TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
TOWN BOARD
EXECUTIVE SESSION
SEPTEMBER 27, 2016
10:15 a.m.

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY THOMAS SABELLICO, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY DONNA B. SWANSON, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY CHRISTINE M. WISS, DEPUTY COMPTROLLER LINDA M. HERMAN, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION (Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

YVONNE ANGELES

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

Official Reporter/Notary

ALSO PRESENT:

KURT LUDWIG, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS RICHARD T. BETZ, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LEONARD SYMONS, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES JAIME VAN DYKE, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

	3
1	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and
2	gentlemen, if everyone will find seats, we will
3	begin and we will begin by asking Mr. Altadonna to
4	kindly poll the Board.
5	MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor Venditto.
6	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I am here.
7	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
8	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Here.
9	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
10	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Here.
11	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
12	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Here.
13	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
14	COUNCILMAN PINTO: Here.
15	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
16	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Here.
17	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
18	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: She is out sick.
19	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Is she going to
20	be joining us?
21	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Supervisor, I
22	heard her daughter was sick and that's all I know.
23	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right.
24	Ladies and gentlemen, if everyone would
25	please rise and join in the Pledge of Allegiance to

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

1 the flag led by our Town Clerk Jim Altadonna. 2 (Pledge of Allegiance recited.) 3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you. 4 We are hopping this morning with lots 5 of stuff to do and I think, Mr. Muscarella, you're going to lead us off with a motion. 6 7 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, 8 I'll make a motion that this Town Board go into 9 Executive Session for the purpose of discussing 10 matters leading to the appointment, employment, 11 promotion of particular person or corporation as 12 well discuss pending and current litigation. 13 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 15 ALL: "Aye." 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 17 (No response.) SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 18 19 We will proceed into Executive Session. 2.0 (Whereupon, Executive Session commenced 21 at 10:17 a.m.) 22 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, 23 I'll make a motion to end the Executive Session. 24 No action was taken by the Board. 25 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

	5
1	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
2	ALL: "Aye."
3	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
4	(No response.)
5	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
6	(Whereupon, Executive Session ended at
7	11:06 a.m.)
8	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Muscarella, I
9	believe we have a second motion.
10	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor,
11	I'll make a motion to go into work session.
12	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
13	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
14	ALL: "Aye."
15	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
16	(No response.)
17	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
18	(Time noted: 11:07 a.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
TOWN BOARD
WORK SESSION
SEPTEMBER 27, 2016
11:08 a.m.

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY THOMAS SABELLICO, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY DONNA B. SWANSON, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY CHRISTINE M. WISS, DEPUTY COMPTROLLER LINDA M. HERMAN, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION (Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Grane Grageles

YVONNE ANGELES

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

Official Reporter/Notary

ALSO PRESENT:

KURT LUDWIG, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS RICHARD T. BETZ, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LEONARD SYMONS, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES JAIME VAN DYKE, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We are in a work 1 2 session, the purpose of which is to get some work 3 done and to get us started. Counselor, Mr. Sinnreich, where are 4 5 you? MR. SINNREICH: Right here. 6 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I believe you are 8 going to be the quarterback, so to speak? 9 MR. SINNREICH: Thank you very much. 10 Good morning, Supervisor. 11 Good morning, members of the Board. 12 As you know, I have the honor of being 13 your outside counsel and particularly this morning 14 with respect to the emergency request for a 15 proposal process that we have been going through to 16 select a temporary operator for the Woodlands 17 concession basically for the three-month period 18 beginning, hopefully, today through December 31st 19 of this year, to permit the Town to go through a 2.0 process to select a long-term permanent operator 21 for that facility. 22 I was assigned by the Town Attorney the 23 task of guiding the Town through this process as I 24 did with Tappan.

The purpose of the work session today,

25

as I understand it, is for me to describe to the Board the process we have gone through and where we are today. I believe Councilman Symons will be coming up briefly to discuss the committee meeting that took place yesterday and the Board, obviously, has the power to discuss and deliberate on that process.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Just to maybe sum it up, the purpose of this work session is to determine the award, if you will, in response to a request for a proposal. We have received a number of proposals of parties willing to come in and operate the Woodlands between now and including December 31, 2016.

MR. SINNREICH: Actually, I think I made it January 1, 2017 in the expectation there would be New Year's parties that we wanted to cover.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The point is temporary for a three-month period.

MR. SINNREICH: Yes.

So to describe the process, it began with the termination of the existing concessionaire, and on September 20th, a letter of final termination was issued by your other outside

2.0

counsel, the firm Quinn, Emanuel, which terminated the existing concession agreement effective that day subject only to a due process hearing which I will describe in a minute. It's a constitutional requirement as you all know when a Town terminates a contractor. So that termination went out on September 20th. On the same day --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Only because awards matter, a difference between and a licensing and a contractor?

MR. SINNREICH: Technically, all of our concessionaires are licensees because since the concessions are operated on parkland, they can't be leases because to lease parkland, you need an act from the State Legislator. So the practice all over the State and I represent many other municipalities in this matter is that concessions are by license which means they have to be terminable at will. That's what differentiates them from a lease, but this was terminated by cause. So it is a license.

So we terminated the license agreement for cause on September 20th. The grounds for that termination for cause were three earlier letters noticing the continuing defaults of that

1.3

2.0

concessionaire. They were referred to a determination letter and that license was terminated on September 20th subject to the due process hearing which I will describe in a minute.

On the same day, because unlike Tappan, which is a restaurant, this is also a catering facility with events that are booked far in advance and we knew that there were three events that were already scheduled to happen during the week.

On the authority of the Town attorney's office, the chief legal officer under the town law for the Town, I was authorized to and I did engage Scotto Brothers for the sole purpose of running those three events. We didn't want to leave any customers and any Town residents in the lurch while we did this very quick emergency RFP that's the subject of deliberations this morning.

I entered into a letter agreement as your counsel on September 20th to Scotto Brothers just to cover three events, a cocktail party on September 22nd, a small business presentation on September 23rd and a golf outing on September 26th. That agreement was countersigned by Mr. Scotto. It is now terminated, so they did a fine job. They made sure those events went off well, but that

1.3

2.0

agreement is already terminated. We are moving onto today to the emergency RFP.

On September 21st, we issued an invitation -- indetermination letter for the present licensee to come in for a meeting, an opportunity to be heard is the technical language in the case law as required by the United States due process clause of the constitution. The purpose of that is simply to give the about to be terminated licensee the opportunity to be heard and say whatever it chooses to say with respect to the grounds of the termination.

In fact, such a hearing was held in the case of Tappan, you might remember it was waived, but in this case, the licensee did request such an opportunity to be heard. It was held at my office, Commissioner Nocerino presided over ass the Commissioner of Parks and the Town Attorney, Scalera was also present and I was present. The licensee appeared by its counsel as well as a representative of the investor group that was the licensee. They had a full opportunity to be heard with respect to the issues pertaining to the termination. And I will comment since I was there as your counsel that nothing they said really

2.0

addressed the grounds of the termination itself.

They stated their interest in continuing in some
way or capacity which was not the subject matter of
that hearing.

After that hearing concluded, I believe, that the Commissioner reached a conclusion. I think probably that there was no grounds to reconsider the termination, so the letter went on confirming the termination on that same day following that hearing.

On the same day, on September 21st, I then issued a temporary request for proposal, a temporary request for proposals on behalf of the Town seeking a temporary operator, temporary licensee to begin today, again, assuming the Board act today, through January 1st. It's very similar to the RFP we successfully used in the Tappan situation. It went out to ten different entities. These entities were select by me. They included everyone who was included in the earlier Tappan situation with a few additional proposers and that went out on the 21st.

On the 22nd, at the request of the proposers, there was a walkthrough of the facility, so they would have an opportunity to walk through

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

9

it and get a sense of what it was they were being asked to operate. On the 23rd, there was a nonmandatory, but very well attended proposers conference held at the Department of Parks. Commissioner Nocerino was there as well as Deputy Town Attorney Rozea and myself. There were a lot of representatives. Pretty much most of the proposers were present. They had a full opportunity to ask questions and they did. result of that I think we issued one or two e-mails with explanatory materials. Proposals were due at my office at noon yesterday and they were received, six proposals yesterday at my office. They were forward electronically and hard copy to a committee of the Town employees and representatives. evaluation committee who met yesterday at 2:00 to review and consider all of these proposals. had all the proposals before them.

My recommendation, based on our experience with Tappan, I suggested that, although there was a ranking, there was a score sheet that was distributed for the committee members -- by the way, the committee consisted of Commissioner Nocerino, Commissioner Betz, John Bishop, Christine Wiis and Leonard Symons and Mr. Rozea was also

2.0

present as a nonvoting member.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: For the record, where is he, Former Councilman Leonard Symons?

MR. SINNREICH: My apologies.

Mr. Symons basically chaired that
meeting, and as I said, there was a scoring sheet
that was used as you know and those scores are not
public. They interagency deliberations, but the
scoring took place and my advice based on my
experience with this Board, rather than making a
single recommendation, I suggested and the
committee agreed that they would choose three
recommended proposers. Of course, the Board is
free to choose whoever you want. You're not bound
by that. But there were three recommended
proposers that I suggested we present the Board.

At this point, I suggest I turn it over to Councilman Symons who can describe in a little more detail that process.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you.

Councilman Symons?

I think everybody knows former Town

Councilman Symons who served this Town very well

for a very long period of time.

MR. SYMONS: Just for the record, it's

2.0

Leonard B. Symons, Former Councilman, current

Deputy Commissioner and Chief Forecaster of the

Town of Oyster Bay Weather Center.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: What's it going do in the next hour?

MR. SYMONS: Supervisor, you mentioned a moment ago that you -- you phrased the words matter and they do. And the process matters.

I want to share to the Board and to all the interested parties what the process was that I participated in along with this committee.

would take place on this RFP which is a quality-based selection process. We are not buying here a set of tires or a set of batteries. We are buying somebody who is -- has expertise in the food industry and the service industry. When I received that phone call, I was told the time and a place. That's it. I was not told who the committee members were nor did I ask. I was not told who the applicants were nor did I ask. But I appeared at the selected time and place which was yesterday at 2:00 at Town Hall South. It was the first time that I met my colleagues that Mr. Sinnreich has already identified.

2.0

At that time and only at that time to all of us were the identity of the applicants shared and that really started the process, if you will. We were handed the several proposals by each of the applicants and what we did was in each instance we read, digested and discussed each of those applications.

Now, the discussions were really centered around the rating sheets. This was not -- we were not asked individually, what do you think of this one and what do you think of that one. It was substantive discussions. It was in detail. We spent at least three hours going over the applications and the various proposals.

I would describe the discussions as fair, open, transparent and certainly, most certainly, worthy of the public trust. Ultimately, what I would like to do here is share the criteria. I think that's important for everybody to know what was the process, what we went through, what we considered. Number one was the firm's overall capabilities and that included size, staffing, resources financial capability relative to the size of the assignment. And in this particular case, the assignment was not only catering, but it also

2.0

2.5

1.3

had to address golfers. So a golfer coming in after 19 holes of golf or getting out early in the morning and playing 9 holes of golf was not particularly interested in what type of sushi was being served. So it was two populations that the applicants (inaudible) special knowledge relative to the project and special equipment or facilities relative to the project. Then, of course, we got into the firm's experience. Experience of the firm and assignments of similar size, scope and complexity, knowledge and experience with Town facilities and programs involved in the assignment and knowledge of Town philosophy, practices and procedures and any past performance that the applicant had with the department.

The third area, organizational ability, the magnitude, scope, complexity of the services to be rendered, the firm's current workload with the Town, time constraints and deliverability of the service since we had to bring someone in rather quickly. Of course, as Mr. Sinnreich indicated, it's a fixed time period with an end time at the end of the year of January 1st.

So the discussions took place on all of these various objective criteria. When it came

1.3

time to vote, we were given rating sheets. The vote was taken independently. It was the vote, the actual numbers that we assigned to each of these criteria, it was not discussed at the time. We did so privately. We did so efficiently and we handed those rating sheets in and that is how we came to the bottom line of the three of the top choices that we recommended to the Board.

Again, as Mr. Sinnreich indicated, these are recommendations. The Board certainly is not bound by them. Considering all of the applicants, we did submit the top three.

Again, I think that the process itself, I used the term worthy of public trust because it was an excellent process and one, I think, I wanted to share with the Board as to how we came to our conclusions.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Well done.

MR. SYMONS: Does anybody have any questions?

COUNCILMAN PINTO: First, I want to thank the committee and applaud you for acting so quickly and giving us the information we need to make this decision.

You mentioned that everybody is fully

15 1 capable -- the three recommendations that you 2 presented to us, do you feel strongly that all 3 three of them are fully capable of doing the job? 4 MR. SYMONS: I do. Each person waits 5 for the criteria, but there were new ones, but in response to your question, most definitely all 6 7 three. 8 COUNCILMAN PINTO: I understand this is from now until it the end of December. 9 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Keep in mind, 11 it's January 1st? 12 MR. SINNREICH: Yes. 13 MR. SYMONS: The top three which I 14 failed to share with everybody was the Carlyle 15 Group, Lessing's and Scotto Brothers. 16 COUNCILMAN PINTO: In no particular 17 order. 18 MR. SYMONS: No particular order. 19 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Looking to past 20 labor practices of the restaurants or any fines 21 they had for nonpayment of wages, not paying the 22 minimum wage or anything else? 23 MR. SYMONS: We certainly discussed 24 vetting all of the applicants and again, a number 25 of criteria, that was discussed.

