoy this.

I understand we have financial problems, but we have to balance between maintaining the quality of life here and the financial balance, and I think we've taken some very major steps today towards addressing the financial difficulties.

Next year's budget is a very, very different discussion than what we're experiencing now. I think the outlook will be very promising. Having said that, I guess the offset would be the impact this has on the budget whether it's even measurable or significant opposed to quality of life issues.

MR. DARIENZO: The Commissioner said this was already contained in the expense line so

1.3

2.0

2.1

it was allocated for at the start of the year. As you mentioned, there's a quality of life issue here. We are dedicated to maintaining the services that were provided. If the Town Board wants to change their minds on that it's certainly up to them but right now we're looking to maintain things as they are and this is a small amount of money compared to some other items.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So we'll take this all under advisement.

MR. FREIER: 619 as well some others is directed to the Board.

Do you think, being the legal issues that the Supervisor is now facing, that having the Supervisor sign contracts for the Town is appropriate or is there an alterative that perhaps the new Deputy Supervisor could be the signer of contracts?

UNKNOWN: The Town Attorney can answer that.

MR. GENOVA: Certain contracts only the Supervisor, by law, can sign. Everything else can be executed by the Board, but I know there are specific documents that only the Town Supervisor can execute. I just don't know them off the top of

my head.

2.0

MR. FREIER: Resolution 625, we were just talking about budgets, it looks like -- if I read it correctly, it looks like we spent \$170,000 over budget for the accounting firm; is that correct in my understanding of that?

COUNCILMAN PINTO: According to the budget line items, we still have, after this expenditure, we have \$130,000 left in that lineup.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Christine, did you want to add something?

MS. WISS: I just wanted to say as you know, the 2014 audit ran into April of 2015, then we had to connect the 2015 audit. We, obviously, had to encourage additional accounting services and we anticipate to be back to normal in 2016 and it is a one time situation.

MR. FREIER: The same with 626, another 500K on that lineup?

COUNCILMAN PINTO: Bob, we get a summary of what we're voting on and in that one also we have money left in that thus far.

MR. FREIER: I'm out of time so.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, Bob.

Paul Molinari?

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

23

MR. MOLINARI: Good afternoon.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good afternoon.

MR. MOLINARI: I want to go to

Resolution 640 and 641, the concern there is our legal fees are going through the roof.

5 legal fees are going through the roof

As a taxpayer, I don't really see what benefit we're getting by paying these absorbant legal fees. I just wanted to make that statement.

I want to address the 643, the new ethics code. That I think is something that the Board has to pass. As a federal employee, I worked under similar type ethics codes but this, as many ethnic codes, are always written by a lawyer, so I have to stress, you have to have common sense and guidance given to the Town employees so they can live within the boundaries of this ethics code.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, Paul.

Robert Ripp?

MR. RIPP: Hello, I'm Robert Ripp from Massapequa, New York. 613, 14 and 15 were prior touched on but I'm just going to say in my opinion if you're going to spend \$100,000 when you're threatening to lay people off for Christmas music you don't get.

2.0

2.4

attending Frederick Ippolito's criminal proceedings and his main argument is that -- or the argument from his attorney is that Mr. Ippolito didn't do anything wrong because he turned in his financial disclosures to the Ethics Board and the first time I ever heard that conception was from Supervisor John Venditto during one of these meetings, before Ippolito ever raised it as a defense and I'm shocked because Mr. Venditto created the current Ethics Board and because of the misbehavior of that Ethics Board the Town of Oyster Bay is now pretty much recognized as the most corrupt town in New York State.

I would like to see public participation and input into hiring a new Ethics Board. I have had a number of ethics complaints that have been ignored for years. I recently put three in that have been ignored.

619, apparently we're getting a -- the Town is going to be receiving a Grant. Part of this Grant money is going to Stillwater Avenue. I would like to know now if there is a possibility that the owner of 135 Stillwater is going to be reimbursed for the illegal work he did with Grant

money.

1.3

2.0

Resolution Number 637, this is a procedure that -here, this is where the test wells in Bethpage, but
there is a preprocedure to access the public
right-of-way so I would like to know if Mr. Greco
is now going to be required to go through this
procedure to attempt to procure the use of the
public right-of-way in front of his house. I
understand -- and by the way, congratulations,
Mr. Muscarella. I didn't say that immediately, I'm
sorry.

I understand with speaking to Mr. Zike that the homes at 135 are coming into compliance, but I believe that this should be part of their procedure in coming into compliance.

617, this is a \$350,000 Grant we're getting for the Zombie Home Initiative. I've been coming up here for quite a while giving you my opinion that the Town is not doing everything it can do in its best interest on the zombie homes.

I noticed that you intend on using the \$350,000 to procure a number of vendor's software to hire a part-time community liaison. I really ask you to try to promote from within here.

1.3

2.0

2.6

We don't have anybody in the Town of Oyster Bay that can be retrained where they could figure out how to maintain a zombie registry. I mean, I don't think it's that difficult. I don't understand why right away we're getting this money, we're going to hire more people and meanwhile you're talking about laying people off.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Before you go any further, Rob, are you there?

MR. DARIENZO: Yes.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I know the Resolution he's talking about.

The work outline in there, is that within the parameters of -- can you only use it for those purposes, or do we have the ability to do other things with this money?

MR. DARIENZO: That's right.

The funding agencies specifically specify that we use this money to increase current capacity, not to repurpose staff currently in existence for a new role.

So with that -- and I would like to point out that we do intend to increase capacity by procuring a vendor to help us with the development of a revision of policies but it will also be the

2.0

2.7

responsibility of that vendor to train staff that's currently on hand to be able to implement these tasks going forward.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Go ahead, Rob.

