TOWN OF OYSTER BAY
TOWN BOARD
ACTION CALENDAR
FEBRUARY 23, 2016
10:21 a.m.

JOHN VENDITTO SUPERVISOR

JAMES ALTADONNA JR. TOWN CLERK

PRESENT:

SUPERVISOR JOHN VENDITTO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH D. MUSCARELLA
COUNCILMAN ANTHONY D. MACAGNONE
COUNCILMAN CHRIS COSCHIGNANO
COUNCILMAN JOSEPH G. PINTO
COUNCILWOMAN REBECCA M. ALESIA
COUNCILWOMAN MICHELE M. JOHNSON

ALSO PRESENT:

JAMES ALTADONNA JR., TOWN CLERK
JAMES J. STEFANICH, RECEIVER OF TAXES
LEONARD GENOVA, TOWN ATTORNEY
FRANK M. SCALERA, CHIEF DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
THOMAS SABELLICO, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
DONNA B. SWANSON, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
KAREN UNDERWOOD, DEPUTY TOWN ATTORNEY
MATTHEW M. ROZEA, ASSISTANT TOWN ATTORNEY
CAROL FIORENZA, SECRETARY TO THE TOWN CLERK
LINDA M. HERMAN, Office of the Town Clerk
RALPH J. RAYMOND, Deputy Town Clerk
RAYMOND T. SPAGNUOLO, DEPUTY TOWN CLERK
ANDREW S. ROTHSTEIN, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS,
OFFICE OF THE SUPERVISOR

(Appearances continued on following page.)

I certify this is a true and accurate transcript.

Genne Angeles

ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT

YVONNE ANGELES
Official Reporter/Notary

ALSO PRESENT:

CAROL STRAFFORD, DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS MARTA KANE, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION BRIAN DEVINE, RESEARCH ASSISTANT, PUBLIC INFORMATION JOSEPH HELFENBEIN, WEBSITE/GRAPHICS, PUBLIC INFORMATION BARRY BREE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, PUBLIC SAFETY FRANK A. NOCERINO, COMMISSIONER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS JOHN TASSONE, ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS NEIL O. BERGIN, COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES FRANK V. SAMMARTANO, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS JAMES McCAFFREY, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TIMOTHY ZIKE, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT JUNE MASCIA, DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES FRANK GATTO, ASSISTANT TO THE COMMISSIONER, DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES ROBERT McEVOY, COMPTROLLER CHRISTINE M. WISS, DEPUTY COMPTROLLER JAMES SABELLICO, I.T. DIVISION, OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER

	3
1	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Altadonna, if
2	you would continue with the call of the regular
3	Action Calendar. We have to proceed slowly because
4	I have a number of speaker forms.
5	MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to
6	adopt Resolution Nos. P 4-16 through TF-316?
7	Personnel Resolution Nos. P 4-16 to
8	P -16 and PA -16 to PA -16; Resolutions related to
9	personnel of various departments within the Town of
10	Oyster Bay.
11	Transfer Of Funds Resolution No.
12	TF 3-16; Resolution relating to Transfer of Funds
13	within various departments accounts for the Year
14	2016.
15	On the motion?
16	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.
17	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
18	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by
19	Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman
20	Macagnone.
21	On the vote, Supervisor Venditto?
22	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye."
23	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella?
24	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."
25	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?

	4
1	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
2	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
3	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
4	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
5	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
6	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
7	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
8	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
9	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
10	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt
11	Resolution Nos. P 4-6 through TF 3-16 passes with
12	seven "Ayes."
13	May I have a motion to adopt Resolution
14	Nos. 66-2016 through 110-2016?
15	Resolution No. 66-2016; Resolution
16	granting request from the Long Island Blues Special
17	Hockey to have ice rink fees waived for their
18	annual Winter Classic Fundraiser at the Town of
19	Oyster Bay Ice Skating Center in Bethpage on
20	January 30, 2016 and the Syosset-Woodbury Community
21	Park Ice Rink on January 31, 2016. (M.D. 1/26/16
22	#4).
23	Resolution No. 67-2016; Resolution
24	authorizing the renewal of the Town's membership in
25	the New York State Association for Solid Waste

Management for 2016. (M.D. 1/26/16 #20).

Resolution No. 68-2016; Resolution authorizing the Department of Parks to conduct the Town of Oyster Bay and Greater Long Island Running Club sponsored races to be held on various dates at various locations in 2016. (M.D. 1/26/16 #5).

Resolution No. 69-2016; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor to enter into agreements for labor counsel services effective January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016. (M.D. 1/26/16 #14).

Resolution No. 70-2016; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 25 Rim Lane, Hicksville, New York, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 1/26/16 #15).

Resolution No. 71-2016; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 60 West Shore Drive, Massapequa, New York, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 1/26/16 #16).

Resolution No. 72-2016; Resolution authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 12 Spruce Avenue, Bethpage, New York, be referred

2.0

2.5

to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 1/26/16 #17).

Resolution No. 73-2016; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. DPW 09-003, Syosset Streetscape and Walkability Improvements relative to engineering. (M.D. 1/26/16 #18).

Resolution No. 74-2016; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC22-16, On-Call Consultant Service request relative to Architecture at the Littauer Estate. (M.D. 1/26/16 #13).

Resolution No. 75-2016; Resolution authorizing the Department of Community & Youth Services to sponsor enrichment workshops and to retain the professional services of instructors to conduct said workshops and for the Department Commissioner to process any necessary refunds.

(M.D. 2/2/16 #6).

Resolution No. 76-2016; Resolution authorizing the Town Clerk to publish a Notice of Hearing, scheduled to be held March 2, 2016, in connection with the Town's Community Development Forty-Second Program Year 2016-2017. (M.D. 2/2/16 #8).

Resolution No. 77-2016; Resolution authorizing the Supervisor to execute a grant

7 1 application and related documents in connection 2 with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation's Household Hazardous Waste State 3 Assistance Program 2016-2017. (M.D. 2/2/16 # 9). 4 Resolution No. 78-2016; Resolution 5 pertaining to special counsel services in 6 7 connection with the application of the Town to 8 acquire title to certain real property located at 9 55 Motor Avenue, Farmingdale, New York. (M.D. 10 2/2/16 #10).11 Resolution No. 79-2016; Resolution 12 authorizing the entrance into the bid and 13 construction phases for Contract No. DP14-118, JFK 14 High School Comfort Station Building, Plainview, New York. (M.D. 2/2/16 #14). 15 16 Resolution No. 80-2016; Resolution 17 authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 18 221 North Iowa Avenue, Massapequa, New York, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on 19 2.0 the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/2/16 #11). 21 22 Resolution No. 81-2016; Resolution 23 authorizing the property cleanup assessment of 24 4111 Hempstead Turnpike, Bethpage, New York, be

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

referred to the County of Nassau for placement on

2.0

the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/2/16 #12).

Resolution No. 82-2016; Resolution authorizing the acceptance of donations collected by the Plainedge Alumni Association and from Massapequa Kiwanis Club to partially fund the costs associated with a bronze memorial statue to honor Detective First Rank Brian Moore to be erected in Plainedge Park in North Massapequa. (M.D. 2/2/16 #13).

Resolution No. 83-2016; Resolution authorizing the award of Contract No. PWC005-16, and authorizing the Supervisor to enter into contracts for Historic Preservation and Development of Special Event Consultant services for the Parks Department effective March 1, 2016 through December 31, 2018. (M.D. 2/9/16 #5).

Resolution No. 84-2016; Resolution authorizing a one-year extension of a contract agreement for planning services for the Divisions of Community Development and Federal & State Aid from April 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017. (M.D. 2/9/16 #8).

Resolution No. 85-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building

2.0

permit fee to A. Lamantia, Administrator for V. Lamantia. (M.D. 2/9/16 #9).

Resolution No. 86-2016; Resolution pertaining to outside counsel services to the Town of Oyster Bay in connection with various matters. (SKM). (M.D. 2/9/16 #16).

Resolution No. 87-2016; Resolution authorizing a second five-year term of Contract No. DPW09-999, Transfer, Haul and Disposal of Solid Wastes from the Town of Oyster Bay Solid Waste Disposal District for the period of June 1, 2016 through May 31, 2021. (M.D. 2/9/16 #20).

Resolution No. 88-2016; Resolution authorizing the 2016 Insurance Program for Public Officials and Employees Liability Insurance for the period January 28, 2016 through January 27, 2017.

(M.D. 2/9/16 #31).

Resolution No. 89-2016; Resolution authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building permit fee to Mr. & Mrs. M. Rosen. (M.D. 2/9/16 #10).

Resolution No. 90-2016; Resolution pertaining to settlement of negligence claim; Claimant: Bailey Sloman an infant by f/n/g Peter Sloman and Peter Sloman, Individually. (M.D. 2/9/16

10 1 #32). 2 Resolution No. 91-2016; Resolution 3 pertaining to Contract No. PWC14-14, On-Call 4 Engineering Services relative to Surveying, Flood 5 Mitigation Assistance Program, Elevate residential 6 Structure at 51 Leewater Avenue, Massapequa. 7 2/9/16 #22). Resolution No. 92-2016; Resolution 8 authorizing the issuance of a refund of a building 9 10 permit fee to J.S. Tanen, Esq. (M.D. 2/9/16 #11). 11 Resolution No. 93-2016; Resolution 12 pertaining to Contract No. PWC 036-13, On-Call 13 Auditing Services for the 2014 financial period. 14 (M.D. 2/9/16 #12).15 Resolution No. 94-2016; Resolution 16 pertaining to outside counsel services to the Town of Oyster Bay in connection with various matters. 17 18 (A&A) (M.D. 2/9/16 #17).Resolution No. 95-2016; Resolution 19 2.0 pertaining to Contract No. PWC 07-16, On-Call 21 Engineering Services relative to Civil Engineering 22 for Alhambra Road Bulkhead, Massapequa. (M.D. 23 2/9/16 #21).24 Resolution No. 96-2016; Resolution 25 designating 2015 Capital Budget funds for Highway

and Parks Departments projects in connection with Contract No. RIC13-080, Requirements Contract for Coating Systems at various Town locations. (M.D. 2/9/16 # 30).

Resolution No. 97-2016; Resolution pertaining to settlement of negligence claim; Claimant: CiCi Fader (infant) by f/n/g Robert S. Fader. (M.D. 2/9/16 #33).

Resolution No. 98-2016; Resolution authorizing the procurement and Appointment of Appraisers for the Town of Oyster Bay. (M.D. 2/9/16 #34).

Resolution No. 99-2016; Resolution authorizing the cost of the property cleanup of 23 Camp Road, Massapequa, NY, be referred to the County of Nassau for placement on the Nassau County Tax Assessment Rolls. (M.D. 2/9/16 #35).

Resolution No. 100-2016; Resolution pertaining to legal services related to the Town's Self-Insurance Program. (M.D. 2/9/16 #36).

Resolution No. 101-2016; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC16-16, On-Call Engineering Services relative to Multi-Discipline Projects. (M.D. 2/9/16 #24).

Resolution No. 102-2016; Resolution

2.0

authorizing flood insurance be secured for fifteen Town buildings for the period September 18, 2015 through September 17, 2016. $(M.D.\ 2/9/16\ #37)$.

Resolution No. 103-2016; Resolution pertaining to License and Permit required by the New York State Department of Transportation be secured for the period November 2, 2015 through November 1, 2016. (M.D. 2/9/16 #38).

Resolution No. 104-2016; Resolution pertaining to the application of Syosset Park Development, LLC and Oyster Bay Realty, LLC, Northeast Corner of Long Island Expressway North Service Road and Robbins Lane, Syosset, NY and Establishment of Planned Unit Development/Mixed Use (PUD/MU) and Change of Zone. Positive Declaration. (M.D. 2/9/16 #18 & 2/16/16 #13).

Resolution No. 105-2016; Resolution

pertaining to Contract No. PWC07-16, On-Call

Engineering Services relative to Civil Engineering,

Highway Improvements to Lafayette Place,

Massapequa, NY. (M.D. 2/9/16 #23 & 2/16/16/ #7).