16 1 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: 2 discovered by your committee? 3 MR. SYMONS: No, not, at least, at the time we met. 4 5 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: We've had issues with others getting up to speed and whatnot. 6 7 We've had issues with liquor licenses and things of that nature. Did the committee look at that? 8 9 MR. SYMONS: Yes, that is actually a 10 critical issue. 11 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Where does 12 that leave us? 13 MR. SYMONS: We have been assured, by 14 certainly the top three that we chose, that they 15 can do what they have to do quickly, efficiently 16 and professionally. 17 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: And be fully 18 operational and no issues with respect to liquor 19 licenses or any other licenses needed? 2.0 MR. SYMONS: Yes. 21 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: What about things 22 that are not quantifiable? I know you had to use a 23 Metrix of some type and get it down to numbers. 24 But, as the only one up here who's ever 25 been a bride, I believe there are things that sort

17 1 of don't fall into these categories, like something 2 that is unique or different. I would like to see 3 the facility become a really competitive place in the market place. To hear the bride say, oh my 4 5 God, did you hear I was able to get my wedding there? You know, did you have a feeling -- I don't 6 7 mean to put you on the spot. 8 MR. SYMONS: We did. For example, the 9 market, the improvements to the facility that may 10 be needed, all of that was discussed and addressed 11 by this committee. While we had the criteria, we 12 weren't bound solely by which criteria. That was 13 the focus. 14 In response to your question, most 15 definitely --16 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: The top three 17 includes those types of --18 MR. SYMONS: Yes. 19 COUNCILMAN PINTO: The only question I 20 have is only one of those three make reference to a 21 monthly fee and expenses such as heat, electricity 22 and operating costs? 23 MR. SYMONS: Yes. COUNCILMAN PINTO: All the fees that 24 25 are mentioned, does the Town included or is that on

18 1 top of --2 MR. SYMONS: The heat, the electric, 3 the additional factors, my recollection is that only one made that proposal to the Town. 4 5 COUNCILMAN PINTO: It was a monthly fee plus that and the other ones were all included? 6 7 MR. SYMONS: The heat and electric was 8 not parch out separately. One applicant talked 9 about monthly fees plus paying some additional 10 costs. 11 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: So what is the 12 understanding for everybody else; that's it's 13 included? 14 MR. SYMONS: I can't answer that. 15 MR. SINNREICH: The RFP call clearly 16 requires the operator to pay its own utilities. 17 COUNCILMAN PINTO: When we are getting 18 proposals and they are giving their month fee would 19 be, we're going to assume it's a monthly fee plus? 2.0 MR. SINNREICH: Correct, and that is in 21 the RFP. 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Councilman, I 23 will tell you what impresses me, when I went 24 through them all, there was a -- it's not a 25 science obviously -- different evaluators would

2.0

come up with a different score. But I was amazed a the consistency. It truly was consistent. The higher number seems consistent with -- the grades are almost the same across the board. If was almost like as if there was some objectivity involved. Clearly, there wasn't. Obviously, a lot of thought went into this.

I'm not saying it was easy, but the fact that there was that kind of consistency creates in our minds that they must have gotten it right.

MR. SYMONS: We took a lot of time and took our responsibility very seriously.

wanted to ask you, I know we don't always have the wherewithal to do background checks and things of that nature, we are not investigators. I don't even know if we have the wherewithal to do it internally. I seem to remember there was some discussion about -- Jonathan, I will look to you -- is any of this subject to any further searches or any further -- anything in the way of background checks or anything of that nature or are we satisfied that everybody is good to go?

MR. SINNREICH: Supervisor, what I've

recommended -- we didn't have time to do all that in a very compressed time. Once this Board selects a final proposer, we will require that proposal to make that kind of disclosure as a condition to the contract.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm not trying to be difficult.

MR. SINNREICH: We are not going to be able independently vet it. We're going to ask for a disclosure which would be a warrantee and representation by the contractee, the licensee that's all we can do for the three months.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm not being unreasonable. So any choice we make today would be subject to that further --

MR. SYMONS: We discussed that. Our committee raised that question.

MR. SINNREICH: I think I misspoke earlier because I looked back at the RFP. Let me tell you what the RFP says. The Town will provide the utilities which was the prior situation except telephone. That has been the Town's responsibility.

I do want to say -- I want to emphasize and I think it's very important that we worked a

2.0

2.1

lot off the existing agreement. The Town now has -- there's only going to be a three-month agreement in the context of a long-term and permanent agreement which I strongly recommended we get going immediately to consider all of these issues are fresh and we will do that bound to what we have.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I guess largely because the temporary award or temporary licensee is going to be somewhat -- have their hands tied to some extent.

MR. SINNREICH: One of the things we made a conscious decision where we are not requiring any improvements to be made in those three months. This is really to get us through the next three months, make sure that all of the events that are already scheduled are properly handled while the Town goes through real effort to find a long-term --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Not to mention bookings prospectively.

MR. SYMONS: Just for clarification purposes, one of Mr. Sinnreich's remarks, one applicant added additional payments to the Town.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: There was one

2.0

applicant who said I'll take on the utilities?

MR. SYMONS: Yes.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The Board has
to -- I guess, Leonard and Jonathan, if you could
both stand up here with us. We are kind of at
decision time here. We have a resolution on
today's calendar that has blank in it and the blank
is the name of the temporary licensee at Woodlands
and we need to fill in that blank before we proceed
to the call of the regular Action Calendar. That
was the bottom line of this work session.

So I think what has to happen now is the Board has to arrive -- publically arrive -- I believe we have to do it publically -- being mindful of any confidences were -- should not be disclosed, properly disclosed especially in the interest of the applicant. I guess we have to arrive now publically at a consensus as to what should be done here.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: As long we are being told by the committee that the three that are being recommended are fully capable to handle the next three months, I'm looking at the highest dollar we can get. I'm seeing here, Lessing's (inaudible) the rent that will be getting from the other two

1.3

2.0

applicants and because of that I would be looking for Lessing's to take the note.

was that there's a lot that goes into this.

There's also, I guess what I'll call quality of life, and sometimes you have to have a knee-jerk reaction -- that's not the right word -- chemical reaction to what is right. I think we all attended establishments -- I see hands going up. We won't be taking any questions at the work session, but we are free to take any questions after in public comment.

I was actually surprised at how the ratings turned out. There was a particular applicant that I kind of had a lean towards based upon personal experience there. When I stepped back and looked everything over, when you look at the depth of what's involved here, especially in terms of long range, I also would pick one of the three recommendees, if you will. My instinct tells me, I think Scotto Brothers was the one who really had substance and depth to it, especially if we are serious about a long haul and making this a competitive establishment.

MR. SINNREICH: If I may say, you

1.3

2.0

2.4

really, respectfully, can't be considering the long haul because the long haul is going to have to be completely competitive. We can't give any benefit to whomever gets the three months.

are only doing it until January 31st. I get it. I can't help as the Town supervisor, especially in light of the past history, looking for someone who has depth and who has ability and I would like to see what they can do. I would like to see what they can do over the next three months. It does not mean they're going to be back on January 2nd.

Keep in mind, when you are selected to do this, it can work one of two ways, you can fulfill the expectation or you can fall flat on your face. But I would be interested in seeing Scotto Brothers working there for the next three months and what they're capable of.

MR. SINNREICH: Just to follow up on Councilman Pinto's calculations, I think, if I recall, it was Scotto Brothers who were willing to pay additional costs. I think you have to add that --

COUNCILMAN PINTO: The Lessing's monthly is 25,000 more than the Scotto's monthly,

1.3

2.0

so there's a lot of room there to take into consideration the operation costs.

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: 10 percent (inaudible) it will probably equate to the same. My gut feeling is that the transition is crucial here. It has to be flawless. It's got to be move in, operate, get it done, satisfy the customer along with the taxpayer. I would go along with Scotto because of the proximity, the quality, the way they operate is a class operation. I don't know the others, but that's my gut feeling.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I'll be quite honest with you, we give the Carlyle Group a shot up at Tappan and basically, they hit it out of the park. They did a great job. I heard no complaints from the residents. My gut feeling, the did a good job. That's my feeling.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I'm viewing it more like a consumer than -- I wish my brain worked a little more like Councilman Pinto's and I could process it from a financial standpoint. But I feel like the places I find, the places I've seen and looking at the proposals, I actually like an outlier that was not one of the top three.

Anybody else have any feeling about any

26 1 who was not in the top three? 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I actually did. 3 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Do you want to see if they are the same? 4 5 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't know. Should we get involved in that? 6 7 MR. SINNREICH: The Board can pick 8 whoever --9 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I was going to 10 say I like Lovinov (CHECK) and I've been to their 11 places in the city and I feel they are fresh and 12 different. 13 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I did too, but I 14 was looking for more meat on the bone so to speak, 15 looking for depth. I want to use this three-month 16 period to see if that depth is there. 17 MR. SINNREICH: You have to consider 18 somebody local. Again, considering the population 19 they need to serve, the employee pool and et 2.0 cetera --21 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Obviously, they 22 were not in top three. 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Lovinov was not. 24 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: You have to 25 make a decision based on that. Financial strength

27 1 of the corporation is big. 2 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I'm trying to 3 balance in between. I would say, again, going back 4 to the esthetics of the proposals. Scotto, when I 5 got married years ago, was the gold standard. Not to put any of the other proposers down, that was 6 7 the thing, if you could have a wedding -- I don't 8 know. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You're bottom 10 line is? 11 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I'm between. 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's not 13 helping us. 14 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I would go 15 with Lessing's. My brain works similar to 16 Councilman Pinto's brain, I guess. I can't believe I said that. 17 18 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I quess we can't 19 close the work session not knowing --2.0 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I'm the tiebreaker. 21 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't want to 23 put that kind of pressure on you. 24 We need --25 MR. SINNREICH: You need four out of

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

28 1 six. 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: What do we have? 3 Lessing's, Carlyle -- so you have two, two and we 4 don't have Michele either. How does impact? 5 MR. SINNREICH: You guys are going to have to --6 7 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: The two 8 highest are Lessing's and Scotto. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You have two 10 Lessing's, one Carlyle and two Scotto. If Rebecca, 11 for example, goes for Lessing's, we would have two 12 across the board. 13 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: No, we would 14 have three. 15 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: It's only 16 temporary. 17 COUNCILMAN PINTO: I look at it that, 18 yes, it's temporary, but it's temporary, let's bring in the most revenue we can and then we go out 19 2.0 for the RFP for the future. Maybe SRB will catch 21 up. Let them understand that they came in a little 22 low and they may want to catch up. 23 MR. SINNREICH: Like everything else --24 procurement, the studies of that reveal that 25 obviously money is to be considered, but it's not

1 the chief consideration. It's the --2 COUNCILMAN PINTO: That's why I asked 3 if you thought everybody had the same capabilities. 4 I wouldn't have made this conclusion if you said 5 no, but you said yes. MR. SINNREICH: I did put together the 6 7 entire list. There's no one on that list who I 8 don't think is fully qualified to do the job. They 9 are all top ranked. I agree to some extent with 10 Councilwoman Alesia, it's always the gut, who is 11 going to do the Town proud. That's something of a 12 psychological gut choice. We need four of you to pick one. 13 14 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: All things 15 being equal then, as you stated, we go with the 16 most money, right? 17 MR. SYMONS: You guys have to decide. 18 I'm confident that whomever you pick from --19 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: We need? 20 MR. SINNREICH: I think capability --21 when I say everyone is capable --22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: They are not 23 equal. 24 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Why don't we 25 pick the two who got the most votes?

30 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't know how 1 2 you would do that. We need four votes. I don't 3 know. 4 MR. SINNREICH: We just made 5 recommendations. Obviously, you are the decision 6 makers. 7 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I'm just saying if two of them got more votes than the 8 9 others, maybe now it will boil down to two of the 10 three and maybe we can select one of the two? 11 MR. SINNREICH: That's makes sense. 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Take the two 13 highest votes. That's fine. I would look for 14 quidance. 15 MR. SINNREICH: That does make a 16 certain amount of logic. 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The Carlyle, for 18 example, has one vote and that can't be the winner 19 any longer, right? 2.0 MR. SINNREICH: You are down to two. 21 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's up to Tony 22 if he wants to -- I would leave it up to Tony as to 23 whether or not he wants to change his vote. 24 Do you hear what they're saying? 25 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I hear what

31 1 they're saying. It sounds like more like the 2 Bachelor than the Town Board meeting. But yes, 3 given that scenario, I have to agree with 4 Councilman Coschignano and Pinto. I'll go for the 5 more money for the three months and go with 6 Lessing's. 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You have three 8 Lessing's, two Scotto, we await Rebecca. 9 How do we get Michele? 10 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: She recused 11 herself. 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I see. 1.3 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I hold the Scotto 14 Company in the highest regard and I would like to 15 see what Lessing's can do in the three months. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You have four 16 17 Lessing's. 18 MR. SINNREICH: You are going to have 19 to do that in the public --2.0 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay. 21 MR. SINNREICH: Thank you very much. 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We will take a 23 brief recess and we will be back shortly. 24 (Whereupon, a recess was taken at 25 11:50 a.m. and the proceedings resumed at

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

32 1 12:22 p.m.) 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and 3 gentlemen, if everyone would find seats, we will 4 continue. Mr. Altadonna? 5 6 MR. ALTADONNA: We are looking at a 7 walk on. You have to give us a minute to put it 8 together. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay. This as a 10 result of the work session? 11 MR. ALTADONNA: Yes. 12 May I have a motion to suspend the 13 rules and add the following Walk-On Resolution No. 14 544-2016 which is the Resolution relating to the 15 termination of a certain licensee agreement with 16 SRB Convention Catering Corp.? 17 On the motion? 18 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 19 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 2.0 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 21 22 Macagnone. 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: What number did 24 you call up to? 25 MR. ALTADONNA: This was the suspend.