MR. RIPP: 629, this is a draft of how you're going to be looking to -- you want to I believe sell 15 million dollars worth of anticipated notes from next years tax returns.

I just noticed in the draft this calls for a certification, it says, prior to the time of the meeting I duly cause public notice at the time and place of meeting, which is today, posted and then there's a second certification that, I further certify that prior to the time of the meeting I duly caused the public notice of the time and place of set meeting to be given to the following newspapers.

My point is that these drafts are blank. I believe that if this was a procedure that was suppose to happen prior to the meeting this information should be provided to the residents prior to the meeting and not a blank page as if it didn't happen.

616 is another draft. I have a question on this one -- excuse me, another Grant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

public housing.

28

This is the contract agreement for the Nassau County Block Grant. I noticed that you've earmarked this money for a lot of various entities and quite frankly I don't understand, with our financial situation today -- you know what, I'm sorry about that. What I would like to ask about is this, in the Public Housing Rehabilitation you're allowing \$210,000 for backup information. You have it down here that the Town of Oyster Bay Housing Authority maintains 910 units, funding to be used to offset the funding for major repairs and improvements of any of the complexes. I would like to ask you, back in February the Town got an \$850,000 Grant, and it's basically similar, money is to be used for large scale projects like replacing roofs. This is a US Department of Housing and Urban Development Grant. So I'm curious, what plans do we have for the million dollars that you now earmarked for the

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob, go ahead.

MR. DARIENZO: The \$800,000 Grant that

Mr. Ripp is asking about was a grant that was received by the Town of Oyster Bay Housing

2.0

Authority not the Town of Oyster Bay, so it is a separate entity. I can't speak directly because I'm not that involved with it. It's a grant for the Housing Authority not the Town itself.

MR. RIPP: Thank you.

That clarifies my question.

Thank you very much.

This is one of the initial Transfers of Funds, I think it's listed as 216 or something, this is 2C. This is a memorandum docket from Frank Nocerino, the Commissioner of Parks. He's got a Transfer -- the Town Board authorizes the request of transfer of funds from the following accounts, I'm curious, who is going to pay for the use of the golf carts that Mr. Nocerino procured during the Italian Festival in Massapequa?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Does anybody have an answer for us?

COMMISSIONER NOCERINO: I believe those carts the Town owns.

MR. RIPP: I'm sorry, but I think the
Town owns the carts, but I thought they were used
without authority in the Resolution at that
festival so I would like to know who is footing the
bill for the use of those carts.

COMMISSIONER NOCERINO: They're our

2 carts.

2.0

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Is there a bill to foot here? Frank, what do you got?

MR. NOCERINO: Supervisor, all the equipment that was for the feast was authorized. This is something we've been doing for 25 years. There was no charge. It goes with all the equipment that they asked for and also it was approved by the Town Board.

MR. RIPP: I'm sorry, but how could it be authorized, you have a Resolution that specifically lists what you authorized for that festival and the golf carts are not mentioned.

So as far as I know, unless you can produce some sort of documentation that shows that you authorized the use of the golf carts, then I believe that you misappropriated that use.

MR. NOCERINO: We don't specify golf carts. We specify various equipment. That's a piece of various equipment that they ask every year as part of their letters in January and August. We gave them a permit. They have a permit for the use of that equipment every year.

MR. RIPP: I have to disagree because

2.0

you produced this specific Resolution on the same day that the Town Board voted to approve that Resolution, they voted on approximately 7 more various festivals. Every entity put a list in of what equipment they were requesting. Every entity got the Resolution passed on what the Town authorized to let them use. There is no mention of any golf carts in any documentation provided by the Town to the public to date for that festival. I observed you driving around in a golf cart at that festival. I would like to know how it got there, who gave you the authority and the permission to bring it there?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think he answered that already.

MR. RIPP: 608, I read a number of references leading off on the Town meetings, every single one says, the worse thing you can do is have a Town meeting at 10:00 a.m. on a Tuesday.

626, what I'm curious about here is I noticed there were 25 requests sent out but there were only two replies.

As a matter of fact, there is a second Resolution where 25 requests were sent out and there were only two replies. I would like to know

-- and this is a \$500,000 contract -- 25 requests and only two answers, I would like to know if this is an indication of the flavor of peoples feelings towards the Town of Oyster Bay here, if we're having a problem that people don't want to buy the bonds, now they don't want to get into contracts with us.

Is this an issue that we need to worry about?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Is this an issue, counselor?

UNKNOWN: I don't think it has anything to do with our way or anybody looking at the Town of Oyster Bay. We send out RFPs, requests for proposals, and we expect, of course, responses.

Now, in these particular RFPs that we sent out they are for government accounting, they are for Workers' Compensation, they are specialized areas and not all accounting firms engage in those specialized areas. We got some questions that were asked by the accounting firms to which we responded. We did not receive many responses and then we received specific proposals that my committee reviewed, discussed and have recommended to the Board.

33 1 MR. RIPP: So you're saying that you 2 sent out RFPs to firms that don't actually do the 3 work that you're requesting? 4 UNKNOWN: No. We sent out to a number 5 of firms. MR. RIPP: But you said some of them 6 7 actually don't have --8 UNKNOWN: I didn't say some of them don't do it. Some of them choose not to engage in 9 10 that particular specialty. It's a specialty. 11 MR. RIPP: Okay. Thank you very much. 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, Rob. 13 Mark Equinda, Mark? 14 MR. EQUINDA: I'm going to keep this short. On the subject of the vote coming up on the 15 16 issue of the Sikh Temple. 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Are you an 18 attorney? 19 MR. EQUINDA: I'm not an attorney, no. 20 I'm a resident of 14 Hope Court. I'm right next 21 door to the temple. 22 Now, the purpose of my appearance here 23 is to strongly request and suggest that the vote on 24 the Resolution be postponed. Myself and my

#### ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

concerned neighbors do not feel that we have been

2.0

given the proper part in this entire issue. It has been going on for a long time.