Resolution No. 106-2016; Resolution

Resolution No. 106-2016; Resolution authorizing entrance into the Bid and Construction Phases for Contract No. HCR16-135, Requirements Contract for Concrete Replacement throughout the

1.3

Town of Oyster Bay. (M.D. 2/9/16 #28 & 2/16/16 #9).

2 Resolution No. 107-2016; Resolution

3 pertaining to Contract No. PWC22-16, On-Call

4 Engineering Services relative to Architecture,

5 oversight of emergency repairs of North Massapequa

Community Center. (M.D. 2/9/16 #26 & 2/16/16 #10).

Resolution No. 108-2016; Resolution pertaining to Contract No. PWC10-16, On-Call Engineering Services relative to Construction

Management, Highway Improvements to the Elderberry

11 Road Area, Syosset, NY. (M.D. 2/9/16 #27 & 2/16/16

12 #11).

1

6

7

8

9

1.3

14

15

16

2.0

21

23

Resolution No. 109-2016; Resolution directing the Town Clerk to advertise a Notice of Hearing in connection with the application of Joan III, LLC and Joe III, LLC, fee owners, and BSL NY

Development LLC, contract vendee, for a special use

permit to permit the development of a two-story

assisted living facility and site plan approval in

a Neighborhood Business Zone on premises located at

900 Woodbury Road, Woodbury, NY. Hearing date:

22 March 22, 2016. (M.D. 2/9/16 #15).

Resolution No. 110-2016; Resolution

24 pertaining to the decision on the 2016 Fire

25 Protection Agreements. Hearing held: February 23,

2.0

2016. (M.D. 1/19/16 #22).

Resolution No. 111-2016; Resolution relating to special Counsel services to the Office of the Town Attorney. (M.D. 2/9/16 #19 & 2/16/16 #14).

On the motion?

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.

MR. ALTADONNA: You have speakers on

73, 74, 78, 86, 87, 94, 104 and 111.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Let's begin with the first one, Resolution 73 of 2016. I have a form from Laura Schultz and Leslie Levy. We will begin with Laura.

MS. SCHULTZ: Good morning.

Laura Schultz, President for Residents for a More Beautiful Syosset. We are speaking on Resolution 73 today because our patience is wearing thin. We are still waiting for improvements to Syosset downtown in particularly the traffic problem. As we continue to wait for these downtown improvements, the condition has deteriorated. Allegedly, there's been criminal mischief in the downtown and as a result of this, one store owner has put up plywood to cover the front window of his

24

25

15 1 place until he renovates and opens his expanded 2 place. We don't know when that will be. That 3 plywood has been up for month. As I heard 4 recently, there have been other elements of 5 criminal mischief. 6 Our question today is, what is the 7 revised agreement on project scope with the Nassau 8 County DPW that this resolution refers to and 9 again, I'm asking what is the timeline for 10 improvements in the downtown? 11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think we 12 start -- let's identify the area that we are 13 talking about. We are really talking about 14 north --15 MS. SCHULTZ: North of the train 16 station. 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Up to that point 18 where the project has been completed --19 MS. SCHULTZ: It's wonderful. 2.0 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It's working well. 21 22

MS. SCHULTZ: It's beautiful. You have made a more beautiful Syosset with the renovation of Jackson Avenue. We have been in touch with some of the property owners and they have done a

1.3

2.0

beautification on their property as well.

put in a public infrastructure, the private property owners respond and make it more beautiful. All we have that's of beauty in the downtown, we have Memorial Park Garden which residents take care of and also the Syosset Post Office. We also take care of that garden. Other thank that, it's a mess and it's deteriorating even worse.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I seem to recall -- I'm not doing a commercial for the Town of Oyster Bay nor am I disparaging in any way the level of Government, but I've always -- I might have said it countless times from this seat, to render on to the local Government, most local governments, the things that the local best equipped to handle.

It seems to me that on -- I'll call it phase one for lack of a better word, it was not until the Town stepped up, if you will, I know the County was involved, the Federal Government was involved at one point and seeing that when the Town stepped up and did the work -- we will leave it at that -- the that things worked fine. So cutting right to the chase, should the Town pursue the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

17

roadways and make it ours and then go ahead and do the work, pretty much like we did -- this is really what this is about.

MS. SCHULTZ: Very much so.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Councilman

Coschignano, I don't mean to pick on you --

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: The Supervisor is being kind because he has bored the brunt of my frustration and I keep returning to the subject and I have been sitting here quietly. But you know, Laura, and Leslie is right here, if it wasn't for the early work that you guys did, the south of the train crossing would never be what it is now and the Supervisor stepped up and got the County to agree to let the Town have the roadway. I think we have all done wonderful things with that roadway. I think one of the, maybe, negative thing the Supervisor had to deal with is when I went back to him and said, Supervisor, that was great, but Jackson Avenue actually -- and he knows -continues north of the tracks to Muttontown Road and slightly around the corner there on Cold Spring Road and that's the section where these problems are lying. We have been waiting seven years patiently to make this grant and beautification a

2.0

reality. Seven years since 2009 when we got it, we kind of had a back and forth with the County. Are you going to fix the roadway or should we do our beautification project only to have you come along after that and then rip up the roadway again? So we have been stuck waiting patiently, but if we took the roadway, I think this would have been done a long time ago.

MS. SCHULTZ: We will make that request today.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: One of the things that the Councilman said is obviously, this all began, as it always does, from the residents. The fact of the matter is that it was the residents that brought all the issues to our attention. I want to be clear about that. All the residents should be commended for that. Having said that, I guess under the heading, better late than never, I think we need to go to the County and once for all say, why don't we just take this off your hands and let's make it happen the way we did in what I call phase one of the project.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: It's no easy task because connected to that roadway is Underhill Boulevard, a County road, Berry Hill Road, a County

2.0

road, Cold Spring Road -- that little section of
Jackson which is maybe a tenth of a mile or less
than two-tenths of the mile is, like, the real
heart of the area, but it's connected to a myriad
of problem. If we don't get it and do something
with it -- right now, we are helping maintain it
anyway with snow removal for the merchants. If we
don't get it, the beautification or any improvement
in that area is not going to happen the way it
looks now.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: By the way, the grant money is still sitting -- what's the amount?

million three that was obtained through a Federal grant with New York State help and if it weren't for the Chamber of Commerce and the Residents for a Beautiful Syosset in conjunction with Nelson & Pope writing the grant proposal, we wouldn't have gotten that one million three grant which is also to be matched by another \$300,000 from the Town of Oyster Bay. So in total, it's a one million six which was originally supposed to be spread north and south of the tracks. The work that we did south of the tracks, under separate contracts, allowed one million six to now be allocated north of the tracks

which makes the project even better. So this project is even better north of the tracks because we have more funds allocated for just that little area.

MS. SCHULTZ: It's a tenth of a mile. That's all we need.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: That's what is holding us up.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I've talked to merchants all the time there and they want lights and everything. And they are happy that we do the snow removal, but they want more.

MS. SCHULTZ: And the residents do too.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: It's

suffering. You can see it.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right. Why don't we agree that, as of this morning, you -- and I will hear from Leslie, obviously -- appear before the Town Board and formally or on the record, however you want to characterize it, request the that Town to go to the County and see if we can acquire title to the roadway and see what needs to be done with the roadway and of course ultimately, the landscaping or --

MS. SCHULTZ: The beautification.

1.3

2.1

add one thing and then I will stop. I get pretty passionate as does Laura about the downtown area, but I've gone to Legislator MacKenzie several times and he's been cooperative. I think he has taken the plea to powers of being in the County. We just can't -- the Commissioner of Public Works have been walking the area, we can't seem to get the help we need.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm just thinking out loud, maybe I shouldn't. But if I were the County, I would be happy to -- I wouldn't say unload this, but transfer to where it seems to belong. Would the County -- is there anything that we don't know? Is there some reason --

MS. SCHULTZ: There's always something we don't know.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I think maybe there's coal buried under that section of the roadway. I don't know. Maybe they don't want part with it.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'll tell you a story as you're walking away. It was a long time ago, but the Town of Oyster Bay, for whatever reason, there were 400 feet of roadway -- I forget

2.0

2.2

where it was. Four hundred feet of roadway where the Town of Oyster Bay used to, for whatever reason -- because nobody did it even though it wasn't our road, we would plow the road when it snowed or whatever the case maybe.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Oyster Bay Cove.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I get a call one day from a local media and they are puzzled about why we would do this and I'm saying, it's the right thing to do. Nobody else was doing it, so we did it. As it turned out, the reason I was getting call, apparently this 400-foot stretch of road was going to be the site of one of the pilings that was going to lead to the extension of 135 to Bayville -- ill fated Bayville-Rye Bridge. And there was some thought that there was a conspiracy going on that we were purposely -- all sorts of crazy -- who knows what the thinking is out there. We will take our best effort to go directly to the top of the County.

MS. SCHULTZ: Thank you very much.

MS. LEVY: I thank Laura for saying everything in one direction that needed to be said and mine is actually more of a question. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2.3

question is, for the record, could we specify what it was that that grant was intended to provide? I know the pedestrian light --

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: It's called street scape and it's a general term. The grant was provided for north and south of the tracks in a designated area and it was for decorative lighting. It was for sidewalks that would be decorative sidewalks. It was, in some instances, an area for benches and any general beautification of that. We applied, at that time for one million six hoping to get any portion of one million six and there was a very large response, maybe 100 submissions and we finished ninth in the State. We were given the whole million six that we asked for based on the hard work of everybody and Nelson & Pope who did a wonderful grant application and Colin Bell and the people in Intergovernmental Affairs here who helped up. It was a great job. It was amazing, but it was seven years ago.

MS. LEVY: That's why I'm asking for this refreshment of memory. As I recall, the recommendations from my committee were that the local merchants were not interested in benches.

But sidewalks definitely, lighting and some of the

2.4

lighting is already up and some of the sidewalks are already up and you see that.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: On the south side of the tracks, but the north side has not been touched.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Leslie, street scape is the word I couldn't remember.

MS. LEVY: That could also mean the trash cans and benches.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Two observations; one is I don't believe that would include the road work.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: Just the sidewalk work.

MS. LEVY: This is all pedestrian walkways because there's a walkability -- walking to the train, facilitating pedestrian walking.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The second thing and more importantly, I think, there's no problem with this, before we go actually in there, I think it would be adequate -- there will be ample opportunity for community input so we can determine the extent --

MS. LEVY: It was done seven years ago and people are saying, where are they.

2.0

councilman coschignano: There's an engineering plan that shows basically where everything is going. It enabled walkability in some areas, like in front of the Dunkin' Donuts where you have major improvement based around street scape and like you said, some walkability.

MS. LEVY: So my question is, because this is basically about the sidewalks, are the perimeter -- are the dimensions of the road going to change whether they are paved by the County or by the Town.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: I think the goal is the County was saying they would address the roadway to help -- there's an ongoing problem that needs reconfiguring. When Split Rock Road and Berry Hill Road come south and meet at that intersection, the County has indicated that they want to the address the problem heading north.

When you leave Cold Spring heading -- excuse me, south. When you hit Cold Spring Road south, there's two lanes, one for Jackson Avenue and one for Underhill Boulevard. When you leave Split Rock and Berry Hill going south, it bottlenecks into one lane. So it needs to be addressed from Muttontown Road to Underhill Boulevard. The County says they

2.0

2.6

are willing to deal with that over and over again, but they haven't dealt with it yet. So that -- before we do any street scaping, that bottleneck and that maybe widening needs to be addressed otherwise we are wasting our time making it beautiful.

MS. LEVY: Can I propose, maybe a compromise, maybe if we split the baby because any land that we reconfigure would have come from the west side and the east side the configuration won't change. There are standing shops there.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: The west side has a 24-foot wide sidewalk. There will be plenty of room to --

MS. LEVY: -- so perhaps some the money spent on the east side, which will not change, only the roadway will change.

issue was not starting that when the curbs and sidewalks may have to be ripped anyway, so the County -- not to blame the County, but the County kind of has us in a holding pattern because what's coming first, the beautification or the necessary improvements. The necessary improvements are long over due, then comes the beautification.

