TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
TOWN BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
SEPTEMBER 6, 2016
10:28 a.m.

HEARING - P-7-16

To consider the application of Sunrise Promenade Associates and Massapequa Restaurant Joint Venture for a Modification of Special Use Permit and Modification of Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions for premises located at 5204 Sunrise Highway, Massapequa, NY. Hearing continued from July 26, 2016. (M.D. 5/24/16 #14).

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK
JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES
LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY
FRANK SCALERA, CHIEF DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
THOMAS SABELLICO, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
(Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Gunne angeles

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

YVONNE ANGELES
Official Reporter/Notary

ALSO PRESENT:

MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY LINDA M. HERMAN, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK STEVEN MARX, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION BRIAN DEVINE, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK SAMMARTANO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES DANIEL PEARL, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and 1 gentlemen, if everyone would find seats, we will 2 3 begin and we will begin by asking our Town Clerk, Mr. Altadonna, to kindly poll the Board. 4 5 MR. ALTADONNA: Supervisor Venditto? SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I am here. 6 7 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella? 8 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Here. 9 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone? 10 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Here. 11 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano? 12 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Here. 13 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto? 14 COUNCILMAN PINTO: Here. 15 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia? 16 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Here. MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson? 17 COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: Here. 18 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Ladies and 19 20 gentlemen, if everyone would please rise and join 21 in the Pledge of Allegiance led by Councilwoman 22 Michele Johnson. 23 (Pledge of Allegiance recited.) 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you. 25 Mr. Altadonna, if you would call the

first hearing.

1.3

2.0

MR. ALTADONNA: P-7-16; to consider the application of Sunrise Promenade Associates and Massapequa Restaurant Joint Venture for a Modification of Special Use Permit and Modification of Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions for premises located at 5204 Sunrise Highway, Massapequa, NY. Hearing continued from July 26, 2016.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good morning.

MS. SIMONCIC: Good morning,

Supervisor. Good morning, members of the Board.

My name is Judy Simoncic. I'm an attorney with the firm Forchelli, Curto with offices at 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard in Uniondale. I'm appearing this morning on behalf of the applicants Sunrise Promenade Associates and Massapequa Restaurant Joint Venture.

The premises that is the subject of this application is known as Section 53, Block D,

Lots 24 and 25. It's zoned neighborhood business.

It contains a total lot area of 13.55 acres. It's known as 5204 Sunrise Highway. It's presently improved with a one-story retail shopping, gross building area of approximately 179,000 square feet

2.0

which is known as the Sunrise Promenade Shopping Center in Massapequa.

I would like to submit a packet of photographs that I would like to submit to the Board, if I may (handing).

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Judy, I'm having a mental block. We were on for this in July --

MS. SIMONCIC: We adjourned because the applicant was not ready to proceed at that time. We had some scheduling conflicts.

I just handed up to the Board a packet of photos. I believe most of the Board members are familiar with the property. If you would like, you can turn to the first photograph. It's an aerial photograph of the shopping center. You will see there's an L-shaped building which is in white. That is large bulk of the shopping center and then towards Sunrise Highway, a free-standing building which is the Friday's restaurant. Which is the subject of this application.

The pride of this building contains approximately 7,800 square feet and it currently has 224 seats. It was granted a special use permit in 1994 and has been operating and serving the community since then. The special use permit that

1.3

2.0

was granted in 1994 was subject to a declaration of restrictive covenants which actually restricted the number of occupants in the restaurant to 225 persons.

Presently, as depicted on the site plan that was submitted with the application, what we are seeking to do is to increase the seats from 225 to 297 which is an increase of 72 seats. We are not proposing any modifications to the footprint of the building or to any part of the shopping center. All the modifications are going to be within the building and we are just seeking to add additional seats. We are not proposing any construction within the building at this time either.

The order to accomplish this, we are seeking to amend the existing special use permit that was granted in 1994 and to amend the covenants which specifically limited the occupancy to 225.

We did secure a parking variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals in 2011 to increase the parking deficiency within the shopping center. We are now permitted to provide 841 stalls with 949 required and I would like to submit a copy of the Zoning Board's decision to the Board as well.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That was in 2011?

2.0

MS. SIMONCIC: 2011.

This application has been kicking around for a while in the Town. No fault of the Town. It was just in the process for a while.

That was granted in 2011, Decision 11-177. We did have the variances extended. It's currently valid. It was extended in February of 2015, and it's still valid. It expires in February 2017.

I would like to submit copies of those decisions to the Board (handing).

This application has undergone review with environmental planners of the Town. It's undergone the Town's Planning Department's review.

Again, there are no proposed changes to the shopping center. It's a fully developed shopping center. It has required landscaped island, lighting, et cetera.

At this time, I'd like to call Aaron

Machtay of RMS Engineer, our traffic engineer to go

over parking. I would like to also submit a copy

of Mr. Machtay's curriculum vitae. He is a traffic

engineer with RMS Engineering. He did testify

before the Zoning Board and they did accept him as

a traffic expert and would ask the Board to do the

same.

2.0

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right.

Based upon what you just told us and taking a look at his CV, the Board duly recognizes his competency to testify as an expert on these matters. We may or may not agree with him, but we recognize his competency to testify.

MR. MACHTAY: Aaron Machtay representing RMS Engineering with offices at 355 New York Avenue, Huntington, New York.

RMS was -- we were brought on board back in 2010/2011 when this was first being conceived for the original variance to do a parking study. That parking study submitted to the ZBA and found to be okay at that time. What we did at that time is we observed the amount of parking available and the amount of parking that was generated by the facility as a whole, talking about the shopping center in total. We did that in 2010 and additional observations in 2011. We found that the shopping center, as a whole, didn't exceed 54 percent total occupancy. That was the study done in 2011. 54 percent being the 800-something stalls being provided, more than ample to accommodate the shopping center.

At that time, I believe, it was about

9 6,600 square feet of floor space that was vacant. 1 2 We did -- we were studying whether or not these 3 additional seats in Friday's restaurant -- we did take that into account, there would still more 4 5 ample parking available. This was done in 2010/2011. So just in 6 7 the interest of making sure those findings were 8 relevant, we have done additional observations. Wе 9 did observations this past summer in July and 10 August, and I would like to submit these up to the 11 Board for your reference (handing). 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Question: Do you 13 have in front of you the photo --14 MR. MACHTAY: Yes. 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Right now, 16 currently, as it exists now, where is the Friday's parking typically taking place? 17 18 MR. MACHTAY: As you will see from the 19 data, we set up -- towards the evening hours when 2.0 Friday's would generate its peak parking activity, 21 most of the parking around the restaurant. It's 22 labeled on the site --23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That would be B, 24 right?

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

MR. MACHTAY: Yes, that's the parking

that is most convenient for the restaurant.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It's amazing how
that works. I will call it the overflow beyond B.
What would be the next area where the parking

5 mostly occurs; is it in A or does it move over to D?

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1

MR. MACHTAY: D, primarily, the northern-most section. It does not make sense for people to park further than that.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Is there any plan to somehow limit the speed of traffic between B, D, E and C because I've been in there many times when people use that as a roadway and they are going 40 miles per hour.

There's no plan to put a stop sign or a crosswalk or anything?

 $\label{eq:SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Meaning getting} % \begin{center} \begin{center}$

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Yes.

MS. SIMONCIC: Mr. Machtay, his office did do a parking study and they were out in the field. I can ask them if they observed any persons driving fast in the area.

MR. MACHTAY: We certainly did not notice anything that we would identify as a hazard.

That's something to look out for when doing a parking study. There's no plans at this time to do any modification.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I do believe what Councilman is talking about, for lack of a better word, the dividing roadway between A and B and E, D and C -- that's the road you're talking about?

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Yes.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The east/west road, you're saying people are flying through there?

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Flying through there, people tend to fly through there and you're going to increase to 20, 25 seats and you're going to have a lot of people walking from D to the restaurant.

MS. SIMONCIC: We can go back to the owners and talk about that and consider that.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Assuming it's a go, you can revisit it.

MR. MACHTAY: To sum up, the observations that we did in 2016, in order to confirm that the study we conducted in 2011 was still pertinent, we found that the level of

12 1 occupancy in terms of parking in the shopping was a 2 little lower back in 2011. This time, there's a 3 Sports Authority that's now vacant which was a much 4 larger footprint. If you see the final page in the 5 packet, we accounted for that as well. 6 In summary, I think it's more than 7 ample parking available in the shopping center to 8 accommodate this action and to accommodate the 9 seating. 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's good news 11 for Friday's, not so great for the shopping center. 12 Just a recommendation for the future, 13 if you can label these A primary, B second, I think 14 it would be easy to follow. 15 MR. MACHTAY: Understood. 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm having fun. 17 You do it the way you were taught in engineering

school.

Anything further for the witness? (No verbal response.)

Thank you.

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SIMONCIC: Again, in terms of why they want to increase the number of seats, they don't take reservations at Friday's. A lot of times they will accommodate a large party, people

1.3 1 are waiting, so this will allow them to accommodate 2 large parties and also serve the other customers 3 and more efficient operation at the site. 4 As Mr. Machtay indicated, there's more 5 than enough parking available. Even if customers should start to use more of the parking, they're 6 7 still going to be far away from the residences 8 which is the nearest residence from the property is about 355 feet which I submitted a copy of an 9 10 aerial demonstrating that distance. 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I guess, the 12 tenant, Friday's was the best witness in their 1.3 favor. They have been a good tenant. I'm not 14 aware of any difficulties. 15 Tim Zike, where are you? We've never 16 had any problems down there? 17 MR. ZIKE: No, sir. 18 MS. SIMONCIC: They want to continue to 19 be there and be a good neighbor. 2.0 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Do you have 21 anything further? 22 MS. SIMONCIC: No, sir. 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Any Board members 24 have questions for the applicant? 25 (No verbal response.)

14 1 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Anybody you want 2 to introduce into the record? 3 MS. SIMONCIC: We have the general 4 manager here with us today. She has been with 5 Friday's for eighteen years and she's been at this 6 facility for a number of years. 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You run a nice 8 ship. 9 GENERAL MANAGER: Thank you. 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't have any 11 forms from anybody in the audience who cares to 12 address the Board relative to this matter, but that 13 would not preclude you if there's something you 14 want to tell us. 15 Paul Molinari? 16 MR. MOLINARI: Just a simple question. 17 What is the fire marshal seating 18 capacity for this facility? 19 MS. SIMONCIC: I believe it will be 20 what we are seeking, 297. 21 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's the max 22 that the fire marshal will allow? 23 MS. SIMONCIC: We have not gone for 24 that approval, but we certainly will. 25 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's your

15 1 understanding of what the number is? 2 MS. SIMONCIC: That's my understanding. 3 We will need a public assembly license from the Town for that. 4 5 Thanks. MR. MOLINARI: SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you. 6 7 Anyone else who cares to address the 8 Board relative to Hearing No. 1? 9 (No verbal response.) 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I see no takers. 11 Mr. Altadonna, do we have any 12 correspondence? 1.3 MR. ALTADONNA: Yes, the attorney for 14 the applicant has filed his Affidavit of Service 15 and disclosure. The communications are as follows: 16 Wе 17 have memos from the Department of Planning and 18 Development. As per present review procedures, 19 they're required off-street parking for 297 seats. 2.0 The restaurant is 109 spaces. The overall parking 21 class requires 149 parking stalls, including the 22 proposed seating expansion. 23 The site plan submitted depicts a total 24 of 825 parking spaces including 23 handicap parking 25 stalls and 16 land bank parking stalls for a total

of 841 stalls.