	33
1	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay.
2	MR. ALTADONNA: On the vote, Supervisor
3	Venditto?
4	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye."
5	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
6	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
7	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
8	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
9	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
10	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
11	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
12	COUNCILMAN PINTO: This is to get it on
13	the agenda, but we're going to vote on it later on?
14	MR. ALTADONNA: This is to suspend
15	COUNCILMAN PINTO: Right, to get it on
16	the agenda?
17	MR. ALTADONNA: Correct.
18	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
19	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson.
20	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: I just want to
21	clarify that I can vote to put it on.
22	MR. GENOVA: I would abstain.
23	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: I abstain.
24	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to suspend the
25	rules of the procedure of the added walk-on

34 Resolution No. 544-2016 passes with six "Ayes" and 1 2 one abstention. 3 May I have a motion to adopt walk-on Resolution No. 544 --4 5 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think everybody understood we are going to adopt and --6 7 MR. ALTADONNA: You are going to 8 adopt --9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I wasn't really 10 sure why we're doing it this way to begin with. 11 This is what you are revising? You 12 wanted to put it at the end. 13 We have now voted to add it to the 14 calendar. You will add it to the end. 15 MR. ALTADONNA: We also have another 16 one that we have to add on. 17 May I have a motion re-suspend the 18 rules and add the following walk-on Resolution No. 19 545-2016 which is a resolution relating to an 20 emergency RFP and to select a temporary 21 concessionaire to pride services from 9/26/2016 22 through 1/1/2017 at the TOB golf course? 23 On the motion? 24 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 25 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.

35 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 1 2 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 3 Macagnone. 4 On the vote, Supervisor Venditto? 5 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye." 6 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella? 7 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 8 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone? 9 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 10 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano? 11 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye." 12 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto? 13 COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye." MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia? 14 15 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye." MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson? 16 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Abstain." 17 18 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to re-suspend 19 the rules and add the following walk-on Resolution 2.0 No. 545-2016 passes with six "Ayes" and one 21 abstention. 22 I will add them to the regular Action 23 Calendar and they will be polled at that time and 24 we do have speakers on this. 25 (Time noted: 11:40 a.m.)

TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
TOWN BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
SEPTEMBER 27, 2016
11:41 a.m.

HEARING - LOCAL LAW

To consider a local law entitled "A Local Law to Amend Chapter 209 -Taxation, to add Article X - Override of Real Property Tax Levy to the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, New York to Override the Tax Levy Limit Established in General Municipal Law Section 3-C." (M.D. 8/23/16 #49).

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK
JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES
LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY
THOMAS SABELLICO, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
DONNA B. SWANSON, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY

(Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Youne angeles

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

YVONNE ANGELES
Official Reporter/Notary

ALSO PRESENT:

MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY CHRISTINE M. WISS, DEPUTY COMPTROLLER LINDA M. HERMAN, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION KURT LUDWIG, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS RICHARD T. BETZ, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LEONARD SYMONS, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES JAIME VAN DYKE, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Where are we? 1 2 MR. ALTADONNA: We are back to the 3 local hearing. To consider a local law entitled "A 4 5 Local Law to Amend Chapter 209 - Taxation, to add 6 Article X - Override of Real Property Tax Levy to 7 the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, New York to 8 Override the Tax Levy Limit Established in General Municipal Law Section 3-C." (M.D. 8/23/16 #49). 9 10 MR. SABELLICO: Good morning, Town 11 Board. 12 As the clerk just quoted, this is a 13 hearing to consider a local law to amend Chapter 14 209, taxation, to add article to override the real 15 property tax levy to the code of Town Oyster Bay, 16 override tax levy limit established in General 17 Municipal Section 3C. 18 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, 19 I'll make a motion to adjourn the public hearing to 20 October 18, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. 21 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 23 ALL: "Aye." 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 25 (No response.)

	4
1	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
2	MR. SABELLICO: Supervisor, I'd ask for
3	the Town Board's authorization in light of the
4	adjournment to publish a public notice in Newsday
5	so there's notice of the meeting on October 18th.
6	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You need a motion
7	for that?
8	MR. SABELLICO: Yes.
9	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: I'll make a
10	motion to move to advertise in Newsday.
11	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
12	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
13	ALL: "Aye."
14	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
15	(No response.)
16	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
17	MR. SABELLICO: Thank you.
18	(Time noted: 11:43 a.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
TOWN BOARD
SPECIAL PRESENTATION
SEPTEMBER 27, 2016
11:44 a.m.

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK

JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES

LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY

THOMAS SABELLICO, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY

DONNA B. SWANSON, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY

MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY

CHRISTINE M. WISS, DEPUTY COMPTROLLER

LINDA M. HERMAN, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK

RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK

RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK

ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS,

OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR

RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR,

OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR

CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

(Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Ymne lingeles

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

YVONNE ANGELES
Official Reporter/Notary

ALSO PRESENT:

MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION KURT LUDWIG, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS RICHARD T. BETZ, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LEONARD SYMONS, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES JAIME VAN DYKE, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

	3
1	MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor, we have the
2	Grumman people here.
3	Do you want to the handle that in the
4	end or
5	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We have a number
6	of people interested in that resolution.
7	MR. ALTADONNA: That is correct.
8	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Let's call it.
9	MR. ALTADONNA: That was a tabled
LO	resolution.
L1	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: It wasn't
L2	tabled. It was adjourned.
L3	MR. ALTADONNA: Adjourned.
L 4	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Bring it before
L5	us and we will hear
L 6	MR. ALTADONNA: You have to give it a
L7	minute, because it's not on the calendar.
L8	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and
L 9	gentlemen, if everyone would find seats, we will
20	continue and we will continue, I believe, with a
21	motion from Mr. Muscarella.
22	We need a motion to untable it. Put it
23	on before us and subject to whatever the Board does
24	thereafter
2.5	I know a number of people are here to

speak about it. We are going to take it out of 1 2 order. We have a large group waiting to talk all 3 morning, so we will exercise our discretion in 4 favor of taking it out order. 5 MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to 6 remove from the Table Resolution 433-2016 which was tabled on August 9, 2016? 7 8 On the motion? 9 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 10 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 12 ALL: "Aye." 13 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 14 (No response.) 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 16 The motion is before us. The resolution --17 18 MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to 19 adopt the Resolution No. 433-2016? SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We are not 2.0 21 adopting it. Just call the Resolution. 22 MR. ALTADONNA: I just called it. 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We have a number 24 of speakers. We have people on both sides of the 25 issue who want to speak.

1.3

2.0

MR. HANNON: Good morning, members of the Town Board and residents of the community.

My name is Edward Hannon on the Environmental Safety and Health Project for Northrop Grumman in Bethpage.

Thank you for the opportunity to address our request for the permitting for the RW20 well located on William Street. Approval of this project is vitally important to the Bethpage community.

A little bit about myself; for the last 35 years, I have managed a variety of environmental remediation efforts to address the legacy of the plans (inaudible) aerospace space across the country. I am pleased to be heading the project for Northrop Grumman.

As you know, we have already completed the installation of the RW21 and RW22 wells and we are making very good progress. Now, it's time to move ahead with the RW20 well. Again, this is why we are here to request for approval for the permit application on the RW20 well on William Street.

As with the RW21 well and 22 wells, our goal is to do the construction safely and properly. With me today are our environmental experts from

2.0

Arcadis that are here to help address any technical questions that you may have. I ask that you please hold on any questions until the end of my statement because we may address some of those questions during my statement.

Let me begin with the project summary. As I mentioned, work is going well with the RW21 and 22 wells. The green stars at the top and the bottom, this has been accomplished with a minimal response to the community. We do recognize that there were some minor inconveniences.

For example, we used up eight parking spaces during the installation of the RW21 well in the municipal parking lot. During the installation of the RW22 well, just off Arthur Avenue, there was a minor inconvenience to the local residents who could not walk their dog in that particular spot of the green belt system.

Overall, however, I'm pleased to report that the feedback that we received and New York
State DEC has received has been very positive.

Now, regarding the installation of RW20 well on William Street, this well allows us to capture the area with the greatest amount of mass and it's a vital step in starting the process of

2.0

remediation in this area. Without it, we cannot be successful.

At our last meeting, you, the Town
Board and the residents asked us to reconfigure the
work zone for the RW20 well. We did just that. As
you can see from the design, we have redesigned -the well was originally called for to be out in the
grassy area between the sidewalk and the road and
outlined in that blue area is the overall work
zone. We've worked closely with the local partners
to coordinate the plan relocation of the gas main.

The consolidation of those does require an additional four weeks from our original time frame. As a result of the smaller footprint, we had to reconfigure the whole process.

Our priority of this project remains the safety of the community and moving ahead in a proper and expeditious fashion as soon as construction begins.

There are five key processes that I would like to highlight, safety, security, controls, work hours and communications and engagement. Safety is paramount. All work materials will be contained within the site and excess materials will be removed each day.

1.3

2.0

Regarding security, work site security will be managed on 24/7 basis. We will hire security guards for the off hours of the evenings and contractors will manage the site security during the work hours. Controls will be put in place to minimize the inconvenience to the community. This will include flagmen during work hours and noise (inaudible) including wood panels as sound barriers.

Work hours will be conducted in the course of the Town code which is understood as 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

actively engaged with the homeowners in proximity to the project both in person, via phone, e-mails and letters. We've held several community meetings. We have met and briefed the Bethpage Fire Department. We've met and briefed the Nassau County Department of Health. We have an ongoing dialogue with the transportation company, the transportation team for the Bethpage School District and they stand by, ready and committed to communicating with the families once the RW20 well is approved.

We continue to be responsive to every

2.0

call, every question and every request that's made of us. There's also an established a biweekly process of -- meeting process where we have

New York State agents and County, Town of Oyster

Bay representative, these are intended to keep everybody apprised of our efforts and to keep the dialogue open and ongoing.

There is a current schedule which reflects -- you have a copy in front of you -- we revised that this morning as a result of learning of the asphalt plant closing in mid November. This is our current schedule. It reflects the elements of the project that have already been completed, those that are in process and those awaiting your approval.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to outline the changes to the RW20 project, changes which you requested and we have acknowledged. With your approval for the installation this specific New York State DEC approved well, we will move forward late Winter, early Spring as one project to minimize the impact to the community. We will do everything late Winter, early Spring and move forward to the construction of the pipelines.

Thank you, again. I ask for your

10 1 approval for the RW20 permit. 2 Now, I'll take any questions. 3 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: You said your works hours were 7:00 to 5:00, five days a week? 4 5 MR. HANNON: Yes. COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Can we make that 6 7 8:00 to 5:00, especially in the Winter? It's going 8 to be dark out. 9 MR. HANNON: Yes. 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's not a 11 problem? 12 MR. HANNON: No. 13 Any other questions? 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Don't go 15 anywhere. We have an assortment of speakers. 16 Richard Buck, do you want to bring 17 Terri Buck up with you? MS. BUCK: We live in the house on 18 19 William Street that would be fenced in. They're 2.0 going to move our utilities to another pole and all 21 that kind of stuff and prune our tree. 22 I'm still questioning why this would be 23 the best spot because they had explained they would 24 not do it near driveways, electrical lines and 25 everything like that. When you look at the picture

2.0

and that blue outline which is the fence, you can see it's difficult to get out of our driveway.

They do talk about communication, but honestly, everything is a surprise to us. We didn't know they wouldn't be starting at the end of Winter. But if you look at that fencing, you would question how they would be able to snowplow up to the driveways, what kind of issue that would be?

They do tell us that the sign says to do it here, but in the past, they told us electrical wires, driveways, now they need to move a gas main in the street to do it. It seems like a lot of inconvenience.

We're concerned about a 60-foot drilling rig so close to homes. The houses are only 35 feet off the road. It feels like a lot of lack of planning and the answers to the questions that we get seem to change a lot.

They tell us about moving the utilities and they tell us PSE&G will come up with a protocol and plan, but I don't think they considered the other things like telephone, internet. There will be a disruption in electric, gas. They are not concerned about any inconvenience to us or anything like that.

2.0

They say that they expect no vibrations from this drill. We do know better because we already had the testing drill on the corner. This drill is a lot bigger. They don't seem to be concerned. They say that they'll take pre and post construction videos surveys that -- one thing to look at would be the foundation.

How would you see the foundation under ground if you have a crack in the basement foundation through a video?