2.7

MS. LEVY: But this grant, when it was given, the County was in charge and so nothing has really changed. And it was understood at that time that the road would have to be repaired. So I think that the expectations of the people are based on what was then and if the Town can take over great.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: The residents shouldn't have to worry what level Government -the levels of Government needs to get together and make it happen. The residents shouldn't have to worry about that.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The only thing we are not saying, and this happened the first time too, we then have to pay the freight. If we take over the road, then we are going to be responsible for the road repair. But you know what? I don't think you can --

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: The amount of money that was spent south of the tracks when the Town took on Jackson Avenue, the project was not going anywhere, the funding was lost by the County south of the tracks, we stepped in and spent a great deal amount of money on that. We usually don't do projects on roads that size. I think it

was done well and quickly.

MS. LEVY: I think you should be very proud of that. I think, politically, as a move, I think it's speaks for itself.

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: The Supervisor had a lot of opportunities to takes bows after that project. You were responsible for getting it down. You really did. You stepped up and met with the County Executive and got it done. I know you could have taken a lot more bows, but you were very modest on that project.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The other side of the coin is, the baseball bat clubs me over the head, why did -- all of us -- why did you spend so much money. I don't want to say you get what you pay for, but you get what you pay for.

MS. LEVY: I think you got bang for your buck.

 $\label{eq:supervisor} \mbox{SUPERVISOR VENDITTO:} \quad \mbox{I'm very} \\ \mbox{satisfied.}$

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: That is worth so much to so many people and Laura is right, all the improvements that were made by property owners, residential and commercial after that, made it even more worthwhile.

MS. LEVY: I think the downtown needs a shot in the arm and if it means installing four lamp posts and then moving them five years from now, it might be worth it.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Leslie, we are going to try to make it happen.

MS. LEVY: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We will report back to you ASAP. Leslie, you are on 104 also.

Do you want to address us while you are here?

MS. LEVY: Yes. On 104, basically, we would like to know what is the stage of the process? Laura has much more better prepared notes on this. We were instructed on what the SEQRA sequence is and I'm wondering where, along the process, we are today? What does this meeting represent on that process?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think all we are doing today, as far the timeline going forward, is stating the obvious that the whole plan that's been submitted on Syosset Park obviously is now the subject of what we call a positive declaration. It's going to require, if you will, a complete environmental review. Where we go from here and

what the timelines are, who can help --

2 MS. LEVY: I look forward to hearing

3 that.

2.0

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: John, let us know. I think I did characterize correctly what we are doing today, right?

MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good.

MR. ELLSWORTH: This is the first step in the Town's process on the application and as the Supervisor said, it's going to go through a full environmental review which entails an environmental impact statement. Initially, a draft will be produced and eventually that will get out for public review and that will be the subject of a hearing.

Prior to that, there will be a public scoping session probably within the next month or so where a draft scope will be issued which is an outline of what's going to be in the EIS and the public will get to review that and comment on that. We will have a public session like this for input on that. Then, the scope will be finalized and the applicant --

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Excuse me, John?

MR. ELLSWORTH: Yes.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Will that go out to the public because I only live a quarter mile away from the property. I had heard none of this so far, about the scoping session or anything else. We are in the dark over on the east side of South Oyster Bay Road south of the expressway.

MR. ELLSWORTH: It will be publicized. There will be public notices about the hearing, initially, that the draft is available for public review. It will not necessarily be a letter to each house within a mile radius or anything like that. But it will be fully advertised like the hearings for this Board.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I hope so because I -- so my neighbors know what's going on.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You would like something more than that.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I would like something more than that, but I know we are bound by law. I think, maybe, the developer -- he sent a notice out there concerning this hearing. I know we can't bind him to. I think, in act of good faith --

MR. ELLSWORTH: I know representatives

1.3

2.0

of the developer are here today. I don't know if they are prepared to discuss that.

MS. LEVY: The developers did have public presentations and they did address many -
COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Leslie, that was

not official. This will be official.

I'm somewhere between where you are and where he is. I just one to inject something ahead of schedule. But one of the environmental things that was not addressed because they did not believe it was in the scope of their needing to address it was the impact on the railroad. That's because -- and I hope they will correct me if I'm misstating it -- that they followed the guidelines of a previous project which would not involve commuters. We've heard estimates that there would be 600 families. That means, probably, 455 commuters, at least. So the neighboring people -- I'm sure you're aware -- are concerned that already, our station is a little over extended.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: The roads, everything.

MS. LEVY: I was told that they addressed the roads beautifully in those meetings,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

33

but they did not address the railroad at all because they said that should they be required by the Town, if the Town defines the railroad as something that's within the environmental impact, they will study it. But it's up to you to tell them that the railroad is part of the environmental impact and that's all I'm asking you to do. MR. ELLSWORTH: That will be included in the scope. MS. LEVY: Thank you. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Before you go away, the developer is here today. Who wants to --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: John --SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: John, you want to come forward? I'm sticking with Councilman

Macagnone's point. I understand the law has a minimal requirement. You can't go less than that, obviously, but I can't imagine this developer knowing what I know with becoming a problem with an area-wide mile.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We will work with the Town and notify the residents in an appropriate way.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Maybe you can set

34 1 up a line of communication. 2 Leslie, thank you. 3 Laura, I did not realize this. Laura and Todd Fabricant. 4 5 MR. FABRICANT: Good morning. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good morning. 6 7 MR. FABRICANT: Good morning, Town 8 Board. 9 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good morning, 10 Todd. 11 MR. FABRICANT: As chairman of the 12 CERRO Wire Coalition and as a representative to the 13 community, we just wanted to thank the Board and 14 you, Supervisor, for moving along the EIS and land 15 review. We've had a great relationship starting a 16 year ago with the developers, Simon Corporation, 17 Spagna Realty and Albanese (check name) and we will 18 continue to have a dialogue with them as the 19 process moves forward. I just wanted to thank the 20 Town for the process very much. 21 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We go back aways, 22 don't we? 23 MR. FABRICANT: Yes, we do, sir. 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Laura, come down. 25 This is on 104.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

start with 74.

35

MS. SCHULTZ: I appreciate my Vice President, Leslie Levy, bringing up the importance of the impact on the train station as well as the roads Councilman Macagnone brought up because we live there. And we look forward to the SEQRA process for a full and public vetting of this massive project proposed for a former super fund site. This project is bigger than the Nassau Coliseum property. We need to know its environmental impact on the Syosset community and we appreciate Councilman Macagnone asking the community be made aware of all this. Any public hearings, please get the word out. We really appreciate it. Thank you. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Thank you, Laura. I think this might be -- I don't know if there are any other, size-wise, are there any projects in Nassau County that even --COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: I do know. No. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Probably one of the biggest. Okay. On 74, 78, 86, 87, 94, 104, 111. Robert Ripp. Oh, 111 is not on the table. We will

MR. RIPP: My name is Robert Ripp.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

36

live 77 Sunset Road, Massapequa, New York.

I assume that the Town Board is familiar with the Littauer Estate. As a resident, I wasn't and I haven't met anyone else yet that is.

On July 10, 2007, the Town approved Resolution Number 555 of 2007 authorizing the Supervisor to enter into a contract of sale for the proposed purchase of 510 Split Rock Road, Syosset, New York. Tuesday, July 10th, Oyster Bay Supervisor, John Venditto and the Town Board authorized the purchase of Hillside Farm, a 26 acre Oyster Bay Cove home, place of the Littauer family for a bargain sale purchase of \$5.73 million. Supervisor Venditto and the Town intended to open Hillside Farm to the public on a regulated basis and retain as much of it as it is today, a charming and idyllic reflection of what life used to be like on Long Island. The rooster will continue to crow. The old donkey will graze the back field and the thousands of migrating birds that pass each year will find the pond and fields just as they left them the year before. The overall intent of the acquisition will be to provide historic and environmental education for the residents of the Town.

2.0

Mary Littauer, quite sadly, died in

January of 2006 and the property became part of her
estate. A steering committee was formed with the
expertise and education, gardening, natural
science, museums, history and public relations.

Ideas generated at the first meeting included water
education programs for the children centered around
the problems of the Oyster Bay aquifer, a
retirement home for horses, a series of classes
from botanical to landscape painting, organic
farming, historical re-enactments in the house, on
the grounds and concerts on the lawn. Even an
old-fashioned Christmas tree with a candle-lit
tree.

The steering committee members included Linda Mondello and Andrew Rothstein. Andrew Rothstein is the Town Director of Operations overseeing the rehabilitation of the property which was renamed the Farm at Oyster Bay.

The roof and windows leaks in the sprawling Littauer Mansion have been plugged. A tilting barn had been righted and reinforced. Four years after the Town of Oyster Bay bought the 26-acre Littauer Estate in Oyster Bay Cove with environmental bond money, years of deterioration

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

38

are being reversed and programs for the public expanded. It's clearly still a work in progress said Andrew Rothstein, the Town Director of Operations who is overseeing rehabilitation on the property at Split Rock Road and Route 25A. We are trying to keep the integrity of all the buildings, Rothstein said, but that comes with a large price tag for restoration, \$1,055,333 since the Town took over the property. This was quoted in 2011. steel frame has been installed in a barn to keep it from collapsing. The barn will become an educational center. A deteriorated chicken coop and barnyard fences have been replaced. The septic water supply and drainage systems have been upgraded. The main house was made weather tight and rewired to have John Hammond's Office there.

Additional uses for the house may include small scale special events and educational programs. We knew from the beginning that this is not going to be an active park with baseball fields Rothstein said. Access has been and probably will remain by appointment only for groups. Rothstein envisions having star gazing, walking tours, bird and wild life watching, art classes, architectural education, senior citizen exercise programs and

2.0

holiday related events. This is to share the property with caretaker Amanda Roberts who represents a fifth generation of the family to serve in that role.

The sprawl of farmland along Split Rock Road and Oyster Bay Cove has seen several changes in the five years since Oyster -- since the Littauer family sold it to Oyster Bay. The mansion's heating and cooling systems were fixed. Drainage on the ground was improved. Fire hydrants were installed and the barn is being renovated for school children. Officials hope that they will eventually gather there for programs.

Oyster Bay after the Town purchased it in 2007 to preserve it. Farm renovation have included mostly safety improvements such as widening the roads to allow emergency vehicles, Rothstein said. The Town cannot immediately provide the amount of reservation since 2007, but the price tag topped one million as of last fall. This article was written in 2012. The current budget crunch with slow improvements, with the revamping of the north barn into a handicapped accessible classroom and the building of public bathrooms could be done by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

40

late spring, Rothstein said. Though the farm won't likely ever open to the general public, officials said it will continue to hold small groups such as seniors who come for Tai Chi and bridge and the kids who harvest as much as 2,000 pounds of fruits and vegetables annually for a food bank.

In 2007, the Town hired Linda Mondello via a consulting contract to oversee the preservation of the estate. Joseph Mondello's wife, Linda, a former museum curator, got a consulting contract with Oyster Bay in 2007 to oversee preservation of the 26-acre Littauer Estate in Oyster Bay Cove. She is paid \$100 per hour with a maximum of \$50,000 a year. Linda Mondello had served on a committee to generate idea of the property and was one of the four people asked to apply for the contract, not bid, asked. clearly has a passion for Littauer, Venditto said. According to Linkedin profile, Linda Mondello has maintained the contract with the Town consecutively from 2007 until present. Ms. Mondello allegedly occupies an Office located in the 510 Split Rock Road with the following phone number (516) 922-0017, extension 10. Ironically, that phone number comes back to Mary Littauer who has been

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

41

deceased since 2006. Douglas A. Wilke has represented himself him as an architect and engineer for the Town of Oyster Bay who prepared a report on the Littauer Estate for the Town in 2008. Also in 2008, a non-for-profit was established called the New York -- in 2008, a non-for-profit was incorporated in New York State titled the Farm at Oyster Bay Incorporate.

Today, before we go forward with this resolution, I would like to know if the Town is affiliated, has made any contribution or has any type of business with this incorporated non-for-profit, Farm at Oyster Bay. I would like to know if Linda Mondello has been consecutively employed by the Town through use of a personal service agreement which would indicate her expertise, specialty needed for this employment or by any other means through which Linda Mondello received monetary or other payment from the Town from 2007 to present. I would also like to know if there ever has been any RFP issued, has there ever been any bidding processed for the consulting position occupied by Linda Mondello. I would like to know, whether there were any resolutions passed in regards to these contracts. I couldn't find

2.0

them. I would also like to know what is the term of Linda Mondello's contracts. How many times have they been renewed? I would like to know how much money Linda Mondello has been paid through Town contracts in the capacity of consultant of historic preservation while overseeing the preservation of the estate for each calendar year from 2007 to today's date. What work records have been generated by Linda Mondello and submitted to the Town to justify her contracts and pay.