The Nassau County Land and Tax Map indicates the property is Section 53, Block D, Lots 24 and 25.

According to the Town of Oyster Bay
Zoning Maps, the property is located within the A
and B neighborhood business zone. There no code
compliance cases or variances on the subject
premises; however, there are Town Board Resolutions
on file.

There are no further correspondence.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Judy, anything

from you?

MS. SIMONCIC: Nothing further.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Let me ask you a question before you go.

You may not ever know, the Checkers, every time I drive by there, what happened?

MS. SIMONCIC: They didn't have the business.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It was a quite an ordeal. The residents were happy and unhappy. We worked it all out and they were gone a year later.

MS. SIMONCIC: Lack of business. They didn't have the business to stay open.

17 1 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Sunrise Highway 2 obviously is commercially labeled on the South 3 Side, not as much on the North Side where the 4 railroad is. I just think if you have a business 5 on the South Side, you tend to only get the 6 eastbound traffic and westbound traffic -- I don't 7 know. MS. SIMONCIC: I was surprised myself. 8 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right. 10 Thank you. 11 Mr. Muscarella, I'll take a motion. 12 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: I'll make a 13 motion that this public hearing be closed and the 14 decision be reserved. 15 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor? 16 17 ALL: "Aye." 18 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 19 (No response.) 20 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 21 (Time noted: 10:47 a.m.) 22 23 24 25

TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
TOWN BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
SEPTEMBER 6, 2016
10:48 a.m.

HEARING - LOCAL LAW

To consider a Local Law entitled "A Local Law to Amend the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, New York, Chapter 246, Zoning, Section 246-11.6.1.3 (M.D. 7/19/16 #8).

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK
JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES
LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY
FRANK SCALERA, CHIEF DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
THOMAS SABELLICO, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY
LINDA M. HERMAN, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK

(Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Grane angeles

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

YVONNE ANGELES
Official Reporter/Notary

ALSO PRESENT:

RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK STEVEN MARX, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION BRIAN DEVINE, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK SAMMARTANO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES DANIEL PEARL, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

3 1 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Altadonna, if 2 you would call the second hearing. 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Hearing - Local Law; to consider a Local Law entitled "A Local Law to Amend 4 5 the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, New York, Chapter 246, Zoning, Section 246-11.6.1.3. 6 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Counsel, what are 8 you doing here? 9 MR. ROZEA: Good morning, Supervisor. 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You're the 11 quarterback on this one? 12 MR. ROZEA: For today. 1.3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right, throw. 14 You pass. 15 MR. ROZEA: Okay, Supervisor. 16 The proposed local law to amend Chapter 17 264 of the Town Code adopted by this Board, we 18 treat temporary signage in four different ways. 19 First, temporary signs cannot be 20 displayed duration of time exceeding 135 21 consecutive days. In the case of political 22 campaign signs, they can be displayed no sooner 23 than 120 days prior to the election. Thereafter, 24 the election must be removed within 15 days --25 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: 120 days prior?

4 1 MR. ROZEA: Yes. 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's a lot. 3 What was the first provision? 4 MR. ROZEA: 135 days of consecutive 5 display. 6 In other words, the sign can be 7 displayed for 135 days, but in the case of a political sign, it can be displayed no sooner than 8 9 120 days prior --10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Political signs 11 can be no more than 120 days? 12 MR. ROZEA: Prior to the election, but 13 then there are 15 days on the other side. 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: 120 days, 15 typically, they don't go up until around now. 16 You're going back to June, July -- July, August, 17 September and October. 18 All right? 19 MR. ROZEA: I believe there may be some 20 latitude, but this was drafted in contemplation of 21 settlement litigation. 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: This is part of 23 stipulation of settlement? 24 MR. ROZEA: That's correct. 25 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Was there a

5 1 provision already in the code regarding political 2 signs? 3 MR. ROZEA: No. I believe what this local law intends 4 5 to do is to treat all signs, whether they be political, commercial or otherwise equal. 6 7 That's the intention. 8 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The 135 again? 9 MR. ROZEA: So any temporary sign, 135 10 days, political sign is able to be displayed 120 11 days prior to the election and then for 15 days 12 post election. 1.3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: What is an 14 example of a temporary sign? 15 MR. ROZEA: A temporary sign per definition is noncommercial content. 16 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Give me an 18 example: A lost cat or --19 MR. ROZEA: I quess yard sales. 20 MR. SABELLICO: It could be Repeal Obama. 21 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Repeal Sabellico. 23 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: That would be 24 nonpolitical because there isn't an active election 25 for President Obama at the moment.

6 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I didn't --1 2 looking back -- I didn't know you can put up -- can 3 anybody help me here? 4 MR. ZIKE: You can have a temporary 5 sign such as a for sale sign on the front yard of 6 your property. 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: A broker puts a 8 sign up, a construction -- that's 135, that's an 9 odd number. 10 MR. ROZEA: I'm not sure how we 11 determined that. 12 There's a fourth restriction that any 13 temporary sign cannot exceed 64 square feet in surface area. That's the limitation on the size. 14 15 COUNCILMAN PINTO: That was in the code 16 already? 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: This is from 18 litigation. 19 MR. ROZEA: Litigation. 20 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: There was in 21 the code with regard to which portion. I'm 22 confused. 23 MR. ROZEA: There have been 24 restrictions on the placement, ordinary time, place 25 and matter restrictions, but the purpose of this

7 1 amendment would be to treat political signs and 2 nonpolitical signs equally. 3 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I understand the purpose of this. I'm just trying to equate it 4 5 with what may have existed already. MR. ROZEA: I can go back and pull what 6 7 is in the code now. 8 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I'm trying to 9 make a comparison as to what we have and what's 10 it's changing to. 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Donna, can you 12 help us? 13 MS. SWANSON: Yes, I'm just pulling it 14 up now. 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I always thought 16 a lot of things we are talking about, those were 17 kind -- not necessarily regulated, but things that 18 we tolerate in the community and nobody really 19 get -- rules of the road kind of thing. 2.0 Like, you don't park in front of your 21 neighbor's house even though you can, but you 22 typically don't. 23 All right. Let's see. 24 nonpolitical, noncommercial signs is 135 25 consecutively.

8 1 MR. ROZEA: I should mention the way 2 this local law is written, the temporary sign 3 includes, as a part of a portion of the temporary 4 sign, the political sign, so the 135 days is 5 equally apropos to political and nonpolitical signs. It's just the way that the 135 days is 6 7 calculated. 8 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: But political 9 signs, as I understand, could never be more than 10 120 days. 11 MR. ROZEA: Except that you get 15 days 12 after the election to take it down. 13 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: But it never goes 14 beyond 135? 15 MR. ROZEA: Correct. 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Is there anything 17 you can tell us? 18 MS. SWANSON: No. 19 MR. ROZEA: I can supply it in writing. 20 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We don't have to 21 do it right this moment. I thought it might be 22 readily available. 23 Do you have anything further? 24 MR. ROZEA: I do not. 25 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I have no other

9 1 forms from --2 MS. SWANSON: They are exempt signs. 3 Temporary signs, John, would be building 4 construction, architectural signs, an event for 5 nonprofit event, that would be noncommercial signs. 6 An exempt sign would be a zoning sign. 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Do you mean our 8 signs? 9 MS. SWANSON: If you were going to 10 have -- and I believe political signs fall under 11 that. 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: They all came 13 under the same -- now I understand. 14 MR. FREIER: Who is counting the days? There's no permit required? 15 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We are going to 17 ask you to do it. 18 MR. FREIER: How does that law change, 19 which I understand is a result of a lawsuit, but 20 who counts and how do you begin to try to enforce 21 something like that? 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The enforcement 23 question is separate from the enactment. I guess 24 we have to sort all that out. 25 MR. ROZEA: Of course.

10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We'll have a sign 1 2 checker one and sign checker two. 3 Is there anyone else who -- Robert, 4 come on up. 5 MR. RIPP: Robert Ripp from Massapequa, New York. 6 7 I have a question in relation to the 8 hearing itself. 9 I would like to know, was there a 10 requirement or is it usual that the Town passes a 11 resolution authorizing the clerk to advertise a 12 hearing, and I would like to know if this was done 1.3 for this hearing, and if so, how this hearing was 14 advertised because aside from the calendar that was 15 produced on Friday, I didn't see anything when I 16 was looking at the draft resolution. I didn't see 17 any backup information. I was not able -- I don't know thing about it. I would just like to know if 18 19 we're even supposed to have the hearing. 2.0 MR. ALTADONNA: It was advertised in 21 Newsday. 22 MR. RIPP: You don't need a resolution 23 to advertise it?

MR. ALTADONNA: I do get authorization

25 to advertise it.

24

11 1 MR. RIPP: Do you have the resolution 2 number to authorize for this hearing? 3 MR. ALTADONNA: We will get it to. 4 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It was advertised 5 in Newsday? 6 MR. ALTADONNA: Yes. 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Anybody want to 8 address the Board relative to this matter? 9 (No verbal response.) 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Rozea? 11 MR. ROZEA: Obviously, anything is 12 subject to discretion of the Board. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: If we have any 1.3 14 problems with it, we will come back to you. MR. ROZEA: Thank you. 15 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Altadonna, 17 any correspondence? MR. ALTADONNA: We have affidavits of 18 19 postings and publications. There are no other 20 correspondence. 21 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Muscarella, 22 I'll take a motion. 23 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, 24 I'll make a motion that this public hearing be 25 closed and the decision be reserved.

		12
1	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.	
2	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?	
3	ALL: "Aye."	
4	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?	
5	(No response.)	
6	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.	
7	We will proceed with the call of the	
8	Regular Action Calendar.	
9	(Time noted: 10:58 a.m.)	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
TOWN BOARD
ACTION CALENDAR
SEPTEMBER 6, 2016
10:59 a.m.

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK
JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES
LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY
FRANK SCALERA, CHIEF DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
THOMAS SABELLICO, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY
LINDA M. HERMAN, OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK
RALPH J. RAYMOND, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK
RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK
STEVEN MARX, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT,
OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR
ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS,
OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR

(Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Ymne lingeles

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

YVONNE ANGELES
Official Reporter/Notary

ALSO PRESENT:

RONALD SCAGLIA, ASSISTANT TO THE SUPERVISOR, OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION BRIAN DEVINE, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION ROBERT DARIENZO, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE FRANK SAMMARTANO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS LESLIE MACCARONE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS MATT RUSSO, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES DANIEL PEARL, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY TIM ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT VICKI SPINELLI, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES RICHARD LAMARCA, DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES PATRICIA A. BECKERLE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND YOUTH SERVICES JOHN BISHOP, ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS COLIN BELL, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

3 1 MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to 2 adopt Resolution No. TF 15-16? Transfer Of Funds Resolution No. 3 TF 15-16; Resolution relating to Transfer of Funds 4 5 within various departments accounts for the Year 6 2016. 7 On the motion? 8 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 9 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 10 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 11 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 12 Macagnone. 13 On the vote, Supervisor Venditto? 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Before we do, 15 hold on. I didn't see these forms. 16 MR. ALTADONNA: There is a speaker. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You will have to 17 18 tell me when the speakers --19 MR. ALTADONNA: Okay. 2.0 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't think we 21 have anything before us. 22 MR. ALTADONNA: Bob, you are on this 23 one. 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Do we have anything before us? 25

4 1 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: He called 2 TF 15-16, but I think -- I don't want to tell 3 anyone how to do it, but if you want speakers 4 speaking on multiple resolutions, shouldn't we have 5 the whole calendar in front of us? MR. ALTADONNA: It's TF 15-16. 6 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Until we get a 8 better handle on this, why don't you call the whole 9 calendar, put it before the Board and bring each 10 speaker up? 11 MR. ALTADONNA: There was a table in 12 the middle. 13 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Let's take the 14 table first. 15 MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to table Resolution No. 443-2016? 16 Resolution No. 443-2016; Resolution 17 18 authorizing the appointment of Iris Williams to the 19 Board of Commissioners of the Town of Oyster Bay 20 Housing Authority for a term expiring August 31, 21 2018, to complete the term vacated by Joseph 22 Jablonsky. (M.D. 8/9/16 #12). 23 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: I'll make a 24 motion table Resolution No. 443-2016. 25 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?