We were at the meeting on November 2nd with Councilwoman Alesia, and Mr. Marx, and Tim Zike. We were promised access to revised plans for the Temple, that was on November 2nd.

Last Friday, I finally sent out an irate e-mail to every e-mail address in the Department. We still have not been given those plans. We have not been given an opportunity to review them and we have not been given an actual opportunity to participate in the compromise that you brought up a couple of times that you believe it's possible.

I subsequently got an e-mail from

Mr. Rozea and, I quote, he says I've been actively
working to secure the Temple's additional
modifications to the plans that I believe will
ensure benefits of the Temple's neighbors.

So my first question is, if this is coming up for a vote now and your Town Attorney is saying that he hasn't finished compiling the necessary information, why is there a vote being taken on this?

He goes on to say that though these

1.3

2.0

modifications are not necessarily reflected on these plans, rest assured that I will ensure their inclusion in the final settlement. I'm sorry, with all due respect, with all I've gone through and all my neighbors have gone through over the last several years I can't rest assured on anything. We need more information. I think this is being voted on way too quickly without any of the proper due diligence being done.

Again, I would suggest that there is room for more compromise. I would like to be a part of that compromise and so would my neighbors, but I think a postponement of the vote is absolutely and unequivocally necessary.

I would like to point out in closing -and I've said this many, many times -- this is not
an issue -- this is not a religious issue. This is
an issue of quality of life and it's an issue of
people getting along, and I don't want anything
getting rammed down my throat that -- let me
rephrase that.

I'm entitled to my rights and so are my neighbors. We don't feel that our rights are being protected at this point and I frankly think, with all due respect, that the Board has not done it's

judiciary duty to us.

my point.

That's all I have.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Don't go away,

Mark. And I'll agree with you, by the way, it's

not -- not in my mind, it's not a religious issue.

The Sikh Temple has been there for several decades.

I visited it many times. It's a wonderful --

MR. EQUINDA: Supervisor, I've lived there 31 years. I got married there, I got divorced there, I raised a family there. I know the neighborhood as well as anyone. I'm sorry. I interrupted you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Go ahead.

MR. EQUINDA: I'm done. I think I made

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I just wanted to affirm to you that from my perspective it's not a religious issue. You hit the nail right on the head, it's a quality of life issue. I think it's always been about that and I think it still is.

If it were a religious issue, I would have thought in the prior 30 years the issue would have come to the forefront so that dispels that notion.

Having said that, Mr. Rozea, what do

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

37

you have to add to this dialog?

MR. ROZEA: The speaker is correct that we did meet with the community on or about November 2nd. Myself, Mr. Marx, Tim Zike and John Ellsworth looked at the Temple proposal from an environmental perspective, and I say environmental within the meaning of State Law and not just trees and water. There were three concerns in my mind that were raised at that meeting aside from what he had presented as the proposed settlement, that being additional screening between the Hope Court properties and the Temple Property, a reduction in the height of the light poles in the parking lot and thirdly, the possibility of replacing stockade fence around the perimeter of the property with PVC fencing.

As I wrote in that e-mail that came on Friday or perhaps Saturday morning, I was working actively, meaning nights, and weekends with Sikh Temple attorneys to secure those modifications to the settlement, and I'm pleased to report that the Temple did agree to reduce the height of the lights, to provide additional screening between Hope Court properties and the Temple Property and, as of yesterday, to install PVC fencing rather than

2.0

stockade fencing along the perimeter of the property.

Those were the concerns that were presented to us by the community, those were the concerns that I relayed to the Temple attorneys and those were the concerns that ultimately are addressed in the proposed settlement documents that are before the Board.

I'm more than happy to speak on the details of the settlement, further details, but those concerns raised at the community meeting they have been addressed. They're addressed in the settlement documents and they will be a part of it.

e-mail said. Your e-mail said you were still in the process of compiling information. Now there's a vote coming up today and myself and my neighbors have no knowledge of whatever type of compromises you've made. I appreciate the fact that you worked hard on this, I'm sure you have. I also appreciate the fact that the Board is between a rock and a hard place on this subject.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Gentleman, let me cut the dialog here. Just by a common sense appraisal -- and actually I would like to hear from

2.0

Councilwoman Alesia on this, but I'll just throw this in the mix, is a two week adjournment so unreasonable; I mean, when somebody is saying a full and fair opportunity to be heard and be familiar with the material I feel -- the next Town Board is in two weeks, right?

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Yes. The 29th.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Is a two-week adjournment to allow the people to familiarize themselves with the material, is that so unreasonable?

MR. ROZEA: Ms. Shea is here.

MS. SHEA: My name is Janice Shea. I'm with the Law Firm of Harras, Bloom & Archer, and we're part of the counsel team for the Sikh Temple.