Mr. Rothstein has been quoted in 2011 and in 2012 explaining that \$1,055,333 have been spent since the Town took over the property up to 2012. The improvements he mentions to justify the money spent, which I just read to you are inaccurate. I visited the property and I will release a video of the estate grounds on my social media site so everyone can really see what that property really looks like and what is not being done there.

I would like to know the total of all the improvements that were completed there. I would like to how much to date the Town has paid Douglas A. Wilke who we have a resolution on today and I'd like to know how much the Town has paid

2.0

4.3

Douglas A. Wilke as architect and engineer for the Town of Oyster Bay since 2007.

The estate is used on a permission permit basis allegedly. I'd like to know how many functions have actually occurred on that estate since 2007. Why does not the general public know about this estate and why isn't it being properly utilized? In my opinion, the Town should not fund another dollar to this farm until these questions have been answered. Would you like to answer any of them now?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't know if somebody is equipped to do that.

MR. RIPP: I saw Andrew Rothstein earlier.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob, Rob, the last I looked, I chair the meeting. I know you have been directing Town employees to appear at meetings and -- I'm Town Supervisor. I chair this Board and I will direct who needs to come forward. Andrew, I see your nodding your head. Can you help us answer some of these questions?

MR. ROTHSTEIN: Just a few things, just to take a step back, originally when we purchased the property, it was done under SEA fund. It was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

44

done -- if you look back at SEA fund, it's done for two different reasons. One, to preserve property and open space as well as parking. When we had an opportunity to purchase 26 acres at that price from the Littauer family, unfortunately after Mrs. Littauer passed away, it was an opportunity twofold. Again, to protect open space and then to look at what we can do park-wise for our community and our residents. As we went into that, Robert, from the beginning, we knew that it was going to be used on a limited basis. Reason being, that it was set deep in residential and the same concerns that we have for other parks that we have in the Town of Oyster Bay, it was very important that we respect the wishes of our residents surrounding those houses. We met with the residents. We talked to them about the different things we were going to And from day one, the point was always going to be that there were going to small programs. Exactly what you talked about, from programs for senior citizens, Tai Chi, bridge, always on a limited and small basis. That was going to be done. That has been going on since the property was deemed safe. And your point about \$1.5 million or whatever that number was, when we bought this

1.3

2.0

property, again, no disrespect to the Littauer family, it was in disarray. There was a lot of work that had to be done to make sure it was safe. Exactly what I was quoted in Newsday saying is exactly what happened. Before we were going to bring anyone, before the Supervisor or Town Board would allow us to bring anyone into that property, it was very important that it was deemed safe.

When being deemed safe, meaning that we did it in different sections, in different quadrants because otherwise, there's still work going on as you see and as you pointed out. But one thing I will tell you is, we have had program after program there, the Girl Scouts, the Boy Scouts. About a month and a half, two months ago, Island Harvest was here. I'm sure you were here for that Town Board meeting when were sited as being the most active municipality on Long Island as far as donations of food, a lot of that comes from the Littauer program, from the Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts who grow pumpkins. They do pumpkin picking. They do Christmas decorating. There's a lot of programs.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Andrew, the senior art program also.

1.3

2.0

MR. ROTHSTEIN: The senior art program. Again, they are small programs because that's what the property can handle. But recognize that the other reason that we bought that property was the opportunity to preserve 26 acres of pristine property within the Town of Oyster Bay that otherwise would have been subdivided.

what Andy is saying, but it's been reserved as a working farm. If you go there, they have animals. I think -- I don't want to -- I think the donkey may have died. But it's a working farm with type of animals that you want to bring your child to see and see what Long Island looked like. You go there and there are all these different Girl Scouts troops all working together, all planting and you walk around and you see the animals and you see actual farm work going on. It's important to preserve our cultural heritage.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: This resolution is for \$12,500 for architectural work for the foundation of the building. The building was built in 1740.

MR. RIPP: If you can answer --

25 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Hold on, Rob.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

47

You have a lot of questions on the table.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: I think it's important to know, Andy mentioned the SEA fund. I think we should explain what that was all about. What it was meant to be and how it came to be, so people in the stand -- you know, what the purpose of the SEA fund was.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's very simple. There were three environmental -- before this administration took over and the people you see sitting here, as incredible as it sounds, the Town of Oyster Bay never had an environmental bond out which was stunning to me especially in a Town that speaks so frequently to quality of life here. I think it's fairly rapid had succession. I think we had three environmental bond acts which were done and acted by referendum. I believe one was in the amount of 60 and then two more for 30 each. Αm I correct? And all three passed by more than 70 percent of the vote. The purpose of that money, as best I can remember, was to preserve open space and to also refurbish existing Town-held properties, presumably -- meaning parks. And with that money, back in -- I don't remember what year it was. thought Rob said it was -- we made a decision to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

48

acquire 26 acres, I quess what we would call virgin land or pristine land. I can't think of a better use for the money. That was what the money was all That's what the residents indicated they about. want us to do and that's what the residents expressed their satisfaction in what we did at the time. I don't think there's any question -there's never -- I don't think there's any question about -- you know, hindsight, wonderful. Obviously, I don't mind telling you, we kind of ran out of money. I think there came a point where the economic downturn -- I'm talking in the '08, '11 I would love to have seen more things done range. at the property, but we simply could not afford them at the time. It does not mean that we are not going to do them some day and I do believe that the time will come that the property and it very well be among the center pieces -- I think it was good judgment. We can be sitting here on a zoning application to put I don't know how many Costcos or what else on the property -- listening -- or another regional shopping mall or whatever the case may be. So the Town stepped up, did the right thing in acquiring the property. The process has been rather slow and arduous.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

49

COUNCILMAN PINTO: I think it was important for everybody to understand.

MR. MAYER: Just to follow up on with Councilman Pinto said and further your remarks, I know there are a lot of questions on the table. But one comment you made about the publicness -- if that's a word -- of the process in conjunction with everything Andy said, when the Town decided how to spend this money, there was a very public outreach program to concerned citizens and groups and as with most other programs, there was an attempt to be a balance throughout the Town, so areas both north shore and south shore and center were aided by this. And I believe, going on memory, that the north shore land alliance and we all know who they are and very well respected, were one of the active participants in this process particularly with regard to the land on the north shore. nominated several properties. Their number one priority, number one property that their group wanted was this parcel. That was their priority. I'm not saying that Board didn't concur with it or exercise its independent judgment, but it was not something that the Board or bureaucracy came up with. This was nominated by people and groups who

2.0

were dedicated to this type of activity. Before we get too far afield, I wanted it to get on the record.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You are right.

Actually, you're bringing back a lot of memories.

I'm not going to bore everybody about this, but it was Lisa Ott who first approached me, first approached the Town about acquiring the property and I didn't look forward to it at that time, but in retrospect, I'm glad I did it. I walked all 26 acres with her and until you do that, I don't know if you understand the value of this acquisition. I never hesitated. Once I took that tour, I never looked back. I think the residents to this day are very satisfied with the acquisition. Again, if that helps give you the history. Let's see if we could get more of a -- it was talked about, the RFP process and questions --

MR. RIPP: Excuse me, Supervisor -SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Andy, can you
shed any light on that?

MR. ROTHSTEIN: We did a two-hour -- on November 23rd we did one RFP and after one hour, we put another one because we felt it was Thanksgiving weekend. We put another RFP out in December.

November 23rd, we put the first RFP out for this year because it's a yearly process.

MR. RIPP: This is the RFP for Linda Mondello's contract?

MR. ROTHSTEIN: Yes, for that historic preservation. On December 7th, we put a second one out because we felt the first one was around Thanksgiving weekend and December 7th, we put a second one out. There was not enough time in November.

MR. RIPP: They go out annually?

MR. ROTHSTEIN: There's should be a history of nine years of contracts for Linda Mondello?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't think -- we have -- it's one contract with extensions. Who knows the history of the contract? Go back to the beginning and take us through the process.

MR. ROTHSTEIN: When we look to do this after we bought the property, and RFP went out and I'm going by memory, but I'm going to say it was two years with two extensions. When that ran out, the Commissioner was just talking about, we went out with another one which went out in November of this past year. There was an issue because we felt

52 1 there was not enough time because of how the 2 Thanksgiving holiday fell. So we extended that and 3 put out another RFP. 4 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: One year with 5 one extension or two extensions? SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Two and two, I 6 7 think. 8 MR. ROTHSTEIN: I have to look, but I'm 9 going to say two years with two extensions. 10 MR. RIPP: But --11 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Hold on Rob. RFPs were sent to whom. 12 13 MR. ROTHSTEIN: They were sent out 14 to -- they were advertised in Newsday. I don't 15 know if it was advertised in Long Island Business 16 News. Then -- again, I'm going by memory, but it 17 went out to four or five people who felt would be 18 possibly qualified for it along with Newsday. 19 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: This contract is 20 for 34 months. MR. RIPP: You sent the RFP out to four 21 22 or five people directly? 23 MR. ROTHSTEIN: Along with advertising 24 Newsday. 25 MR. RIPP: So only four or five people

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

25

53 1 knew about this RFP? 2 MR. ROTHSTEIN: No, it was advertised 3 in Newsday. 4 MR. RIPP: I just want to make this 5 I know you understand where the Town got the funds to purchase the property, but since you 6 7 purchased it, all the property capital improvements 8 and renovations you have been working on, are 9 those -- is that money coming out of the funds or 10 is that money coming out of the Town? Once you 11 bought it with these bonds, all the additional work 12 that you have done since you purchased the 13 property --14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob, address the 15 chair. 16 MR. RIPP: I'm sorry. 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I would assume a 18 lot of it was done by the Town. I would have to go 19 back and look. In the early days, we might have 2.0 had some money left in the environmental bond, but I don't recall. I would have to check. 21 22 MR. GENOVA: It's a Town park. 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: No, no, I don't

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

think anybody is disputing that. He is not asking

whether it was legitimate to do it one way or the

54 1 other. He is just asking where the money came 2 from. Am I right, Rob? 3 MR. RIPP: Yes. 4 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Obviously, the 5 acquisition was under the environmental bond act. 6 Subsequent improvements, some might have come from 7 the environmental bond act, but I don't know. We 8 need to -- I would suspect, with the Town being 9 involved, it was a combination of both because 10 there came a point that we were done with the 11 environmental bond money. 12 COUNCILMAN PINTO: With regard to this 13 contract, Frank, with regards --14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Frank who? 15 COUNCILMAN PINTO: Frank Nocerino. Ι 16 think -- I don't think we have ever come to the 17 full amount. Is that accurate? 18 MR. NOCERINO: Last year, that's the 19 maximum amount. 2.0 COUNCILMAN PINTO: Each year it's been 21 something less than that, right? 22 MR. RIPP: Which contract? 23 COUNCILMAN PINTO: The one we are 24 voting on today, in the past, we never --25 MR. RIPP: I'm sorry to interrupt. You

55 1 are not voting on Linda Mondello today? You are 2 voting on the architect? 3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Right. 4 COUNCILMAN PINTO: I understand that, 5 but with regard to the contract itself, I'm being 6 told that we never hit the amount. 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You are probably 8 right, only because those amounts are -- not to 9 exceed --10 MR. RIPP: Some of the questions I have 11 were --12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't know how 13 many we hit, but I think we got a few of them 14 anyway. 15 MR. RIPP: This incorporated 16 non-for-profit, the Farm at Oyster Bay 17 Incorporated, does that have anything to do with 18 the Town of Oyster Bay? Are we making any 19 contributions or any type of business with this 20 non-for-profit? 21 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I do not believe 22 I would defer to -so. 23 MR. GENOVA: We have to confirm it. 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Andrew, will you 25 help?

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

MR. ROTHSTEIN: We will look into it, but I'm going to say no.

MR. RIPP: Linda Mondello does occupy an Office at 510 Split Rock Road?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I don't know about the phone number. I know she has an Office there. What happened when you called?