5 1 ALL: "Aye." 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 3 (No response.) 4 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 5 Now, Mr. Altadonna, if you would just get the whole calendar before the Board. 6 7 MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to 8 enact Action Calendar TF 15 through 502? 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Through and 10 including --11 MR. ALTADONNA: 502. 12 On the motion? 1.3 Resolution No. 439-2016; Resolution 14 authorizing the Department of Community and Youth 15 Services to hold its annual "9/11 Memorial 16 Ceremony" at the memorial site located at TOBAY 17 Beach (bay side) on September 7, 2016 and to employ 18 the services of a vocalist to perform at the event. Account No. CYS A 7020 47660 000 0000. 19 20 8/2/16 #4). 21 Resolution No. 440-2016; Resolution 22 authorizing the issuance of a pro-rated refund of 23 the difference in the length of boat fee to L. 24 Zeppieri, for a boat slip at Harry Tappen Marina. 25 Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 526 0000. (M.D.

8/9/16 #4).

2.0

Resolution No. 441-2016; Resolution granting request from Janice A. Dannebaum to donate a plaque for an existing bench at Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Park and Beach in memory of William and Anne Dannebaum. (M.D. 8/9/16 #5).

Resolution No. 442-2016; Resolution authorizing the reappointment of Peter Morra to the Board of Commissioners of the Town of Oyster Bay Housing Authority for a term expiring August 31, 2021. (M.D. 8/9/16 #11).

Resolution No. 444-2016; Resolution authorizing the election of Joseph Parrella and Patricia Witrock, as Tenant Commissioners for the Town of Oyster Bay Housing Authority effective July 1, 2016 with a term expiring June 30, 2018.

(M.D. 8/9/16 #13).

Resolution No. 445-2016; Resolution pertaining to legal costs in connection with the Town's Self-Insurance Program. Account Nos. TWN AMS 1910 43010 602 0000 000 and TWN AMS 1910 43020 602 0000 000. (M.D. 8/9/16 #19).

Resolution No. 446-2016; Resolution granting request from the Holy Trinity Greek
Orthodox Church, for Town assistance in conducting

their annual Grecian Festival on September 9, 10 & 11, 2016 and to use various Town equipment and for the posting of temporary "No Parking" signs for various area streets. (M.D. 8/9/16 #20).

Resolution No. 447-2016; Resolution pertaining to an access agreement between the Town of Oyster Bay and Syosset Park Development, LLC. (M.D. 8/9/16 #21).

Resolution No. 448-2016; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 40 Brightwater Place, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax assessment rolls. (M.D. 8/9/16 #14).

Resolution No. 449-2016; Resolution authorizing the property board up assessment of 19 Terrace View Road, Farmingdale, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax assessment rolls. (M.D. 8/9/16 #15).

Resolution No. 450-2016; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 14 Matthew Street, Farmingdale, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax assessment rolls. (M.D. 8/9/16 #16).

Resolution No. 451-2016; Resolution authorizing the property board up and re-board up

assessment of 132 Gardner Avenue, Hicksville, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax assessment rolls. (M.D. $8/9/16~\sharp 17$).

Resolution No. 452-2016; Resolution pertaining to schedule changes for the tipping fee for regular municipal solid wastes delivered to the OBSWDC to correspond with the second five-year term of the Town's solid waste transfer, haul and disposal contract. (M.D. 8/16/16 #15).

Resolution No. 453-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of the fee for a building permit to Total Permits LLC, due to the withdrawal of the permit by the property owner.

Account No. PAD B 3620 02555 000 0000. (M.D. 8/16/16 #5).

Resolution No. 454-2016; Resolution authorizing the property board up assessment of 477 Clocks Boulevard, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax assessment rolls. (M.D. 8/16/16 #10).

Resolution No. 455-2016; Resolution authorizing the property board up assessment of 104 Plainview Road, Woodbury, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau

2.0

County Tax assessment rolls. (M.D. 8/16/16 #11).

Resolution No. 456-2016; Resolution authorizing the property board up assessment of 104 Fairwater Avenue, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax assessment rolls. (M.D. 8/16/16 #12).

Resolution No. 457-2016; Resolution authorizing the property board up assessment of 540 Old Country Road, Plainview, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax assessment rolls. (M.D. 8/16/16 #13).

Resolution No. 458-2016; Resolution authorizing the property board up assessment of 119 Boston Avenue, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax assessment rolls. (M.D. 8/16/16 #14).

Resolution No. 459-2016; Resolution authorizing the sixth one year extension of time for the application of Woodbury Realty Holdings Corp., Woodbury Villas, 884 Jericho Turnpike, Woodbury, NY. (M.D. 8/16/16 #6).

Resolution No. 460-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a Seasonal Beach Parking Permit fee to B. Rebold. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. M.D. 8/23/16 #4).

2.0

Resolution No. 461-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of the registration fee in the TOB Summer Recreation program at Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Park to S. Player. Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 8/23/16 #5).

Resolution No. 462-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a Kayak Rack rental fee at Harry Tappen Beach and Marina to B. Ponzo. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 526 0000.

M.D. 8/23/16 #6).

Resolution No. 463-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of the registration fee in the Women's golf league at the Honorable Joseph Colby Town of Oyster Bay Golf Course to S. Wells. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. M.D. 8/23/16 #7).

Resolution No. 464-2016; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor or his designee, to execute all forms necessary in the pursuit of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation's Electronic Waste Assistance Program. (M.D. 8/23/16/ #21).

Resolution No. 465-2016; Resolution pertaining to special counsel services to the Town

Attorney relative to employee representation.

Account No. OTA A 1420 44110 000 0000. (M.D.

8/23/16/ #22).

1.3

2.0

Resolution No. 466-2016; Resolution authorizing the rejection of bids and the rebid of Contract No. DP15-128, Syosset Fire Department Memorial Park. (M.D. 8/23/16/ #28).

Resolution No. 467-2016; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC-29-16, On-Call Engineering services relative to Oversight of the Groundwater Treatment Facility. Account No. DER SR05 8160 44800 000 0000. (M.D. 8/23/16/ #29).

Resolution No. 468-2016; Resolution granting request from the Syosset Fire District to use two roll off containers for their Annual Fire Prevention Day "Open House" from October 7 through October 10, 2016. (M.D. 8/23/16 #31).

Resolution No. 469-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for Season Golf Course Membership plus Tee Time fee at the Honorable Joseph Colby Town of Oyster Bay Golf Course to J. Cauciella. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D. 8/23/16 #8).

Resolution No. 470-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of the

2.0

registration fee for the TOB summer recreation program at Marjorie R. Post Community Park to M. & E. Vogel; Account No. PKS A 0001 02001 510 0000. (M.D. 8/23/16 #9).

Resolution No. 471-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for a Seasonal Boat Basin Permit fee at the Joseph J. Saladino Memorial Marina at Tobay to N. Conway; Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 526 0000. (M.D. 8/23/16 #10).

Resolution No. 472-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for a family pool registration fee at the Syosset-Woodbury Community Park Pool to K. Kilpatrick. Account No. PKS SP11 0001 02025 528 0000. (M.D. 8/23/16 #11).

Resolution No. 473-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund for a Seasonal beach permit fee to C. Chimon. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D. 8/23/16 #12).

Resolution No. 474-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund to S. Gandhi for being overcharged on a Beach Daily Parking Entrance Fee at the Harry Tappen Beach and Marina. Account No. PKS A 0001 02025 523 0000. (M.D. 8/23/16 #13).

Resolution No. 475-2016; Resolution

2.0

1.3

authorizing the Youth Arts and Crafts Program for Toddlers Ages 2-4 from October 3, 2016 thru

December 28, 2016 at the Town of Oyster Bay Ice

Skating Center at Bethpage. (M.D. 8/23/16 #14).

Resolution No. 476-2016; Resolution authorizing the commencement of legal proceedings against Grella & Son Contracting Corp. (M.D. 8/23/16 #23).

Resolution No. 477-2016; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. HTR12-993, Requirements Contract for Tree Removal at various locations throughout the Town of Oyster Bay. (M.D. 8/23/16 #33).

Resolution No. 478-2016; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. HTT11-051, Requirements Contract for Tree Trimming at various locations throughout the Town of Oyster Bay. (M.D. 8/23/16#34).

Resolution No. 479- 2016; Resolution granting request from the Afghan Hindu Association, Inc., for Town assistance in conducting their Annual Fair on September 25, 2016 in Hicksville, to use and posting of temporary "No Parking" signs in Municipal Parking Field H-11 as well as the use of Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 8/23/16 #35).

2.0

Resolution No. 480-2016; Resolution granting request from the Kiwanis International, Kiwanis Club of Massapequa, for Town assistance in conducting their annual Kiwanis Bocce Tournament at John J. Burns Park on September 17, 2016 and to use various Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 8/23/16 #36).

Resolution No. 481-2016; Resolution granting request from the Bethpage Chamber of Commerce, Inc., for Town assistance in conducting their Summer Festival held on August 11-13, 2016, to utilize Municipal Parking Fields B-1, B-3 and B-4 in Bethpage and to use various Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 8/23/16 #37).

Resolution No. 482-2016; Resolution granting request from the Rotary Club of Locust Valley, for Town assistance in conducting their Annual Oktoberfest on October 1, 2016 at Thomas Park in Locust Valley and to use various Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 8/23/16 #38).

Resolution No. 483-2016; Resolution granting request from Syosset-Woodbury Chamber of Commerce for Town assistance in conducting their Annual Street Fair on September 18, 2016 and to use various Town equipment for the event. (M.D.

8/23/16 #39).

2.0

Resolution No. 484-2016; Resolution granting request from the Bayville Fire Company #1, for Town assistance in hosting a Charity Drill on September 10, 2016, to utilize the west area of Centre Island Beach and to use various Town equipment for the event. (M.D. 8/23/16 #44).

Resolution No. 485-2016; Resolution authorizing the Department of Environmental Resources to cosponsor the Annual Fall Oyster Bay Harbor and Beach Cleanup in conjunction with the North Oyster Bay Baymen's Association, the Friends of the Bay and the public on September 24, 2016.

(M.D. 8/23/16 #47).

Resolution No. 486-2016; Resolution pertaining to special counsel for condemnation matters relative to the application of the Town of Oyster Bay to acquire title to certain real property located at the Liberty Industrial Finishing Site, Farmingdale. Account No. OTA A 1420 44110 000 0000. (M.D. 8/23/16 #48).