First, I would like to thank the Town and it's personnel for working so closely with us and carefully crafting what we believe is a very fair Resolution which addresses three of the neighbors concerns which we worked on up until about 5:00 last night. Matt and I have worked nights and weekends to carefully craft each word of this Resolution. I don't believe -- sir, and I apologize that you and I have not spoken -- this is not being hoisted on this Board at the last minute.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

40

We are under a Federal Court scheduling order. Wе had an agreed date of October 31st by which the Town would answer or we would be able to move for default. I put my job on the line and said we have an agreement in the works, we're not going to move for a default, let's allow this -- with all that was happening with the Town let's allow this to go for until the next Board meeting so this could be properly voted on at a duly noticed hearing, so we are already beyond the point that the Town should have acted. Now we've got a Federal Court order which requires that we report by December 1st what's happening. We gave the Town every one of the concessions that were requested. We agreed to put landscape screening between the Temple Property and the Hope Court properties, we have agreed to PVC fencing and I think within the last couple of days I asked the Temple to reduce the lighting height down from 22 feet to 18 feet.

Surely, we never intend to illuminate off the property. The Temple wants to be good neighbors. They understand that there are issues. We've lost eleven months of construction. The Temple wants to be back in the business of being a Temple and not litigating. We apologize for any

2.0

inconvenience brought to the Town and to the neighbors, but from this point forward the Temple really wants to have a good relationship and maintain it's House of Worship where it's been for 30 years.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Counselor, just following up on this line of questioning, you mentioned December 1st is the report back date; is that what it is?

MS. SHEA: That's the date by which -I believe it's Magistrate Tomilson (phonetic) of
the Federal Court has indicated that we need to
have a consent order filed.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Our meeting is on the 29th. I know that's cutting it very close. I'm only speculating, and I can't speak for the Board members, but I have a sense that the Board was going to look favorably upon the settlement and probably will continue to do so. But I think when you hear what Mr. Equinda said -- what Mark said, it's always a little disturbing, it hurts our ears as lawyers when someone says I need a little more time to read it and if there is a problem just say so.

MS. SHEA: I am one attorney --

2.0

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Would there be a problem if the Board made a commitment today to vote on the proposal, to vote on the proposed stipulation and settlement on the 29th. You know one way or the other you're going to get it on the 29th.

MS. SHEA: I personally don't have a problem with that. I'm part of a team of five lawyers. The Orlupa Council in Manhattan is prepared to move forward under the federal lawsuit. This agreement was crafted to save the Town litigation dollars, to save the Temple from further hemorrhaging their litigation dollars so no, I cannot make that concession. I have nothing further -- no further offerings to make to make this better. Everything that the Town has requested we have incorporated into our settlement.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I have many, many different things to say but I'm going to jump on what you just last said, we have a little bit of a last minute request and I don't think it's an unreasonable one. I know Mark is here by himself today but he really isn't by himself. He is standing with about 15 different neighbors behind him who aren't here right now, and they all reached

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

4.3

out to me yesterday, and I spoke to many of them on the phone and here is their request, they would like to sit down together with the Board of the Temple before the settlement is signed. I don't think that's an unreasonable request. I think that in the scope of being good neighbors it's a very reasonable request. I agree with some of what you said, you said that you think this a very fair Resolution. I think it's a fair Resolution. don't think it's a "very" fair Resolution. I think it's fair and I think -- have you spoken to some of my colleagues one on one about it. I think we are inclined -- I'm not going to give anyone's vote away but I think we're inclined to approve this settlement but I think the request of the neighbors, who just got the language of the settlement Friday at 5:00 and who have now said we would like to sit down and be able to live as neighbors and have a conversation one time before the settlement goes forth. I don't think those are unreasonable requests.

MS. SHEA: I understand what you're saying, Councilwoman. I don't have the power to change the Federal Court's scheduling order. I know that going to Court is going to incur the

2.0

Temple many more litigation dollars and it's going to incur the Town many more litigation dollars.

There is no change in the plans. The only plan -- actually there is two plans attached to the consent order, one is a site plan that just shows the parking configurations, that it comports with zoning, so there is really nothing offsite that has been changed. All the plans have been on file.

As of yesterday, I sat with Matt and went through about 50 sets of plans and everything has been on file with the Town. To suggest that there is something we're slipping in is simply not the case. This language in our consent order has been circulated for at least the past five or six weeks. Whether it was communicated just recently to the Town, unfortunately, is not my client's fault.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Well, you have your client here today. I don't mean to put you on the spot but maybe you can take a minute and ask them if they would be willing to give us two weeks to meet with their neighbors.

MS. SHEA: It is not just my decision. We have counsel in Manhattan and I'm going to say that I came here with the direction that we would

not be able to extend any further that which we have already given the Town, the Federal Court's scheduling order.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: But that scheduling order doesn't call for you to come back until December 1st.

I just want to be clear. I'm asking you if you would ask your client and their counsel if they will sit down and meet with their neighbors once before we sign this settlement.

MS. SHEA: What I would be willing to do right now is, just as the Board did with a prior Resolution, if the Board could adjourn my matter until the end of the calendar and we can speak with the neighbor right now.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I would certainly have no problem with that. Anything that would help alleviate pressure on anybody, so absolutely.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: Supervisor, I have a question.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Go ahead, Joe.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: I don't think anybody is asking you to go against the Court date of December 1st.

You mentioned that you're not

1.3

2.0

authorized to make any changes, then why isn't the person who is authorized here to represent the Sikh Temple?

MS. SHEA: Let me back up.

The Town was under an obligation to file an answer by October 31st, that has not changed. That answer was not filed. In good faith that this matter was going to be voted on today our team has not acted to move forward on that default.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: Well, since you said you're not authorized, which means that you're really not representing the client, can you, when you take that break, find the person who is authorized and maybe ask their opinion when you come back to us after you sit down with them?

MS. SHEA: I am representing the Temple and I will make the request to the rest of my team, but I do not believe there is going to be a change in my information.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Actually, I think we're almost done here. We really don't have very much time left. We only have one or two more speakers on the Regular Action Calendar, so we'll certainly stay and give you 15/20 minutes if that would that helpful.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

47

MS. SHEA: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right.