MR. RIPP: Actually, it said, you've reached the Office of Split Rock Farm, for Linda Mondello push ten, for Rich Porcelli, push 11.

Rich Porcelli, Jr. has a job over there. Push ten and it says, if you would like to speak -- it's not her voice, but if you would like to speak to Linda Mondello. I left a message, but she didn't call me back.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You said earlier that the number didn't go to Linda Mondello and that it --

MR. RIPP: No, no, no. The number is (516) 922-0117.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: No, Rob, I'm not being argumentative. When you first made your statement, I believe you said that you dialed the number, it was not answered by Linda Mondello, but it was answered by Mary Littauer.

57 1 MR. RIPP: No, you misunderstood. 2 said the number is for Split Rock Farm, Linda 3 Mondello, but I said that the phone, for some 4 reason, the phone bill comes back to Mary Littauer. 5 It kind of confused me. How can a dead person be paying the phone bill, but that's another issue. 6 7 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You know what? 8 After my father passed away, for years the name remained in his account. I don't remember why. 9 10 MR. RIPP: That's, like, in the same 11 family. 12 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It's not 13 important. Go ahead. 14 MR. RIPP: I think everything else I 15 asked for -- I'm also doing a Freedom of 16 Information Request on. I really suggest that you 17 take a look at it because the place is a mess. 18 There's two animals there. There's a horse --19 three, two goats and a horse. It's actually quite 2.0 hazardous to walk around there. The steps of 21 unleveled. You can see it later on on the video. 22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Obviously, you 23 are not a farm guy. 24 MR. RIPP: Actually, the funny thing 25 is, along with the deal, I understand, the

1.3

2.0

him now?

caretakers that live there, part of the deal when the Town purchased it was that the caretakers got employed by the Town of Oyster Bay and the husband, the wife and the son are all on the Town payroll and make a little more than \$100,000 a year. They get to live in that house and maintain the farm.

I'll tell you what, I am a farm guy. That's my dream. I would live in that house and maintain that farm for half of what you are pay them. You can hire me tomorrow.

MR. ROTHSTEIN: Supervisor, that's SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You want to hire

MR. ROTHSTEIN: Amanda Bellows who is the caretaker of the farm who lives on the property -- Robert, if you look back at some of the articles, there was a dedicated Newsday article about her because she is fifth generation.

MR. RIPP: I have it right here.

MR. ROTHSTEIN: You would know that she is the sole employee. Yes, her husband lives there. Her son, I want to say is four or five years old, so I'm pretty sure he is not a Town employee. She is fifth generation. She know the property. It was a great opportunity for us,

especially, when we purchased that property to keep that going with the same way it was. It was a very, very good fit. Again, look back in the Newsday article, you will see that.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: She is the only employee?

MR. ROTHSTEIN: Yes.

MR. RIPP: Did you want to go to 78?

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's your call.

MR. RIPP: We are talking about this property at 55 Motor Parkway here. Right now, it's an empty lot that lies adjacent to Ellis --

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Allen.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: It's the site of the old Liberty Industrial Finishing Plant and it's situated on the north side of Motor Parkway, bound on the west by Ellsworth W. Allen Park and bounded on the east by the relatively new Stop & Shop.

MR. RIPP: From what I saw, back in '07, the Town passed a Resolution 145. They asked for a hearing to do a combination of 16 total acres. And then, in March and April, we had it had the hearings. In June of '07, the Town then passed a resolution to acquire seven of the --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Rob, keep going.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

I can hear you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RIPP: -- seven and a half of the 16 acres. In 2010 -- sorry, In 2010 -- I'm sorry, Tom, we did not buy it?

MR. SABELLICO: We did. Go ahead.

MR. RIPP: In 2010, there was a Resolution passed, 437. The Resolution pertained to ongoing litigation related to environmental remediation and litigation at the Liberty Industrial site. In 2011, they passed two more resolutions authorizing appraisal services. I'm to find out is, how much did -- if we did acquire the property -- I might have the date wrong -- but if we did acquire the property, how much did we pay for it? How much have we spent since we bought it in relation to that property and what's the ulterior goal of owning that property? Personally, I noticed that it was changed from -you did a resolution to change it from light industrial, recreational, so I would assume you'll have a park. I would just like to know what's going on.

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: When it came onto the Town Board, that was the major goal. I lived in the Farmingdale area. That was the super

2.0

fund that was, basically, polluted by the Federal Government and responsible parties.

COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: And contaminated the ground water.

mess. People who lived in Farmingdale had a dream that that would be cleaned up, a maximum clean up, on top of that, be park. So when the Federal Government and parties wanted to develop it, brilliantly, the Town Board led by John Venditto, lead the charge to actually trump them by condemning the property. With that, they were caught off guard. Instead of putting the minimum clean up, they put the maximum clean up. With that, it was probably the best purchase for the Town of Oyster Bay and for Farmingdale. Number one, it extends the park, Allen Park --

COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: We shored up fields and everything else. We are at the point right now, we have development and plans to develop that property to make it an extension of Allen Park.

for Massapequa because they cleaned up the water.

MR. RIPP: Today, we are passing a

COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: It was good

62 1 resolution for acquire the title to property, 2 right? COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: We have a 3 4 little litigation going on. So the counsel who 5 represented us to make sure --6 MR. RIPP: So do you have any idea --7 I'm sorry. 8 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: -- refer to Tom 9 Sabellico who would like to address --10 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: The building 11 that's between the park and the property? That's 12 cleaned --13 MR. RIPP: When do you think we will 14 into breaking ground with the park? 15 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: When the money 16 comes in. 17 MR. RIPP: I just wanted to know what 18 was going on. 19 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: We are moving 20 forward. The question was on the resolution, 21 correct? 22 MR. RIPP: Yes, I just wanted to find 23 out how much we spent on this endeavor so far. 24 MR. SCALERA: I have to get the number. 25 It would take me some time to explain it because we

63 1 have hearings and then we give them advance 2 payments and then we go litigate the value of the 3 property. We gave them an advanced payment. 4 guess, in layman's term, it would be down payment. 5 I will get you the number. For some reason -maybe it was four and half million, something like 6 7 that. And then if the -- if there's further 8 litigation on the -- if they contest the value of 9 it, which they did because they say it's worth 10 more. That's why we have resolutions for 11 appraisers on our side. They're going to have 12 appraisers on their side. There's going to a 13 little battle of the experts. The court will make 14 the final determination. If it's more than four 15 and a half million, we give -- if it's less than 16 four and a half million, they have to give the 17 money back. That's it a nutshell. 18 MR. RIPP: Thank you. 19 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We're going to 2.0 87? 21 MR. ALTADONNA: We are up to --22 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Did I miss 86? 23 MR. ALTADONNA: Eight-six. 24 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm sorry, 86. 25 MR. RIPP: I would like to know, on 86,

25

Brothers.

64 1 can you explain what we are anticipating the use of 2 these funds for? 3 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: On 86, I don't have my calendar in --4 5 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: It's for the appraisal of relocation of property. As you know, 6 7 we are going to have to relocate our Offices on 8 North Service Road and we are looking to get some 9 property. It's for appraisal. 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: By the way, 11 before I -- when I say I don't have -- we all get 12 our calendars in the latter part of last week and 13 we all see the resolutions before we come here 14 today and we know what we are talking about. 15 fact that I don't have it in front of me, doesn't 16 mean I haven't seen it. I just -- I haven't 17 committed them to memory by number, but I know the 18 one you are talking about. 19 MR. ALTADONNA: Do you need a copy? 2.0 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I have it here. 21 I just haven't taken it out. Again, Rob continue. 22 MR. RIPP: Eighty-seven, this is the 23 extension of the five-year term with Winter

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

It is the

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO:

2.0

extension of the Winter Brothers contract.

MR. RIPP: A Town-appointed panel was recommending Oyster Bay to approve a five- to ten-year contract -- actually, I just want to familiarize anyone that is unfamiliar with this because this is a pretty big resolution here. This was written back in 2011 and what it says is a Town-appointed panel is recommending Oyster Bay to approve a five- to ten-year contract with the waste hauler, estimated to be \$100 million over ten years to truck the Town's garbage to the dump in the Syracuse area.

The Town Board listened to the presentation from the panel's members at a public hearing held Tuesday at their board meeting. Final action on the plan isn't expected for a month.

The engineers and consultants on the panel favored a proposal by Winter Brothers, a Long Island waste management company and the Town's current long distance waste hauler. The company's proposal was not the lowest bid offered by six haulers competing for the contract.

Hal Mayer, the Town's environmental consultant, explained that State Law does require the Town to accept the bid of lowest bidder under

2.0

certain circumstances including certain
environmental issues. The process is not only
about money, but allows for other consideration,
said Supervisor John Venditto.

Mayer said, price can't be the only consideration when discussing an issue as costly and as complicated as garbage, one that affect every Town resident in one way or another.

The Winters contract was ranked first by a panel for several reasons, Mayer said. The company has the current contract with the Town and is well known to Town officials. It's parent company owns the landfill upstate and Winters could guarantee the chain of custody of the Town's garbage, Mayer said.

The new contract would run for five years and could be extended by another five, officials said. Then ten-year estimated cost of the contract is \$100 million. Joseph Rutgliano, President of the Coastal Distribution, one of the bidders, objected to the recommendation during the public hearing. He said his company's bid was clearly the lowest and that cost projections by Winters are based on its assumption that its trucks will State Department of Transportation permits

2.0

allowing them to be overloaded. Mayer countered that the Town took that and other environmental concerns into consideration when making its proposal.

I would like to bring to your attention the Office of the New York Comptroller Town of Oyster Bay financial condition and selected Operations Report for the period of January 1st to October 31, 2013. Before I do, I just want to ask the Town Board, is there anyone who has not read this financial condition report? Thank you. What the financial condition report is, for those that are not aware, basically, the Comptroller's Office in New York State audits all the municipalities. It's not -- this is not political opponents or citizens or residents making any statement. This is New York State Comptroller informing the Town of Oyster Bay of its efficiencies in their financial obligations.

The Solid Waste Disposal District,

SWDD, Solid Waste Disposal District, the unreserved fund balance went from 2.6 million surplus at the beginning of 2010 to a 7.9 million deficit at the end of 2011. The SWD fund deficit increased to 11 million in 2012. Finally, the garbage

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

68

collection Districts funds are staying at 17.3 million operating deficit in 2012. decreasing the fund balance from a surplus of 7 million to a deficit of 10.3 million at the end of 2012. The Board -- the Town of Oyster Bay appropriated a non-existent fund balance to fund the adopted budgets of TOB and the SSD fund. Board appropriated more fund balance that was available to the TOB fund to the 2008, 2010 budgets causing it a fund deficit to increase 475,000 in 2008 to 5.6 million in 2010. The unrestricted fund balance of the SWDD fund decreased by 10.5 million from 2.6 million surplus at the beginning of 2010 to a 7.9 million deficit at the end of 2011. of this deficit resulted from the Town appropriating six million of fund balance it did not have to fund the 2011 Solid Waste Disposal District budget as the unrestricted fund balance at the end of 2010 was a reported deficit of \$36,082. The SDD fund reported an operating deficit of \$4 million in 2012 further increasing the deficit to 11 million on that date. The State goes on to inform the Town, it is imperative that Town officials diligently and analyze and project revenues and expenditures to

2.0

accurately estimate unrestricted fund balance at the year end that may be appropriated to fund subsequent use budgets. If the estimated fund balance is grossly over estimated or inaccurate, then the Town runs the risk of adopting budgets that are not structurally sound which will ultimately result in fiscal stress. The Board must assure that there is a process to prepare, adopt and amend budgets based on reasonably accurate assessments of revenue sources that fund budget appropriations. When estimating revenues in the annual budget, the Board must have current and accurate records.

Today -- by the way, the financial report also explained that the Town's garbage service makes up 70 percent of their annual expenditures. Today, the Town's largest annual expenditure, 70 percent according to the New York State Comptroller, a contract worth \$50 million is being voted on without any type of hearing or inquisitions to consider ways to improve the Town's fiscal condition in this area -- primarily it seems, based on the past relationships between Winter Brothers and the Town officials.