Resolution No. 487-2016; Resolution granting request from the, Grace-full Harvest Fair Committee, for Town assistance, on behalf of Grace Episcopal Church and Day School, in conducting

2.0

their annual Grace-full Harvest Fair on September 24, 2016 and for the use of various Town equipment. $(M.D.\ 8/23/16\ \#40)$.

Resolution No. 488-2016; Resolution granting request from the Plainview-Old Bethpage Chamber of Commerce, for Town assistance in conducting their Fifth Annual Craft and Gift Fair on October 1, 2016 and for the use of various Town equipment. (M.D. 8/23/16 #41).

Resolution No. 489-2016; Resolution granting request from the North Syosset Civic Association, for Town assistance in conducting their 2016 Block Party on September 17, 2016 and for the use of various Town equipment. (M.D. 8/23/16 #42).

Resolution No. 490-2016; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor, or his designee, to sign deed restrictions for the Old Bethpage

Landfill as required by the New York State

Environmental Conservation, and authorize the filing of said restrictions with the County of Nassau. (M.D. 8/23/16 #30).

Resolution No. 491-2016; Resolution granting request from Columbus Lodge #2143, Order Sons of Italy in America, 21st Annual Columbus

2.0

Lodge Italian Feast and Festival, North Massapequa, on September 25, 2016, for Town assistance, the use various Town equipment and to have Town Ordinance Chapter 82-3 waived for the event. (M.D. 8/23/16 #43 & 8/30/15 #18).

Resolution No. 492-2016; Resolution pertaining to award of Contract No. H16-138, Roadway and Drainage Improvements at The Knolls & Maples. Account No. HWY H 5197 20000 000 1503.

(M.D. 8/23/16 #24 & 8/30/16 #17).

Resolution No. 493-2016; Resolution pertaining to the decision on the dock application of William E. Allison, 310 Bay Drive, Massapequa, to erect, maintain, improve, alter or repair a dock, float, bulkhead or other moorings. (M.D. 8/9/16 #10).

Resolution No. 494-2016; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC10-16 On-Call Engineering Services for Construction Management for Construction of the Syosset Fire Department Memorial Park. Account No. PKS H 7197 20000 000 1209 001. (M.D. 8/23/16 #26 & 8/30/16 #14).

Resolution No. 495-2016; Resolution pertaining to an extension of time for Contract No. H15-125, Highway Improvements to the Division

Avenue area. (M.D. 8/23/16 #27 & 8/30/16 #16).

Resolution No. 496-2016; Resolution

3 pertaining to award of Contract No. DP16-141. John

J. Burns Park Soccer Field Turf Replacement.

Account No. PKS H 7197 20000 000 1402 001. (M.D.

8/23/16 #32 & 8/30/16 #15).

Resolution No. 497-2016; Resolution pertaining to a correction for the Voluntary Defined Contribution Plan for R. Spagnuolo. (M.D. 8/23/16 #15 & 8/30/16 #20).

Resolution No. 498-2016; Resolution authorizing the Comptroller to refund premium contributions in the Flexible Spending Plan to certain employees. (M.D. 8/23/16 #16 & 8/30/16 #19).

Resolution No. 499-2016; Resolution relating to the recommendation of the Department of Environmental Resources concerning the SEQRA determination on the application of Joan III, Joe III, and BSL NY Development LLC for a Special Use Permit and Site Plan Approval for Assisted Living Facility (Benchmark at Woodbury). (M.D. 8/23/16 #50 & 8/30/16 #12).

Resolution No. 500-2016; Resolution authorizing the publication of a legal notice

2.0

pertaining to the 2016-2017 School Tax. Account
No. ROT A 1330 44100 000 0000. (M.D. 8/23/16 #17).

Resolution No. 501-2016; Resolution directing the Town Clerk to publish a notice of hearing to consider a proposed local law entitled "A Local Law to Amend Chapter 209 Taxation, to add Article X Override of Real Property Tax Levy to the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, New York to Override the Tax Levy Limit Established in General Municipal Law Section 3-C." Hearing Date: September 27, 2016. (M.D. 8/23/16 #49).

Resolution No. 502-2016; Resolution pertaining to the decision on the Local Law entitled "A Local Law to Amend the Code of the Town of Oyster Bay, New York, Chapter 246, Zoning, Section 246-11.6.1.3 Hearing held: September 6, 2016. (M.D. 7/19/16 #8).

Resolution No. 503-2016; Resolution authorizing the appointment of John Venditto, Town Supervisor, as a marriage officer to perform a wedding ceremony on September 17, 2016. (M.D. 8/30/16 #22).

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Now, we will

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

1.3

2.0

just -- I will call the speakers in order.

Robert Freier.

MR. FREIER: Good morning.

First, on the transfer of funds, I know there's always a lot of transfer of funds.

Is that just anticipated when you create the budget or you suddenly discover that we don't need this, so we will move this to that?

Like, I see 6k from academic enrichment to supportive services. For example, what is academic enrichment within the Town that -- so we don't need to spend that money on and to move it to support services which books and supplies. There's also 6k for academics, so that's 12k in academic for 18k, academic enrichment that was moved to a combination of books and supplies, transportation supporting services, which is listed as testing and -- I'm just curious why that and I also see 25k from salaries to Social Security.

Isn't that -- aren't those known costs when you make the budget in the beginning of the year? Why would there be surprises that -- for example, the Social Security money needs to be transferred from something else?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think that you

all know that a budget is a guesstimate. Some costs are fixed; some are not.

Who is here?

MR. SCALERA: Frank Scalera.

Supervisor, these are federal funds provided through the work force (inaudible) and with these funds the Town runs a program where we sponsor folks for job training or help folks with their job search efforts. So every year -- our fiscal years runs from June to July every year and we receive information about where our allocation will be and we project what expect to spend the funds on, but we can't predict is how many people will apply and be sponsored for training. So we established these funds at the beginning of every year using projections on global spending and then make these transfers as actual figures from our projections.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think that's it.

MR. FREIER: So the people that are selected for this, are they paid a salary? Is that why Social Security is part of this?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm not sure Social Security means what you it is.

1.3

Colin, is this --

MR. BELL: Some of these federal funds go to the salary of the Town staff that run these programs. We have employment counselors and the like that work in our Resource Room that assist people with their job search.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: This program has been ongoing -- I think it changes acronyms. It's all federal funds involved here.

MR. FREIER: Thank you.

I'm curious why you tabled 443.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Macagnone?

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I wanted more

information on it.

MR. FREIER: 442, reappointing -- 442 through 444, with the exception of 443, these are people being reappointed to the Oyster Bay Housing Authority.

Is that the same people that were involved in the current, you know, lawsuit, federal lawsuit that created these rules? How are you choosing who --

COUNCILMAN PINTO: 444 are people who live in the Authority who are voted in by their own people there.

2.3 1 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: They are selected 2 by the tenants. 3 MR. FREIER: Thank you for clarifying that. I appreciate that. 4 5 445, this is a Resolution for outside legal counseling for an additional \$95,000. I know 6 7 you say budgets are guesstimates, but I think that 8 would be -- why such a huge cost? 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I guess if we 10 could control the future and whether or not 11 lawsuits ensue or don't ensue, I think you would be 12 right, but we can't do that. 1.3 MR. FREIER: This is not about a 14 lawsuit. 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Hold on, Bob. 16 MR. GENOVA: Frank Scalera --17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Frank, I think 18 this is the increase to the Cascone firm? 19 MR. SCALERA: That's pretty straight 20 forward. Cascone & Kluepfel, one of the firm that 21 handle outside negligence, trips and falls, car 22 accidents and the like. That number represents 23 cumulative bills. I will give you the exact 24 number. I have to check upstairs in my office. 25 But it's not just one. It's work done -- I think

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

2.4

we owe them for several months. If you want me to go upstairs now, I can get you how far back it goes. Otherwise, I can get it to you afterwards an e-mail it to you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The bottom line answer to the question is, if at any given point in time we can use our crystal ball and see how many people are going to slip and fall, then we would need increases.

MR. FREIER: That would be for the self-insurance program?

MR. SCALERA: Yes.

MR. FREIER: 459, can you explain
Woodbury Realty Holdings Corp. I read the backup
and it seems like every year they get a one-year
extension and it's built into their contract. I'm
not sure what that is.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Which one is it?

MR. FREIER: 459.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm sorry, what

about it?

MR. FREIER: Can you explain what it is

because I noticed it's as continual one-year

extension that's in the contract?

25 COUNCILMAN PINTO: I think this has to

2.0

2.5

do with Lenny or Bob. They are waiting on Nassau

County because I asked the same question. I think

this is where the applicant is waiting for

information from Nassau County before we could

actually go ahead --

MR. ZIKE: The Board approved an application about five, six years ago. They are currently in the process of getting subdivision approval from Nassau County. Once that is obtained, they can come back to the Town for their building permits.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Having said that, we would, typically in situations like that -- I don't want to say with great liberality, but we tend to be very reasonable. Sometimes there are other problems that we weren't able to obtain financing, there were delays in construction, things of that nature.

On the one hand you want to the keep a reign on a situation. On the other hand, you don't want lose a potentially good tenant in the Town after they've had a Zoning approval or permits issued, at the least. So we tend to be somewhat liberal in granting these extensions. But that's the reason for --

26 1 MR. FREIER: Is that common for 2 something to take years like that to get permits 3 from Nassau County? 4 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think it 5 probably is. My guess would be yes. 6 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: In the 7 construction world, yes, it is common. 8 MR. FREIER: 465, 57k for special 9 counsel services to the Town attorney relative to 10 employee representation. I'm not asking the 11 details of what the employee representation is, but 12 who chooses that law firm and how is that done. 1.3 MR. GENOVA: The Town attorney's 14 office. 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Town attorney's office. 16 17 MR. FREIER: The Town attorney's office 18 chooses who represents your employee? SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes. 19 2.0 MR. FREIER: 466, I know there is a --21 I understand this has been going on for quite 22 sometime regarding the building -- the Syosset Fire 23 Department Memorial Park across the street. 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Across the street from the firehouse? 2.5

2.7

MR. FREIER: Yes. I'm wondering, I saw in the further -- in some of the backup, that's costing --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I know what you are going to ask because I asked the same question, but I don't remember the answer. There was a problem because I think the bids came in higher than what was allocated for the project. But down the line, we do have a \$60,000 allocation, I think, for architectural services. I don't know that that's inconsistent, but it did strike me as odd too.

Leslie -- Deputy, it looks like you have the answer.

MS. MACCARONE: Resolution 466, this is to reject the original bids that came in too high and to allow us to go out and bid again.

Our estimate is around \$250,000 and we scaled down the plans, we eliminated some of the items in the project and we're going to go out to rebid.