Let's let them work and we'll continue.

Patrick Howard, Patrick.

MR. HOWARD: Good morning, Supervisor, and Council members.

I would like to say if this was an 8:00 at night meeting it would be after midnight now so I think the 10:00 meeting is a great thing.

A week or so ago I sent a memo, a copy of a letter to the Board members of a letter that I sent to Mr. Bell of Intergovernmental Affairs regarding the elevation and house lift to six homes in the Town of Oyster Bay. My home was one of In the letter Mr. Bell made a comment saying them. that I refused to comply. To reiterate in the letter I sent to you and him, I have never refused to comply. I would be more than happy to comply; however, I am not happy with the way the Grant was handled, with the way the work was done and with many of -- some of the things I would just like to share with you of the treatment I received. soon as the work is done to the homeowner's satisfaction, I'll do whatever you need to do. main reason why we got involved in the first place

1.3

2.0

was to lower our flood insurance which is over \$5,000 a year. To date, the work was done, the Town of Oyster Bay received their CO on it.

I haven't received any CO on my part but they received their's and I asked for the elevation certificate which I was suppose to have. I didn't get it. I contacted the department myself and they sent me a copy. When I got the copy, it said that the building owner was the Town of Oyster Bay, which is incorrect, it should have been in my name and the flood zone was wrong. I sent it back to the people that did the elevation and that was on 2/5/2015. They made the adjustments and when I called to ask for it I didn't get it. They want me to sign off on some document on my -- I don't know if it's a deed or a title. I received a copy in August of 2016 of the elevation certificate. It was done on September 12, 2014.

You have all this; I sent it to you.

Two years later, I'm paying \$5,000 a year. I

should be paying \$500. That's \$9,000 out of my

pocket because they refused to -- actually it's

like they were threatening and bullying me, they're

not giving me my paperwork. I received a letter.

When I got this I submitted it to FEMA.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

49

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Mr. Howard, the difference in the money is paid to who, the insurance company?

MR. HOWARD: The insurance company.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Okay.

MR. HOWARD: I should be paying \$500.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I understand, I

just wasn't sure.

MR. HOWARD: Yeah, the insurance company. So I received the letter from FEMA stating that the records that I submitted were no good because the photos that need to be taken had to be taken within 90 days, so to date I still don't have a valid elevation certificate which means I'm still paying the higher price. That was just one problem. I was not happy with the contractor's work. I called -- Angel Corva was the engineer, I called him back, he came around and looked, we need to change this, this and this. There was a punch list of some things that needed to be done. It was sent to me in 2014 and they were never done. Obviously I wasn't happy with the contractor. I asked if we could get another contractor. It took a long time back and forth, no, you can't get another contractor, he has to do

the work. All right.

2.0

They hired an independent engineer,
Spinelli, Cashin, Spinelli. Cashin Spinelli said,
okay, you need to fix all these things; bring the
contractor back and fix them. When I brought the
contractor back, Mr. Russo, the contractor came in,
looked around and said well, you put insulation on
this wall so I can't do this, you put a stud here
so I won't fix that, you put a door here. It was
two years, the winter came; I needed to insulate.
This was improper work. They fixed it twice and it
was still wrong. Spinelli indicated that it was
still wrong. Long Island Lifters said I'm not
going to fix that.

A couple of days later I get a letter they're done with me, sign the paperwork or you're going to have to repay -- well Mr. Bell indicated that in his letter to me I'm going to have to repay the \$150,000 that the Town received from the State. I was wondering why or how the Town even received a reimbursement of over \$878,000 when the paperwork wasn't done because I know my piece wasn't signed because I wasn't really happy with what is still wrong with my house and there are a number of things. Mr. Russo said we didn't do it because you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

51

didn't let us in. I said that's not true. An hour ago he said, then I say it is and you say it isn't, it's my word against yours.

Is that where we are? It's my word against yours. I'm willing to get this done. I need to be treated fairly, I need to be compensated and I need to have the work finished, it's simple.

Now, I don't know if I'm responsible to pay back the \$150,000 to somebody. I called -- I was told that I was going to be reported to the Homeland Security, so I called Homeland Security, Rick Law answered, called me back, I told him what was going, he said the State has the contract with the Town of Oyster Bay not with me, and that the Town of Oyster Bay would be on the hook to pay the State back. I don't want to be there, I don't think you want to be there. I want to get this done. I'm tired of being bullied and pushed around. My wife and I have had it up to here. I want to move on with my life and get my house fixed. Now, I'm not really happy with the team that you have. I don't trust them. I don't think they're fair. I'm not calling them liars, but they misrepresent the trust.

Now, I would be more than happy to sit

1.3

2.0

down with a Board member and maybe sit down with them and try to get this done, if not, I just don't know where to go from here.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Colin, I guess you probably are aware of me knocking on your door right now. Mr. Howard made a suggestion about getting together with you and perhaps an elected official, a Board member, is there something to be gained by doing that? Is there anything -- I don't want to put you on the spot and obviously there's quite a history here, is there anything you want to add to round out the story?

MR. BELL: Well, there's certainly a lot of history there.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Is it worth doing that now?

MR. HOWARD: I would like to say that Mr. Bell has always been a professional and a great guy so.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You have a problem and you're entitled to air it out. We have no problem with that.

Colin, I guess there's no short cut through this thing, would it better to set up a meeting with Mr. Howard and a Board member.