Regarding the elimination of the RW20 and just having the RW21 and 22, their story changes often.

Originally, they told us if they took away the one well it will be three to five extra years added to the project. Then they sent us a letter on 9/16 which stated it would add another ten years to the project. On 9/22, they sent a letter saying it's not acceptable to remove it and today we received paperwork that says Arcadis also evaluated the effect of increasing the pump rates at RW21 and 22 without the RW20 on the time to meet the 90 percent mass reduction. The results indicated that the remedial time frame would be decreased by approximately two years. It sounds

2.0

like a win/win situation, if that was true. We're just very confused with the information that they give us. If you look at the fencing --

And on to security and safety, I find that questionable. My husband can explain that.

MR. BUCK: I think it's the RW22 south of us. When I go there, they have sloppy work. I went there on a Saturday, 3:00, 4:00 and here it is leaking all over the place. They leave the water on numerous times and there's a dumpster (indicating).

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Can you leave those with us or --

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Mr. Buck, is there security there?

MS. BUCK: They stated that they would always have security at the three locations. I can show you the paperwork.

MR. BUCK: There's been numerous times -- I don't want to be a policeman, but it seems like -- we told John Ellsworth about it. He was there on Wednesday. Now, they are covering the dumpsters. The fire hydrants always looks like it's left on the on positon especially the one on the right of way. I would think at night you would

14 1 turn it off. 2 We've experienced with the MS. BUCK: 3 testing well that was on the corner. So, the 4 sloppily work --5 MR. BUCK: I have videos of it leaking 6 too. 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Do you have it? 8 MR. BUCK: Yes, on my phone. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: John has it? 9 10 MR. BUCK: I think John has it. 11 MS. BUCK: It's just hard to trust --12 now we are going to have the toxic plume under our 13 We have an in-ground pool about 50 feet 14 away from it. How safe is that with your kids? Ι 15 don't feel good about it. They're not concerned. 16 They're not concerned about property values or anything like that. We were listing our house 17 18 before this was happening. So property value is a 19 big deal to us because we were already planning on 20 this and now we are putting it on hold for this. 21 MR. BUCK: At the end of the road is a 22 deadline. In their statement, they want to go east 23 of 135. The right of way is west of 135, so I 24 don't understand. 25 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Don't go away.

15 1 John Ellsworth? I know we got the letter in 2 recently. I think I have a copy of it somewhere. 3 Can you tell us, maybe just give us a 4 synopsis? I believe it came last week. Can you 5 give us a synopsis? Before the Bucks leave, they might want to hear about that letter. 6 7 MR. ELLSWORTH: The Town received a 8 letter from DEC yesterday. 9 Basically, urging the Town Board to 10 approve the current proposal for the RW20 well and 11 we also received the final answers we received 12 yesterday as well from Arcadis on behalf of 13 Northrop Grumman. 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right. 15 The Board is going to have to take a 16 look at that letter and absorb it and keep you 17 posted. 18 Is there anything else you want to say? 19 MS. BUCK: Thank you. 2.0 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: John, you have that video? 21 22 MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes. 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Hold on it. 24 John Kenna? 25 MR. KENNA: Good afternoon.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

16

My name is John Kenna. I live at 33 William Street which is the west side of Broadway.

They made certain concession, Arcadis and Northrop Grumman, to us as far as moving the site now east of Broadway to open that intersection. We appreciate that, but we are concerned with the piecemeal process that this whole project has taken. It seems like they have worked out A,B,C and D, but they haven't figured out X, Y and Z as far as what's going on there. They want these three wells to go -- to be processed and done, but they don't have an answer to where they are going to put the water after the discharge, after it's treated. They don't have the treatment plans submitted. Where are they going to put it? How they are going to pipe the water to discharge? You're talking about two million gallons of water a day going into this discharge That was not meant for that. There's basin. already a treatment plant that's piping that water into that Arthur Avenue basin now. I'm not sure what that output is. Now, they are adding another two million gallons.

The latest that they've come up with is

1.3

2.0

that they're going to do testing in that area and maybe they are going to put another well -- another basin in. How long that takes and how that process -- I'm not sure about that. But why wasn't all this thought off before all this was done. Spending eight months or whatever making plans and submit it --

We are just concerned that you show that you are interested in our safety, the project is viable, it works. They are held to this -- to what they are promising to do and because there's other issues, the DPW has issues with their new basin. They want to do the testing of that. And we had --

They just recently submitted a site map for the trenching from the 21 well and 22 well.

There's no site map that we've seen as far as the William Street trenching that they want to do from that well to the right of way. There's utilities that have to be dealt with.

We urge you to hold Northrop Grumman responsible to have a complete comprehensive plan for this project.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: John Ellsworth, have you been in contact with Nassau County DPW on

	18
1	this?
2	MR. ELLSWORTH: I have a call into
3	them.
4	MR. KENNA: Thank you.
5	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Patricia Kivo?
6	MS. KIVO: Good afternoon.
7	Just to follow up on what John said,
8	they have made accommodations for us and we do
9	appreciate that.
10	We also understand, we looked at all
11	the data and we see what's scientific
12	information, if you will as far as the location of
13	the well.
14	My questions relate to kind of a
15	timeline of this whole thing. If the Board does
16	feel compelled to approve this my question is,
17	we are drilling a well
18	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Do you want to
19	MS. KIVO: To the best of my
20	understanding
21	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Patricia?
22	MS. KIVO: Thank you.
23	My questions are, basically, when you
24	start the well when you start construction on
25	this well, what happens when you are done? You are

19 1 there for two months. What happens when this thing 2 is complete? Does it become operational? 3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Do it through the chair -- your first question was? 4 5 MS. KIVO: As far as I know, there is no pumping station, there's no viable pumping 6 7 station yet and my understanding from the County is 8 that they do not wish the water from this 9 particular well to be pumped into Arthur Avenue. 10 My understanding is that GM38, I 11 believe it is, which Arthur Avenue is already 12 accommodating, and storm water should be going into 1.3 the Arthur Avenue basin and another basin should be 14 built to accommodate whatever is coming out of this 15 well. 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Is her 17 understanding correct? 18 MR. HANNON: No. 19 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Do you want to 20 tell us what's --21 MR. HANNON: We have been communicating 22 with the County. Our plan is to go in and do a 23 maintenance program, you remove all the trees that 24 have grown through the water. You do a percolation 25 test to the soil and we plan to add a small second

2.0

basin for maintenance purposes so we divert from RW21 to that basin. We do maintenance on that and that will be done periodically. That's the routine. That's how it is done. We communicated with director of the program. We have to provide the data, but we can't do that until we permission (inaudible).

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: John Ellsworth, is that?

MR. ELLSWORTH: Pending my discussion with the Nassau County --

MS. KIVO: I had a conversation with someone at public works and that was the information that I got.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All the more reason why we have --

MS. KIVO: We are getting conflicting stories. We understand this has to be done. It's a little confusing to all of us that a well is going to be installed with nowhere -- I assume it's not going to become operational as soon as you leave the site. There's no plant and there's no piping to pump it into a basin regardless of where that basin is.

It seems like, perhaps, we are putting

2.0

2.1

the cart before the horse. I don't understand what the urgency is at this point.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you.

Just so you know we will be bringing the gentleman back to address all the issues.

William Danielson?

MR. DANIELSON: Good afternoon.

I have a prepared statement I would like to read.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Just read it slowly.

MR. DANIELSON: Regarding the well on Broadway and William Street, I'm reminded of Winston Churchill World War II quote. If I could paraphrase him, a modern of version of his quote might go something like this; never in the history of the Town of Oyster was so much done for the benefit of so many at the inconvenience and expense of so few.

Regarding the situation we have here, if the Town Board okays the remedial well on Broadway and William Street, placing the well at this location places a burden in the form of closed streets, noise, power interruptions, demolished sidewalks and likely decreased property values on

2.0

the mediate residents of Broadway and William Street.

This burden by the few immediate residents of this location who, for the most part, were the only Town of Oyster Bay residents to attend the August Board meeting. Perhaps residents a little further away feel that they are not adversely affected by this plan and have the better there than here attitude.

While the Broadway and William Street residents are adversely affected and it's not fair, there are three options to correct this plume problem. One is to take over the South Farmingdale Water District wells and installing extraction wells that access the Cedar Creek water pollution control plant for treatment and discharge. This might be more expensive, but would be the right thing to do. By installing wells, no resident will experience the impact that the Broadway and William Street residents will.

I would imagine that if any Board member lived at Broadway or William Street, he or she would give a lot of consideration for this option. All we ask of the Board is to do the right thing.

1.3

2.0

2.3

Regarding the South Farmingdale wells,

I have a newspaper article that states that that's
an option and it was put out by the HDR Corporation
who is a consulting firm. More information was
available to them.

In conclusion, the bottom line is many, many residents of Bethpage and beyond will benefit from these wells. Only the residents of William and Broadway will bear the many burdens of the wells. This is unfair. The Board has to do the right thing and use the south Farmingdale wells.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, William.

Thank you.

Edward Hannon, you know what? While I do have you up, Ed, I'm like the nightly news reporter who reads the teleprompter.

Can I ask you a question? Is there anything else we can do to, short of addressing the problem in the subject area, is there anything else that needs to be done? Are you being delayed in any other ways or is this a essential --

MR. HANNON: We are working with the Town attorneys for access agreements for the pipelines, the construction of the treatment

2.0

2.4

facility, to discharge into the Arthur Avenue recharge basin and the construction would be additional. We thought it would be on the docket today. The Town Attorney reached out to our attorney and said it would not make it on today, it would be followed up early next month.

Other than that, no, we are proceeding according to the plan because we are operating under the commissioner's orders to accelerate this process and that's why it appears to be piecemeal.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I hope you can appreciate that we kind of have the irresistible force, but we do have a -- I guess overriding -- you know, I have to be careful.

Reflexively, we have an overriding priority to protect the safety, the welfare of our residents. You can understand that as a Town Board, how we are impressed with that.

On the other hand, we don't want to the delay the progress. So is there a way -- because we're going to need a little bit of time --

MR. HANNON: If I may.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: When is that matter on? It's on for the next Town Board hearing?

1.3

2.0

MR. HANNON: That's my understanding from last night, your attorney communicated with our attorney.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't know how the rest of the Board feels about it, but I would be interested in adjourning this today. It will give us additional time to consider what we are hearing and also to review the information we got from you in that September 26th letter. We will have everything on for the 18th. Maybe I'm getting ahead of you.

MR. HANNON: I heard some concerns from the residents and I would like address some of the concerns to set them at ease.

 $\label{eq:supervisor} \mbox{SUPERVISOR VENDITTO:} \mbox{ We still have a} \\ \mbox{few more speakers.}$

Why don't we get them all in one shot?
Robert Ripp?

MR. RIPP: Robert Ripp from Massapequa,
New York.

I have a couple of questions regarding this Resolution. I'm really unfamiliar with it. I understand that the original Resolution 433-2016 was tabled some time in August. It's apparent that the Town Board knew that we were going to have this

1.3

2.0

2.6

resolution and these people were invited. I got to speak with the people on Grumman. They explained to them that John Ellsworth explained to them to be here today.

I don't understand how the residents that are speaking here today, how they knew how to be here and that's because today the Town Board published an Action Calendar and this Resolution was not on it. You published your draft resolutions, nothing pertaining to this resolution was published. I don't know how anyone -- I'm curious to know how the residents here were contacted that this was going on today because how would any other resident in the Town who may be interested in this know that this was happening.

Mr. Ellsworth specifically mentioned that yesterday he received some documents from the DEC.

Well, the Open Meetings Law in New York
State is very clear, if those documents were before
the Board, they should have been published for
people to read, to know exactly what's going on.
It's my belief that you shouldn't even be having
this hearing today because of these issues.

You're the Town Supervisor and the Town

1.3

2.0

Board, you can do whatever you want. I don't think it's fair to the rest of the residents in the Town of Oyster Bay. I realize you just said that you are going to table the meeting, but I believe this is an issue that should be addressed and every resident has a right to know what is happening in the Town of Oyster Bay.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you.

Helene Richardson?

MS. RICHARDSON: Good afternoon.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good afternoon.

MS. RICHARDSON: My neighbors have addressed the concerns of the residents at the William Street and Broadway intersection. But I have to refute something that Mr. Hannon said.

At the meeting of 8/9 here, he promised that residents would be made aware of what was going on in the neighborhood. There has been not one ounce of communication between Northrop Grumman or Arcadis with any of us. And given the fact that three of the four corners of that intersection is here, they can confirm that we've heard nothing from them with regard to plans and updates. It's been up to us to do the research and to do the homework.

2.0

What Mr. Hannon said with regard to communicating with us, is a fallacy.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you.

Ed, you're back up. I guess you have a litany of questions.

MR. HANNON: Let me go in reverse, there's been absolutely no progress as far -- to communicate with the residents since that meeting. We are at a standstill waiting for the Board to approve the well. Then, we will have schedules to communicate with the residents, et cetera.

At this time, we have nothing additional communicate to residents. We have been at a standstill for the RW20.

With regard to the HDR report, the use of the South Farmingdale Water District wells, they are too far down that would allow the mass to get beyond our control and impact, potentially, the Farmingdale Water District before it leads to South Farmingdale Water District.