Further down, I'm not sure exactly which Resolution it is, that is to allow Nassau/Suffolk to do the construction management for the project. It's going to be a 13-week

2.8 project and to also prepare the bid documents for 1 2 the scale-down version. 3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: They are not 4 inconsistent? 5 MS. MACCARONE: No. MR. FREIER: The 250k estimate, that's 6 7 Town money? 8 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes, Town money, but it's also our estimate as well. 9 10 MS. MACCARONE: That's correct. 11 purchased the property, we are designing and 12 building the memorial. 1.3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Just out of 14 curiosity -- sorry, Bob. I don't remember if the 15 numbers are in there, in the backup, how far off are the bids? 16 MS. MACCARONE: For the original 17 18 project? SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes. 19 MS. MACCARONE: He came in around 491, 20 21 so we scaled down the project tremendously. 22 MR. FREIER: Is that the something that 23 the Board would consider -- certainly no 24 disrespect -- obviously, we honor anyone associated 25 with 9/11 to the utmost, it's very important, but

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

29 1 250k when the Town is pretty much broke, is that 2 something that can be put off for another time? 3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't know that 4 we need to do that. We certainly will take that 5 under advisement. I think in view of the subject matter, we will find a way to get it done. 6 7 Bob, I'm sorry to say, your time is up. 8 Thank you for your input. 9 Paul Molinari? 10 MR. MOLINARI: Good morning. 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good morning. 12 start with you at 465. 1.3 MR. MOLINARI: I want address in 14 general -- at the last Board meeting, you, 15 Mr. Supervisor, laid out budget cuts for next year, 16 the Town's financial condition, so why not start 17 today? Several of these resolutions before 18 19 you, are they really needed to be approved. 2.0 just heard about 465 where we are expending 57k for outside counsel. 21 22 Why isn't the Town attorney's office 23 addressing it? 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think -- I 25 believe the backup indicated the reasons why.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.0

MR. MOLINARI: 466, you have a rebid \$250,000 in conjunction with 494 at \$69,000 for construction management. That's \$300,000. We also have on 477, why not have Town employees perform the work instead of awarding a contract for almost \$400,000 for tree cutting? I know the Town has people capable of doing it. I've seen them doing it on Duffy Avenue a couple of weeks ago.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think, Paul -I already answered -- as I told Bob Freier, we will
take a look at the Syosset project.

Again, in view of the nature of the memorial, probably to go ahead. The reasons for the outside counsel was made very clear in the backup, about the level of competence or the level in confidence in a particular area to handle the matter. I don't want fool around with representation.

On the issue you are on now, I don't want to put anybody on the spot, but on the requirements contract for tree removal, it's always been my understanding that it's a pretty overwhelming contract. I don't that we have the wherewithal to do it ourselves. But if anyone from the Department of Public Works or Highway can -- I

hate putting people on the spot.

John, are you all right with this?

MR. BISHOP: With regard to the tree removal, those were already in requests, that we had to address at that time. Moving forward with the new contracts, we will be addressing it differently.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: But the amount of work done on these contracts is pretty significant.

MR. BISHOP: I'd have to say about -maybe 1,200 requests a year. It's a large volume.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you.

Go ahead, Paul, continue.

MR. MOLINARI: My other point is that we are continuing to do business as usual.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I have to stop you there. I don't want to debate you, but I do want to tell you, you're only seeing what we're doing, you're not seeing what we're not doing.

Just keep that in mind.

Go ahead. I don't want to take up all your time.

MR. MOLINARI: The only question I really have, those Resolutions for awards and dollars and my concern is, like I said, we are

1.3

2.0

continuing to award contracts and we don't have the money.

The only other question was on 496 which is an award of a contract which I understand it's being done.

My only question is, this the low bidder, there were only three bidders on the that -- is this is the low bidder on the job.

You make a good point about that. We have a lot of turf fields that we do refurbish. I wouldn't mind asking our Park Commissioner to see about getting somebody to sponsor some of this stuff. I don't think kids would mind playing on a Dick's Sporting Goods field at John Burns Park or skate at the Coca-Cola Ice Center at Bethpage. It might be time to start to raise some funds that way to get some sponsorships.

is and I will tell you, in the past, we have done this and it has not gone over too big with the leagues and a lot of residents have objections to it. I'm just telling you what has happened in the past, not necessarily telling you that's not going to happen again in the future. It's an excellent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

33 idea. Maybe things have changed, but a lot people decried the commercialization of the fields and the advertising and turning it into a -- I don't know something that is -- they didn't find it appropriate. COUNCILMAN PINTO: 496, I believe this came significantly under our estimate as far as the cost is concerned. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Paul, just remember that you are only seeing what we are going forward with. You are not seeing what we are not going forward with. MR. MOLINARI: Thank you. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Robert Ripp. MR. RIPP: Robert Ripp, again, from Massapequa. Before we begin, I just want to make sure the rules are, I get three minutes for each Resolution I'm addressing? That's my time, right, three minutes? SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Hold on. Somebody read the rule. Let's get it right. I believe you have ten minutes, Robert.

MS. FIORENZA: Resolutions.

You also have to read the statutes, but --

1.3

2.0

During the period identified as opportunities for the public to address the Board on agenda items only; speakers shall identify all resolutions they wish to speak of. Speakers shall have three minutes to address the Board on a given resolution. A total of six minutes if the speaker is addressing two Resolutions and a total of ten minutes if the speaker is addressing three or more Resolutions.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: This isn't charged against your time, but the rule is three minutes for one. You get two for six minutes and all you can eat for ten minutes. You got ten minutes. Go.

MR. RIPP: This is with regard to the Transfer of Funds.

This is a memorandum docket dated August 22nd, \$225,000 in salaries regular to \$25,000 into Social Security.

From what I understand -- when somebody works you pay Social Security taxes and your employer has to match it.

So, first of all, I'd like to know, are you transferring this money from an account designated to pay salaries to pay the Town's

2.0

2.5

portion of Social Security they have to match, number one, and then I would like to know, have all the quarterly payroll tax returns been filed timely and I'd also like to know, are all the payroll tax liability current and are all the pension contributions current? You can file your tax payroll without being current. Those are my questions on that.

To get into Resolution 465, I would like to know, this is a Resolution -- when you read the Resolution, you're making a decision and it seems the decision was made by information you discussed in a past Executive Session. So, I'd like to know, even though you didn't -- when you came out of the session, I don't believe you announced any action was taken, I'd like to know if this would be considered as if you're taking action based on that Executive Session; and if so, will the transcripts of that Executive Session then be made available to the public?

I'd like to point out to you that Resolution 476, I couldn't find any backup information, just the Resolution itself.

477 and 478, again, you're allocating \$1 million to Laser -- I know people spoke about

2.0

2.5

the tree requirements. So I'd like to bring to your attention, at least in my neighborhood recently, the power companies are receiving a grant. The Town came in and took all the lighting fixtures down. The power companies came in and trimmed all the trees. They put some additional wire to support the power lines so we don't have any problems and we moved on.

Do we really need to go for three-quarters of \$1 million for possible tree trimming after that?

Resolution 486, I'd like to know how much in total we spent to date on this Liberty
Industrial Finishing Site so far?

Resolution 495, this is an interesting one. It seems like the Resolution -- it seems as if Rich Betz and -- this Resolution is Commissioner Betz and Sidney Bowne, Frank Antetomaso's company, apparently they are asking for an extension of time based on problems that this construction company -- I can't read the Resolution -- they're asking for an extension of time based on problems that the individuals involved here are having.

What I'd like to bring to your attention is, the same company, Sidney Bowne in the

1.3

2.0

Stillwater Avenue road reconstruction, you actually approved payment for that company because they lost time due to inclement weather. So I'm curious how it (inaudible) goes both ways.

It seems like on one hand, we are paying people because they couldn't work based on weather or whatever the issues were, and on the other hand, we're granting them extension when they can't do the job on time.

496, this is the turf fields. I'd really like to know, what the shelf life of these turf fields are. The Town has spent millions and millions of dollars installing these turf fields. We found all sorts of contaminated material at all the sites and we replaced everything and now it seems this is the second one in the last couple months that's coming up to be redone.

I mean, it's a great possible solution

Mr. Macagnone made to get some funding in here, but

what is the shelf life? Are we going to be looking

for millions of dollars every five, ten years on

these fields? Maybe we should go back to grass.

Resolution 497, I would like to know who this gentleman, Mr. Spagnuolo is and what he does for the Town, what his position is.

38 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think that's 1 2 our Deputy Town Clerk. 3 MR. ALTADONNA: Deputy Town Clerk. 4 You've actually got many letters from him. You should know who he is. 5 MR. RIPP: Thanks for correcting me. 6 7 I would like to know in Resolution 501 8 and 502, these are resolutions directing the Town 9 code to publish a Notice of Hearing to consider a 10 local law. I'd like to know, I asked earlier is 11 that the normal format. 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: In particular --13 you're like a road runner up there. 14 In particular, what you wanted to know 15 is on the hearing that was held, Hearing No. 2, you 16 wanted to know if there was a notice -- publication 17 notice on it. 18 MR. RIPP: Right. I really would like 19 to know the information on the federal tax. 2.0 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I heard parts of I got ambushed when I went in there. 21 that. 22 MR. RIPP: Do you want me to repeat it? 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes. 24 MR. RIPP: What I'd like to know is, 25 this Transfer of Funds, it looks like it's coming

1.3

2.0

out of salaries regular which, unfortunately, we were talking about layoffs and everything and it's going into Social Security.

What I understand is, when you work, you pay into Social Security, I know I did, and then your employer matches it. So I would like to know if you're actually taking the money out of the account that's designated to pay people's salaries and paying their Social Security contributions or are you matching -- the Town's matching requirement and I'd also like to know, have all the quarterly payroll tax returns been filed timely and I'd like to know, are all the payroll tax returns without being current and I'd like to know, are all the pension contributions current.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't know.

Colin, is any of that pertinent to the transfer? I'm still not clear on that.

MR. BELL: I really have to defer to somebody from payroll or possibly Rob.

MR. DARIENZO: Supervisor, all the question about (inaudible) that's more for the Comptroller's Office. We can say we are up to date with payroll, we are up to date with the pensions,

40 retirement system. We are up to date on all our 1 2 bills. 3 MR. RIPP: You're up to date with Social Security payments. 4 5 MR. DARIENZO: Yes. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: By the way, Rob, 6 7 apparently, the ad for the hearing this morning on 8 the local law, Hearing No. 2 appeared in the 9 newspaper, general circulation here in Nassau 10 County, Newsday on Thursday, August 18th. 11 MR. RIPP: That's great. 12 I'm more interested in authorizing --13 MR. ALTADONNA: I gave you the --14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think I have a 15 copy of that, too. Why don't you give Mr. Ripp a 16 copy of this? Thank you. 17 MR. RIPP: Thank you. 18 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Back to you, 19 Mr. Altadonna. MR. ALTADONNA: Motion was made by 2.0 21 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 22 Macagnone. 23 We have no other speakers. 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I have no other 25 forms from persons who indicated that they care to

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

		41
1	address the	Board relative to the regular action
2	calendar.	
3		Any takers out there who do want to
4	address the	Board?
5		(No verbal response.)
6		SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I see none.
7		Mr. Altadonna?
8		MR. ALTADONNA: On the vote, Supervisor
9	Venditto?	
10		SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye."
11		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
12		COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
13		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
14		COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
15		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
16		COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye" on all
17	except 466,	468 and 494 as they relate to Syosset.
18		Fire Department, I abstain on.
19		"Aye" on the rest.
20		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
21		COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
22		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
23		COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
24		MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
25		COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

1.3

2.0

MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt

Resolution TF 15-16 through 465 passes with seven

"Ayes."

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm doing too many things this morning. I apologize.

Resolution 502, doesn't that call for the vote on the second hearing? I read it last night and I just forgot this morning.