53 1 MR. BELL: Certainly, there is a lot to 2 discuss. Whatever Board member is assigned to this 3 task is --4 MR. HOWARD: I would like to have 5 Councilman Pinto. He is invested. COUNCILMAN PINTO: I'm not the liaison. 6 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Who is the 8 liaison to Colin? 9 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: It's me. 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'll tell you 11 what, I know Councilwoman Alesia well enough to 12 know but if you would like to have Councilman 13 Pinto, you got it. 14 MR. HOWARD: I don't want to put him on 15 the spot. 16 COUNCILMAN PINTO: Let's go. 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: He says let's go. 18 So why don't we do this, between now and -- well 19 ASAP, do it this week. Councilman Pinto, yourself, Colin and Mr. Howard get together at a convenient 20 21 location and get it done. 22 MR. BELL: I'll coordinate with the 23 Councilman, I'll in turn coordinate it with Pat, 24 I'll arrange for the meeting. 25 COUNCILMAN PINTO: How's Thursday

	54
1	morning at 9:00?
2	MR. HOWARD: That sounds great.
3	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thursday morning
4	at 9:00.
5	MR. HOWARD: Where?
6	COUNCILMAN PINTO: Town Hall South in
7	the IGA office.
8	MR. HOWARD: Thank you very much. I
9	appreciate you listening to me.
10	COUNCILMAN PINTO: I have another
11	meeting at 11:00.
12	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Are you
13	available?
14	MR. BELL: Well I'll adjust my
15	calendar.
16	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay. Thursday
17	morning at 9:00, Town Hall South.
18	COUNCILMAN PINTO: I have to be out by
19	like 10:45.
20	MR. BELL: I think we can get it done.
21	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right.
22	Gabriel Brown, Gabriel?
23	MR. BROWN: This is just in reference
24	to the meetings issue.
25	I think it would be more appropriate to

schedule meetings at night when people are off work because -- for instance, I'm lucky I can be here today. I have not been able to be at any of the meetings you've had previously and if you look at this room, where are the people? They're not here. I think we would have a much larger audience at night. I've heard that apparently we don't but I would be surprised and think that we would. I think it would give the people in this community an opportunity to look at different issues such as raising taxes for instance.

I think that's a concern for everybody in this community and I think there are so many other issues concerning people in this community and we don't have enough people in this room to listen to what our public representatives have to say. Is that really the will of the people if the peoples voices are not even heard by the people who represent them. This is just a handful of people. I think it's very important to consider having nightly meetings even if we have meetings during the day. I would definitely favor the idea of having a nightly meeting at 7:00. The Village of Bayville has a 7:00 meeting that they engage in. I'll put it to you this way, if there's

issues of dire importance. In fact, so many people show up, that they need to use the auditorium at the Intermediate School because the issues are that important, so I would imagine if enough notice was given that the meeting would be at 7:00 and it's of an issue of vast importance you're going to see a turnout, you're going to hear what people really think, and I think it's very important that voices are heard. I mean we are a representative form of government so we should adhere to those principles that our founding fathers have left to us. That's why we have a Bill Of Rights. That's why we have a representative form of government, not a total democracy, but we should have voices heard. That's the way I feel about that.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you.

MR. BROWN: Actually, I have a

question. I also signed up for another topic.

Is that to be discussed later or should I bring it up now.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Public comment, you can discuss anything you want at public comment.

MR. BROWN: Okay.

With regards to the budget issue that

57 1 -- for the raising of taxes and the potential 2 laying off of employees, I'm just curious, maybe 3 the Comptroller can help me with my question, do 4 they have copies of the Comprehensive Annual 5 Financial Report for the last few years so we could 6 look at maybe the numbers that have come up with 7 that as far as the amount of money in the surplus 8 or in the deficit. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I would think so. 10 I don't know what form they would be in but I'm 11 sure we have them. 12 Deputy Comptroller, we have them, 13 right? 14 MS. WISS: Yes. 15 MR. BROWN: How would I be able to 16 acquire those documents? 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think you just 18 did. 19 Is there any reason why you couldn't 20 supply him with those documents? 21 MS. WISS: No, Supervisor. 22 MR. BROWN: So I would go to you then? 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You can meet 24 together as soon as we're done. 25 MR. BROWN: Okay. So I go to her and

acquire the copies of the documents?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Right.

MR. BROWN: I appreciate your help.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, Gabe.

MR. MCKENNA: I'm Kevin McKenna from

Syosset.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I had mentioned at the last meeting that I took some time when I was knocking on doors surrounding where the proposed Syosset Park Project is going to go and being you're talking about the schedule for next year, most of the people that answer the door, a lot of the comments were we can't make 10:00 a.m. meetings and we want to go, especially in light of the fact that it's going to get more active in regards to that as well as everything else. I personally think that one meeting every month should be at night.

We're talking about 7:00.

Is there a reason why it can't start at 4:00 or 5:00 so if you don't want to be here until midnight? Why is it only 10 or 7, why not 6? I think that the 10:00 meetings, the perception right now amongst the public right now is that you purposely put the meetings at 10:00 so that you do

59 1 not have to hear what the people have to say. That's the perception right now so please take that 2 3 into account. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We will. We will 4 5 take it under advisement. The Board can switch the schedule of 6 7 meetings at any time. We have made changes in the 8 past. 9 I guess we can vote on all the other 10 Resolutions. 11 MR. ALTADONNA: Do you want to table 12 639? 13 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Oh, here we go. 14 Counselor, how did we do? 15 MS. SHEA: I have spoken to the 16 neighbor. I believe I -- we cleared up an 17 inconsistency where you thought the site plan 18 affected the Hope Court properties. This whole settlement only affects the Temple property. We 19 20 have no power to go any further. We expected the Board to act today. The Board is in default of 21 22 response to answer. 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Excuse me, you 24 said we're in default. 25 Are we in default in answering?