By the way, I received the official

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

70

transcripts and resolution material from the Town's attempt to include East Norwich in the Town garbage system. Mr. Supervisor, you sat on the Board during that incident. You know the correct procedure to extend the Garbage District. Yet, by your own ambition, you continued to act against the procedure and reason to allow Winter Brothers to additional profit to the tune of three-quarters of a million annually in my neighborhood. The Town even has an agreement with Winter Brothers which removes them from fiscal and financial burden of handling the Town's recyclables in my neighborhood. I'm not sure what kind of relationship exists between the Town and Winter Brothers. opinion, it should not be the basis of extending this contract without investigate to improve it for the Town -- something like this of this magnitude would have been vetted on this before coming up for a pass-on resolution 123. You're talking about \$50 million. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Are you done on

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Are you done or that resolution?

MR. RIPP: Yes.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Before we go any further, maybe you can address -- before you do,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

71

Rob, I try so hard. You have a lot of people here this morning who need to address the Board and you come here and we are really going over issues that have been discussed fully before. There's an audience out there that haven't heard the Town's response, so I have to give a response again. probably should have cut off the discussion, but I try not to be rude. As I always said to you, I was given an egg timer, but I refuse to use it. think residents have a right to come up and address the Board until their heart is content. they make an effort to get here. It's not that often that their really involved with their Government, so when they come here, it's very special to them and I don't like to limit anybody. But going back over the situation with the -- very quickly, I'm going to touch on it and open it up for debate. Under the laws, the prevailing law in the Town of Oyster Bay, we covered the procedures for the situation in Nassau Shores. We've gone over it several times.

Over the years, the residents of Nassau Shores have just simply not arrived at a consensus as to what they would like. Obviously, you would like to become part of the Town's Collection

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

72

District, but you are one resident in Nassau Shores and not everybody agrees with you. We've had meetings as recently as the past couple weeks with the President of the Civic Association. Who was present with me at the meeting? Hal, were you there? I know you did follow-up calls with the President. Again, I know we are beating a dead horse here, but can you bottom line the audience and stop after that?

MR. MAYER: We have to had several conversation as recently as yesterday afternoon I spoke with Doug Aloise who is the President of the Nassau Shores Civic Association and he said, best as I understand, there was a committee of the Civic Association that was trying to deal with this issue. I believe Mr. Ripp attended their most recent meeting. One of the members of the committee, Ms. D'Amato -- what happened with this issue, it has come up several time and I believe --I don't have the minutes of meeting, but from what I was told, they discussed the history of it and every time it's been looked into, there's been a split in this community. So there is no consensus and Mr. Aloese said there is no view of the Civic Association that he would feel comfortable

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

73

expressing to the Board because the community seemed to be so split on the issue.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The bottom line, Rob, again, I will say it one more time. I know you've heard it before. Because you would like to have Nassau Shores become part of the Collection District, that's just not sufficient to make --

MR. RIPP: I think you are --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Let me finish.

Please, let me finish. That's not sufficient motivation for the Town to take it upon itself and impose upon some 1,500 homes a tax or a change in services that they haven't indicated they want. This Town Board is not going to oppose -- I said it the last time you were here, it's same language I'm using, but I want to make it clear to the audience and this going to be the last time I'm going to talk about this. The Town, on its own, will not -unless there was over riding compelling -- for example, let's say Winter Brothers stopped showing up for work and wasn't picking up the garbage, then, yes, I think the Town might have to stepped in in the interest of the public's health, safety and welfare and get the job done. But absent those kind of over ride circumstance, the Town is not

2.0

Shores. It's their call. Some of the residents like the idea because their taxes might go down. Other residents might not like the idea because, presumably, their taxes are going to go up. I think this has to be something that needs to be resolved and we need the will of the community.

MR. MAYER: It's my understanding, that's certainly -- not speaking for them, but what the Civic Association President expressed to me yesterday --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All right, Bob McEvoy.

MR. MCEVOY: The deficits that were mentioned in that report are primarily due to moneys that were paid to Verizon on behalf of their lawsuit for their telephone poles not generating any garbage or solid waste and us counting on that revenue from Nassau County in a successful lawsuit.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Which is on its way?

MR. MCEVOY: Well, that part of it, we haven't budgeted it again and we are not counting on it. So when it does come in, it will be somewhat of a win for all if and when it does show

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

up.

2.0

MR. RIPP: Just in response to that,

I'm sure you read the whole report.

MR. MCEVOY: I don't have it memorized.

MR. RIPP: One of the explicit criticisms of the State is the Town isn't supposed to be allocating funds, perspective funds. I'm sorry, I'm really appreciate how much effort you put into this Winter Brothers in my neighborhood, but I'm only up here today speak about the resolutions. It's just my concern that you are going to pass a \$50 million contract without any further hearing or anything.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You made a comment --

MR. RIPP: Yes, I did, but that was not my purpose. I appreciate your response. You're obviously well prepared to respond to it. But just that we are on the same page, I did not come up here to speak about something I've addressed before. I came up here to speak about these resolutions and that's what I did. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Whether you intended or not, you spoke about it and it needed to be addressed and I addressed it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

76

MR. RIPP: So will you vote on this \$50 million contract today, will you decide to look into this a little more and see if the Town could save some money in the next five years.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: When we have the call of the calendar, but I believe the Board members are prepared to vote.

MR. MAYER: If I may and I know the Board is aware of this, but because we have a pretty full attendance today, people can characterize the contract differently and I'm not going to try to debate the numbers, but I will only point out the contract contains what we call of termination for convenience clause and it's the sole option of the Town which means, in simple English, if something improves or changes in the sanitation/transportation industry we believe there's a better deal out there, the Town can cancel the contract on 180 days notice. I would view this as giving the Town the security of a five-year agreement. In effect, it's a rolling six-month obligation on the Town's part to have to continue with it. I know the Board is aware of it, Mr. Supervisor, but I thought --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The Board is very

much aware of that.

1.3

2.0

MR. RIPP: Before I go, I just wanted to make one last comment on what you said,
Mr. Supervisor, just a second ago. It seems to me that the Town does not want to impose its will on 1,500 residents because those residents might be afraid of having to pay fair tax in the Town of Oyster Bay that everybody else has to pay.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: That's not what I said. I gave you an example of why --

MR. RIPP: That's exactly what you said, that they didn't want to pay tax.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Don't be rude and don't interrupt me. Rob, you've never done that before. When you interrupt me, it tells me that --

MR. RIPP: You --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I never said to you that that's the reason why. I gave you an example, some residents will benefit from a tax point and others will not. Other residents have told me that they love the service Winter Brothers have given them. They don't want Town service.

Other residents have said to me, you know what, we saw how well the Town troops performed in the aftermath of Hurricane Sandy and now we do want

2.0

Town service. You have a community that's split for a number of reasons, whether or not they would like to continue the Winter Brothers operation or whether or not they would like to go to the Town.

I presume there are other issues which haven't been brought to my attention yet. But you have a very sharply divided community and that's been my experience for altering my tenure here and it's come up quite a few times, dealing with the Civic Association as recently as last week.

While I understand you would like to have the Town collection, you are only one voice at of very, very many. You are not the consensus, but you can certainly contribute to the consensus by working through the Civic Association.

MR. RIPP: I will. It's just my opinion and we are all entitled to our own opinions. It's just my opinion that if I was in charge, I would take into consideration of every resident in the Town of Oyster Bay -- I would weigh that heavier than just a small portion of 1,500 people.

Mr. McEvoy mentioned the recent litigation. The Sanitation District Taxes have gone up dramatically because of the recent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

79

litigation that was lost, not only by the Town, but a number of towns in the County in regards to the utilities. People are paying more in taxes. If some people aren't paying their fair share, then other people are paying more. That's just my opinion. We can disagree. It's okay. It's just my opinion.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Okay. But before you go away, I just want to make it very, very clear, I want to underscore what you said because you stated exactly what the Town Board is trying to We are trying to build a consensus. Your vote counts. Doug Aloise's vote counts. There was a reference to Ms. D'Amato. Her vote counts. that's only three votes. In fact, what you probably don't know -- I think a couple of years ago, we did an aerial wide mailing, I want to say 1,500 homes -- could it be that much? We asked for a response. You know, how you feel about the issue and we got 80 responses out of only 80 response out of the 1,500 and they were split. It's an amazing phenomenon, but there's a real hardship down there and we are trying to determine the will. We are not listening to any one voice. Just like I said, you are one voice. Your vote counts, but we are

80 1 not going to act just because you want it or 2 anybody else wants it. We are trying to --MR. RIPP: You should act for the 3 well-being of the Town. 4 5 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We should act on the well-being of the greatest number of people. 6 7 MR. RIPP: I agree. Thank you. 8 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think that 9 concludes the speaker forms. You can continue. 10 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 11 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman 12 Macagnone. 1.3 On the vote, Supervisor Venditto? 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye." 15 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella? 16 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye." 17 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone? 18 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye." 19 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano? 2.0 COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye." 21 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto? 22 COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye." 23 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia? COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye." 24

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?

COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."

MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt

Resolution 66-2016 through 110-2016 passes with

4 seven "Ayes."

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Now you have a Number 111 that you're going to go into Executive Session.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Yes, but before we do -- let me a couple of things here. I know we have quite a few members of the Sikh Temple, obviously seated in front of me. I think we also have residents from the area immediately impacted by the proposed renovations that's going on there. I wanted to send the Board into Executive Session with respect to Resolution 111. What I think I'm going to do, we will hold off, if we can, I would like to keep the hearing record open and give an opportunity to deal with the issue of the Temple and conclude that discussion. Then, we will go into Executive Session on 111 and come back and complete the call of the calendar and go to public comment. Does that work?

MR. SABELLICO: Yes.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: What should I do, by the way, I'm told that the head priest of the Sikh Temple -- I hope I say it right -- Ghiani Mark

1.3

2.0

Singh is present here today and some of the members of the Temple asked me to acknowledge his presence. I'm more than happy to do that. Welcome to the Town of Oyster Bay.

Would you like to stand up?

GURMEET K. SODHI: Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Does not mean we agree with what you are going to tell us, but welcome to the Town Board.

Why don't we do this, I don't have any --

MR. ALTADONNA: You do have speakers.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Why don't we start in the order given to me. I want to hear you.

GURMEET K. SODHI: Thank you. I want to thank you Supervisor Venditto and Council Members for giving us a chance to speak here today. As you all know, Guru Gobini Singh Sikh Center has been there for 30-plus years now. Before it was a Sikh Temple, it served as a church to another community. So the premises that's the Temple today was a Temple for another community as well.

According to the media reports that have been published, what we understand, is that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

83

the current plans for the construction of the Temple are put on hold which were already previously approved. We were told we needed to make -- needed to do more paperwork pertaining to the site plans previously before this all came about which we complied to and we filed them. After all was said and done, everything was move along, the construction plans were going along, all of a sudden, out of the blue we got blindsided and we were told that the plans were on hold or they were not approved. From what I understand, we were not served a copy of these papers. Apparently, the residents brought this about, but they also had to inform that -- the church people. So nobody was really informed of this and this really came as a shock to everybody. What happened when everything was approved. Everything was moving along. Why did we stop this?

Our community here is just concerned that we just need a place where we can go and worship. This place serves 100 people who come there every day before they go to work, in the evening after coming from work, they go and do their prayers before going home. If you take a look at the statistics as well, from what we

2.0

understand and what we know, there has never been any vandalism, any cause of concern from the Sikh community to any resident or neighbor in that area from our community. We have never done any harm to anyone. We are just law-abiding citizen who go there to do our prayers and come home. We celebrate our holiday like everyone else. Everyone comes on those high holy days to pay their respects. What did we wrong? Why did our permits get stopped? Just because too many people believe in God and high covenant. We are confused what happened here.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Let me see if I clear up the confusion. It really has nothing to do with your community that you mentioned. The Sikh Temple that has been there for approximately 30 years -- I have been a guest there on a handful of occasions by gracious invite and I can testify firsthand that for that 30-year period, from my perspective, you could not have been a better tenant, could not have been a better neighbor. I don't like to refer to a religious institution as a tenant, but you couldn't have been a better neighbor.