By the way, on 491, if you look at 491, I think you identified the boundaries on the upcoming street fair in North Massapequa, it has Nassau Street, it's Nassau Avenue. I used to live on that block. I get upset when it's not correct. It was Nassau Avenue, not Nassau Street.

More importantly, on 502, in light of the dialogue that transpired here today, what I meant to do was table the decision, at least for another meeting, so we can look into this business about 120 days and 130 days and I think there was still an outstanding question about how does this alter the current and prevailing law. Unless that is going to do damage to the lawsuit, I can't imagine, we will put it on for the next hearing.

MR. ALTADONNA: Would you like us to

make a motion it to table --

4.3 1 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: What I'm trying 2 to say we need a motion to table 502 to permit us 3 time. 4 MR. ALTADONNA: Why don't we go up to 5 501 and we will treat 502 separately? 6 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Sounds good. 7 MR. ALTADONNA: TF 15-16 through 501. 8 On the vote? 9 TF 15-16 through 465 passes with seven "Ayes." 10 11 466 passes with six "Ayes" and one 12 abstention. 13 467 passes with seven "Ayes." 14 468 passes with six "Ayes" and one 15 abstention. 469 through 493 passes with seven 16 "Ayes." 17 18 494 passes with six "Ayes" and one 19 abstention. 20 495 through 501 passes with seven "Ayes." 21 22 I have a motion to table 502. 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It appears in the 24 form of a Resolution going for a decision, so I 25 assume you would have to table it. If there's a

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

	44
1	simpler way of doing it.
2	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: It's a table.
3	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: To a certain
4	date.
5	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: To the next
6	hearing.
7	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: I'll make a
8	motion to table 502 to September 27, 2016.
9	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
10	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.
11	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by
12	Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman
13	Macagnone.
14	On the vote, Supervisor Venditto?
15	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye."
16	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
17	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
18	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
19	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
20	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
21	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
22	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
23	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
24	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
25	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

4.5

MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."

MR. ALTADONNA: Mr. Supervisor, before we add the suspends, there seems to be some confusion in the audience as to why we do this and we did get an opinion from the State.

It seems to be coming up more often that when we suspend the rules and add, there seems to be confusion with the public as to the legality of it, so what I would like to read --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes. Okay. I understand what you are saying.

Just so everybody understands on this particular motion, I was buying coffee one morning in a delicatessen and a young lady behind the counter knew me over the years and asked me if I would marry her and her -- what do you call that?

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Betrothed. I

thought you were going to say she asked you to marry her.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO:

September 17th, she asked me at a point in the time which was past the last hearing, but the wedding is going to take place on September 17th which is prior to our next meeting. So the only meeting

2.0

which this can be done so I can be authorized to be throw her wedding was this meeting.

So, obviously, I made a request and it appeared on the Town calendar today. It was a timing issues. There was no other way authorizing me to do this unless we got it on today's meeting.

Beyond that, as far as generally, what happens with matters that are walked on or -- I think that's what you want to address.

MR. ALTADONNA: Exactly.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Go ahead.

MR. ALTADONNA: This was from a resident who wrote to our community on open government, Mr. Ripp, and a response is as follows: Your concerns appear to relate to primarily the availability of records of review by the Board of the public prior to meetings. Section 103(e) of the OML states:

"Agency records available to the public pursuant to Article 6 of this chapter [Freedom of Information Law] as well as any proposed resolution, law, rule, regulation, policy or any amendment thereto, that is scheduled to be the subject of discussion by a public body during an open meeting shall be made available, upon request

1.3

therefor, to the extent practicable as determined by the agency or the department, prior to or at the meeting during which the records will be discussed. Copies of such records may be made available for a reasonable fee, determined in the same manner as provided therefor in Article 6 of this chapter.

"If the agency in which a public body functions maintains a regularly and routinely updated website and utilizes a high speed internet connection, such records shall be posted on the website to the extent practicable as determined by the agency or the department, prior to the meeting. An agency may, but shall not be required to, expend additional moneys to implement the provisions of this subdivision."

The goal of a 103 is simple: Those interested in the work of the public bodies should have the ability, within reasonable limitations, to see the records scheduled to be discussed during open meetings prior to or at the meetings.

Based on your description of the timeline (meeting scheduled for Tuesday, draft resolution "published" on preceding Friday, and draft resolution with supporting documentation "published" on preceding Monday evening) and my

1.3

2.0

understanding that by "published" you mean posted to the Town's website, it appears that the Town complied with this statutory requirement when those steps were taken. You reference other situations in which resolutions are introduced at meetings wherein the text of those resolutions were not made available prior to the meeting.

I point out that 103(e) states that the proposed Resolutions "shall be made available, upon request; therefore, to the extent practicable as determined by the agency or department, prior to or at the meeting (emphasis mine).

If the resolutions were drafted prior to the meeting and the Town Board knew that it planned to vote on those resolutions, in my opinion, the Resolutions should have been made available pursuant to 103(e).

However, there may be situations in which the language of the draft resolution is not available prior to the meeting. If it was not practicable to make the proposed resolution available prior to or at the meeting, the OML does not prohibit a public body from voting on such resolutions.

You referred to the absence of "backup

2.0

material" posted on the Town's website prior to meetings.

In this regard, please note that 103(e) refers to records that are "scheduled to be the subject of discussion -- during an open meeting -- While a resolution may be scheduled to be the subject of discussions, backup material likely is not "scheduled" to be discussed.

If that is so, there is no obligation to post that material in advance of a meeting to comply with the OML.

Enclosed for reference is a copy of Questions and Answers relating to 103(e) of the OML that is posted on the Committee's website.

You indicated in your letter that the Town Clerk has made motions to "table" or "pass" proposed resolutions.

"Town Board constituted. 1. In every Town, the Supervisor and Town Councilmen shall constitute the Town Board and shall be vested with all the powers of such a Town and shall possess and exercise all the powers and be subject to all the duties now or hereafter imposed by law upon Town Boards and Town Boards of Health within such towns."

2.0

Section 30 of Town Law addresses the powers and duties of the Town Clerk. The Law states that the Town Clerk "shall attend all meetings of the Town Board, at as Clerk thereof, and keep a complete and accurate record of the proceedings of each meeting, and of all propositions adopted pursuant to this chapter."

In my opinion, only Town Board members should be making motions to be voted on by the Board. The Town Clerk's responsibility is to "keep a complete and accurate record of the proceedings."

The Clerk is not a Board member.

However, in my view, there is nothing in the OML that would prohibit a Town Board from tabling a motion and reproposing it at the same meeting.

You also express concern that residents are not given an opportunity to "question and address the Town Board" relating to particular resolutions.

In this regard, we note that although the OML provides the public with the right "to observe the performance of public officials and attend and listen to the deliberations and decisions that go into the making of public policy" (see OML 100), the Law is silent with respect to

public participation.

Consequently, by means of example, if a public body, such as a Town Board, does not want to answer questions or permit the public to speak or otherwise participate at its meetings, we do not believe that would be obliged to do so.

On the other hand, a public body may choose to answer questions and permit public participation, and many do so. When a public body does permit the public to speak, we believe that it should do so based upon reasonable rules that treat members of the public equally.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: To some extent, it seems to confirm the practice that we follow.

More importantly though, with respect to public input on Resolutions, while we might not be obligated to do so -- I think that's fair -- I don't know we would be changing anything as a result of that. So we are actually going beyond what the Open Meeting Law requires.

MR. ALTADONNA: That is correct.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I just want to be clear on the situation today. I guess that's -- I believe I got the request after the docket closed and because it was after, there was no other way

except by a walk-on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Otherwise, these young people who might someday -- who will someday marry and have children, they wouldn't have any of that if we didn't allow it come to the Town. That's the reason we have the walk-on today.

MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to

suspend the rules and add Resolution No. 503-2016?

Resolution No. 503-2016; Resolution

authorizing the appointment of John Venditto, Town

Supervisor, as a marriage officer to perform a

wedding ceremony on September 17, 2016. (M.D.

On the motion?

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.

MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by

18 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman

19 Macagnone.

8/30/16 #22).

On the vote, Supervisor Venditto?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: For the future of

these two young people, I vote "Aye."

MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."

MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?

	53
1	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
2	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
3	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
4	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
5	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
6	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
7	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
8	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
9	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
10	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to suspend the
11	rules and add Resolution 503-2016 passes with seven
12	"Ayes."
13	May I have a motion to adopt Resolution
14	No. 503-2016?
15	Resolution No. 503-2016; Resolution
16	authorizing the appointment of John Venditto, Town
17	Supervisor, as a marriage officer to perform a
18	wedding ceremony on September 17, 2016. (M.D.
19	8/30/16 #22).
20	On the motion?
21	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.
22	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
23	MR. ALTADONNA: Would you like to speak
24	on it?
25	MR. RIPP: I signed up to speak on it.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.0

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Come on up.

MR. RIPP: We are obviously at odds at what you're doing, suspending the rules and walking on the resolutions. I don't think this is the proper format to argue it or anything, but at this point, I'm turning in an ethics complaint pertaining Supervisor Venditto and I'm handing it to the Town Clerk.

Specifically, in regard to 503, I have a question on how this was drafted. I noticed on the action calendar it's listed as a Walk-On Resolution. I have some questions. I spoke to the Clerk before the meeting. If you look at the Action Calendar, it refers to memorandum docket of August 30th. The Clerk advised me that that was the day of the Action Calendar meeting when they met and they discussed what they were going to put on for the next meeting.

When you read the Resolution itself, it states that whereas John Venditto, Town Supervisor by memorandum, dated September 1, 2016, requested the Town Board appoint himself.

So I came up -- I called the Clerk this morning because when you posted this, you posted the drafted resolution, but you didn't post any of

2.0

the supporting documents as you're required to by the public offices law.

I asked him to get that material ready for me prior to the meeting because the Committee of Open

Government along with the information they sent to the Clerk, they also included this, Mr. Altadonna, you can read this, disclosure of records scheduled to be discussed during public meetings and there's question of format content and there's a question, the amendment states that agency (inaudible) to be discussed during open meetings to be practicable determined by the agency. What does this mean?

According to an ordinary dictionary definition practicable means feasible. To the extent practicable pertains to the ability to take reasonable steps, reasonable efforts to achieve the goals of the legislation, if the records scheduled to be discussed during meeting is not clear to the Clerk or other employees until a half hour prior to meeting, it would not likely be practicable to post the record on the website in advance to the meeting.

As far as --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob, you have to

56 1 slow down. 2 THE REPORTER: You're going too fast 3 for me to get everything on the record. 4 MR. RIPP: The bottom line is --5 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The fact that I smile doesn't mean --6 7 MR. RIPP: You --8 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Hold on. It's 9 not chargeable to your time. 10 The fact that a smile means I'm a nice 11 fellow, that's all it means. It doesn't mean I'm 12 laughing or -- don't read anything into it. 13 Jim, let him finish his statement. 14 Slow down, Rob. 15 MR. RIPP: Thank you. 16 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Stop talking for 17 a minute. 18 Do it in a manner that the court 19 reporter can it all it down. 2.0 MR. RIPP: As far as -- I will read 21 that again. 22 The Committee of Open Government along 23 with their response to my -- one of my recent 24 requests forwarded what Mr. Altadonna just read to 25 everyone, but this also quoted this additional

2.0

document, questions and answers regarding records discussed in open meetings. Disclosure of records to be discussed during open meetings.

What it says is it's in question and format answer and it says the amendment states that agencies should make records scheduled to be discussed --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob, you need to slow down.