Regarding the time lines, as a result of being an accelerated project, we are designing as we go along, we are designing this to get the sampling data, the ground water sample data in so we understand and know. We submitted 60 percent

2.0

designs to the New York State DEC for their review and approval. The next phase will be 90 percent designs and we will share those designs with the Town representatives. At which point, then, we will have approved plan to publicize. That is the sequence for such a construction project.

Regarding where to discharge the water, we have plants. We presented those plants, we also presented the plans about the pipe that would be routed down William Street over to the easement area east. Once this permit is approved and finalized, we can have an updated meeting with the residents to remind them of what we discussed then and what we've learned since then.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: How do you think that meeting would benefit?

MR. HANNON: I'm hearing some questions that I thought -- I felt we answered before and addressed.

Also, I'm hearing some things that people who are not familiar with such projects, they see what looks like a piecemeal approach and they don't realize it's a sequential approach and this is normal business. So I think that would help and we can take care of that before the next

Town Board meeting.

2.0

With regard to the comment that they just learned that we moved it to late Winter, that's because we were just informed Friday that the asphalt plant will close in mid November -- it's scheduled to close in mid November. As a result, we do want to do this work, leave a cold patch over on William Street and come back in the spring. So we decided to take the well project and abut it to the pipeline project and get it all done in '17, but we need that approval to proceed so we can get the utility company to move the gas main now. That's why we are here today.

MR. ALTADONNA: Mr. Hannon, I have one question.

What is the cost of this overall project?

MR. HANNON: We are still in the design phases of that.

MR. ALTADONNA: I'm talking about the entire project.

MR. HANNON: \$10 million.

MR. ALTADONNA: Early on when you first come here, you had mentioned that you may be amicable to purchasing some properties from the

31 1 residents; is that still on the table? No, it's not. 2 MR. HANNON: 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Why did that happen? MR. HANNON: Because we did manage to 4 5 get the utility companies to move the gas lines, we were able to move the volt to the street. 6 7 MR. ALTADONNA: Would you consider that 8 once again because of the hardships that --9 I don't think it would be MR. HANNON: 10 necessary to level a house or modify a house to put 11 a well in the house. It's a low-activity device. 12 It's not something where there's workers there 1.3 everyday or every month. Once we get it up and 14 running, it just runs and they run for long periods 15 of time requiring no or low maintenance. We'll 16 have remote electronic monitoring for any data, so 17 we don't need to access this. Because we are in 18 the street now, we need to construct it to a 19 specific civil engineering design criteria, but we 2.0 will not need to put it on private property or 21 residential property. 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The answer is no? 23 The answer is no. MR. HANNON: 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Before you go, do

you want to confer with them?

1.3

2.0

MR. HANNON: Yes.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Just to the residents, you've heard the responses; is there anything you want amplify or --

MR. BUCK: I would think a project of this magnitude you would have 100 percent design.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Can you --

MR. WOLFERT: There's a couple of questions I would like to respond to. As far as the design goes, it's in the process with the State which prepares 60 percent design, they took a look at it, review comments, then modify it on a 90 percent design. As far as the 100 percent design, is when you actually build the facility and prepare as build drawings. You have a record of what was actually done. It the gives the State an opportunity if they want to correct or modify rather than giving them 100 percent design. It gets some part of the process moving along.

A couple of other things I want to address, somebody mentioned about water running all the time, why wouldn't it be shut off. The wells are being drilled by the mud (inaudible). They take portable water, they mix it with Batanides to make a mud slurry. It keeps the borehole open.

2.0

They tend to drink the mud. The mud goes into formation. If you walk away from the well at night and don't add the water, it can drink the mud and the mud can go down the borehole and collapse. The water has to stay on to keep the mud filled to the top so they don't reach the borehole. That's the specific reason they did that.

Also someone asked once the wells are on -- the wells go in, then the pipes have to go in. They have to be connected to the treatment plant. A treatment plant has to be built. A line has to go from the treatment plant hopefully to the Arthur Avenue basin or supplemental basin and supplemental basin has to be constructed. Once all those pieces are in place, then the wells go on, not before.

The wells are pumped now to develop them to remove silt and mud. They go into a baker tank and discharged. As far as a full scale operation wells, that will not happen until all the components are in and the water can be piped to the treatment plant, treated and then discharged to a basin.

One other item I'd like to cover, it was mentioned before about whether Well 20 was

1.3

2.0

installed or not and changed in the cleanup time.

If Well 20 was -- could not be installed

(inaudible) that would increase the cleanup time by
ten years. Someone mentioned two years. We also

looked at -- if Well 20 did not go on and you took

21 and 22 and increased the pump substantially, how
would that effect the cleanup time. Instead of
increasing the cleanup time by ten years, it would
be eight years. There would two years difference.

why this well, why here, why in front of this house. We did an initial study in 2007, 2008 to define the extent of ground water impact from the park offsite. The State came out with the rod.

Northrop Grumman asked to do additional studies to define the area of elevated concentration of ground water south of the side, so a second study was done 2014 and '15 to define this area and some additional modeling to figure out which wells you need and where should they go to most effectively clean up the ground water.

As a result of those two studies and the modeling, we came up with three wells, 21, 20 and 22, where they would have to go. I'd like to say if we would move the wells to people's

1.3

2.0

convenience but the impacted ground water is where it is. We have to go to the heart of that to clean it up rather than going to a remote location. I think those are the additional things I wanted to touch on unless you have questions for me.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I have a great question, but nobody seems to be able to help me. You know, I and many other members of the Board have been sitting here a long time and I've never seen a situation like this especially working with the State on countless projects across the length and breath of the Town where we just have not been able to accommodate the State's need and of course balance that with the welfare of the residents. I've just never seen it happen.

I don't know why this situation should be any different. I know I hear all the technical explanations. I'm not going to pretend I understand everything, but quite frankly, I don't. I know you have a job to do. I'm not being a wiseguy.

On the other hand, we do have residents whose lives are going to be somewhat chaotic and it's always better for everyone to control their own destiny by working it out. But it looks like

2.0

every time we get together, the problem gets a little worse and it falls upon the Board to make the decisions and nobody is in charge of their own destiny or whatever the Board decides. And depending on which way we go, we could be talking about ensuing litigation and all sorts of chaos.

I think in my mind, unless the Board feels otherwise, we are going to be adjourning this matter until October 18th at which time the subject of access and the subject of this resolution will come again before the Board. I would urge us, strenuously if I can, for everybody to work together and try to control their own fate so to speak and not leave it in the hands of the Board. I'm not really it belongs here, but it's our job and we will do it.

John Ellsworth, am I -- is the situation hopeless?

MR. ELLSWORTH: I think you crystalized what the issues are and it seems like we have been here three times now and we are not getting closer to a solution that will mitigate the impact to the residents.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Can you repeat

25 that?

1.3

2.0

MR. ELLSWORTH: We have been here three times now and I think we are getting answers to the questions, but I don't think we are getting any closer to an answer that mitigates the impact to the residents.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: John, do you feel that Northrop Grumman has been reaching out to the residents enough?

MR. ELLSWORTH: Well, certainly communication, you can't have too much communication, but they have, in their defense, they have been --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: In whose defense?

MR. ELLSWORTH: Northrop Grumman. They
have been filing information through me that I've
been sharing with the residents. So the residents,
all of the stuff that the Board has, has been
shared with the residents.

MR. WOLFERT: I would mention one more thing, I know there's concern and I understand that. But once the wells drilled and the work is complete, any damage to the sidewalk or grass strip will be restored. Just reminder, that will be a small hatch in the street where you can access the well. Most wells that are run 24 hours a day,

2.0

seven days a week, you will not hear any noise.

People say about the contaminated water coming out
to the street.

Well, besides the treatment plants there are sewer lines in the street. No one is pleased to have a sewage pump there either, but it has to be done so it's accepted as a normal way of life. Once you get past the concern about the drilling rig in front of the house, once that's gone, you're back to the normal way of life.

There are reports (inaudible) and Broadway and that went on without a hitch. The rig is gone other than dead grass along the strip to be replaced. There's no indication of a rig was even there. The rig was on the edge of their grass there and they got through that and you can certainly speak to them if you would.

MR. HANNON: Like with all our projects, we leave the site better than we found it.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: What happens if power shut off, does the water back up to the street?

MR. HANNON: The well will shut off.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: We saw what

2.0

happened with Superstorm Sandy with the treatment plant?

MR. HANNON: Northrop Grumman, we have the policy, we have it in our procedures that we watch the weather and we have very valuable assets on the property and we have these contingency plans. We expand it -- we have remedial plans. We watch the weather. We shut the systems down and restore it when the weather conditions are favorable.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Thank you.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: I have a question about one of the pictures. I think it's Well 22. It appears to be a 50 yard container filled with water.

Why is that filled with water? Why isn't it fenced off properly?

MR. HANNON: The fencing have been re-enforced there. It's mostly improved. What's in that roll off is mostly drill cutting with mud and a little water at the surface. It's not all water. There's also a pit next to it that's for -- that's used -- the other one is the shallow, smaller container that's -- it's continuous circulation, drill rods and the tub itself.

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: 1 When you say 2 you're improving the fencing, what are you doing? 3 MR. HANNON: We spoke to that 4 contractor. He was not following his procedures. 5 He is now. He put up the proper construction barricades. He has a cover over that container. 6 7 But the water running in there is necessary, as 8 Mike explained earlier, to keep the hydraulic 9 pressure on the borehole to prevent it from 10 collapsing. 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't have any 12 forms from persons who indicated they care to 13 address the Board relative to this matter. 14 Is there anyone else who wants to 15 address the Board? 16 (No verbal response.) 17 MS. BUCK: When we talked about 18 purchasing the houses, we never suggested leveling 19 the houses. When we mentioned this hatch in the 2.0 front and trying to sell your house and the values 21 being diminished, we meant you can buy the house 22 and you can sell it when you are done with the 23 project. I never suggested to level the house. 24 That would be leaving our neighbors with empty 25 lots.

41 1 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You did 2 understand that and your answer is no? 3 MR. HANNON: No. 4 MR. RIPP: I would like to know -- I understand these residents' concerns. I just want 5 to know how were notified to be here today. 6 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We will do it for 8 you, but let's stay on subject. We will get an answer for that. 9 10 What I think I'm going to do is call 11 for a motion to adjourn the matter until -- put it 12 on for the 18th. 1.3 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, 14 I'll make a motion to table this Resolution No. 15 433-2016 until October 18, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We have a day and night hearing on October 18th. 17 18 Do the residents have a preference? 19 AUDIENCE SPEAKER: Evening. 2.0 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: What is 21 supposed to happen between now and then? Why are 22 we going to have what happened today happen on 23 October 18th and bring everybody back and go 24 through the process again? What's everyone going 25 to do between now and then, I would like to get a

42 1 certainty. 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: One thing that is 3 going to happen is we will have new information. 4 think we indicated that we are reaching out to the 5 County and I think maybe we can hope, at least, 6 that somebody has a spur to having a new idea or 7 some way of amicably resolving the problem. It's a pretty serious problem and I think the additional 8 9 three weeks -- everybody can cool their heels, 10 maybe we will figure something out. We will have 11 new information to digest and gather. That's what 12 we are going to do for the next three years. 1.3 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: We need to 14 second the motion. 15 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 17 ALL: "Aye." SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 18 19 (No response.) 20 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 21 It's October 18th at 7:00 p.m. 22 (Time noted: 1:30 p.m.) 23 24 25

TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
TOWN BOARD
ACTION CALENDAR
SEPTEMBER 27, 2016
1:39 p.m.

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK

JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES

LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY

THOMAS SABELLICO, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY

DONNA B. SWANSON, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY

MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY

CHRISTINE M. WISS, DEPUTY COMPTROLLER

LINDA M. HERMAN, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK

RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK

RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK

ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS,

OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR

RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR,

OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR

CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

(Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Ymne Angeles

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

YVONNE ANGELES
Official Reporter/Notary

ALSO PRESENT:

MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION KURT LUDWIG, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS RICHARD T. BETZ, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS LEONARD SYMONS, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES JAIME VAN DYKE, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

	3
1	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Where are we?
2	Let's make a note on 539 before we call I want
3	Rob where's Rob? I want Rob to stand in
4	preamble and let me introduce the tentative budget.
5	MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to
6	adopt Resolution No. P11-16 through TF16-16?
7	Personnel Resolution Nos. P 11-16 to
8	P -16 and PA -16 to PA -16; Resolutions related to
9	personnel of various departments within the Town of
LO	Oyster Bay.
1	Transfer Of Funds Resolution No.
_2	TF 16-16; Resolution relating to Transfer of Funds
_3	within various departments accounts for the Year
L 4	2016.
.5	On the motion?
-6	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.
L 7	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
L 8	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by
L 9	Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman
20	Macagnone.
21	On the vote, Supervisor Venditto?
22	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye."
23	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
24	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
25	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

	4
1	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
2	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
3	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
4	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
5	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
6	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
7	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
8	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
9	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
10	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt
11	Resolution Nos. P11-16 through TF16-16 passes with
12	seven "Ayes."
13	May I have a motion to adopt Resolution
14	Nos. 504-2016 through 545-2016?
15	Resolution No. 504-2016; Resolution
16	authorizing the employment of the services of
17	various performers for various performance dates
18	and locations for entertainment for GAP Program
19	participants. Account No. CYS A 7020 47660 000
20	0000. (M.D. 8/30/16 #4).
21	Resolution No. 505-2016; Resolution
22	authorizing the issuance of a refund of a Seasonal
23	Beach Permit to K. McGuigan. Account No. PKS A
24	0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D. 8/30/16 #7).
25	Resolution No. 506-2016; Resolution

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.0

granting an extension of time to obtain site plan approval, building permit and a certificate of occupancy for premises located at 180 R. Laumans Lane, Hicksville, NY. (M.D. 8/30/16 #9).