I think the residents of the

2.0

surrounding area, again, based on my perspective which I agree is limited, but it's pretty broad. You know, I see enough and talk enough. The residents of the area couldn't have been happier with this Sikh Temple. This is not about sikhs. It's not about religion. It's not about any of those issues that you alluded to. No one thinks you are bad people. For 30 years you have done nothing but good things. You have made the Town of Oyster Bay a better place to live the 30 years that you have been there. Your values, your pride you take in family, the pride you take in education and the pride you take in your community, the good things you do to make the Town of Oyster Bay a better place, we could not ask for more from you.

Now the question becomes, for 30 years everything was wonderful. What happened? What happened is, there is now an effort on part of the Temple to expand, to increase its size and rather significantly and now the community becomes concerned. It has nothing to do to -- it's not personal. It's has nothing to do with the religion. For 30 years there has never been a problem. The problem now is very simple. There's a sense in the community that this project may be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

86

too big that maybe what is trying to be done might be so big that it might impact on probably the most valued possession for those of use who live in the Town of Oyster Bay and those are our homes. The value of our homes. There are concerns about traffic and there are concerns about parking. There is no concern about vandalism. You're not vandals. You did nothing but the very best for the Town. We couldn't ask for more.

It is about parking. It is about traffic. It is about alterations that may occur to the quality of life. The residents have, what appears to be, legitimate concerns. What the Town Board is trying to do now in the wake of all that's occurred is to see if we can find a way through compromise to make the project fit. We have -there are concerns that this is ten-pound building on a five-pound lot. Let's see if we can make it work so we can recreate the harmony that has existed for 30 years without incident, never a problem. I'm sure the residents brought cherry pies to you and you opened your doors to their children. They can share your faith. certainly welcome. I know I was welcome. I had to take my shoes off.

2.0

GURMEET K. SODHI: As long as you have clean socks on.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm going to give you the floor, but let me wrap it up on this note; I don't know if there's been mistakes in the past. I don't know in the zeal of some of the Town's people to help because I think everybody recognized -- you have a Sikh Temple that's a great neighbor, residents who love having you as neighbors. We have to make this work. I understand there once was a deal, an arrangement whereby parking was going to be taken care of on the north side and plans went forward on that basis. That fell through, unfortunately, and that opened the doors to some pretty grave concerns about uh-oh, where are people going to park. There have been incidents of overflow parking already.

So the Town blew the whistle and said stop because it's going too far with this kind of controversy and we need to stop the clock and see if we can figure out a way to make this work despite the efforts of the Town people, the sikhs and residents in community, we have not struck that cord yet. So if you say it came out of the blue, I really don't know, but believe you. I guess it's

2.0

never too late to do the right thing. We need to do the right thing here and restore store that relationship between the Sikh Temple and the community, if it's possible. I'm sorry I took so long.

right. Supervisor Venditto, that's exactly what I'm addressing here, the site plan has not changed. They asked us to make changes to it. Do amendments to it which we complied to and submitted. Those were approved as well. The parking issue was addressed, taken care of. We complied to that as well. So it's not -- they say a ten-pound giant on a five-pound lot --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I didn't say it was, but some people --

originally submitted, it's continuing the same thing. Now the concern to say, all of a sudden, the parking is an issue. The parking was the same thing that was submitted with the original plans. At the same time, put yourself in our shoes, we were not made aware of the stop in the plan.

Why -- that raises a red flag that's why stop the plans without informing the other party? Both

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

89

parties should be informed equally. We were not.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: With all due respect -- I'm sorry, Supervisor. With all due respect there are circumstances that have changed during the course of negotiations back and forth with the site plan. So I know the original site plan had parking space provision of 136 spaces. Yet on that plan, you did not see 136 spaces. So clearly it was meant to be either added to the plan or acquired across the street. I know revisions were requested. Subsequent to that, buildings and properties were purchased on residential streets adjacent to the Temple and that does change circumstances when you start talking about perhaps demolishing homes, perhaps infringing on other people's parcels of land and backyards. It does change the circumstances under which you are reviewing the application. I have not been made aware that an additional site plan has been filed and improved, certainly not. So the last that, I think, an official action was taken was that a stop work order had been issued and then lifted. GURMEET K. SODHI: It was lifted because they asked us to make changes and we

complied. There's another thing also that we want

to address. You said perhaps --

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: As you stated yourself, several hundred people worship there every morning and every night and I think that --

GURMEET K. SODHI: I'm so sorry. Not every morning and every night. Not several hundred go there every morning and every night. I'm saying the total congregation, ten people go in the morning, ten people go at night, but the bottom line is, people pay their respect morning and night. I also have Mr. Taneja with us who is one of the board members and trustees.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Good afternoon.

Before you continue, again, I don't want to get too far away from the point that I was trying to make because I really do think -- as I said, for 30 years it worked, everyone was happy and everybody loved the Sikh Temple. I will be quick. Stay with me on that because I think we all have to acknowledge and if we are not acknowledging this, then we have big problems bigger than we thought. There is going to be a more expanded intensive use of the Temple as a result of the proposed renovation. I think we have to acknowledge that. There's nothing wrong with that, but we have to

1.3

2.0

find a way to make it work so it does not disrupt the quality of life in the area. I want to stay with that issue. Sorry, sir.

Mohinder Singh Taneja. I understand your concerns. I will be open about and tell my feelings about it. We, at Hicksville, as you notice that the members almost 10,000. That's a big number and from time to time I have personally supported the public because that's the only time we flourish to businesses and real estate and the community. I remember writing checks on my taxes with name on it, \$14,000 every year. It's not easy come, easy go. It's hard money. Concerning that --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You do know that a very small portion --

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: Yes, that's only a part of it. What you see is a fraction -- behind me, standing there. Ten thousand people paying taxes that becomes a big number. It becomes millions, not thousand anymore.

Moving forward, we had a parking issue problem. We sat down with Commissioner Frederick

Ippolito and we discussed about the parking and we came up with the area existed before the plan. The

expansion started, we had 1,450 square feet before. Today, there's only 2,200. It's the same height, nothing more than that. It's wider, yes. We have a bigger kitchen, yes. We also feed the homeless people every week. The Hicksville Center in the church right now -- we have -- it's not a one-way street. We work with the community.

The question is to go from 1,450 to 2,200 expansion as you speak about it, it's not much, 800 square feet more. How much affect can it have? Tell me. When we sat down with Frederick Ippolito, we concerned our parking spaces and we said -- he verbally agreed on that, go ahead. Stop order was lifting and we wanted to go to work immediately. And it's unfortunate he had to resign and all these problems came up.

My concern is, the resolution was passed without involving us. I feel it's unfair to us. That's the only thing of my concern. As far as, we are parking where -- on the site plan, we said 60 parking spaces required and Frederick Ippolito saw that number too. If you notice on the site plan, even it said 130 required, 60 were approved and they approved the site plan. So why is there a concern now? I don't understand that.

That's one thing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Secondly, the public library was remodeled and a requirement for the community, the whole block it became. That was big. Where is the space for them to park that many cars? There's also a Korean church right next to it and they're there every Friday and Sunday. They also need parking. They park in the streets. They also bought houses next to them. We didn't chase them. To tell you the truth, it was offered to us. did not knock on the doors. As you they said in the news, Channel 12 -- as a matter of fact, there are 50 to 75 homes on sale right now. I worked with the Town of Oyster Bay many times. supporting it. I think Supervisor Venditto, you see many fund raising places. There's no doubt about it. I have seen many Council People here that I personally spoke to them. I met them. may not pronounce your name right, Councilman Coschignano, I met many times. I've seen also coming to the Office -- I am familiar with all the people. John Venditto, person that I like, we like you to work with us. I have a three-year-old grandson. He saying, when can I go to my church and pray. I would like to you measure that for us,

please.

2.0

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Councilman Pinto, go ahead.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: I think everybody
here is saying the right things and we all want to
the work together. Now, we have to work together.

I think Rebecca knows so much more than I do. I
have been trying to educate myself on this issue
for the last two weeks. I implore her efforts.

But I think that when you have residents affected
in such a negative way in their minds, we as
representatives in the community, we need to listen
to everyone and to see if we could work together.
I think the residents want to work together. What
I'm hearing now is all positive things. Now we
have to go and do it.

You mentioned the parking. The one eye opener, to me, was when I saw on Hope Court pictures of cars parked, like, seven deep in front of people's houses. That, to me, is a problem and if we can work together to resolve that, I think that would be wonderful.

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: Yes, we would like to do that.

COUNCILMAN PINTO: One other thing, I

2.0

was disappointed when I saw all the trees taken down. If there's a way we can do something with buffering the borders of everybody's properties to help those residents, that would be wonderful.

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: Yes, we did consult with Commissioner Ippolito and in the drawing, you see site buffered up. When we sat with him, the buffer were all discussed, all the trees were discussed and the trees were taken, not illegally, but with a permit.

is a plan and it seems like there's a way that everybody can work together and I think all the Town Board was doing was listening to residents saying, hold on, we are to work together and figure it out together and how it will suit your needs and those of the residents.

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: As far as parking is concerned, we did -- as a matter of fact, Rebecca -- next to us is a chiropractor, she put her house for sale for \$900,000. If two people are willing to sell, can you imagine, the same doctor who went against us -- stop parking -- as far as Hope Court is concerned, so we stop parking on Hope Court. There's no harm done. We won't

park on Hope Court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCILMAN PINTO: That's a start.

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: I feel is unfair to us. My speech has changed when I came. So it's on the floor.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: If I didn't make it clear the first go around because the dialogue is getting very repetitious, I said when I was speaking to Gurmeet that I explained why we blew the whistle and why we stopped. We went over the history of how wonderful the Sikh Temple, what a wonder neighbor it's been for all those years and how harmonious the relationship between the Temple and the community has been for 30 years. I don't want to keep beating a dead horse, but we need to take time out. We need everybody to be patient. We don't want to stop you. Whatever legal rights you have, I'm the last person to tell you, don't go to a lawyer, don't go to a court. Do what you feel you have to do. If you want to wage a public relations campaign, whatever you want to do, I don't want to stop either side from doing it. not my intention. But if you want to resolve this problem, chances are courts have a tendency to resolve issues because sometimes you are a little

2.0

happy and you're a little sad --

MR. TANEJO: Yeah --

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Let me finish.

There are vagaries upon litigation and if you go off into a PR mode, lord knows where that will take you. All I'm suggesting is that, like a referee, the Town Board has blown the whistle for everybody to stop. Please be patient and let see if we can recreate the peaceful coexistence and the harmony that existed beforehand. But the problems do not relate to the culture or the community or the culture of the Temple, the religion of community or the religion -- they relate to things like parking, traffic. Can we make this work? Can we make this fit so you don't have seven cars or whatever the case may be. That's what it's about.

MR. TANEJO: We wrote a letter to

Councilwoman Rebecca Alesia back in December. We

are not successful on that and we tried to arrange

that meeting many times. It did not happen. So

it's not one way. We have been trying to get

there. It's been hard.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: I want to underscore what the Supervisor said and I'll go one further that I have been reached out to by other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

98

members of the Plainview community to say what a wonderful working relationship they have with the Guru Gobino, that you participated in Memorial Day Parade, you have been excellent neighbors. You have a lot of fans in the community. This is the Supervisor said, absolutely not about the congregation. It's strictly about zoning and parking and we are going to find a way to work together. I have been speaking with architect. Ι understand that you have retained counsel and we are going to be speaking with him. The goal is to get you up and running in such a way that the people who live behind you can live comfortably and you can have your house of worship. That's the goal.

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: We want to live together. We are law-abiding citizen.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You have been for 30 years.

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: Since 1913, it' a place of worship. If you read the history of the father who tried to get the church back in 1913 that how it came about, how it became the church. As a matter -- I would like to add that because right next to 1065, it was 1061, her daughter lived

I took her to the hospital. We took care of her.

We bought the house and also for two years, she stayed in the rehab place, we also supported her.

At that time, that was \$7,000 a month and it's, again, not one way. We have been helping the community and think about before you pass it.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: The only think I can tell you, sir, is that for 30 years you have been a great neighbor. We are not looking forward to losing a great neighbor. The Town has a vested interest in seeing the community and the Temple come together and work this out. We don't want to lose such a precious neighbor as we had in you. So we are going to do our very best to work it out. That's our job. But it has to be worked out with the minds and idea that people are affected differently by this. And we need to find a way to strike a balance so that your need as congregation are met and the quality of life of our residents is protected. I don't think that's an impossible request.