MR. RIPP: The amendment states that the agencies should make records scheduled to be discussed during open meetings to the extent practicable as determined by the agency.

What does that mean?

The answer is, this is what -- the answer according to the Community of Open Government, according to ordinary dictionary definition, practicable means feasible to the extent practicable -- to the extent practicable pertains to the ability to take reasonable steps to reasonable efforts to achieve the goals of the legislation.

If the record scheduled to be discussed during open meeting is not delivered to the Clerk or other employee until a half hour prior to the

1.3

2.0

meeting, it would not likely be practicable to post the record on the website in advance to the meeting.

So I get the opinion that the Community of Open Government is saying anything that you know of up to half an hour before the meeting should be posted.

In direct response to 503, I noticed on the action calendar posted on Friday that you included as a suspended -- suspend the rules and walk-on. I don't really understand if had it prior to the meeting, why you have to suspend the rules.

But on the Action Calendar, it makes reference to the memorandum docket of August 30th of 2016. The Clerk explained to me that that's not the date of the document, that's the date they had the meeting. The Resolution makes reference to a memorandum prepared by you, Mr. Supervisor, that's dated September 1st.

When I asked for a copy of that
memorandum this morning because I noticed that the
Town Clerk omitted the followup and the supporting
documents when this draft resolution was posted, so
I called this morning and I requested this
information made available to me prior to the

2.0

meeting and when I spoke to Mr. Altadonna this morning, first, he explained to me that the memorandum docket meeting was held on August 30th.

When I asked him according to the Resolution, the Town Supervisor by memorandum dated September 1st requested that he appoint himself, I would like a copy of that memorandum, first, I was told that you did it by oral request. Then when I thought about it and I came back up and spoke to the Clerk, then I was told that you did do it by written request and I got a copy of the request.

So number one, it makes me feel that you are being misleading and you're lying to me.

And number two, and what I really don't understand is, if the memorandum --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Who is lying to you?

MR. RIPP: I don't know. Somebody was saying that you made this request by oral motion, that's what the Clerk told me.

More importantly, what I really don't understand is, if the memorandum docket was held on August 30th and your letter is dated September 1st, how can the memorandum docket meeting have your letter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

60

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You have to stop because you are way over your time limit. trying to give you a short simple answer. believe -- these are our internal rules -- I believe that if the docket meeting is only one week away from the calendar, it would not matter. the docket meeting is two week out -- Len, I was looking to you. MR. GENOVA: It's actual pretty simple. The docket meets every Wednesday. For an item not to be marked as a suspend and walk-on, it has to be received by the docket at least two weeks prior. If it happens at any point subsequent to two weeks, it would be marked suspend. MR. RIPP: That's not my question. My question is, if the Docket Board met on August 30th, how can they discuss a letter that you wrote on September 1st?

You posted on the Action Calendar, memorandum docket August 30th. How can they do anything on August 30th with a letter you wrote the next day?

MR. GENOVA: All that's done is, administratively, it's referred to as added starter for that. When a request like that come in and

61 1 it's time sensitive. 2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It started with a 3 verbal request from me. That's how it started 4 because I panicked and I'm saying to myself I 5 promised this couple I would marry them. I hope I can get the authority in time. As it turns out, I 6 7 can by virtue of what we are doing here today. I 8 verbally -- who did I tell? Steven Marx, did I 9 tell you originally? 10 MR. MARKS: Yes, you did. 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: And asked you to 12 take care of it? 13 MR. MARKS: Yes. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: And it culminated 14 15 with a written request which I guess you prepared. 16 MR. MARKS: That the office prepared. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: And here we are. 17 18 It was not within two weeks of the calendar. It's 19 not that complicate. 2.0 MR. RIPP: I'm confused because if the 21 Board met on the 30th and you signed the letter on 22 the 1st, how are they -- are you allowed to make 23 oral requests to have Resolutions signed? 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I just told you 25 how it happened. I made Steven Marx aware of it

1.3

2.0

and then he put it in writing.

MR. RIPP: How did you put on the draft -- the Town Calendar -- when the memorandum docket of August 30th. How can you do that? You added something on the calendar -- the supporting documents -- the resolution says --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob, that's why it's a suspend item. That's why it's a suspend item for the reasons you just said.

MR. RIPP: That's makes no sense at all.

You're telling me that they're suspending this based on the letter they got the day after the meeting; is that your answer?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's not what we are saying.

I told you that I verbally told

Mr. Marx to make sure he attended to this item so I would be fully authorized to perform this wedding on September 17th. I verbally said that to

Mr. Marx. He then engaged the process. It culminated with a writing on September 1st and that's it. Because it was not within more than two weeks away from the calendar, it becomes a suspend items.

63 1 MR. RIPP: That part is irregardless. 2 If you made a oral request to Mr. Marx, what did he 3 do, go to the memorandum meeting and say Supervisor 4 has an oral request and he's going to date it 5 tomorrow, he's going to write the letter tomorrow? SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It does not 6 7 matter. 8 MR. RIPP: It matters to me. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We answered it as 10 best as we can. We can't do any better than that. 11 We've told you exactly --12 MR. RIPP: One last question. 1.3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Robert, we told 14 you exactly what happened. That is exactly the 15 sequence of events. We really have to go now. 16 MR. RIPP: Are you going to charge me? I have one question. 17 18 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'll tell you 19 when I marry them, they'll stay married. 2.0 All right, Mr. Muscarella. I'll take a motion. 21 22 MR. ALTADONNA: We have to vote on it. 23 On the vote, Supervisor Venditto? 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye."

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?

	64
1	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
2	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
3	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
4	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
5	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
6	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
7	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
8	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
9	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
10	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
11	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
12	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt.
13	Resolution No. 503-2016 passes with
14	seven "Ayes."
15	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Before we close
16	the meeting and go into Executive Session at the
17	request of Councilman Coschignano, Deputy
18	Commissioner Zike, you are invited front and
19	center.
20	The Councilman wanted you to brief us
21	on
22	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Supervisor, I
23	know one or two residents had questions about the
24	Stillwater property and also about the Beach Club.
25	I know Councilman Muscarella was going to make

2.0

motion to head to executive session and frequently that does take significant time. So rather than have the residents wait and not have this information prior, Deputy Commissioner Tim Zike is here and I was wondered if you could please speak to both situations.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: This is in response to prior questions.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Yes, and I know the Deputy has done significant work between the last two meeting on both of these subjects. I have not gotten my own briefing and I think it's an appropriate time for us to all get updated.

MR. ZIKE: Just to bring you up to speed as to what's happening with regard to 135 Stillwater Avenue in Massapequa. I reached out to the property owner. They've met with me personally up in my office and I informed there was a code compliance case and formal complaints submitted against their property alleging that they had no COs, some construction and some structures that were built without building permits.

I made them aware that they did have these allegations outstanding. They were very cooperative. They are willing to work towards

1.3

2.0

compliance. We went through the litany of everything they needed to file for. They need to renew their old building permit for the original house construction back in 2001. They took in an additional building permit application for some additional structures and items that were on the property that did not have any permits. They will be required to get their final building inspections, their final plumbing inspections and an electrical certificate of approval for all the electrical work that was done on the property as well.

I gave them a 30-day period to get all this information submitted to me because one of the first items I need is an updated property survey and that does take some time to get from a land surveyor. So once they obtain the land survey, they're going to contact me and we are going to continue with finishing their permitting process.

That's basically the report on 135 Stillwater Avenue.

If there are any questions -
COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I don't have
questions.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That will be

reserved for public comment period.

MR. RIPP: The issue --

3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Robert, the last time I looked, I still chair the meeting.

Did you finish your statement, Tim?

MR. ZIKE: Yes, sir.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: At some point, we have to continue to answer questions as they evolve, so I don't know if now is an appropriate time.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'll leave it up to you. It's your call. Okay.

MR. RIPP: Robert Ripp from Massapequa again.

It's fantastic that you're addressing the Code Enforcement issues here, but that is not the heart of this issue.

The heart of this issue is that somehow the consultants, Frank Antetomaso and Sidney Bowne, was able to work through system the Town has and authorize -- and you already voted on this resolution, so technically, the homeowner could probably be suing you right now to get the money. But he authorized the -- in my opinion, it's misappropriation of government funds. You

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

68 authorized a payment of \$32,000 to the homeowner based on construction work that when you review the records, Frank Antetomaso did all the work for this gentleman. He did the plans. He did the inspections. He did everything. There is nobody else involved. That is the issue, not Code Enforcement stuff. COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: The Code Enforcement came to light during the process of the payment, to my knowledge -- I'll look to Mr. Darienzo, it's still on hold. There have been no payments been made. MR. RIPP: I appreciate everything you do in this because you are interested in open transparent government. Thank you, sir. COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Mr. McKenna, did you have question on this or the other project? MR. McKENNA: No. You're going to talk about Dolphin now. MR. ZIKE: Yes. We are set with 135 Stillwater. With regard to the Dolphin Beach Club, myself, Steven Marx and the Supervisor staff, we

met with the Massapequa Shore Civic Association who

owns the property down there. We did meet with them.

Again, made them aware that there was a Code Compliance case and a formal complaint made against the property. We went through in detail in terms of what they would need to file for. They were made aware of the fact that there are allegations with regard to certain items on the property that do require building permits. They appear to be very cooperative. They seem to be willing to work toward compliance.

Again, they needed some time to put together a property survey which will take some time.

Once they obtain their property survey, they agreed to get in touch with the Department of Planning and Development and go through with their permits.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: They have 30 days to do that?

MR. ZIKE: Yes.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: We are still on the same belief that it's 160 owners?

MR. ZIKE: That's correct according to the County Assessor's records.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Each homeowner 1 2 owns one, one sixty ninth of the property, that 3 hasn't changed? 4 MR. ZIKE: As recorded by the deeds of 5 Nassau County. COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: They will be 6 7 in touch when they have their survey prepared? 8 MR. ZIKE: That's correct. 9 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I don't have 10 any questions, but I will probably be fielding 11 questions. 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It's up to you. 13 MR. McKENNA: Just for the public's 14 information, this property happens to be adjacent 15 to Frank Antetomaso's house. What a coincidence. 16 One of the questions that you were 17 looking into was who did the construction work on 18 the seawall and on the property? Do we know that 19 yet? 2.0 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I think we do. 21 MR. ZIKE: The seawall construction 22 that was part of the 2 Dolphin Drive was done by 23 the adjacent property owner at 1 Dolphin Drive and 24 that was part of his permit application that filed 25 back in 2008.

25

71 1 MR. McKENNA: By chance, was it 2 High-Rise Engineer. 3 MR. ZIKE: I have check the records I don't recall that offhand. 4 5 MR. McKENNA: Just point of reference, if it is High-Rise Engineering, my other question 6 7 was, is the Town still doing work with him which is 8 a different matter. But High-Rise Engineering, I 9 don't know if you are aware, is under indictment 10 right now as of August 1st for misappropriation of 11 FEMA funds for this type of work. So just take 12 that for whatever it's worth. I have the 13 information here. COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: 14 Is it 15 High-Rise, do we even know? 16 MR. ZIKE: I have to doublecheck who 17 the contractor was. COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: 18 T think 19 Mr. Zike asked the question on the funding, I 2.0 believe it was discussed. 21 MR. ZIKE: With regard to the 22 allegation of any type of FEMA funds or any type of 23 monies from the Town of Oyster Bay being spent at

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

Town of Oyster Bay or no money allocated to FEMA to

the property, there were no monies spent from the

1.3

2.0

my understand. We checked with all our various Town departments.