Resolution No. 507-2016; Resolution authorizing the cost of the property board-up and reboard-up of 19 Nevada Street, Hicksville, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 8/30/16 #13).

Resolution No. 508-2016; Resolution authorizing payment of the Town's share of dues for the Oyster Bay/Cold Spring Harbor Protection

Committee for the period of June 1, 2016 through May 31, 2017. Account No. DER A 8090 44900 000 0000. (M.D. 8/30/16 #21).

Resolution No. 509-2016; Resolution authorizing the renewal of a contract with the Long Island Developmental Disabilities Regional Office for a Program for Family Support Services for the period covering January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017. (M.D. 8/30/16 #5).

Resolution No. 510-2016; Resolution authorizing the renewal of a contract with the Long Island Developmental Disabilities Regional Office

2.0

for a Program for Children with Autism for the period covering January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017. (M.D. 8/30/16 # 6).

Resolution No. 511-2016; Resolution authorizing payment for required Workers'

Compensation Insurance for the youth enrolled in the Division of Employment and Training's Summer Youth Employment Program. Account No. IGA CD 6293 47900 000 CW15. M.D. 9/6/16 #6).

Resolution No. 512-2016; Resolution authorizing the Town Attorney or his designee to enter into an agreement for the retention of Pro Bono Counsel. (M.D. 9/6/16 #9).

Resolution No. 513-2016; Resolution pertaining to On-Call Housing Consultants to the Office of the Town Attorney. Account No. OTA A 1420 44110 000 0000. (M.D. 9/6/16 #10).

Resolution No. 514-2016; Resolution granting request from the Syosset Fire District, for Town assistance in conducting their annual Fire Prevention Open House at their Department headquarters on Cold Spring Road on October 9, 2016, to utilize the northeast portion of Municipal Parking Field S-1 in Syosset and to post temporary "No Parking" signs from October 7-11, 2016 and to

2.0

use various Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 9/6/16 #11).

Resolution No. 515-2016; Resolution authorizing the employment of the services of various performers for various performance dates and locations for 2016-2017 Distinguished Artists Concert Series. Account No. CYS A 7020 47660 000 0000. (M.D. 9/13/16 #4).

Resolution No. 516-2016; Resolution authorizing the Town-wide Senior Trips for October, November and December 2016 and to authorize the Commissioner of the Department of Community and Youth Services to make any adjustments or necessary refunds. (M.D. 9/13/16 #5).

Resolution No. 517-2016; Resolution granting request from Oak Neck Athletic Council Inc., for the use of Centre Island Beach to hold a three-day Fall Homecoming Festival from October 7-9, 2016, to use various Town equipment and to have Town Ordinance 168-20 waived for the event.

(M.D. 9/13/16 #6 & 28).

Resolution No. 518-2016; Resolution granting request from the New York Marine Trades

Association to use the parking lot at Tobay Beach and Joseph J. Saladino Memorial Marina at Tobay for

2.0

their 40th Annual In-Water Boat Show and to use the boat basin and parking lot during September 27-29, 2016 and September 30 October 2, 2016. (M.D. 9/13/16 #17 & 9/20/16 #23).

Resolution No. 519-2016; Resolution amending Resolution No. 155-2016 pertaining to authorization for additional Planning Advisory Board meetings for the remaining 2016 calendar year. Account No. PAD B 8020 4480 000 0000. (M.D. 9/13/16 #22).

Resolution No. 520-2016; Resolution granting request from the Oyster Festival Committee on behalf of the Oyster Bay Rotary Club, Oyster Bay Charitable Fund and its Oyster Festival Committee, for Town assistance in conducting the 2016 Oyster Festival on October 15 and 16, 2016, to use Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Park and Beach, Beekman Beach, Centre Island Beach and the Western Waterfront, the use of Municipal Parking Field O-6 from October 11-17, as well as the posting of temporary "No Parking" signs on various area streets, the use of various Town equipment and to have Section 168-19 of the Town Code waived for the event. (M.D. 9/13/16 #29).

Resolution No. 521-2016; Resolution

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

9 pertaining to the decision on the docket application of Glenn J. Adkins, to erect, maintain, improve and/or repair a dock, float, bulkhead or other mooring at 139 Forest Avenue, Massapequa, NY. (M.D. 8/30/16 #11).Resolution No. 522-2016; Resolution granting request from Darlene Casagrande to donate a plaque to be placed on an existing bench in Marjorie R. Post Community Park in memory of Frances Ann Abruzzo-Nantista. (M.D. 9/13/16 #15). Resolution No. 523-2016; Resolution authorizing the use of a sub-consultant for Contract No. H16-142, soils investigation service relative to Highway Improvements to the Smith Street Area, Glen Head, NY. (M.D. 9/13/16 #24). Resolution No. 524-2016; Resolution authorizing additional legal costs for outside

Resolution No. 524-2016; Resolution authorizing additional legal costs for outside counsel to the Town in connection with various housing issues in the Town of Oyster Bay. (M.D. 9/13/16 #33).

Resolution No. 525-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a non-resident Senior Individual Pool Membership at Harry Tappen Beach and Marina to M. DiSalvio.

Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D.

9/13/16 #8).

2.0

Resolution No. 526-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a resident individual Pool Membership at Marjorie R. Post Community Park to D. Lynch. Account No. PKS SP07 0001 02025 528 0000. (M.D. 9/13/16 #9).

Resolution No. 527-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of the registration fee for two children in the Town's swimming lesson program at Syosset-Woodbury Community Park to L. Macpherson. Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 9/13/16 #10).

Resolution No. 528-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of the registration fee for one child in the Town's summer recreation program at Marjorie R. Post Community Park to Mr. And Mrs. S. Burgess. Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 9/13/16 #11).

Resolution No. 529-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for a picnic permit fee at Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Park to B. Groff. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D. 9/13/16 #12).

Resolution No. 530-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a seasonal

2.0

beach permit fee to N. Borg. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D. 9/13/16 #13).

Resolution No. 531-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of the registration fee for one child in the Town's swimming lesson program at Syosset-Woodbury Community Park to E. Larson. Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 9/13/16 #14).

Resolution No. 532-2016; Resolution authorizing a stipulation agreement between New York State of Environmental Conservation and the Town for the Transfer of remedial action responsibilities for Claremont Polychemical Site. (M.D. 9/13/16 #23 & 9/20/16 #21).

Resolution No. 533-2016; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC 10-16, On-Call Engineering Services relative to Construction Management. Account No. HWY H 5197 20000 000 1503 008. (M.D. 9/13/16 #31 & 9/20/16 #19).

Resolution No. 534-2016; Resolution pertaining to the decision for the application of KRE Broadway Owner, LLC (Starbucks) for a special use permit to operate a fast food restaurant with a drive-thru window, and KRE Broadway Owner, LLC (Round 1), for a special use permit to permit an

12 1 active recreation use with game room, Hicksville, 2 Hearing held: June 21, 2016. (M.D. 6/21/16 3 #6 & 7). Resolution No. 535-2016; Resolution 4 5 granting request from Jericho Fire District 6 Headquarters to use one roll-off container for 7 their annual fire prevention day from October 7-10, 8 2016. (M.D. 9/13/16 #34). 9 Resolution No. 536-2016; Resolution 10 granting request from Lisa Marbit to donate a 11 plaque and bench to be placed in Ellsworth W. Allen 12 Park in memory of Joseph Philip Hoehn. 13 9/13/16 #16). 14 Resolution No. 537-2016; Resolution granting request from the Grenville Baker Boys & 15 16 Girls Club to use one roll-off container for their organizational clean-up from October 3-7, 2016. 17 18 (M.D. 9/13/16 #25).Resolution No. 538-2016; Resolution 19 2.0 authorizing the Town Attorney or his designee to 21 enter into a stipulation of settlement and 22 discontinuance of litigation in connection with 23 Town of Oyster Bay v. Smuggler Jack's Inc., et ano. 24 (M.D. 9/13/16 #30).

Resolution No. 539-2016; Resolution

2.0

adopting the preliminary Budget of the Town of Oyster Bay for the Year 2017 and directing the Town Clerk to advertise a Notice of Hearing. Hearing date: October 18, 2016 at 10:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. (M.D. 9/13/16 #35).

Resolution No. 540-2016; Resolution directing the Town Clerk to advertise a Notice of application in connection with the dock application of Michael Barney to erect, maintain, improve and/or repair a dock, float, bulkhead or other mooring at 190 East Shore Drive, Massapequa, NY.

(M.D. 9/6/16 #7).

Resolution No. 541-2016; Resolution pertaining to the decision on the docket application of Angela Rinaldo and Nicholas Montefusco, to erect, maintain, improve and/or repair a dock, float, bulkhead or other mooring at 25 Anchor Drive, Massapequa, NY. (M.D. 9/6/16 #8).

Resolution No. 542-2016; Resolution pertaining to the decision on the application of Bolla EM Realty, LLC, fee owner and Bolla Operating L.I. Corp., lessee, for Site Plan Approval and a Special Use Permit to operate a convenience store at an existing gasoline station at 620 Old Country Road, Hicksville, New York. Hearing held:

14 1 February 2, 2016. (M.D. 2/2/16 #4). 2 Resolution No. 543-2016; Resolution 3 pertaining to the decision on the application of 4 Hicksville Road LLC, fee owner, for a Special Use 5 Permit to add additional related retail space to an 6 existing gasoline station on premises located at 7 975 Hicksville Road, Massapequa, NY. Hearing Held 8 January 19, 2016. (M.D. 1/19/16 #5). 9 On the motion? 10 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 11 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 12 MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor, you have 13 speakers on 512, 513, 519, 523, 524, 533, 538, 539, 14 541 and the two walk-ons 544 and 545. 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I thought I asked 16 you to stop short of 539? MR. ALTADONNA: I didn't take the vote. 17 18 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: What I would like 19 you to do is take it through and including 538 and 2.0 then we will call 539. 21 MR. ALTADONNA: You want to do it 22 again? 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Recall. 24 MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

adopt Resolution Nos. 504-2016 through 538-2016?

24

25

15 1 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 2 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 3 4 ALL: "Aye." 5 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I have speakers 6 starting with -- do you have -- yes, I have it. 7 I'm sorry, we start with 512. I have Robert Ripp. 8 You can come for all of them except 539. You have 512, 519 and 524. 9 10 MR. RIPP: Robert Ripp, I'm from 11 Massapequa. 12 I have a question on Resolution 512 13 which reads that -- it looks like the Town is going 14 to receive pro bono legal services in connection 15 with the review of possible (inaudible) who is 16 responsible for creating nuisance conditions within 17 the Town's jurisdiction in the matter caption Town 18 of Oyster Bay versus Northport Grumman Systems 19 Incorporated. 2.0 What I want to verify is that from 21 reading the resolution, it assumes that the Town 22 is -- I assume and I want you to clarify this, it

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

looks like the Town is going to be accepting

agreement in this Town of Oyster Bay versus

pro bono representation to come to some sort of

Northrop Grumman.

2.0

What I want to make sure is, I have the last status report from the Town of Oyster Bay against Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation. The case number is 05CV1945 and I want to find out, are these attorneys representing us in this matter and when I read this status report, it refers to a remedy to a remedy to remediate -- that is estimated to cost \$81 million. The remedy includes extensive remediation of metals and polychlorinated biphenyls.

What I would like to know, first of all, how much money we've spent so far being represented in this action. I would like to now if these attorneys working pro bono is somehow going to try to iron out a deal and if they are, I'd like to know how much of the \$81 million that you feel the Town may or may not responsible, if any at all, in regards to this cleanup.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob, I don't have the numbers on what counsel fees have been so far, but I'm sure they are readily available. I don't have a crystal ball. I don't know what's is going to happen with litigation. But with respect to this firm, I'm looking over to the Town Attorney

office, Matt, Frank.

2.0

My understanding is they're looking to scope out responsible parties; are they not?

MR. SCALERA: It's kind of twofold.

Yes, they are going to be focusing on other responsible parties. Understand this is coming from our office, the Town Attorney's office.

There's a little bit of delicacy on what we can

discuss now because this a legal strategy that if we openly discuss it, we are going to tip our hand.

Number two, most importantly, one of the reasons why it's pro bono, I met with the representatives and lawyers from the firm to determine whether they have any conflicts in assisting us in a matter known Town of Oyster Bay versus Northrop Grumman. This is almost like a precursor to get them started. If there's -- unfortunately, if they can't do it or there's a conflict, then this -- it will be more to follow.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: But the ultimate goal, no pun intended, is to unearth responsible parties?

MR. SCALERA: Correct.