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: Thank you. One more thing, we are citizens also. That's all I want to say.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We have never ignored you. We have been friends over the years, with you and the community. Now we have a job.

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: Supervisor

Venditto, members, I would like to thank you for

very patiently listening to our concerns and also

acknowledging our high priest. We certainly want

to work with the Board and also the residents. As

you, yourself, mentioned about good things about

the community, for thirty years we have had very

good relationships with all of you and all the

residents and the Town.

So suddenly, we want the place available -- when there is concern -- others that we can reach out to and we can always resolve anything and everything that is there. We have been there.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Do you remember who first brought me to you? Do remember Peter very well?

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: Yes. If I mention him to our community, it was in 2001 when the Supervisor came to the Sikh Temple and meeting with our leaders. We all want the same relationship to continue and like said they said,

2.0

our children, they are growing here. Please support us. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I understand. I enjoyed those visits so much I was afraid to tell my pastor about them.

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: Myself having been in the Government for 12 years. I do understand. Especially Councilwoman Alesia, we appreciate. Any time there is something, just reach out. Supervisor knows we are there to support and help.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: She has worked very hard on this. She is the one who made the proposal that we blow the whistle and sit down and figure this out before somebody loses. We don't want anybody to lose. The idea is for everybody to win in the end.

MOHINDER SINGH TANEJA: There are things -- many time -- we will appreciate if could meet some of our leaders and we would have no problem and then immediately we can resolve this.

Thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Supervisor, thank you for your time. I really appreciate all of you giving this much time and everything you

102 1 have done for us. I just want to address a few of 2 things that you have raised and I want to make sure 3 that these are not recent and there is some 4 background to it. When we have, ourselves, been 5 acting referees. SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Can you identify 6 7 yourself? 8 PRITPAL SINGH: My name is Pritpal 9 Singh. 10 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Go ahead. 11 PRITPAL SINGH: First of all, probably 12 what affects my family the most, my kids really 13 miss going there. As you said, we have been 14 going -- my older kids are now in their 20s. 15 have been going there since they were little 16 juveniles. 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Where do you 18 live? 19 PRITPAL SINGH: What happened was, they 20 grew up there. I have two youngers ones, they're 21 12 and 14 and have not been able to go for almost 22 two years. 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Where do you live 24 now? PRITPAL SINGH: I'm in Dix Hills. 25

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

103

There's residents who come to this congregation from all over. Houses of worship are not in every Town. They are not that many of us. So there's people that who come from as far as Port Jefferson who really need to come here. There's no other place we can congregate.

So I just want to address what is missing from our family. This place is wonderful for us. We come here. We pray. We sing together. We eat all together. There's people from the community who come in, not that they're invited, they are regulars. They come and they love it here. So the one thing, I want to talk about is, the trees brought up. This happened a few years when we bought the property next door. They talked about the trees. We put them down. We worked with them. We have a plan to bring the trees back. We have trees planted already three years ago. started planting trees. I want to make sure it's addressed. The cars, I want to address. The cars, I know -- for two years, we haven't even gathered We talked to our congregation and said we do not -- every week we say -- the gatherings are on Friday and Sunday -- please do not park on Hope Court. We have addressed that. This is nothing

new.

1.3

2.0

As I said we are trying to be referees ourselves. The big thing here is -- the other thing is that the size has doubled. I want to bring to everyone's attention, there is a new Guru Gobino, house of the good rest in Hicksville over the last few years. More than half of the congregation is now going there. So even though we are become bigger, we are going 800 square feet bigger, it's the same congregation that's going to be able to gather and just sit comfortably. That's what it's all about. We are not planning on doubling it in size. We can't. The congregation already has been split. With that new Guru Gobino, just so you all know, I now go to that one and so do all my friends, again, as far as Port Jefferson.

So we are not planning on getting any larger. The parking, we've understood. We actually want to accommodate the neighbors as we can. Whatever is needed. We want to work with the Town and we appreciate you guys working with us.

COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: That's really great to hear and I think that that type of attitude will definitely go a long way because I know there's been frustrations during the

1.3

2.0

snowstorm, that there were cars that blocked access to Town plows and things like that. Little gestures like willing to move cars and being willing to help neighbors, I can tell you with my personal experience with the neighbors, that will make this whole process go a lot smoother.

PRITPAL SINGH: That's my job.

MRS. BINDRA: Many, many thanks for your time. You heard us. I just want -- I think you will help us, but in my mind, I am thinking all the churches in the neighborhood. They are not in the jungle. All people go to pray and if they far away, how can we go? I am 75 and I love my church. This is my request -- all churches have neighborhood, but I don't know why our neighbors are giving us problem. You have to solve this problem. Is my request. Thank you very much.

VIRDNER SIKKA: I live in Hicksville for the last twenty years. I moved from London, England to this area for a better life and I have four children. Since 1996, all my family is visiting this Temple. As I said, I have four children. They all used to go until it was closed down. They are all praying there and they all bring here -- they have become -- one of my

2.0

daughters she is (unintelligible), my other daughter has a Master's in computer science and third daughter she has masters in Human Resources and my son who became a realtor and had sold many properties in the area and most of the people are moving from all over Queens, Bronx and Brooklyn to this area because of better life.

So I love to go to this Temple. So many things have been built from here. I think everybody is happy and they want to visit there all the time. Since this is closed, we are all feeling very badly. So my request is that, sooner you open the Temple, it will be better for all the community. Thank you.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Before you go, it's interesting what you said, I have been on this Board, involved with this Board for a very long time, 30 years coincides with your tenure as well too. When I first came to the Board, we would here speaker after speaker saying, Supervisor -- not me, I wasn't Supervisor -- Supervisor, we came here from the Bronx, we came here from Brooklyn, we came here from Queens and we still hear that once in a blue moon. Now we hear they came from other parts of Nassau County, from parts of Suffolk County. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

107

think it's interesting that how many people want to live in the Town of Oyster Bay. When you poll the residents of this Town, it's phenomenal when you hear how happy they are living here because of the quality of life here. And it's our job to continue that by not letting problems like this spill over so that people don't want to come to the Town of Oyster Bay anymore.

This Board has been working for 30 years that I've been involved in it. That is the highest priority. And you hear, day in and day out, complaints about this, complaints about that, but the bottom line in the Town of Oyster Bay is that people want to live here because of a very, very special quality of life. It's a suburban quality of life. There are exception to the rule with senior housing and housing for our young people, what have you. But basically, it's a community of one-family homes. It's a suburban lifestyle. I know a lot of people hate that, but you ask any resident why they are here, that's why they are here. They tell us that. It's this Board's job to maintain that balance of a beautiful, stable, well balanced community. exactly what we are going to do in resolving this

problem.

2.0

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: As I said, my son has sold over ten houses in the Plainview area. Everybody wants to go there. It's better for all community.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Let me tell you what I have left. I have Nurindra Bindra. I have -- we have two more left.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Supervisor, you pointed out to me. You said we need senior housing. Yes, believe me, we are working toward that.

SUKHMERNI KERN: Hello, all.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: You are?

SUKHMERNI KERN: My name is Sukhmerni
Kern. I have been living in Plainview for the last
six years. We moved from Queens for a better
School District and good neighborhood and I'm very
proud to be a resident of Plainview. We were very
nicely welcome in the society. I just want to say,
for me, my family, it is big part of our daily
life. We go to Temple every day for my five
minutes. And I believe because of that, my
children love the culture values and our rich
heritage. Because of the teachings, my children

learn in the Temple their studies and sports. But

Temple not being there is like someone has really

taken a part -- life away from us. My kids are

very sad because of the school. Everybody has been

asking what is happening with the Temple because

it's all over the Town, all over the news and we

just promise the kids that it's going to be opened

soon. It's a humble request that you do something

about that.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: We will do just that.

SUKHMERNI KERN: You know, very soon, we will always appreciate that request that one of our community members could also be considered as a candidate for the Elective Offices and also administration.

SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Wait until I leave. I just to want close it on this note, if I haven't made this clear, let me just say this, the reason why the Town of Oyster Bay has been such a special place, why people do a little more money to live here, why people who grow up here stay here, these things are verified by, of all places, the United States census. There's a reason why they want to be here and the way we get to that is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

110

through the very process that you see unfolding here this morning. There's obviously a controversy in the community. The residents don't run off and scream and yell and the Sikh Temple doesn't run off, we come together and since 1653, it's been working and it's been working very, very well. Sometimes it tests you. It makes you a little anxious. You get frustrated, even a little mad. You might get mad at us. You might get mad at the community and vice versa. But don't ever give up on the process. It's that very process, it's the very thing that's happening here this morning that does make Town of Oyster Bay such a special place because everybody cares. Everybody in the room cares. We may disagree, but everybody in this group cares about the quality of life in this Town. That's what this morning is about, making sure your quality of life and the quality of life -- your neighbors remain among the finest anywhere in this nation. So let's be patient. Let's work together. Let us all do our job and we will all be in touch I thank you so much for appearing here this morning and speaking with your electives here this morning. Thank you.

I don't want to lose this, Richard

111 1 Grummel was here earlier had to leave. He left a 2 written statement, not on this subject, on Country 3 Pointe and he wants that statement read into the 4 record which I have no problem doing that when we 5 return. But I think, now, I believe we still have 6 Resolution 111 and we want to the go into Executive 7 Session to discuss that. 8 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor, 9 I'll make motion that this Town Board go into 10 Executive Session on Resolution 111 pertaining to 11 litigation and representation for counsel. 12 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 13 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor. 14 ALL: "Aye." 15 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed? 16 (No response.) 17 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved. 18 We are now going into Executive 19 Session. 2.0 (Whereupon, the Execution Session was 21 commenced at 12:27 p.m. and then the Action 22 Calendar recommenced at 1:05 p.m.) 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Altadonna, 24 you can bring 111 to the floor. 25 MR. ALTADONNA: May I have a motion to

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

112 1 adopt Resolution No. 111-2016? 2 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: So moved. 3 COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded. 4 MR. ALTADONNA: Motion made by 5 Councilman Muscarella, seconded by Councilman Macagnone. 6 7 You have one speaker, Robert Ripp. 8 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I'm sorry. 9 MR. RIPP: Hi, I'm Robert Ripp. 10 I was wondering if you can elaborate a 11 little bit on what we are possibly hiring special 12 counsel for the Town Attorney's Office, what this 13 would be relating to. 14 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I think -- other 15 than to say and counsel will check me -- other than 16 to say it relates to personnel and potential 17 litigation. I don't think we can go any further 18 than that. 19 MR. SABELLICO: That is correct. 2.0 MR. RIPP: Thank you. 21 MR. ALTADONNA: On the vote, Supervisor 22 Venditto? 23 SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: I vote "Aye." 24 MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Muscarella? 25 COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: "Aye."

	113
1	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Macagnone?
2	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: "Aye."
3	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Coschignano?
4	COUNCILMAN COSCHIGNANO: "Aye."
5	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilman Pinto?
6	COUNCILMAN PINTO: "Aye."
7	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Alesia?
8	COUNCILWOMAN ALESIA: "Aye."
9	MR. ALTADONNA: Councilwoman Johnson?
10	COUNCILWOMAN JOHNSON: "Aye."
11	MR. ALTADONNA: Motion to adopt
12	Resolution No. 111-2016 passes with seven "Ayes."
13	Calendar is complete.
14	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Mr. Grundell
15	statement will be public comment?
16	MR. ALTADONNA: It was for public
17	comment.
18	COUNCILMAN MUSCARELLA: Supervisor,
19	I'll make a motion to adjourn the meeting.
20	COUNCILMAN MACAGNONE: Seconded.
21	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: All in favor?
22	ALL: "Aye."
23	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: Opposed?
24	(No response.)
25	SUPERVISOR VENDITTO: So moved.

ON TIME COURT REPORTING 516-535-3939

	114
1	We stand adjourned and ready to proceed
2	with our public comment period.
3	(Time noted: 1:10 p.m.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
,	