In terms of the improvements, they were funded solely by the Civic Association that operates the land and for any type of tables, chairs, furniture, that was paid for throughout by the Civic Association.

MR. McKENNA: At the last meeting, someone said that you were going to check because a lot of the benches and the umbrellas and there's a huge TV there, someone was going to check to see if it was Town of Oyster Bay property that was used there; has that been done? You said you were going to do that.

MR. ZIKE: Again, the Civic Association made it clear and they have all paperwork to document it that they purchased all that equipment on their own.

 $\label{eq:supervisor} \mbox{SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Somebody handed}$ me a document.

Is this yours?

MR. McKENNA: Yes, you have it.

The other issue, I think, which was open at the last meeting, is the fact that the property, Nassau County is listed as Town of Oyster

1.3

2.0

7.3

Bay property and it's listed as vacant property.

It's my understanding, as we stand here right now that there are no taxes being paid to Nassau County at all on that property because of the confusion where allegedly the property was deeded to the 169 residents. That might be the case, but it appears that there's no taxes being paid on the property.

Can you shed any light on that today?

MR. ZIKE: Again, based on the research

I looked at, I believe there might have been a typo
or some type of error. I believe there was

paperwork that the Town of Oyster Bay did own the
property I believe on the Town's website or
something along those lines. I think that might
have been an error.

Again, if you do pull the physical deeds that are maintained by the County Clerk's Office, it does show back in the 1950s, 1955 that the property was deeded over to 169 people and the more recent sale of the properties of the 169 homes do show a rider saying that along with the sale of the principal property, there's a share one of 169 share of the parcel that we are talking about, 2 Dolphin Drive.

In terms of the taxes, I really can't

1.3

2.0

address it. That's really the County Assessor.

But again, based on the research that I did,
looking at the original property card, there's a
notation on there that states that the price is
reflected in the following section, block and lot
numbers and it lists the 100 sections, blocks and
lots. I would imagine they pay the taxes based on
that notation, that's on the County Assessor card.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: If a title search was done, they would find the deeds with the reference the one, 169 in the deed, correct?

MR. ZIKE: Correct.

Like I said, the most recent deed that was sold shows the principal property as well a rider attached to it saying one, 169th of a share of property known as.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay.

MR. McKENNA: My final question is,

135 Stillwater and 2 Dolphin -- again, I appreciate
everything you've done. It seems to me that a lot
of people here don't realize the size of and scope,
especially 2 Dolphin, the amount of work that was
done there, seawalls and -- it's a huge amount of
money that was -- I doubt very much that the
association paid for that. But are they being

1.3

2.0

2.5

7.5

handled by the Town of Oyster Bay Planning and
Development? Are they being handled the same way
that another resident would be handled?

I have friend of mine who recently was selling his house and the amount of aggravation caused to him for hedges around his property on a corner, the amount of time that the Town of Oyster Bay put to cause him havoc -- is 2 Dolphin and 135 Stillwater, are they being handled the same way that another resident would be handled? Why -- it appears to me that they're be handled with kit gloves and I would like to know why.

MR. ZIKE: If I may, we are treating him just like we would any other resident. We've received code compliance complaints on both properties. Both properties were brought up as measure during Town Board hearings. So in terms of doing any physical outreach, I was asked by Councilman Coschignano to do on it -- on the behalf -- ultimately, the goal was the department is compliance and whether it's myself or code enforcement officer doing it, the ultimate goal is going to be achieved.

MR. McKENNA: I just want to make a point letting you know that this vacant property

also has running water.

2 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Which vacant

3 property?

1

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4 MR. McKENNA: 2 Dolphin, adjacent to

Frank Antetomaso's house, there's running water. 5

I'm curious to know who is paying for that running

7 water.

> But, again, the amount of violations there that they're allowed to continue operation is beyond me. It's absurd that something -- something is rotten in Oyster Bay.

MR. ZIKE: Mr. Supervisor, that question came up during the meeting. They do have a water bill that is given to them.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Who is them?

MR. ZIKE: The Massapequa Shores Civic Association that owns and operates the beach, they do receive a water bill from the local water districts and there are two fixtures of running water.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: They're paying these bills?

23 MR. ZIKE: They said that they pay 24

their bills.

25 MR. RIPP: Hello again. My name is

2.0

Robert Ripp from Massapequa.

opportunity to review the building files associated with these properties, 135 Stillwater, 1 Dolphin Place and 2 Dolphin Place. And what I find really amazing is that the owner of 1 Dolphin Place, Frank Antetomaso who is principal and Sidney Bowne who is the Town's premier consulting engineering firms, he filed his application, his permits to build his seawall and he filed to build a seawall on the adjoining property lot, 2 Dolphin Place lot and he did so with a letter of permission from the Massapequa Shores Civic Association.

What I don't understand is, there is no building file for 2 Dolphin Place. I don't understand how the Town's premier consultant could have filed building permit applications or didn't file building permit applications. It doesn't matter -- he filed the necessary applications for his property, but there should have been applications and permits filed for the fixtures that are built on the 2 Dolphin Place property.

So now in 2008, Mr. Antetomaso did all his work and everything -- I made a complaint with regard to that. In 2008 Mr. Antetomaso knew that

1.3

2.0

there was no building file -- in my opinion, should have known that there was no building filed for the adjoining property.

I really find it a coincidence that the Town of Oyster Bay has been holding that property as if they owned it all this time.

I would like to ask Mr. Zike, have any notices of violation been issued to any of these property owners? That is the first step. If the code compliance (inaudible) investigator makes an observation, the first step is to file a notice of violation. This is what happened to me. You get ten days to come in compliance. If you don't come in compliance or at least work something out, then you get the summons. So when Mr. McKenna was speaking about kit gloves, this is what he is talking about.

Have there been any notices of violations been issued in this case?

Thank you.

MR. ZIKE: The answer is no.

Again, it was a verbal notice that I gave once I met with the residents themselves.

Typically, a notice of violation is putting someone on notice, making them aware that there is a

violation.

Obviously, I did it in person and they acknowledged it. It would be the same course of action --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Which property are you talking about?

MR. ZIKE: Both, 135 Stillwater Avenue and 2 Dolphin Drive.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: So they both have 30 days to begin compliance otherwise then next step would be?

MR. ZIKE: Going to court at that point.

MR. RIPP: Why do they get a special deal? Every other resident gets ten days. Why are these people getting a special deals. Mr. Zike, he's the Deputy Commissioner. He should know the rules. He went and gave them a verbal or oral deal? That's bologna. Notice of violations should have been signed off. They should have ten days to respond in writing that they are attempting to come in compliance. That would be fair. But everybody else gets 10 days. That's it. You are treating these people with kit gloves.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: The Notice of

1.3

2.0

Violation seems to be the same thing whether it's verbal or in writing.

MR. RIPP: No, it's an official document.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I understand what you are saying, but I think they have been placed on notice and if they don't comply, they're going to court.

MR. ZIKE: I also kept notes in each file for each meeting that I had just to memorialize them. So we do have it documented that these discussions --

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I think they also both need to go out and get a survey.

MR. ZIKE: That's correct.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Maybe you gave them time to get a survey, I guess.

MR. RIPP: That would be fine, but to do it officially is the issuance of violation.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I understand what you're saying. They also both have been brought to Town Hall to deal with their situation, so it's pretty official. We will keep on top of it.

MR. RIPP: I appreciate it.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2.0

Thank you very much.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: In light of what I heard, I heard a lot of in my opinion, I think, maybe, I am going to ask the Councilman to continue --

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: We will keep working on it.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Keep working on it and you will update the Board accordingly.

There's a lot of conjecture and speculation here.

I'm not quite sure what all the issues are, but I would assume that you have them in your head and you will continue to work with Mr. Zike.

Again, I'm not sure what the questions are, but I'll leave that up to you since you a handle on it. Very good.

Mr. Muscarella, I'll take a motion.

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor,

I'll make a motion that this Town Board go into

Executive Session for the purpose of discussing

matters leading to --

 $\label{eq:supervisor} {\tt SUPERVISOR\ VENDITTO:} \quad {\tt Mayor\ Watson,\ we}$ have former Mayor Watson. I'm sorry.

MR. WATSON: I forgot what I wanted to say. I have quality of life think. I think you

2.0

are going to love because I know you like quality of life issues.

Prior to PSE&G taking over the electric service, we had a various array of electric companies, LIPA, LILCO and over the course -- a car would hit a pole, the pole would break the electric company would come out, put a new pole and cut the service down to what they call a third-party providers.

The third-party providers are not good at providing third-party service when it comes to changing the broken poles. They even have a term for it, they called it double wood.

Why I'm here right now is, I'm observing in Lattingtown and also in Glen Cove, they are -- PSE&G is doing a very good job, they're hardening the system. They are putting in these big poles. They're changing the service, but they're cutting down the old poles down and I have a feeling that they don't want the third-party providers on the new poles. I don't that because I don't have an office anymore to meet with these people and make the calls. So I'm asking if your office can do it through Town Attorney office, find out what's going on with these people. I'm going

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8.3

to reach out to the Mayor in Glen Cove to find out exactly what the future holds because when they do the franchise agreement, they want a level playing field. We want, too. If they're going to have two poles, they should pay for two poles.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm not being a wiseguy, Steven Marx from my staff, he will carry the ball on this one.

MR. WATSON: I will give you my information. If there --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You can be at the meeting.

MR. WATSON: I just want to leave you with that.

Have a nice day.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Muscarella.

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor,

I'll make a motion that this Town Board go into executive session for the purpose of discussing matters leading to the appointment, employment or promotion of particular persons and to discuss

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?

ALL: "Aye."

proposed pending or current litigation.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

84 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 1 2 (No response.) 3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 4 We stand in executive session. 5 (Whereupon, Executive Session commenced 6 from 12:19 p.m. to 2:21 p.m.) 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: If everyone would 8 find seats, we will continue. 9 COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Supervisor, I'd 10 like to make a motion that the executive session be 11 closed. 12 The following action was taken: 13 Board met and we are directing Councilman Pinto to 14 please meet with a candidate that we are very interested in for the Deputy Comptroller position. 15 16 We have asked Human Resources to prepare a Resolution for the next Town Board meeting to hire 17 18 an attorney to replace the six lawyers that have 19 left the Town Attorney's office and to further 2.0 supplement the closing of the firm that was outside 21 counsel for our negligence cases. 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I believe we also 23 wanted to indicate for the record that we are 24 directing that steps be taken to ensure that the 25 new attorney begins to work immediately thereafter

```
85
      on September 29th.
1
2
                  COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: Start date of
3
      September 29th, correct.
4
                  With that, I make a motion to close the
      Executive Session.
5
6
                  SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
7
                  ALL: "Aye."
8
                  SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
9
                  (No response.)
10
                  SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
11
                  I need a motion to close the regular
12
      meeting.
13
                  COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor,
14
      I'll make a motion to adjourn the meeting.
15
                  COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Seconded.
                  SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
16
17
                  ALL:
                       "Aye."
18
                  SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
19
                  (No response.)
20
                  SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.
21
                  We stand adjourned and ready to proceed
22
      with our public comment period.
23
                   (Time noted: 2:27 p.m.)
24
25
```