Just to add, in the preliminary discussions, if it assists this Board, if this firm

18 1 will be used, it wouldn't be use at any cost of the 2 It will be more on a contingency basis. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That will be 3 subject to a further resolution. 4 5 MR. SCALERA: Just to kind of throw it out there for your information. 6 7 MR. RIPP: If this didn't work out, we 8 would proceed on our own with Northrop Grumman? 9 MR. SCALERA: We are already 10 proceeding. 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We would just 12 continuing with the litigation. 1.3 MR. RIPP: 519, this is the Planning 14 Advisory Board. I had asked you a while back if 15 Mike Spinelli was paid for his position on this 16 Board and I would like to know if he is, if it 17 might be considered a conflict of interest? 18 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I believe they 19 are paid on a per meeting basis is my recollection. 2.0 If there is any conflict of interest, I assume he 21 disqualifies himself, if that's the case. I'm not 22 aware he has any. 23 MR. RIPP: 524. 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm sorry, did I 25 answer that?

19 1 MR. RIPP: Yes, thank you very much. 2 524, can you tell me are these additional legal fees with regard to the Federal 3 lawsuit? 4 5 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Which one is 524? 6 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Covington. 7 MR. RIPP: Are these legal fees with 8 regard to the Federal Housing? 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes. 10 MR. RIPP: You are holding off on --11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: 539. I'll call 12 you back. 1.3 MR. RIPP: Thank you. 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Charles Gaulkin. 15 I have you on 512 and 524 also. 16 MR. GAULKIN: Good afternoon, 17 Supervisor and members of the Board. 18 I just wanted to be very brief on a 19 couple of things. 2.0 First of all, it was very refreshing to 21 be able to see the counsel in action in a work 22 session earlier in connection with the temporary 23 license for Woodlands. In many of the Town 24 meetings where there is little interaction among 25 the members of the counsel, it's refreshing to find

1.3

2.0

2.5

this kind of interchange on one of the significant topics of your work. This -- especially when there are differing viewpoints. This brings fresh air into the meeting and allows the public to see how a decision is made and I think it's of benefit to the members of the Board to have a further enlightenment about different viewpoints.

I would like to see more of such practices by the Board especially on an issue that you were dealing with which is one that has caused plenty of problems for the Town. And I was asking for -- to speak at this point on the question of the legal services and particularly on the cost since we are now on the budget-making period.

I'm just wondering in connection with the ill-fated involvement with the SRB company, the -- which by the way would have been a benefit to the Town if there had been a similar working session when this was -- talking about arranging contracting for 50-year license. This was only for a three-month period and I think there's probably more intention given to that than the initial one which caused so much trouble for the Town.

I think if this had been done, all the members of the counsel at that time had been able

1.3

2.0

to get one another's view to really pay attention to what was going on, you would not have a situation where the Town was accepting the preposterous condition that was added to its contract for the concessions amounting to underwriting and accepting obligations for prepayment of the concessionaire's loan.

These two things are on my mind, question of openness and awareness on the part of the counsel, counsel people, individuals who all have a responsibility to know what is going on and to pay attention to what is in the contract. At this point, apart from that, there is a question of the openness, we still don't have an overall position on the part of the Town on what happened on that case. I know it's still subject to litigation, but there was a reluctance on the part of the Town to provide information.

I am very grateful and I think my

fellow citizens of Oyster Bay agree for the fact

that we have an excellent newspaper, Newsday, and

is the only source of information on this

arrangement with the Town concessions. So I want

to salute Newsday and Ted Phillips, in particular,

for the work they have done and continues to be a

2.2 1 matter of concern on these two items that I asked 2 to speak on. 3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Charles, you may 4 not be aware that we have time restrictions on our 5 speakers. MR. GAULKIN: Right. I'm going to take 6 7 one minute. 8 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Only because I 9 assumed you didn't know. 10 Why don't you just wrap it up in one 11 minute? 12 MR. GAULKIN: I just wanted to point 13 out the importance of these arrangements that have 14 special engagements with attorneys and legal 15 special counsel. This is a very costly process. 16 I'm not saying that the Town is not careful in 17 designing lawyer -- extra lawyers are needed, but 18 it should be kept in mind, it should be made 19 available to know what is the overall budgetary 20 insignificance and totals and if it can be given 21 now, that would be fine. 22 Otherwise, I hope it would be included 23 as a global figure which is about to the 24 preliminary budget which about to be proposed. 25 Thank you.

23 1 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, 2 Charles. Bob Freier? 3 4 MR. FREIER: Good afternoon. Bob 5 Freier from Woodbury. I know you stopped before 6 539, but I also put in for ones after that. 7 just get the whole thing out. I'm not going to 8 question 539, but I also have stuff after that. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: On the walk-ons? MR. FREIER: Yes. 10 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We will take it 12 now. MR. FREIER: On the walk-ons, 545, with 13 14 regard to affairs that Scotto took care this past 15 weekend and the rest of the year and even beyond 16 into 2017 on any kind of booked events or affairs, 17 what is going on with the deposits that those 18 people made? I would assume you would not be 19 expecting the new concessionaire to be eating those 2.0 deposits where -- that the previous operators took 21 for those weddings or Bar Mitzvahs or whatever. 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I quess it's 23 among the lawyers, but I don't know really know 24 what's happening with it. 25 MR. SABELLICO: We are going to seek to

2.4 1 get those deposits back. 2 I'm assuming that's going MR. FREIER: 3 to be tied up in litigation. They haven't even 4 paid their rent. 5 For example, if you've got weddings booked between now and the end of the year, I would 6 7 think the operator is going to want to be paid, 8 what happens with those deposits? Was that 9 addressed in the emergency RFP. 10 MR. GENOVA: John had to leave. I will 11 confirm with John. 12 MR. FREIER: Thank you. 13 Can you get back to me by e-mail, phone 14 call? 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Len, can we 16 e-mail Bob? 17 MR. GENOVA: Someone will call. 18 MR. FREIER: 513, this is a \$75,000 19 contract I assume was going \$7,000 over budget and 20 I also -- why do you need the extra \$7,000? Why 21 wasn't that planned for properly? 22 Also, I noticed that the work that's 23 already been done, so this is being voted on after 24 the fact? Why is that? 25 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The lawyer of

25 1 Housing Partnership through the Town Attorney's 2 office, the additional \$7,000. 3 MR. GENOVA: I think the work (inaudible). 4 5 MR. FREIER: Is that common that you would approve something after the fact? 6 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It happens. Ι 8 don't know that I would characterize it as common, 9 but it happens. 10 MR. FREIER: 523, another question, I 11 noticed in the backup that the work started on 12 September 6th and it's a actually very large 13 contract, again, being voted on after the fact, so 14 I would love to know --15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Which one? 16 MR. FREIER: 523, the sub-consultants. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: 17 This sub-consultant to -- the sub-consultant is at no 18 19 cost to the Town, right? 2.0 MS. MACCARONE: At cost to the Town --21 (inaudible) is approved do the job back on 22 August 9th by the Town Board to do the design and 23 construction. We received a letter at the end of 24 August and we could not get it on the September 6th 25 Town Board meeting, so we put on the next available

regarding that --

26 1 date which is today and it's just for sub 2 consulting to do the soil boring. 3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, Deputy. 4 5 The master contract, if you will, all this is within the scope of the monies allocated 6 7 from the master contract and it's paid through that 8 contract. No additional cost incurred. 9 MR. FREIER: Okay. On the 524, the 10 Housing lawsuit, why another 250K? Are we just 11 eating up legal fees? 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We are not eating 1.3 up legal fees. We are involved in a rather serious 14 piece of litigation and I don't know what price you 15 put on it. I mean, there's work to be done. 16 Federal Government is pressing their complaints and we have to defend it. I don't know how else to 17 18 answer that other than the fact that the Federal 19 Government is pressing their complaints us? 2.0 MR. FREIER: This is your appeal? 21 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: No, no appeal. 22 What are you saying? 23 MR. FREIER: I thought there was a 24 ruling that came out in the last couple of months

	27
1	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Are we talking
2	about
3	MR. FREIER: The Housing discrimination
4	case.
5	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: There hasn't been
6	any ruling.
7	COUNCILMAN PINTO: We're in discovery.
8	MR. FREIER: Okay, then I stand
9	corrected.
LO	533, I noticed another 91K to LIRO, I'm
L1	assuming that's under another requirements
L2	contract. No?
L3	MS. MACCARONE: This is for LIRO to
L 4	perform construction management services for the
L5	project that was authorized and awarded at the last
L 6	Town Board for the project in Locust Valley.
L7	MR. FREIER: My question is, were bids
L8	sent out because LIRO seems always to be the
L9	company that gets the business.
20	MS. MACCARONE: It's being authorized
21	through our on-call projects. They have some
22	projects, but not every project. It's based upon
23	our on-call projects. Originally, the RFP back in
24	the fall of last year for two-year contracts.
25	MR. FREIER: 538, can you explain that

settlement?

1.3

2.0

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think it was worked out between counsel from both sides and it includes a settlement stipulation which I think limits hours of operation. I think it includes some noise control. I think it includes reducing the amount of people who can be outdoors at certain times and I think, overall, the occupancy.

MR. FREIER: I thought --

MR. ROZEA: Let's be careful what we say. They were, obviously, in the litigation competing claims and defenses. This has been going on for the better part now of two years. If it's true that counsel, myself and counsel for (inaudible) worked out this arrangement which really recognizes what the state of the law is. Smuggler Jack's, for instance, made a claim that they were a preexisting, nonconforming use and on that basis that their occupancy would be limited by nothing over than the New York State code which can allow upwards of 300 people on the premises.

So what we did here, really, is craft a settlement that took into account the complaints that we received from the neighbors, the law with respect to occupancy, so in fact they've agreed to

2.0

2.5

limit the occupancy below what would be permitted under New York State code. Yes, Supervisor, you are correct that they've agreed to install which amounts to a very costly noise barrier to keep the sound that emanates from the operation of the restaurant on the property. They are going to report covenants and restrictions which will run with the land to truly memorialize what those restrictions would be.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: There was something about live music?

MR. ROZEA: Yes, ultimately, what they're going to do is, they have agreed not to have live music, meaning more than one person outside playing music.

MR. FREIER: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: On 538, Ed Ross?

I didn't hear you. Counselor, do you want to

address the Board relative to the settlement?

MR. ROSS: I'm available to answer

questions, but I think Mr. Rozea addressed it.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thanks for your

cooperation. I think you guys did a good job.

If you would now call the balance of

the action calendar? We can vote.

30 1 MR. ALTADONNA: I will call it. 2 May I have a motion to adopt Resolution 3 Nos. 539 through 545? 4 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 5 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 6 7 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 8 Macagnone. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob Darienzo, 10 where are you, on 539, will you quarterback? 11 MR. DARIENZO: Good afternoon, 12 Supervisor and Town Board members. 1.3 I'm here to give some highlights on 14 Supervisor's tentative budget. The total spending 15 plan for 2017 comes in at just over \$284 million. 16 It's down over \$5 million from 2016's adopted 17 budget. 18 I have some highlights on the revenue 19 side, we are instituting rental of our park fields 2.0 to not-for-profit organization. We expect to raise 21 \$1.5 million in 2017. We are looking to institute 22 a preferred parking plan at our LIRR parking lots. 23 We project \$72,000 in revenue in 2017 for that 24 program. We are looking to charge two percent fee

for -- to be paid buyers for S2 senior housing

1.3

2.0

facilities. We estimate \$300,000 brought in by the program.

The tentative budget includes a 2.3 percent tax increase, it will raise \$4.8 million in recurring revenue that stays within the tax gap. We are allowed 1.5 to be carried over. The 2017 budget includes no one shot revenues, no use of surplus, so we truly have a balanced budget in 2017.

On the expense side, we currently have union contract negotiations that are going and we can now assume that they are --

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Excuse me, Rob,

I'm on the committee, we have not had a negotiation

since well over summertime.

MR. DARIENZO: So, therefore, that goes to show that there is no guarantee that we will have a new contract in place on January 1st.

With that being said, Supervisor's tentative budget plans for the worst case scenario that there will be no contract.

With that being said, we reduced full-time salaries by ten percent in each department in the Town. The only way to achieve those would be to institute layoffs starting on

tentative budget.

32 1 January 1st, if, in fact, no union contract is 2 reached by that time. 3 Additionally, there's roughly a \$1 4 million reduction in part-time seasonal salaries 5 and there's a \$1 million reduction in the budget for consulting work. Those are our highlights. 6 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Don't go away. On 539. 8 9 Robert Ripp? 10 Before you go, Rob, Bob Freier, you 11 spoke on the walk-ons, right? 12 MR. FREIER: Yes, but I just wanted to 13 get on 539 also. 14 Is that okay? 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Sure. 16 MR. RIPP: Robert Ripp from Massapequa. 17 On 539, I'm reading the resolution and 18 it read as, whereas the Town Board has reviewed the 19 tentative budget and then it goes on to say that; 20 therefore, it's resolved that this Town Board 21 hereby approves the set Town budget as modified. 22 It looks like you're sharing this information from 23 the Committee on Open Government. This is an 24 opinion that was issued on the publication on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

33

It says, I believe that the tentative must be disclosing great measure in its entirety.

It goes on to say, as you may be aware following a review and alteration of the tentative budget by the Town Board that document becomes the preliminary budget.