1.3

MR. ROZEA: Well, the Court had ordered an answer by October 31st, but I reported back that we had settlement terms.

MS. SHEA: My client requests that the Board act on the Resolution and I thank the Board for its indulgence and I thank the Town Attorneys Office for it's work on this.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mark, did you want to close it out with anything further?

MR. EQUINDA: I really don't have anything else. I can't with a clear conscious respond for my neighbors. These plans as counsel mentioned before were completed last night if I'm not mistaken.

MS. SHEA: No. That's not what I said.

MR. EQUINDA: I would respectfully request a short postponement on the issue so that I could speak with my neighbors. That's all that I'm asking. I don't think that that's an unreasonable request.

just want to state that the residents who contacted my office had similar feelings, and I don't think it's unreasonable that we give those residents a little time which is well within the Court date so

61 1 that everybody can get up to speed including the 2 Board members who are just hearing about some of 3 this stuff for the first time. 4 MR. EQUINDA: I can assure you I can 5 reach my neighbors tonight, have them over to the house, sit down and talk to them, tell them what we 6 7 discussed today. 8 I'm not asking for -- I'm asking for 9 indulgence because I get the sense that this is 10 being pushed down on us. That's really all I have 11 to say. 12 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I can't disagree 13 with what you're saying. COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: It's not 14 15 unreasonable. 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: December 1st is 17 the Court date? 18 MS. SHEA: That is the date by which 19 the Federal Court is expecting a copy of the 20 settlement fully executed. 21 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: If there is no 22 settlement there's an appearance. 23 If you settle, do you have to appear? 24 MR. ROZEA: Well, the Court presently 25 has ordered, in view of the pending settlement

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2.5

62

terms, that the parties file a stipulation of discontinuance by the 1st.

In other words, the Court Order is contemplating the settlement. I would imagine that if something were to move forward in a different direction that there would be an appearance and obviously the litigation would move forward.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So

December 1st is really the -- it's not really a control date, it's the deadline the Court set to find out where we go.

MR. ROZEA: Correct.

MS. SHEA: But understand that the Town is technically in default of it's time to answer.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm confused on --

thing, and I know you have the December 1st date and again, I'm not a lawyer but I read the same thing from the residents as well, they just want a little more time. There's a lot here that's being bunched into the settlement that they aren't aware of so I think it's very reasonable. I need some clarification on what's being said here myself.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: And it is fair to say that it wasn't really represented to them

2.0

6.3

because at times it was up in the air until -- and to Matt's credit and to your credit, they worked on this all weekend, I know they did, but as far as the residents are concerned they only got final -- a final close on this up until a few hours ago it was still --

MS. SHEA: With all due respect,

Councilwoman, the only the new terms to this order are the concessions made to the neighbors, that being the lower of the lamps increased, the increased landscape screening and the PVC fencing. Those are the only changes that have been made recent to this consent order which has been circulating for about five weeks now. There is nothing new here except for things that benefitted the neighbors.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I'm not disagreeing, but I think that they want time to process that.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm still trying to get clear, let's assume we did not -- we voted now and we did not approve the settlement, what would our status be in Court; are we in default?

MR. ROZEA: You would only be in default if the Court were to find you in default.

2.0

I would imagine the first move to be would be to interpose an answer.

MS. SHEA: Should that be permitted by the Court. You would have to make leave to file a late answer.

MR. ROZEA: That's possible. That's litigation strategy. The first move would be -
COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Counselor, would your position be not to impose an answer at this point?

MS. SHEA: That answer was due on the 31st of October.

Councilman COSCHIGNANO: It sounds like everyone was negotiating in good faith, right?

MS. SHEA: Matt and I were in constant communication, cell phones, Saturdays, evenings.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: So why the talk of default if everybody is operating in good faith?

MS. SHEA: Because we elected to not move forward with that default based on the fact -- or based upon our belief that the Town Board was acting today. We withheld on moving forward on our rights to continue action in good faith believing that this tribunal was going to be acting on the

settlement today.

MR. ROZEA: In other words, what happened was the Court had extended the time for the Town to answer to October 31st. I believe it was the 30th or even possibly the morning of the 31st we reached terms with respect to occupancy, the load of the building, the parking spaces, the non simultaneous use of the first floor and basement level, the limitation on the mezzanine area of the building for storage.

Those terms were set in stone as of October 31st. Then, over the intervening weeks, we had these discussions, including the meeting with the community as to those additional measures which were discussed in terms of the PVC fencing, the screening and the light pole thing, so that's what was added in after I reported back on the report on the --

MS. SHEA: And, again, everything that was asked for by the Town, we gave the Town every concession that it asked for.

The Town asked us to reduce the height of the lamps four feet. We gave it, no negotiation.

The Town asked for the PVC fencing. No

negotiation, we gave it.

1.3

2.0

The Town asked for landscape screening in between the properties. We gave it.

So, there is no reason for further discussion when everything that was requested was given and any change that was made was made to benefit the neighbors.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I have the sense that the neighbors want to feel good about everything. They want to meet with the Temple and hopefully put all this aside. Take two weeks. It's not a lot to ask.

MS. SHEA: We believe that that neighborly showing was made in our giving the neighbors everything that they asked for. We're already behind -- we already lost 11 months in construction cost.

about the legal fees both on our side and for the Sikh Temple to go that much further, how much more are you going to charge them, how much more is it going to cost us if you oppose our answer. Where is this going to go, so I am very concerned about it.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: So you're

inclined to go ahead and vote on it today?