I appreciate what Rob was explaining, but if you knew you were going to have this hearing and the Town Board reviewed the tentative budget, why wasn't that tentative budget made available in the backup information with the draft resolutions prior to the meeting this way the residents such as myself could understand what Rob is speaking about? I don't understand what the issue is. It seems --I understand there is a recourse for apparent -maybe, let's say unintentional omissions in publishing documents, but these are violations of the Open Meeting Law and there is recourse -- or a resident can do an Article 78, but that's not the point. I just think that -- it's -- we are entitled to read it, so we can understand what's going on.

I would like to get a copy of the tentative budget because you people got it and you reviewed it and you've had the budget hearings and

2.0

you've modified it to become the preliminary budget. I would like to see everything all along. I've contacted the clerk a number of times in the last week and asked him for a copy of it. He promised that as soon as he got his hands on one he'd give me one.

Hopefully, that will come to pass, but I just want to say that it's my opinion that this information should have been provided before the resolution was drawn.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The only thing I would say in response, but the budget has to begin somewhere. It's a living, breathing thing and it happens every day, but the formal process begins with departmental hearings.

It truly takes place, typically, in

July, August, September range and the process calls

for the Town Supervisor, on this day or within this

time frame, to come forward with a document called

the tentative budget. That budget is not the

subject of a hearing. It's the subject of a

resolution which is what we're doing here today and

the purpose of the resolution is for the Town Board

not to agree with, not to say it's wonderful, not

to say it's evil, not to saying anything about it

2.0

other than to adopt it as the preliminary budget. This is long time pursuant to statute. That document is formally known as the tentative budget soon to be known as the preliminary budget. It will be circulated to whoever wants to see it. There will be a three-week period of time for anyone who cares to go through it and then it will be the subject of a day and night hearing on October 18th.

That's how the process works. No one is foreclosed from doing anything. You will now have a three-week period. The law takes into contemplation all the observations you made and the entire citizens has three weeks to take a look at it.

MR. RIPP: I'm just saying with both agree that the tentative budget is the subject of what this resolution is about. If it's a subject of the resolution and it's FOIL-able material, you have an obligation to publish it prior to the meeting. That's all I'm saying.

MR. SABELLICO: Up until this meeting the tentative budget is the product of the Supervisor's Office. That tentative budget was only recorded with the Town clerk maybe 3:30, 4:00

36 1 yesterday afternoon so it would be on record. It's 2 still the tentative budget. All they're saying is 3 they received it, they reviewed the same highlights you have. They haven't adopted it. 4 5 Once the Supervisor's tentative budget is accepted as filed by the clerk, it becomes a 6 7 preliminary budget. All they're doing to day is 8 calling it a preliminary budget and asking to 9 notify by public notice that on October 18th, there 10 will be a full hearing on the preliminary budget. 11 Now, the Town Clerk had received the 12 tentative budget, it will be called a preliminary 13 budget and you can get copies from the Town clerk. 14 MR. RIPP: Maybe you don't 15 understand --16 COUNCILMAN PINTO: We never received a 17 copy of it ourselves. We reviewed it this morning. 18 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It only came into 19 being --2.0 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: It has not been modified. 21 22 MR. RIPP: With the modification, I'm 23 only reading what the resolution says. 24 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I just want 25 you to know that we got the overview this morning.

2.0

I guess it was filed with our office yesterday. We don't have our copies yet. I think more copies will be made available.

MR. RIPP: I just want to point out that overall there is one budget, starts out as a tentative budget and it should be disclosed in its entirety. The Open Meeting Law is clear that if you have the information 30 minutes prior to a meeting, you should be disclosing it. So if it was turned in yesterday, you had ample time to put it on the computer for everybody to read today.

Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Bob Freier.

MR. FREIER: Just on a comment you just got it this morning and you just reviewed it this morning, are you all -- is the Board -- are the members of the Board involved and participating in crafting this tentative budget?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: No.

want to add to that is, as liaisons for the department, we are included in the budget meeting to assist Rob in getting to this point and assist the Supervisor in presenting the tentative budget. So, yes we were involved in that perspective.

MR. FREIER: So having half an hour to review it before the meeting, let's say, how could you -- and I realize it's only tentative, it's obviously a working document that is going change between now and October, how can you vote to adopt something without -- this is \$284 million. I'm assuming most of you would look at every single line item on that budget. How can you vote to adopt it realizing -- I know it's going to change, but if you really haven't had a chance to review such a huge document --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Maybe I'm not explaining it well, but the statutory scheme does not provide for the Town Board to absorb it, make any decisions or any judgments about it, only to move the process along by creating a preliminary budget which now will become circulated among the general population. We can change every line in it if we care to. But the law calls for the Town Supervisor in every Town pursuant to the Town law to follow this process and create a tentative budget. They're not making any statements about the budget itself. They're just saying this is what the Supervisor proposed. Now the everybody will look at it and -- they can change every line

39 1 in the budget. 2 MR. FREIER: Will you be posting this 3 on the website? 4 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We always have. 5 MR. FREIER: Last year, I know there was a cry out for more detail in the budget. 6 7 we went through the budget papers. There were a 8 lot of line items with codes without explanations. 9 Will you be addressing that feedback that was 10 received last year? 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We will do our 12 best. I'm not recalling with any specificity, but 13 yes, we will do our best to do that. 14 MR. FREIER: With the -- Rob mentioned 15 the reduction in salaries of 10 percent, is 16 everyone on this Board and you, Mr. Supervisor, 17 going to be taking the same salary reduction as 18 proposed? 19 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Absolutely. 20 proposal is across the board. I don't know all the 21 classifications, but anybody that gets a paycheck 22 from the Town of Oyster Bay is subject to it. 23 MR. FREIER: Thank you. 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: 541, Charles Gucciardo? 25

2.0

MR. GUCCIARDO: Good afternoon.

I didn't realize you don't break for lunch around here. What do you do to keep your blood sugar --

We have an application that was in need for a floating dock for Nick Montefusco. It was apparent that there was some misguided opposition to this and there was a petition circulated with wrong information on it. It was circulated by the neighbor across the street, Scott Rosenberg who has a similar float that sticks out on his side.

What happened was, after all of this was circulated, there was a withdrawal of the objections that they had. Originally, there was 16 such objections and out of the 16, six of them were rescinded which left ten. Three of the ones who did object did not even live on the water. One of those three lived across the street. That left seven. Out of the seven, three of them have floating docks that are in equal size to what Mr. Montefusco is proposing to put in. You take that, it leaves four. As usual, with this terrific town that we live in, there was a suggestion by the Town to have an informal meeting to see if we can work this thing out between the people who were

objecting.

2.0

At the suggestion of the Town, I had circulated a letter to all the neighbors who were objecting and we were to have this meeting that Mr. Montefusco and I came to and that was on August 30th. Of course, as you would imagine, the only two people that showed up were me and Mr. Montefusco. So the objections that they have, these other four that seemed to have instigated this, for what ever reason they did, have not come forward to do and proclaim anything that's worth listening to at this point.

With all due respect, I move to this panel and the Town to allow this, Judge. I can't help that, Judge, Your Honor. With all due respect that I can muster here, these floats actually comport with all the qualifications, specifications that are under the Town. It's a five-foot wide float by 38 feet. They are -- the space at the end of each dock is ten feet. I will point out to Your Honor, that prior to this, there was a float that has been there for years which was destroyed in Sandy and finally taken out last year in its totality. When Mr. Montefusco went to put it in, he wanted to make sure the permits were there for

2.0

it and he came to find out that there was none. So what he did was he filed for it appropriately. The dock is the same length that was there before. The neighbor across the street has the same width dock. There's never been a problem and to boot, there was an application made to Army Corp. Of Engineers and I know that's binding. However, they always take a caution in making sure that the navigable waterways is safe and they have. They issued their approval for this earlier this year, I believe it was March 25, 2016.

I submit to this Board that this dock is in conformance with every specification that needs to be done. It's necessary because we are getting older and when we get on these boats, if you don't have a proper dock to get on these boats, it becomes a problem.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Just so you know, the matter is on for approval for today. If you can garner four votes and we're going to do it right now, then you're good. The matter is going to be called. Let's do the calendar.

MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by Councilman, seconded by Councilman Macagnone.

On the vote?

4.3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You're going to 1 2 call the entire calendar? 3 MR. ALTADONNA: We already have the 4 calendar. We have the motions. Now, we're going 5 to call for the vote. 6 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: On all 7 resolutions. 8 Your resolution approving it is in this 9 litany of resolutions that we are about to act on. 10 So if you get four or more votes, your resolution 11 has passed. 12 MR. ALTADONNA: On the vote, Supervisor 13 Venditto? 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye." 15 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella? 16 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 17 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone? 18 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 19 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano? 20 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Abstain on 514. "Aye" on the rest. 21 22 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto? 23 COUNCILMAN PINTO: Abstain 519. 24 "Aye" on the rest. 25 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?

	44
1	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
2	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
3	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Abstain 544 and
4	545.
5	"Aye" on the rest?
6	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Congratulations,
7	Mr. Gucciardo, you won your case.
8	MR. GUCCIARDO: Thank you, Judge.
9	I'd like to think I should be used to
10	it.
11	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt
12	Resolution 504 through 513 passes with seven
13	"Ayes."
14	514 passes with six "Ayes" and one
15	abstention.
16	515 through 518 passes with seven
17	"Ayes."
18	519 passes with six "Ayes" and one
19	abstention.
20	520 through 543 passes with seven
21	"Ayes."
22	544 and 545 passes with six "Ayes" and
23	each one abstention.
24	Calendar is complete.
25	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor,

	45
1	I'll make a motion that this Town Board goes into
2	executive session to discuss possible settlement of
3	a pending litigation.
4	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
5	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
6	ALL: "Aye."
7	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
8	(No response.)
9	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
10	(Whereupon, a recess was taken from
11	2:27 p.m. to 3:41 p.m.)
12	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor,
13	I'll move that we close the executive session.
14	The Board has taken action that we
15	approve settlement of action titled Cafe Al Dente
16	of Oyster Bay Inc versus the Town of Oyster Bay.
17	We need to suspend the rules and adopt
18	a resolution authorizing the supervisor to
19	institute a stipulation of settlement.
20	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
21	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
22	ALL: "Aye."
23	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
24	(No response.)
25	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.

46 1 MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to 2 re-suspend the rules and add the following Walk-On Resolution No. 546. 3 4 Motion to adopt Resolution No. 546-2016 5 to authorize a settlement of Cafe Al Dente of Oyster Bay, Inc. versus Town of Oyster Bay. 6 7 On the motion? 8 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 9 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 10 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 11 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 12 Macagnone. 13 On the vote, Supervisor Venditto? 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye." 15 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella? 16 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 17 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone? 18 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Ave." 19 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto? 2.0 COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye." 21 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia? 22 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye." 23 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson? 24 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye." 25 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to suspend the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

47

rules and add the following walk-on passes with six "Ayes."

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: This is Resolution 546-2016. A resolution pertaining to settling litigation in the matter of Cafe Al Dente versus Town of Oyster Bay. Whereas -- the body of the resolutions reads as follows: Whereas a civil action entitled Cafe Al Dente of Oyster Bay, The Town of Oyster Bay, et al was commenced against the Town in the United States District Court in the Eastern District of New York and whereas after extensive settlement negotiations the parties have agreed to subject to Town Board authorization to settle the matter with a contribution of \$200,000 from the Town and whereas, as part of settlement, the court has set aside all findings previously made by a jury against the Town and whereas Leonard Genova, Town Attorney and Matthew M. Rozea assisted Town Attorney by memorandum dated September 27, 2016, have advised and recommended that it is in the best interest of the Town to settle the matter in view of prevailing of law, procedural of the case and the vagaries of litigation now therefore be resolved that the above mentioned recommendation is hereby adopted and the Town Attorney or his

48 1 designee is authorized to executive any documents 2 necessary to effectuate the settlement and discontinuance of the action and resolve that 3 4 payment of the sum \$200,000 is hereby authorized 5 and approved by the Town Board of the Town of 6 Oyster Bay as full settlement to Plaintiff Cafe 7 Al Dente of Oyster Bay and the controller is hereby 8 authorized and directed to make payments therefore 9 with said payment to be drawn from account number 10 TWNAMS191043010602000000. End of resolution. 11 Is there anyone who cares to comment or 12 discuss the matter with the Town Board? 1.3 (No verbal response.) 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I see no takers. 15 Back to you, Mr. Altadonna. 16 MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to 17 adopt Resolution No. 546? 18 On the motion? 19 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 2.0 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 21 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 22 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 23 Macagnone. 24 On the vote, Supervisor Venditto? 25 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye."

	49
1	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
2	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
3	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
4	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
5	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
6	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
7	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
8	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
9	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
10	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
11	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt
12	Resolution 546 passes with six "Ayes."
13	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I believe that
14	concludes the call of the regular
15	MR. ALTADONNA: That completes the call
16	of the regular action.
17	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Muscarella?
18	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor,
19	I'll make a motion to adjourn the meeting.
20	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
21	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
22	ALL: "Aye."
23	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
24	(No response.)
25	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.

```
50
                    We stand adjourned and ready to proceed
1
      with our public comment period.
2
 3
                     (Time noted: 3:46 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```