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: If the neighbors are on board with the further concessions, I am

4 inclined to vote on it today.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I realize I am very close to this situation and I don't like it, but if everyone else is prepared to vote on it, I will vote on it. I don't know how everybody else feels.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I'm not prepared to vote.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: Listen, I heard comments from the Sikhs when they came here and they were all saying we just want to be good neighbors, we'll do anything you need us to do to make this situation go away and I'm not hearing that now which is surprising to me because they were more than willing to do anything they possibly can to fit into the community so I have a hard time believing that with all those people who came up here to talk to us that they wouldn't say two weeks is fine.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Especially, two weeks to have a community meeting together. That's what almost everybody I spoke to yesterday was

1.3

2.0

asking for, to get together.

MS. SHEA: I just don't see how that another meeting is going to change anything when everything that was requested was incorporated into a consent order without negotiations, without any asking could we maybe make the lamps 19 feet.

Everything the Town asked for we incorporated into that consent order. We withheld moving forward in the Federal action. We simply request that the Board move on the Resolution today.

Thank you.

MR. ALTADONNA: Would you like to table it to a date certain?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: No. I think we're contemplating on whether or not to vote on it now.

MS. SWANSON: Could Matt call the Court?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I would really like to know that. That's a good idea.

I would really like to know from the Court that we're not running a foul by delaying the vote on this until November 29th. I would like to hear whether or not we're running a foul with the

2.0

Court. That's really my concern.

MR. ROZEA: I'm not sure that the Court will give an advisory opinion on that particularly matter. I will say the Court -- the deadline was October 31st.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: A deadline for what?

MR. ROZEA: To answer the settlement.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: And you were

working on a settlement at that point.

MR. ROZEA: That's right.

I reported back to the Court as of the deadline that we had reached terms. The Court then said okay, now by December 1st file your stipulation of discontinuance.

In the interim is when we had the meeting with the community and those additional concerns were raised about the screening, and the lights, and one or two other issues, so although we had terms as to all those other issues, the occupancy, the parking, the actual usage of the structure, those points got added in, and Janice is correct, that it was at the request of the community and for the benefit of the community.

So my sense would be -- in fact my

2.0

opinion is that if the Board were not to move forward with this we would have to inter person answer (phonetic) immediately and it's quite possible that we would then see motion practice.

MS. SHEA: You would absolutely see motion practice because the answer would be deemed untimely by the plaintiffs.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay. We're going into Executive Session.

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I move that this Town Board go into Executive Session to discuss the pending or further litigation.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You know what?

We need to vote on the balance of the Calendar, so let's do that before we go into Executive Session.

MR. ALTADONNA: We have the motion and we have the Seconded. The motion was made by Councilman Muscarella and seconded was made by Councilman Macagnone.

On the vote, which we are going to vote on TF 18-16 through 608 to 643, bear in mind that 642A and B were already settled and 639 will not be voted on. I don't know how to be clearer, 639 is

	71
1	not in this vote.
2	Supervisor Venditto?
3	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: "Aye."
4	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
5	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
6	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
7	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
8	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
9	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
10	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
11	COUNCILMAN PINTO: Abstain on 633 and
12	"Nay" on 640 and 641.
13	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
14	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
15	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
16	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
17	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt
18	Resolution TF 18-16 through Resolution 632 passes
19	with seven "Ayes."
20	Resolution 633 passes with six "Ayes"
21	and one abstention.
22	Resolution 634 through 643, not
23	including 639, passes with seven "Ayes," except 640
24	and 641 passes with six "Ayes" and one no.
25	Okay. The Calendar is complete except

72 for 639. 1 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We'll leave this 3 hearing open for the purposes of the vote. 4 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I 5 make a motion that this Town Board go into 6 Executive Session to discuss pending or future 7 litigation. 8 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 10 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 11 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 12 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye." "Aye." 13 COUNCILMAN PINTO: 14 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye." 15 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye." 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 17 (No response.) SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 18 19 (Whereupon, the Executive Session began 20 at 2:42 p.m. and ended at 2:53 p.m.) 21 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right. 22 Mr. Muscarella? 23 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I 24 make a motion that we end the Executive Session and vote on the 639 Resolution. 25

	73
1	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
2	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
3	All: "Aye."
4	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
5	(No response.)
6	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
7	We're back to earth and ready to
8	proceed with 639.
9	MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to
10	adopt Resolution No. 639?
11	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.
12	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
13	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by
14	Councilman Muscarella and seconded by Councilman
15	Macagnone.
16	On the vote,
17	Supervisor Venditto?
18	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: "Aye."
19	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella.
20	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
21	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
22	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
23	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
24	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I appreciate
25	all the work that went into this on both sides. I

74 1 am not comfortable with the timeframe. I am going 2 to be abstaining on this matter because I don't 3 really want to adversely affect either side, so I am abstaining. 4 5 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto? COUNCILMAN PINTO: I echo the comments 6 7 that Councilman Coschignano just stated. 8 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia? 9 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I'm actually 10 going to go even one step further. I like the 11 settlement and I wish I could vote "Aye" on it. 12 I would have liked to have had two 13 weeks so that we have the right ending to this 14 torturous story and allow the residents to sit down 15 with their neighbors and I'm very disappointed that 16 we didn't have that resolution today, therefore, I 17 abstain on the vote. 18 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson? 19 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye." 2.0 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt 21 Resolution No. 639 passes with four "Ayes" and 22 three abstentions. 23 The Calendar is complete. 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Muscarella? 25 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, I

	75
1	make a motion to end the meeting.
2	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
3	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
4	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
5	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
6	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
7	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
8	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
9	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
10	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
11	(No response.)
12	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
13	Everyone please stand ready to proceed
14	with public comment session.
15	(Time noted: 2:57 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	